

THE RESISTANCE ANALOGUECalculation of Closure:

This was achieved by equating the voltage obtained at the centre of a parallel slit modelled on the Analogue, with the closure in inches obtained analytically for the same slit. The equation is that derived by Muskhelishvili and modified by Ortlepp, (Ref. 1).

$$w = \frac{PL w_1}{4u}$$

L is the half span equal to 175 ft.

u is the modulus of rigidity equal to  $5.3 \times 10^6$

w is the Closure

$w_1$  is the normalized closure at the mid span equal to 3.36, (Ref. 1).

P is the overburden stress of 2323 Stope, equals 5860 lbs./ins.<sup>2</sup>

$$\therefore \text{Total Closure } w = 3.88 \text{ ins.}$$

This is equivalent to the voltage of 1.81 obtained on the Resistance Analogue, i.e., 3.88 ins. = 1.81v.

Calculation of Stress:

The residual voltage on the Analogue was equal to .0069 volts and this was taken to be equivalent to the overburden stress of 5860 lbs./ins.<sup>2</sup>

MEASUREMENT OF STRESSPhoto-elastic Gauge for "In-Situ" Stress Measurements:

The photo-elastic gauge consists of a  $1\frac{1}{2}$  ins. diameter bi-refrangent plastic disc, with a quarter inch diameter central hole. The disc is backed by a reflective coating and a non-stick plastic coating, except for the periphery of the disc which is stuck to the rock surface. This disc size is used with an N.X. hole which is about 2 and  $1/8$ th ins. outside diameter. The hole at the centre of the disc concentrates the strain and appears as a fringe pattern when viewed with polarized light. This pattern is then compared with a set of standard patterns, some of which are shown in FIG. 32 (a) and (b).

The Direction of the Principal Stresses is obtained from the orientation and the axes of symmetry of the pattern. These axes are clearly seen when the fringe order is greater than unity, (FIG. 32 (a) and (b)). When the strains are small rotation of the scale of the hand viewer causes two dark coloured patches to appear. These are the iso-tropic points, and the line joining these two points and the line at right-angles to it, indicate the directions of the principal strains.

The Magnitude of the major principal strain is obtained by comparison with the whole number standard fringe patterns. A fraction of a fringe is determined by holding the handle of the viewer in line with the minor principal strain and rotating the scale clockwise to shrink the fringe on to the collar of the hole. The scale reading is then added to the whole number reading.

The Magnitude of the Minor Principal Strain is obtained from a measurement of the distance  $y$  between the iso-tropic points, since the distance compared with the gauge diameter is a constant for any given stress field. The ratio of strains is then equal to :-

$$\frac{y}{.63} = 0.68 \quad \text{for a 2 ins. disc.}$$

The Magnitude / .....

The Magnitude of the strains is obtained by multiplying the gauge sensitivity by the fringe order. The gauge sensitivity is 440 Micro inches per inch per fringe.

The sensitivity of the gauge is not affected by a change in temperature, but large errors can be caused due to the different coefficient of expansion of the gauge and the rock. Hence the gauge must be read with the core temperature within 3°F of the temperature of the back of the hole.

The classical equations for the relationship between stress and strain at a point in an elastic medium are :-

$$\sigma_x = \frac{E}{1 - \mu^2} (e_x + \mu e_y) \quad \text{and Actual stress} = \frac{\sigma_x}{1.5}$$

The relationship between actual and measured stress is due to stress concentration at the end of the borehole.

CALCULATION OF "IN-SITU" STRESS MEASUREMENTS  
BY OVERCORING

2436 Stope:

|                     |                                                                          |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Depth of Stope      | - 5,200 ft. - Place of measurement - Bottom North Internal Pillar.       |
| Depth of overcoring | - 7 ft. 6 ins. Hole rising 20° drilled at rightangles to face of pillar. |
| Gauge - Thickness   | 0.12 ins. Diameter - 1.75 ins.                                           |
| Sensitivity         | 440 x 10 <sup>6</sup> ins. per inch.                                     |
| Diamond Coring Bit  | N.X.                                                                     |

Results:

|                                  |                                            |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Initial Gauge Reading            | - 0.00 Final Gauge Reading - 0.50 fringes. |
| Temperature inside hole          | - 86°F.                                    |
| Major Principal Stress Direction | - 28° clockwise from vertical.             |
| Isotropic Point Spacing          | - 0.49 ins.                                |

Calculations: / .....

Calculations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Major Principal Strain} &= \text{Fringe order} \times \text{gauge sensitivity} \\
 &= 0.5 \times 440 = 220 \text{ micro ins./ins.} \\
 \text{Minor principal strain factor} &= \frac{.49 \times 2}{1.75} - .68 = .2 \\
 &\quad \underline{.63} \\
 \text{Minor principal strain} &= .2 \times 220 = 44 \text{ micro ins./ins.} \\
 \text{Major principal stress} &= \left( \frac{E}{1-\nu} \right) \frac{(e_x + \nu e_y)}{1.55} \\
 \therefore \text{Major principal stress} &= \left( \frac{11.5 \times 10^5}{1 - .42^2} \right) \frac{(220 + .142 \times 44)}{10^6 \times 1.55} \\
 &= \underline{1710 \text{ lbs./ins.}^2} \\
 \text{Minor principal stress} &= 7.56 (44 + .142 \times 220) \\
 &= \underline{566 \text{ lbs./ins.}^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

THE CALCULATIONS OF MATPACK SPACING FOR NO. 8 SHAFT

A. Depth below surface = 6,500 ft.

Maximum stoping span = 800 ft.

Ratio =  $\frac{6,500}{800} = 8.13$

Height of Tensile Zone, (FIG. 12) =  $.13 \times 800 = 104$  ft.

Matpack spacing = x

The weight of rock on each matpack =  $\frac{\cos. 40^\circ 104 \times 170 \times x^2}{2,000}$  tons

The elastic closure at the mid-point of an 800 ft. span at a depth of 6,500 ft. from Muskhelishvili's equation, = 11.8 ins.

Assume 1 ins. of closure before matpack is installed.

$$\text{Matpack compression} = \frac{10.8 \text{ ins.}}{36 \text{ ins.}} = 30\%$$

The loading on a timber concrete composite matpack pre-stressed to 50 tons = 500 tons for 30 per cent compression, (FIG. 11).

Matpack Spacing

$$\therefore 500 = \frac{104 \times 170 \times x^2 \times .77}{2,000}$$

$$\therefore x^2 = \frac{2,000 \times 500}{104 \times 170 \times .77} = \frac{100}{1.04 \times 1.7 \times .77} = 8.6 \text{ ft.}$$

B. At 50 ft. from face - closure = 3 ins.

Assuming 1 ins. closure lost - compression = 2 ins.

$$\text{Matpack loading for } \frac{2}{36} = 6 \text{ per cent compression}$$

$$= 200 \text{ tons for composite matpack.}$$

The height of the separated hangingwall 50 ft. back from the face is taken to be 50 ft.

$$\text{Weight of rock at } x \text{ ft. spacing} = \frac{50 \times 170 \times x^2 \times \cos. 40^\circ}{2,000}$$

$$\therefore x = 8 \text{ ft.}$$

VOUSSOIR ARCHES - SUBTENDED BY MATPACKS

$$1. \quad L = 1.5 \left( \tan 45 - \frac{\phi}{2} \right) \sqrt{Idz}$$

$$I = \text{Loading Index} \quad z = \text{depth} = 1,000 \text{ ft.}$$

$$d = 5 \text{ ft.} = \text{depth of Voussoir}$$

$$\therefore I = \frac{\text{Matpack Load}}{\text{Overburden Stress}}$$

For a closure of 4 ins. the matpack generates 200 tons load.

Matpack size is 2 ft. x 2 ft.

$$\therefore I = \frac{200 \times 2,000}{2 \times 2 \times 144 \times 1,200} = .58$$

If  $\phi = \text{friction angle} = 50^\circ$  then

$$L = 1.5 \tan 20^\circ \sqrt{0.58 \times 1,000 \times 5}$$

$$\therefore L = 1.5 \times .364 \times 10 \sqrt{29}$$

$$\therefore L = 30 \text{ ft.}$$

2. If matpack generates 10 tons load :-

$$\text{Load} = 10 \text{ tons}$$

$$I = .029$$

$$\therefore L = 1.5 \times .364 \times 10 \sqrt{.029 \times 5 \times 10}$$

$$= \underline{6.5 \text{ ft.}}$$

## B I B L I O G R A P H Y

1. ORTLEPP, W.D. and NICOL, A. The elastic analysis of observed strata movement by means of an Electrical Analogue. Journal of the South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy - November, 1964.
2. DENKHAUS, H.G. The application of theory of elasticity to problems of stress in hard rock. Association of Mine Managers - 1958 - 1959.
3. HOEK, E. Rock Fracture under Static Stress Conditions. C.S.I.R. report, MEG. 383.
4. COOK, N.G.W. Contribution to discussion. Journal of the South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy - June, 1966.
5. UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND. Rock mechanics notes.
6. COOK, N.G.W. The basic mechanics of rockbursts. Journal of the South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy - October, 1963.
7. COOK, N.G.W. Rock mechanics applied to the study of rockbursts. Journal of the South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy - May, 1966.
8. MARGO, and R.K.O. BRADLEY. An analysis of the load compression characteristics of conventional chock packs. Journal of the South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy - April, 1966.
9. PETERSEN, A.C. and BOTHA, R.C. The use of concrete for stope support on Harmony Gold Mine. Journal of the South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy - June, 1966.
10. WIGGILL, R.B. Effect of different support methods on strata behaviour around stoping excavations. Journal of the South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy - April, 1963.
11. SUTHERLAND, R.B. Some dynamic and static properties of rock. Pergamon Press - 1963.
12. TIMOSHENKO and GOODIER. The theory of elasticity. McGraw Hill.
13. COOK, N.G.W. and SCHUMANN, E.H.R. An electrical resistance analogue for planning tabular mine excavations. Transvaal and Orange Free State Chamber of Mines Research Report No. 72/65 - December, 1965.
14. HAWKES, I., MOXON, S., SELLARS, J.B. The measurement of "in-situ" rock stress using the photo-elastic biaxial gauge with the core relief technique.
15. ROBERTS, A. ET.AL. A laboratory study of the photo-elastic stress meter. International Journal of Rock Mechanics. Vol. 1.
16. C.S.I.R. Report of Laboratory Tests on Rock Samples from St. Helena Gold Mines, Limited. Ref. ME/MR 9405.
17. GRAMBERG, J. Axial cleavage and fracturing. A significant process in mining and geology. Engineering Geology. Vol. 1.
18. SOWRY, C.G. and TUBB, K. An investigation of strata movements when mining three thick coal seams in one area. South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy - October, 1964.

19. DEACON, SUTHERLAND, SWANN. The effect on strata behaviour of two support systems. South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy October, 1964.
20. SALAMON, M.D.G. and MUNRO, A.H. A study of the strength of coal pillars. South African Journal of the Institute of Mining and Metallurgy - September, 1967.
21. LEEMAN, E.R. The borehole deformation type of rock stress measuring instrument. International Jour 1 of Rock Mechanics. Vol. IV No. 1.
22. BIENIAWSKI, Mechanism of brittle fracture of rock. International Journal of Rock Mechanics. Vol. IV No. 1.

**Author** Hazel AA

**Name of thesis** An appraisal of the pillar support system at the St Helena gold mine. 1968

***PUBLISHER:***

University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg

©2013

***LEGAL NOTICES:***

**Copyright Notice:** All materials on the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg Library website are protected by South African copyright law and may not be distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

**Disclaimer and Terms of Use:** Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

The University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the Library website.