

# A GENERAL REVIEW OF THE DEVONIAN FOSSIL PLANTS FOUND IN THE CAPE SYSTEM OF SOUTH AFRICA

by Edna P. Plumstead

## CONTENTS

### SECTION I INTRODUCTION

A. The reasons for revision	
1. The inadequacy of previous records . . . . .	1
2. The availability of new material . . . . .	1
3. The importance of South African fossil floras in world stratigraphy . . . . .	2
4. Evolutionary significance . . . . .	2
5. The importance of palaeontological records in the current search for oil . . . . .	2
B. The material studied	
1. Difficulties of classification . . . . .	3
2. Source of the fossils described and acknowledgements . . . . .	3

### SECTION II A BRIEF SURVEY OF THE GEOLOGY OF THE CAPE SYSTEM

A. The Cape Province Fold Belt	
1. Distribution and folding . . . . .	7
2. The Table Mountain Series or T.M.S. . . . .	7
3. The Bokkeveld Series . . . . .	8
4. The Witteberg Series . . . . .	9
5. Conditions of Deposition . . . . .	9
6. Derivation of the sediments . . . . .	9
7. Map showing main outcrops and fossil localities . . . . .	10
B. Northern extension of the Cape System	
1. In Natal . . . . .	11
2. In Malawi . . . . .	11
3. In the Orange Free State . . . . .	11
C. Stratigraphical Position of Fossil Plants described	
Table . . . . .	12

SECTION III DESCRIPTION OF THE FOSSIL PLANTS

A. General	
1. Naming of the fossils . . . . .	13
2. Order of description . . . . .	13
3. Growth and environments of the plants . . . . .	13
4. Classified list of Plants described . . . . .	13
B. Sub-division Psilopsida	
1. General . . . . .	14
2. Genus <i>Dutoitia</i> Hoeg . . . . .	14
(a) <i>Dutoitia pulchra</i> Hoeg . . . . .	14
(b) <i>Dutoitia alfreda</i> sp. nov. . . . .	15
(c) <i>Dutoitia maraisia</i> sp. nov. . . . .	16
(d) Comparison of <i>Dutoitia</i> . . . . .	17
3. Psilophytalean axes—De Doorns . . . . .	18
(a) Occurrence . . . . .	18
(b) Description of specimens . . . . .	19
(c) Discussion and Comparison . . . . .	20
4. <i>Palaeostigma sewardi</i> Kräusel and Dolianiti . . . . .	
(a) History of genus . . . . .	22
(b) Description of specimens . . . . .	23
(c) Details of the scars and discussion of their origin . . . . .	24
(d) Comparison and remarks . . . . .	25
C. Sub-division Lycopsidea	
1. General . . . . .	26
2. Classification . . . . .	27
3. Genus <i>Drepanophycus</i> Göppert . . . . .	
(a) Systematic position . . . . .	27
(b) General distribution . . . . .	27
(c) <i>Drepanophycus schwarzi</i> sp. nov. . . . .	28
(d) <i>Drepanophycus kowiense</i> sp. nov. . . . .	30
4. Genus <i>Protolepidodendron</i> Krejci . . . . .	
(a) General . . . . .	31
(b) <i>Protolepidodendron eximium</i> Frenguelli . . . . .	31
(c) <i>Protolepidodendron theroni</i> sp. nov. . . . .	32
5. Genus <i>Archaeosigillaria</i> Kidston . . . . .	
(a) General . . . . .	34
(b) <i>Archaeosigillaria caespitosa</i> Schwarz nov. com. . . . .	35
6. Genus <i>Leptophloeum</i> Dawson . . . . .	
(a) General . . . . .	39
(b) <i>Leptophloeum rhombicum</i> Dawson . . . . .	39



(c) <i>Leptophloeum australe</i> (McCoy) Walton in Australia	
(i) History . . . . .	39
(ii) Recent evidence of occurrence and age in Australia . . . . .	39
(d) <i>Leptophloeum australe</i> in Africa	41
7. The controversial genus <i>Haplostigma</i> Seward . . . . .	43
(a) The confusion in early descriptions . . . . .	43
(b) Description of specimens of <i>Haplostigma</i> —old and new (i) to (vii) . . . . .	44
(i) Paratype of <i>H. irregulare</i> spec. B . . . . .	44
(ii) Paratype of <i>H. irregulare</i> spec. V236 . . . . .	45
(iii) Type spec. <i>H. irregulare</i> . . . . .	45
(iv) <i>H. irregulare</i> from Port Alfred . . . . .	46
(v) <i>H. irregulare</i> from Schietkraal . . . . .	48
(vi) Round scarred stems in sandstone . . . . .	49
(vii) Indeterminable Lycopods . . . . .	50
(c) Comparison with other fossil plants	
(i) Southern hemisphere . . . . .	51
(ii) Northern hemisphere . . . . .	52
(d) Speculations on the common association of round and rhombic scarred stems in Devonian rocks . . . . .	53
(e) Summary of <i>Haplostigma</i> evidence and its systematic position . . . . .	53
D. Sub-division Sphenopsida	
Genus— <i>Calamophyton</i> Kräusel and Weyland	
1. General . . . . .	54
2. <i>Calamophyton capensis</i> sp. nov. . . . .	55
3. Discussion and comparison . . . . .	56
E. Problematical Megaphyllous Devonian Plants	
1. General . . . . .	57
2. <i>Platyphyllum albanense</i> sp. nov. . . . .	58
3. Significance . . . . .	58
4. Comparison . . . . .	58
5. <i>Archaeopteris</i> , <i>Psygmophyllum</i> . . . . .	59
F. Stems of uncertain affinities	
1. Stem Type A . . . . .	59
2. Stem Type B . . . . .	60
3. Stem Type C . . . . .	60
4. Stem Type D . . . . .	61
5. Stem Type E . . . . .	61
6. Discussion . . . . .	61

## SECTION IV CONTROVERSIAL ALGAL FOSSILS

A. General . . . . .	62
B. Algae from the Table Mountain Series . . . . .	62
1. cf. <i>Tontalia zollneri</i> Frenguelli . . . . .	62
2. Discussion and comparison . . . . .	
(a) <i>Tontalia zollneri</i> from Argentina . . . . .	63
(b) Northern hemisphere <i>Buthotrephis</i> . . . . .	64
C. <i>Spirophyton</i> or <i>Zoophycus</i> ?	
1. Brief history and summary of the controversy	
(a) 1842-1895 a vegetable origin assumed . . . . .	65
(b) 1895 Invertebrate borings . . . . .	66
(c) 1903 Inorganic origin due to eddying water . . . . .	66
(d) 1903 Vegetable origin re-iterated in South Africa . . . . .	66
(e) 1954-1962 Invertebrate, probably worm-feeding burrows . . . . .	66
(f) Plant origin still favoured in South Africa . . . . .	67
2. <i>Spirophyton</i> in South Africa	
(a) Stratigraphical occurrence and environment . . . . .	67
(b) Biological associations . . . . .	67
(c) Mineral matrix . . . . .	68
(d) Appearance in the field . . . . .	68
(e) Geographical distribution and historical record . . . . .	68
3. Description of the specimens illustrated	
(a) <i>Spirophyton</i> Type 'A' . . . . .	70
(b) <i>Spirophyton</i> Type 'B' . . . . .	70
(c) <i>Spirophyton</i> Type 'C' . . . . .	71
(d) <i>Spirophyton</i> Type 'D' . . . . .	71
4. Summary of the Discussion on Origin of <i>Spirophyton</i> . . . . .	71

## SECTION V SUMMARY OF THE FOSSIL FLORA OF THE CAPE SYSTEM

A. Size of the Flora . . . . .	74
B. Environment . . . . .	74
C. Suggestions about the environment of Psilopsida . . . . .	75
D. Composition of the Flora . . . . .	75
E. Age 1. Age based on fossil plants . . . . .	76
2. Table showing comparative ages . . . . .	77
3. Age based on fossil fish . . . . .	77
F. Evolutionary significance . . . . .	78
G. The Relationship between the fossil plants of the Cape System and other Devonian Floras . . . . .	78