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Abstract

The dynamic analysis of catenary vibration of mine hoist ropes on South African mines is examined. This research has been preceded by studies in the mining industry, which have laid the foundation for the definition of design guidelines of hoist systems to avoid catenary vibrations or rope whip. These guidelines are based on a classical linear analysis of a taut string, and in essence rely on ensuring that the frequency of excitation at the winder drum due to the coiling mechanism, does not coincide with the linear transverse natural frequency of the taut catenary. Such an approach neglects the nonlinear coupling between the lateral catenary motion and the longitudinal system response. Although previous research suggested the possibility of autoparametric coupling between the catenary and vertical ropes, this was not developed further on a theoretical level. The possibility of such behaviour is defined by considering the equations of motion of the coupled system.

A design methodology is developed for determining the parameters of a mine hoist system so as to avoid rope whip. The methodology accounts for the nonlinear coupling between the catenary and longitudinal system. In order to implement the proposed methodology, two phases of the analysis are developed. In the first phase the stability of the linear steady state motion is examined in the context of the nonlinear equations of motion, by applying a harmonic balance method. The stability analysis defines regions of secondary resonance, where it is shown that such regions may arise at sum and difference combinations of the linear lateral and longitudinal natural frequencies due to autoparametric excitation. Prior to this research, this phenomenon had not been appreciated in the context of the mine hoist system. A laboratory experiment was conducted to confirm the existence of these regions experimentally. In reality, the system is non-stationary since the dynamic characteristics of the system change during the winding cycle, and hence the steady state stability analysis can only describe potential regions of nonlinear interaction on a qualitative basis. The second phase of the analysis deals with a non-linear numerical simulation of the hoist system, which accounts for the non-stationary nature of the systems dynamic characteristics, and includes transient excitations induced during the wind.

The methodology developed is assessed by considering the Kloof mine rope system, where rope whip was observed. This study demonstrates that although an appreciation of the steady state system characteristics is useful, the stability analysis alone is not sufficient. It is necessary to account for the non-stationary aspects of the winding cycle if a realistic interpretation of the observed behaviour is to be achieved. To compliment this study, a motion analysis system was developed to record catenary response on an existing mine hoist installation. Such data has not been recorded before. This data provides direct evidence of the autoparametric nature of the coupled catenary/vertical rope system.
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A man breaking his journey between one place and another at a third place of no name, character, population or significance, sees a unicorn cross his path and disappear. That in itself is startling, but there are precedents for mystical encounters of various kinds, or to be less extreme, a choice of persuasions to put it down to fancy; until - "My God," says a second man, "I must be dreaming, I thought I saw a unicorn." At which point a dimension is added that makes the experience as alarming as it will ever be. A third witness, you understand, adds no further dimension but only spreads it thinner, and a fourth thinner still, and the more witnesses there are the thinner it gets and the more reasonable it becomes until it is as thin as reality, the name we give to the common experience.... "Look, look!" recites the crowd. "A horse with an arrow in its forehead! It must have been mistaken for a deer."

Tom Stoppard.
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Outline of the Thesis

The topic of catenary vibration or rope whip in hoisting systems on South African mines was examined in the early 70's by Dimitriou and Whillier[1973], and Mankowski, Whillier and Louw[1974]. This research resulted in the development of design guidelines for the avoidance of rope whip, based on classical taut string theory. The possibility of autoparametric response of the system was intuitively described by Dimitriou and Whillier[1973], but not formalised. Mankowski[1982] researched the forced nonlinear response of the system numerically, culminating in a Ph.D. thesis submitted to this University. His thesis examined, and alluded to, the difficulty associated with modelling nonlinear aspects of the Kloof mine hoist system, and consequently of nonlinear hyperbolic partial differential equations. Numerical results defined the forced response of the modelled system. Unfortunately, the simulation exhibited numerical instability, and a complete simulation of the winding cycle of Kloof Mine was not achieved. Nevertheless, Mankowski's contribution provided invaluable information concerning the behaviour of the mine hoist system, as well as the application of dynamic simulation techniques employing Bergeron's[1961] impedance method. However, this effort did not clarify or extend the existing design guidelines.

The current thesis intends to compliment previous research, by developing a methodology pertaining to the avoidance of catenary whip on mine hoist systems. In this regard, during the initial design phase, the nonlinear forced resonance of the system is not of primary concern. It is the definition of system parameters likely to reduce the potential for rope whip, and hence the avoidance of significant nonlinear interactions, which is of primary importance. Hence the nonlinear equations of motion of the catenary are linearised about the steady state first order solution of the system, and the stability of this motion to small disturbances is examined. The philosophy of this approach is to capture the fundamental nature of mechanisms relating to the growth of the catenary response, in such a manner that a routine approach may be employed during the design phase of a shaft head layout to avoid such regions. This approach may appear to discard the reservations apparent in recent literature regarding the study of nonlinear systems, specifically with respect to the discovery of chaotic behaviour and consequently the importance of retaining the integrity of the nonlinear nature of the system. This is particularly important in terms of the final validation of the system parameters selected, since transient excitation, as well as the non-stationary nature of the system may lead to unexpected behaviour. To address this, a numerical simulation retaining nonlinear terms as well as transient excitation was developed. The simulation is computationally intensive, and is intended to be used as the final validation of the design parameters.
In Chapter 1 of this thesis, a description and definition of the scope of the work and its context in the South African mining industry is presented. The components of the mine hoist system are examined and sources of excitation are identified. Relevant research pertaining to studies of the dynamics of the general system are reviewed. A detailed account of past research concerning catenary dynamics of mine hoist systems, and the current guideline employed for the design of a system in order to avoid catenary whip is presented.

In Chapter 2 a discussion relating to research conducted in the literature concerning the dynamics of strings and cables is presented. The purpose of this chapter is to establish a perspective on the types of nonlinear behaviour associated with taut strings and cables. These studies consider strings and cables fixed at each end. Such boundary conditions are relevant to most cable systems, where in practice the longitudinal wave speed is significantly larger than the lateral wave speed; this permits simplification of the equations of motion by neglecting the longitudinal inertia of the system, thereby introducing a quasi-static definition for the longitudinal response. This represents the point of departure between studies presented in the literature, and the mine hoist system, where due to the coupling between the catenary and vertical cable across the sheave, retention of the longitudinal system inertia is essential.

In Chapter 3 the derivation of the equations of motion of the coupled catenary and longitudinal system is presented. The equations are derived by applying Hamilton's principle. In the most general form, the equations of motion include rope curvature, axial transport velocity of the rope, and utilise a nonlinear strain definition relating the axial tension in the cable to motion in three orthogonal directions. These equations form the basis for further development.

In Chapter 4 the theoretical basis for the first phase of the proposed methodology is presented. The equations of motion developed in chapter 3 are simplified. A datum solution is then formulated. This solution is only valid in the absence of primary or secondary resonance conditions. The variational form of the solution is developed by substitution of this solution into the nonlinear equations of motion followed by linearisation, and normal mode orthogonalisation. This results in a set of coupled Hill type ordinary differential equations with periodic coefficients. The stability of the system is firstly examined by applying a harmonic balance method. The harmonic balance method was applied, since it is possible to prepare a general numerical code for assessing the stability of the system. Although the definition of regions of stability may be achieved in closed form, by applying a perturbation method such as multiple scales, it is likely that a number of regions may occur simultaneously, resulting in anomalous regions requiring special attention. In addition, perturbation methods require the concept of a small parameter, as well as a higher level
of skill from the designer. However, a multiple scales method is applied to substantiate the regions determined, as well as to define conditions of tuning which would lead to internal resonance. The results from a simple laboratory experiment are presented to further validate the numerical programme developed, as well as to illustrate the significance of the secondary resonance regions at an additive combination of the longitudinal and lateral natural frequency. Finally the stability analysis of the Kloof Mine rope system is presented and discussed.

Chapter 5 presents the numerical simulation developed as the second phase of the methodology. The simulation accounts for the non-stationary nature of the system, and transient excitations. These effects could not be accounted for in the steady state stability analysis. An important aspect of a numerical simulation concerns the damping mechanism applied. Experimental results relating to a definition of a damping mechanism applicable to mine hoist cables are scarce. The damping mechanism applied in the simulation is discussed and motivated. A numerical simulation of the Kloof Mine rope system is presented for both the ascending and descending wind. The results confirm the observations of Dimitriou and Whillier[1973], where severe rope whip occurred on the ascending cycle but not on the descending cycle.

Chapter 6 concludes the study, where a critical appraisal of the work is presented, and suggestions for further research are discussed.
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Nomenclature

\[ c \] Longitudinal wave speed.
\[ \bar{c} \] Lateral wave speed.
\[ E \] Youngs Modulus.
\[ J \] Mass moment of inertia of the sheave wheel.
\[ l_c \] Catenary length.
\[ l_v \] Vertical rope length.
\[ M \] Conveyance mass.
\[ m \] Linear rope mass.
\[ p_i \] \( i \)th longitudinal normal mode.
\[ q_i \] \( i \)th lateral in-plane normal mode.
\[ r_i \] \( i \)th lateral out-of-plane normal mode.
\[ s \] Arc length co-ordinate.
\[ u \] Longitudinal displacement in the catenary.
\[ v \] Lateral in-plane displacement.
\[ w \] Lateral out-of-plane displacement.
\[ \bar{u} \] Longitudinal displacement of the vertical rope.
\[ u_1 \] Longitudinal displacement at the sheave.
\[ u_2 \] Longitudinal skip displacement.
\[ \phi_i(s) \] \( i \)th longitudinal mode shape of the longitudinal system.
\[ \Phi_i(s) \] \( i \)th lateral mode shape of the catenary.
\[ \omega_i \] \( i \)th Longitudinal natural frequency.
\[ \overline{\omega}_i \] \( i \)th Lateral natural frequency.
Chapter 1

Introduction

The development of the mining industry in South Africa has stimulated both technical and social change. The primary function of the industry is the extraction of mineral rich ore from natural reserves. During the early stages of development, this necessitated ore extraction from depths measurable in hundreds of meters. Currently the local gold mining industry is internationally distinguished for developing mines to depths of thousands of meters. The need for such depth has dictated the development of high speed hoisting equipment to accommodate production requirements. Due to the capital cost and stringent safety criteria defined by legislation, an active area of research concerning the technical aspects of hoisting has emerged. Research has been pursued under the auspices of The Chamber of Mines Research Organisation (COMRO), which supports research in the Shaft programme. Backeberg[1990] states that this programme was established to solve problems associated with access to the reef, and incorporates two main areas of investigation within conventional hoisting, namely, winding ropes and shaft steelwork/conveyances.
The *Shafts* programme can be broadly divided into four main projects which are pertinent to this study.

- Winder Ropes - Factors of Safety
- Rope Degradation Mechanisms
- Shaft Steelwork Design
- Conveyances and Guide Rollers

Each of these projects are pertinent to current and future production, safety and maintenance costs associated with the hoisting process. The projects are interrelated and generally pursue one main theme: the extension of the safe operating envelope of single hoist winding systems. Naturally, benefits will be derived by systems operating at shallower depths. The rope factors project examines the extent to which the legal factor of safety can be lowered, thus easing the current depth constraint. Consequently, rope selection strategy, and the aspect of compensating the reduction in overall rope factor of safety by reducing dynamic loads through more stringent winder control, have been considered.

The *Rope Degradation Mechanism* programme examines wear and damage mechanisms related to the winding process and hence is not only concerned with the reduction in rope strength, but also with extending the operating life of the rope. With regard to this programme, Chaplin[1989] has identified several deterioration mechanisms, namely plastic and frictional wear due to high contact stresses generated during coiling, followed by axial slipback during the unwinding process. Fatigue loading, due to the combined effect of fluctuating tension and bending over the sheave or underlying coil layer on the winder drum surface, is also identified as a damage mechanism. An experimental programme has been suggested to study such mechanisms and the feasibility of commissioning an experimental facility is currently being considered by the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR).

The production constraint of deep level mining will dictate higher winding speeds. Current winding speeds of 18 m/s will be extended to 25-30 m/s. Harvey[1973] attributed the cautious approach to winding speed to "present limitations in shaft steelwork and conveyance design" - which "lead to problems in conveyance oscillations". The *Shaft Steelwork Design* programme essentially addressed this problem. The Structural Dynamics Research Corporation (SDRC) was commissioned by COMRO[1990] to investigate the mechanism of slamming behaviour of the conveyance between the guides. Slamming occurs
when the conveyance is excited between the guides to the extent that the guide rollers become inoperative, resulting in steel to steel contact between the skip and guide. Through numerical simulation, SDRC identified significant parameters leading to slamming and hence derived design guidelines. The results of the study demonstrated that slamming is influenced by guide alignment, conveyance speed, guide length and the ratio of mid span guide stiffness to support stiffness. Although this work was carried out independently by SDRC, Tondl (see Schmidt and Tondl[1986]) investigated a similar problem for the German mining industry. Tondl showed that by incorporating rotational and translational degrees of freedom, as well as the effect of periodic guide stiffness, the coupled conveyance/guide system linearised to one with periodic coefficients. He thus concluded that the system was parametrically excited and derived approximate solutions to the stability intervals. These intervals are related to the same parameters subsequently identified by SDRC. The aspect of guide alignment, which was identified as a contributory factor to slamming, has received attention, and two devices capable of measuring alignment have been designed and developed. Since it will not be possible to guarantee perfect guide alignment, the Conveyances and Guide Rollers Project was initiated. This project compliments the former in that it focuses on the design of conveyances and lateral conveyance suspension systems, to accommodate and minimise dynamic loads induced by the alignment of the shaft steelwork.

It is evident that the mining industry is involved in significant research. Hoisting technology is a complex field, continually under assessment and revision. The industry employs current technology, as well as investing in international research and development. The study presented in the current thesis covers a small aspect of the global effort. It was stimulated through assessing past research carried out at the University, the aim being to refine and re-initiate research into phenomena associated with catenary dynamics, in preparation for current and future application.
1.1 The Mine Hoist System

The mine hoist system consists of a headgear, vertical shaft and winding house. The headgear is necessary to elevate the conveyance above ground level to effect the dumping of the conveyance load. Thus the design necessitates the existence of an inclined catenary passing over a sheave in the headgear to the conveyance in the vertical shaft. During the design stage, parameters such as rope diameter, sheave diameter, winder drum diameter and power are determined. It is at this critical stage that the dynamic behaviour of the overall system is addressed. Every attempt should be made to ensure that the design speed of the system and the production rate of the shaft is attainable in practice, as little can be done subsequently to correct a system for adverse dynamic behaviour.

In essence three different configurations of the hoist system exist, and are illustrated in figure 1.1.

a) A single drum hoisting system.

b) A Koepe winding system.

c) A Blair multi rope hoisting system.

The single drum winder configuration is the simplest design. It consists of a single drum, single cable and conveyance. This system is generally limited to shallower winds, or lower payloads.

The Koepe system is based on a hollard type friction principle. Each conveyance is attached between a head and tail rope. The system is counter balanced, where a differential torque is supplied by the winder to accommodate the difference in mass between the full ascending conveyance and the empty descending conveyance. No storage facility for the rope on the winder drum is required. Generally the Koepe winding system is used for shallower winds (\(<\ 1000\)m). The Koepe winding configuration is not addressed in this study. However tail whip is a consideration on these systems, and is viewed as a potential area for future research.

The Blair multi-rope winder is an extension of the single drum winder configuration, in that two ropes support the conveyance, driven by two single drum winders. The rope between the two winders is continuous, the winders are mechanically coupled, and a compensating sheave on the conveyance facilitates
equal load sharing between the two ropes. If it is assumed that the compensating sheave perfectly isolates the cables from one another, then this system could be approximated as two single drum winders.

This study addresses single drum and Blair multi-rope double drum winders. Although these basic systems differ in physical appearance, for the purpose of dynamic studies they may be reduced to, and treated as a single drum winding system. Thus the particular layout of the mine hoist system studied is in fact that of a single drum winder, where design parameters determined for this system would be readily applicable to either configuration.

![Diagram of hoisting system configurations]

**Figure 1.1: Hoisting system configurations**

a) Single Drum Winder. b) Koepe Winder. c) Blair Multi-rope Winder.
1.1.1 Excitation Sources

Winder technology developed with the mining industry’s need to mine to greater depths. As shaft depth increased, the cost of wire ropes increased due to a higher strength requirement. In order to maximise the life of the rope, mechanisms causing rope damage were minimised. During winding, rope damage may occur at the winder drum due to miscoiling, gaps or pull-through, which may result in crushing or even failure of the rope due to the high contact pressures developed. In order to minimise this damage, coiling mechanisms were designed to ensure a uniform controlled coiling pattern on the winder surface and on subsequent layers.

In the late 1950’s, an invention by Frank L Lebus (Dimitriou and Whillier [1973]) resolved these problems, and resulted in a system where controlled coiling at high speed could be achieved. This system achieved a neat multi layer coiling pattern enabling the storage of cable on the drum without the damaging effects of miscoiling. This consisted of grooving the drum as illustrated in figure 1.2. The cross-over points on the Lebus drum are diametrically opposed, and as the cable passes through a cross-over, it is shifted one half of its diameter on one side of the drum, and one half on the other. When the cable reaches a drum flange, a filler guides the layer change, and spooling continues in the opposite direction. A cross section of the typical coiling pattern is illustrated in figure 1.3.

Previous research by Dimitriou and Whillier [1973]¹ identified various excitation sources, the major excitation being that due to the coiling mechanism employed on the winder drum surface in order to achieve a uniform coiling pattern. In their analysis, it was shown that the longitudinal and lateral excitation due to the Lebus device forms the primary source of excitation to the system. The excitation is defined with reference to the co-ordinate system illustrated in figure 1.4. The x axis is parallel to the drum axis, whilst the y,z directions represent the normal and tangential co-ordinate directions of the drum surface.

Consider a rope being coiled onto the drum and taking up successive positions as illustrated in figures 1.3, 1.4.

- A pulse in the x direction occurs at each coil cross-over until a layer change, and then the direction of the pulse reverses.

¹Other sources of excitation, neglected in this study, may arise due to ovality of the winder drum or head sheave, and shaft steelwork misalignment.