Chapter VI: Monazite U-Pb dating and $^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar thermochronology of metamorphic events in the Central African Copperbelt during the Pan-African Lufilian Orogeny
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Abstract: New SHRIMP U-Pb age data on metamorphic monazite, as well as step-heating $^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar ages on metamorphic biotite, muscovite and microcline, from Katangan meta-sedimentary rocks of the Central African Copperbelt are presented. These rocks were deformed and metamorphosed during the Pan-African Lufilian Orogeny. Three samples of metamorphic monazite from the Chambishi structural basin give ages of 592 ± 22 Ma, 531 ± 12 Ma and 512 ± 17 Ma, which correspond respectively to the ages of eclogite facies metamorphism, high pressure talc-kyanite whiteschist metamorphism, and of a regional metamorphism/mineralisation pulse elsewhere within the Lufilian orogen. A biotite population from Luanshya gives a $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar plateau age of 586.1 ± 1.7 Ma coinciding with the oldest monazite age. Several samples from the Chambishi basin and the Konkola area give $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar biotite ages in the range of 492 to 450 Ma, and are a manifestation of regional uplift and cooling that affected the whole Katangan basin. The youngest apparent $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ages obtained are from

---
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microcline at Musoshi and range from 467.0 ± 2.7 Ma to 405.8 ± 3.8 Ma, reflecting slow cooling.

1. Introduction

This paper is part of a wider geochronological study of the Central African Copperbelt and its basement. After constraining the nature and evolution of the basement of the Copperbelt (Rainaud et al. 2003, 2004), the provenance of key units within the Katangan Sequence and their ages (Master et al. 2004), in this paper we provide new data dealing with the several metamorphic episodes which occurred in the Central African Copperbelt during the Lufilian Orogeny, and discuss their implications for the evolution of the Katangan basin.

2. Regional setting

The Katanga Supergroup is the host of the major stratiform sediment-hosted Cu-Co deposits, as well as numerous other deposits of Cu, Pb, Zn, U, Au, Fe etc., which constitute the Central African Copperbelt in Zambia and the Democratic Republic of Congo. This succession is a Neoproterozoic metasedimentary sequence which consists of the Roan Group, the Nguba Group, the Fungurume and the Biano Groups (Wendorff, 2001a,b; 2002a,b; 2003a,b; Cailteux, 2003). The lowermost Roan Group was deposited after c. 880 Ma (Armstrong et al., 2004), and is subdivided into the mainly siliciclastic Lower Roan Subgroup, and the mainly dolomitic and evaporitic Upper Roan Subgroup (Master et al., 2004). The base of the Nguba Group, the Mwashya Subgroup, was deposited at around 765 Ma (Key et al., 2001) while porphyritic lavas attributed to the upper part of the Nguba Group were dated at 735 Ma (Armstrong, 2000; Liyungu et al., 2001; Key et al., 2001). The Mwashya Subgroup is overlain by the Grand Conglomerat Member, which is a glacial tillite.
(Master et al., 2004). Finally, the Fungurume and Biano Groups were deposited syntectonically in a foreland basin during the Lufilian orogeny, after c. 572 Ma (Wendorff, 2003a; Master et al., 2004).

The Katangan Supergroup was deformed and metamorphosed during the Pan-African Zambezi and Lufilian orogenies (Porada and Berhorst, 2000), at between 600 and 480 Ma. A large number of imprecise U-Pb, Rb-Sr and K-Ar age data from the Lufilian arc and Zambezi belt, spanning the time period 500 ± 100 Ma are summarised by Cahen et al. (1984). More recently, the Kipushi deposit was dated at 454 Ma (Walraven and Chabu, 1994) but was also recalculated at 750 Ma using a three-stage model (Kampunzu et al., 1998). In the Musoshi district, U-Pb analyses on uraninites yielded ages at 514 Ma (Richards, 1988). Molybdenite from Kansanshi (Zambia) yielded ages of 511, 512 and 503 Ma with the Re-Os technique (Torrealday et al., 2000). Eclogites from Central Zambia yielded a Sm-Nd isochron at 595 ± 10 Ma (John et al., 2003) while a phase of high-pressure whiteschist metamorphism yielded a U-Pb monazite age at 529 ± 2 Ma (John et al., 2004).

3. Analytical methods

\(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) analyses were performed at the Research School of Earth Sciences (RSES), Australian National University, Canberra. Muscovites were separated at the Hugh Allsopp Laboratory, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa. Samples were reduced in a jaw crusher and through a pulverizer into a coarse powder which was then sieved. Extracts were purified at the Australian National University, using conventional magnetic separation and heavy liquid techniques. The resulting separates were of ~99% or higher purity. The \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) dating technique was described in detail by McDougall and Harrison (1999). Crystals were placed into an aluminium irradiation canister together with interspersed aliquots of the flux monitor GA 1550 (age = 98.5 Ma;
Packets containing degassed potassium glass were placed at either end of the canister to monitor the $^{40}$Ar production from potassium (e.g. Tetley, 1980). The irradiation canister was irradiated for 504 hours in position X34 of the ANSTO, HIFAR reactor, Lucas Heights, New South Wales, Australia. The canister, which was lined with 0.2 mm Cd to absorb thermal neutrons, was inverted three times during the irradiation, which reduced neutron flux gradients to $<2\%$ along the length of the canister. Mass discrimination was monitored by analyses of standard air volumes. Correction factors for interfering reactions are as follows: $(^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar)$_{Ca} = 3.50(\pm 0.14)x10^{-4}$; $(^{39}$Ar/$^{37}$Ar)$_{Ca} = 7.86(\pm 0.01)x10^{-4}$ (McDougall and Harrison, 1999); $(^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar)$_{K} = 0.050(\pm 0.005)$. K/Ca ratios were determined from the ANU laboratory hornblende standard 77-600 and were calculated as follows: K/Ca = 1.9 $x^{39}$Ar/$^{37}$Ar. The reported data have been corrected for system blanks, mass discrimination and radioactive decay. The calculated ages have been additionally corrected for reactor interferences, fluence gradients and atmospheric contamination. Errors associated with the age determinations are one sigma uncertainties and include errors in the J-value estimates. The error on the J-value is $\pm 0.35\%$, excluding the uncertainty in the age of GA1550 (which is $\sim 1\%$). Decay constants are those of Steiger and Jäger (1977).

Plateau portions of the age spectra are defined as comprising at least three contiguous increments, with concordant ages (i.e. ages that are within two sigma of the mean age). In addition, this segment should contain a significant proportion of the total $^{39}$Ar released (MacDougall, 1999).

U-Pb analyses were performed on the SHRIMP II ion microprobe at The Australian National University, Canberra. The separation of monazites was carried out at the Hugh Allsopp Laboratory, Johannesburg, using Wilfley Table, heavy liquids and isodynamic magnetic separation. The SHRIMP analytical procedure used in this study is similar to that described by Claué-Long et al. (1995). Age calculations and plotting were done using Isoplot/Ex (Ludwig, 2000).
4. Sampling

Eleven samples were utilised for the purpose of this study (Figure 1): seven were located in the Chambishi basin in Zambia (Figure 2), one in the Konkola area (also in Zambia), one in the Muliashi South deposit (Zambia, near Luanshya), one in the Nchanga mine (Zambia) and finally, one in the Musoshi Mine in the Democratic Republic of Congo (Figure 1). Two of these samples were dated by two methods. In one sample (sample RCB2/112), monazites were extracted and dated with the SHRIMP U-Pb technique while a population of biotite crystals was analysed with the \(^{40}\text{Ar} - ^{39}\text{Ar}\) technique. With the sample KN1A (from the Konkola area) muscovite and biotite crystal populations were dated with the \(^{40}\text{Ar} - ^{39}\text{Ar}\) dating technique. Monazites from three samples collected in the Chambishi basin were analysed using the SHRIMP U-Pb technique. Biotite from six samples and potassium feldspars from one sample were analysed using the \(^{40}\text{Ar} - ^{39}\text{Ar}\) technique. All samples are derived from the Lower Roan Subgroup up to the Grand Conglomerat Formation (Figure 3).
Figure 1: Simplified geological map of the Copperbelt and location of samples, after François, 1974.
Figure 2: Simplified geological map of the Chambishi basin and location of drill holes, after JICA/MMAJ, 1996.
5. Results

Samples analysed and dated in this study yielded several distinct age ranges. Three samples give an age range between 631.8 ± 1.8 Ma and 586.1 ± 1.7 Ma, 6 samples give an age range between 496.6 ± 0.6 Ma and 467.0 ± 2.7 Ma while individual samples give ages of 531 ± 12 Ma and 512 ± 17 Ma.

a. Muliashi South deposit (Luanshya), sample BH89/3, biotite

Borehole BH89 is localised on the southern flank of the Roan Antelope synclinorium and more precisely on the Muliashi South deposit (Figure 1) where reserves are estimated at 22 Mt grading at 2.32% Cu (Mbendi, 2002). This bore hole is 975.36 m deep and reaches the pre-Katangan basement. Sample BH89/3 is located 743 m below the surface and at 35 m above the contact between the sedimentary sequence and the pre-Katangan basement. Stratigraphically, the sample is situated within the Ore Shale Formation at the base of the Upper Roan Subgroup. It is a biotite–tremolite–quartz schist with a porphyroblastic texture which also contains bornite and chalcopyrite. Retrograde metamorphism is reflected by biotite being replaced by chlorite. Step-heating $^{39}$Ar-$^{40}$Ar was undertaken on a 0.47 mg population of biotite. Data are reported in a diagram of age versus %$^{39}$Ar released (Fig. 4 and Table 1). The first apparent age, connected to a degassing temperature of 600ºC, is 469.5 ± 6.7 Ma and corresponds to 0.934% of the $^{39}$Ar released. The diagram presents two peaks at the temperatures 680ºC and 850ºC with apparent ages at 602.5 ± 2.8 Ma and 602.2 ± 2.3 Ma respectively. Between these older apparent ages and for 55.52% of the $^{39}$Ar released (equivalent to 5 consecutive increments), the apparent ages vary between 583.3 ± 1.8 Ma and 588.7 ± 1.5 Ma and yield a plateau age at 586.1 ± 1.7 Ma with a MSWD at 1.4. The steps following the second peak, at 950ºC and 1050ºC show similar ages at 596.4 ± 1.8 Ma and 595.9 ± 1.9 Ma. Finally, the step at 1150ºC yielded an apparent age of 575.5 ± 19.1 Ma.
Figure 4: Age vs. $^{39}$Ar released diagram, sample BH89/3

Table 1: $^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar step-heating analytical results, sample BH89/3 biotite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (C)</th>
<th>Cum $^{39}$Ar %</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{39}$Ar/$^{37}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{38}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>Vol. $^{39}$Ar x10$^{-15}$mol</th>
<th>%Rad. $^{40}$Ar</th>
<th>Ca/K</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar Age (Ma)</th>
<th>± 1σ.d. (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>39.22</td>
<td>0.0163</td>
<td>0.0342</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>0.0311</td>
<td>29.07</td>
<td>469.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>680</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>42.45</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0122</td>
<td>1.868</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>38.78</td>
<td>602.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td>11.47</td>
<td>38.68</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>7.451</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>37.58</td>
<td>586.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740</td>
<td>23.88</td>
<td>38.07</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>11.02</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>37.74</td>
<td>588.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760</td>
<td>37.77</td>
<td>37.83</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>12.33</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>37.34</td>
<td>583.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780</td>
<td>50.26</td>
<td>37.73</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>11.08</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>37.47</td>
<td>585.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>58.59</td>
<td>38.04</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>7.397</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>37.54</td>
<td>586.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>65.23</td>
<td>39.11</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>5.886</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>38.76</td>
<td>602.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>85.30</td>
<td>38.56</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>17.81</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>38.32</td>
<td>596.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050</td>
<td>99.61</td>
<td>38.70</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>12.70</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>38.28</td>
<td>595.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1150</td>
<td>99.96</td>
<td>52.03</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>0.0515</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>0.0645</td>
<td>36.75</td>
<td>575.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>230.12</td>
<td>0.2652</td>
<td>0.8582</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>-10.2</td>
<td>0.5040</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>37.17</td>
<td>0.0252</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>88.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>37.80</td>
<td>589.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I) Errors are one sigma uncertainties and exclude uncertainties in the J-value.

ii) Data are corrected for mass spectrometer backgrounds, discrimination and radioactive decay.

iii) Interference corrections: $(^{39}Ar/^{37}Ar)_Ca = 3.49E-4; (^{38}Ar/^{37}Ar)_Ca = 7.86E-4; (^{40}Ar/^{39}Ar)_K = 0.042$

iv) J-values are based on an age of 97.9 Ma for GA-1550 biotite.
b. Chambishi basin, sample RCB2/72, monazite

Borehole RCB2 is 1840.68 m long and is located at the western limit of the Chambishi Southeast prospect (Figure 2). It reaches the basal conglomerate of the Katangan sedimentary sequence. This sample was collected at a depth of 497 m and is stratigraphically situated in the Mwashya Subgroup. Sample RCB2/72 is from an iron formation interbedded with an altered tuff (biotite retrograded to chlorite, quartz and carbonate). Monazites are anhedral and green, intergrown with biotite or chlorite and clearly of metamorphic origin. Metamorphic monazites were analysed using the U-Pb SHRIMP technique. Data are reported in a Tera-Wasserburg diagram, Figure 5 and in Table 2. Analyses plot on a discordia intercepting the concordia curve. The weighted mean $^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{238}\text{U}$ age is 592 ± 22 Ma, which is interpreted as the age of formation of these monazites.
Table 2: SHRIMP Th-U-Pb results from monazites, sample RCB2/72

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain spot (ppm)</th>
<th>U (ppm)</th>
<th>Th (ppm)</th>
<th>Th/U</th>
<th>Pb* (ppm)</th>
<th>f206</th>
<th>208Pb/206Pb</th>
<th>208Pb/206Pb</th>
<th>206Pb/238U</th>
<th>208Pb/238U</th>
<th>207Pb/206Pb</th>
<th>207Pb/206Pb</th>
<th>Apparent Ages (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0299</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>0.0934</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0304</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>0.0993</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0388</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>0.1084</td>
<td>0.0103</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0317</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>0.0933</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0337</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.0976</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0320</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0261</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>0.0810</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0278</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>0.0870</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0285</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.0879</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0288</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>0.0968</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0335</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>0.0994</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0319</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>0.0998</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0336</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.0974</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0292</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>0.0959</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0322</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>0.0990</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Uncertainties given at the one σ level;
2. f206 % denotes the percentage of 206Pb that is common Pb.

The table above presents SHRIMP Th-U-Pb results from monazites, sample RCB2/72. The data include measured ratios and apparent ages in millions of years (Ma) for different samples taken from the Chambishi basin. The table highlights the variability in the isotopic compositions, which can be used to infer the geological history of the area.

c. Chambishi basin, sample NN75/26, biotite

Borehole NN75 is located in the northeast area of the Chambishi basin, designated as the Southeast prospect (Figure 2). It is 1033.78 m long, and penetrates the Katanga Supergroup to reach a granite that forms, together with the Lufubu schists, the local pre-Katangan basement (Rainaud et al., 2004). The sample NN75/26 was collected at a depth of 148 m, in a magnetite-rich iron-formation located 2 metres below a tuffaceous layer in the Mwashya Subgroup. Between the grains of iron oxides, intergrowths of chlorite-biotite, calcite and quartz are developed. A population of biotite crystals, weighing 1.00 mg was analysed by the 39Ar-40Ar technique. Data are reported in a diagram of age versus %39Ar released (Fig. 6; Table 3). This diagram presents a hump-shaped 40Ar-39Ar age profile Apparent ages vary between 53.7 ± 1.0 Ma and 631.8 ± 1.8 Ma for temperatures between 650°C and 970°C. For temperatures between 1000°C and 1100°C, apparent ages vary between 614.7 ± 1.8 Ma and 554.4 ± 3.1 Ma. No plateau age can be extracted and apparent ages are greater than the ones previously yielded by other samples.
Figure 6: Age vs. $^{39}$Ar released diagram, sample NN75/26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (C)</th>
<th>Cum $^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{39}$Ar/$^{37}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{36}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>Vol. $^{39}$Ar x10$^{-15}$mol</th>
<th>%Rad. $^{40}$Ar</th>
<th>Ca/K</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar Age (Ma)</th>
<th>± 1σ.d. (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>0.1223</td>
<td>0.0188</td>
<td>42.73</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>0.2320</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.0145</td>
<td>0.0121</td>
<td>7.039</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>0.4690</td>
<td>24.04</td>
<td>395.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td>31.54</td>
<td>503.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780</td>
<td>0.0103</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>0.0290</td>
<td>31.58</td>
<td>504.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>820</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>16.84</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
<td>31.84</td>
<td>507.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>20.64</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>567.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>870</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>0.0518</td>
<td>37.25</td>
<td>581.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>910</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>21.50</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>0.0119</td>
<td>38.80</td>
<td>602.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>940</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>23.82</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
<td>39.70</td>
<td>613.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>970</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>36.66</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>0.0116</td>
<td>40.88</td>
<td>629.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>53.17</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>39.77</td>
<td>614.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>52.43</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>36.65</td>
<td>573.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>50.78</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>41.08</td>
<td>631.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1150</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>53.17</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>39.77</td>
<td>614.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>53.17</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>39.77</td>
<td>614.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td>376.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>535.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

i) Errors are one sigma uncertainties and exclude uncertainties in the J-value.
ii) Data are corrected for mass spectrometer backgrounds, discrimination and radioactive decay.
iii) Interference corrections: ($^{39}$Ar/$^{37}$Ar)$_{Ca}$ = 3.49E-4; ($^{39}$Ar/$^{37}$Ar)$_{Ca}$ = 7.86E-4; ($^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar)$_{K}$ = 0.042
iv) J-values are based on an age of 97.9 Ma for GA-1550 biotite.

Table 3: Data $^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar, sample NN75/26 biotite
d. Chambishi basin, sample RCB1/36, monazite

Borehole RCB1 is located 5 km west of RCB2 (Figure 2) and is 1686.2 m deep. RCB1/36 was sampled at 1284 m and is stratigraphically located in the Upper Roan Subgroup. This sample is metapelite including quartz, biotite and K-feldspar. As seen previously in sample RCB272, monazites are green, anhedral and clearly metamorphic. They were extracted and analysed with the SHRIMP U-Pb technique. Results are reported in Table 4 and presented in a Tera-Wasserburg concordia diagram in Figure 7. Plots are clustered near the concordia and the weighted mean yields a $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U age of 531 ± 12 Ma. This age is interpreted as the age of formation of the monazites.

Figure 7: Tera-Wasserburg diagram, sample RCB1/36
Table 4: SHRIMP Th-U-Pb results from monazites, sample RCB1/36

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain.</th>
<th>U spot (ppm)</th>
<th>Th (ppm)</th>
<th>Th/U</th>
<th>Pb* (ppm)</th>
<th>f206%</th>
<th>Measured Ratios</th>
<th>Apparent Ages (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>206Pb/238U ±</td>
<td>208Pb/236U ±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>651.24</td>
<td>4911.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.028647 0.00172</td>
<td>0.08659 0.0048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td>1151</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.0275 0.0018</td>
<td>0.0916 0.0050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>2939</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.0264 0.0024</td>
<td>0.0869 0.0068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>5573</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.0263 0.0011</td>
<td>0.0891 0.0035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>4382</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.0260 0.0012</td>
<td>0.0875 0.0037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>4157</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.0263 0.0012</td>
<td>0.0874 0.0036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>2991</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.0246 0.0013</td>
<td>0.0811 0.0038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>4546</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.0256 0.0015</td>
<td>0.0848 0.0044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>4481</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.0253 0.0013</td>
<td>0.0842 0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>4393</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.0257 0.0011</td>
<td>0.0866 0.0034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>4629</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.0262 0.0012</td>
<td>0.0871 0.0035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>4129</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.0250 0.0017</td>
<td>0.0815 0.0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>2549</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.0248 0.0017</td>
<td>0.0832 0.0048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>3777</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.0246 0.0015</td>
<td>0.0803 0.0043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>5291</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.0264 0.0016</td>
<td>0.0892 0.0045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: (1) Uncertainties given at the one σ level; (2) f206% denotes the percentage of 206Pb that is common Pb.

Table 5: SHRIMP Th-U-Pb results from monazites, sample RCB2/112

E. Chambishi basin, sample RCB2/112, monazite

Sample RCB2/112 was taken at a depth of 528 m, in the borehole RCB2. This sample is a marly dolomitic argillite from the Mwashya Subgroup. Monazites were analysed with the U-Pb SHRIMP dating technique. Data are reported in a Tera-Wasserburg concordia diagram (Fig. 8; Table 5). Analyses plot in a cluster and yield and weighted mean 206Pb/238U at 512 ± 17 Ma. This age is interpreted as the age of formation of these monazites.
### Table 5: SHRIMP Th-U-Pb results from monazites, sample RCB2/112

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain spot (ppm)</th>
<th>U (ppm)</th>
<th>Th (ppm)</th>
<th>Th/U</th>
<th>Pb* (ppm)</th>
<th>f(_{206})</th>
<th>Measured Ratios</th>
<th>Apparent Ages (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>206Pb/238U ±</td>
<td>206Pb/235U ±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>24945</td>
<td>127.9</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.0238 0.0019</td>
<td>0.0829 0.0057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>12888</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.0262 0.0020</td>
<td>0.0877 0.0057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>19244</td>
<td>117.1</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.0246 0.0021</td>
<td>0.0841 0.0061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>20307</td>
<td>119.9</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.0233 0.0023</td>
<td>0.0781 0.0065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>25790</td>
<td>126.4</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.0231 0.0016</td>
<td>0.0763 0.0046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>18817</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.0266 0.0024</td>
<td>0.0898 0.0068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>15684</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.0254 0.0020</td>
<td>0.0836 0.0058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>22893</td>
<td>129.4</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.0244 0.0020</td>
<td>0.0824 0.0058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>21718</td>
<td>127.7</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.0255 0.0019</td>
<td>0.0860 0.0055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>10044</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.0246 0.0019</td>
<td>0.0802 0.0055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>23817</td>
<td>127.5</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.0241 0.0017</td>
<td>0.0799 0.0049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>24236</td>
<td>122.2</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.0249 0.0016</td>
<td>0.0853 0.0046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>27096</td>
<td>122.3</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.0229 0.0027</td>
<td>0.0773 0.0080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>24376</td>
<td>122.0</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.0257 0.0019</td>
<td>0.0871 0.0057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>14724</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.0244 0.0016</td>
<td>0.0820 0.0047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

1. Uncertainties given at the one σ level;
2. f\(_{206}\) % denotes the percentage of 206Pb that is common Pb.

f. Chambishi basin, sample RCB2/112, biotite

After obtaining the U-Pb SHRIMP age data on monazites (see above), a population of biotite grains weighing 0.83 mg was separated. The biotite grains were dated with the 40Ar-39Ar technique and the results are reported in Table 6 and the age data plotted in Figure 9. The first step at 650ºC yields a very young apparent age at 307.2 ± 2.6 Ma. The next two steps at 700ºC and 740ºC produce older ages of 458.3 ± 1.8 Ma and 486.1 ± 1.5 Ma. The next seven steps, with temperatures between 760ºC and 940ºC, yield apparent ages between 488.5 ± 1.5 Ma and 494.7 ±1.8 Ma. The extracted plateau age of this section is of 491.5 ± 1.6 Ma, with a MSWD = 1.7, and corresponds to 66.4% of the 39Ar released. The last section of the spectrum yields two older apparent ages (at 496.0 ± 1.2 Ma and 497.7 ± 1.4 Ma) and finally a much younger apparent age at 456.3 ± 8.1 Ma.
Table 6: Data $^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar, sample RCB2/112 biotite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (C)</th>
<th>CumVol $^{39}$Ar $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar $^{36}$Ar/$^{37}$Ar $^{39}$Ar/$^{37}$Ar $^{36}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>% Rad. $^{40}$Ar</th>
<th>Ca/K</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar Age ± 1σ(Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.0262</td>
<td>0.0280</td>
<td>2.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>0.1619</td>
<td>0.0170</td>
<td>6.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740</td>
<td>16.21</td>
<td>0.0158</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>15.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760</td>
<td>28.05</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>1.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780</td>
<td>41.08</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>20.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>53.44</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>19.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>820</td>
<td>63.61</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>15.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840</td>
<td>69.84</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>9.641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>870</td>
<td>73.51</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>5.690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>82.64</td>
<td>0.0121</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>14.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1020</td>
<td>95.33</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>19.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1060</td>
<td>99.65</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>6.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.1210</td>
<td>0.0582</td>
<td>0.549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>31.36</td>
<td>0.0155</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>154.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

i) Errors are one sigma uncertainties and exclude uncertainties in the J-value.

ii) Data are corrected for mass spectrometer backgrounds, discrimination and radioactive decay.

iii) Interference corrections: ($^{36}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar)$_{Ca}$ = 3.49E-4; ($^{39}$Ar/$^{37}$Ar)$_{Ca}$ = 7.86E-4; ($^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar)$_{K}$ = 0.042

iv) J-values are based on an age of 97.9 Ma for GA-1550 biotite.
g. Chambishi basin, sample RCB2/4, biotite

This sample is from the Lower Roan Subgroup and was collected at a depth of 1468 m from borehole RCB2. It is from a 0.5 m thick biotite-bearing trough-crossbedded sandstone interbedded with conglomerates of the basal Roan Group. A population of biotite grains from this sample was analysed and the results are reported in Table 7, and plotted in Figure 10. The two first steps, at 650 °C and 700°C, present apparent ages at 468.3 ± 3.6 Ma and 484.9 ± 1.6 Ma respectively. The following seven steps, for temperatures between 720°C and 800 °C, yield apparent ages ranging from 488.8 ± 1.7 Ma to 493.4 ± 1.7 Ma. These seven apparent ages yield a plateau age at 490.9 ± 0.6 Ma with a MSWD = 1.2 and with 75% of the $^{39}$Ar released. The following step at 850°C presents a peak in the apparent ages at 495.2 ± 1.8 Ma. The last three steps at 900, 1000 and 1200°C yield apparent ages at, respectively, 482.6 ± 2.9 Ma, 488.7 ± 2.0 Ma and 481.7 ± 5.3 Ma.

Figure 10: Age vs. $^{39}$Ar released diagram, sample RCB2/4
### Table 7: Data $^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar, sample RCB2/4 biotite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (C)</th>
<th>Cum $^{39}$Ar %</th>
<th>Vol. $^{39}$Ar x10$^{-14}$mol</th>
<th>K/Ca</th>
<th>% Ar$^{39}$ rel</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>± 1σ.d. (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>2.985</td>
<td>1.413</td>
<td>2.85E+03</td>
<td>2.958</td>
<td>28.917</td>
<td>464.231</td>
<td>3.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>14.914</td>
<td>5.712</td>
<td>1.15E+04</td>
<td>11.956</td>
<td>30.090</td>
<td>480.755</td>
<td>1.622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td>30.641</td>
<td>7.514</td>
<td>1.52E+04</td>
<td>15.727</td>
<td>30.398</td>
<td>485.075</td>
<td>1.664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>730</td>
<td>44.426</td>
<td>6.586</td>
<td>1.33E+04</td>
<td>13.785</td>
<td>30.533</td>
<td>486.952</td>
<td>1.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740</td>
<td>56.775</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.08E+02</td>
<td>12.348</td>
<td>30.367</td>
<td>484.635</td>
<td>1.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>67.794</td>
<td>5.265</td>
<td>1.06E+01</td>
<td>11.019</td>
<td>30.403</td>
<td>485.141</td>
<td>1.384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760</td>
<td>76.635</td>
<td>4.224</td>
<td>8492</td>
<td>8.842</td>
<td>30.630</td>
<td>488.315</td>
<td>1.452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>775</td>
<td>84.109</td>
<td>3.571</td>
<td>2.88E+04</td>
<td>7.474</td>
<td>30.593</td>
<td>487.799</td>
<td>1.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>89.947</td>
<td>2.789</td>
<td>6.19E+02</td>
<td>5.837</td>
<td>30.688</td>
<td>489.120</td>
<td>1.663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>93.253</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.23E+00</td>
<td>3.307</td>
<td>30.815</td>
<td>490.891</td>
<td>1.767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>95.354</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td>3.13E+02</td>
<td>2.101</td>
<td>29.928</td>
<td>478.480</td>
<td>2.927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>98.952</td>
<td>1.719</td>
<td>824.8</td>
<td>3.598</td>
<td>30.360</td>
<td>484.540</td>
<td>2.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>99.993</td>
<td>4.975</td>
<td>23.98</td>
<td>1.041</td>
<td>29.863</td>
<td>477.569</td>
<td>5.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.242</td>
<td>0.06803</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>861.512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age determination based on
Lambda K40 = 5.5430E-10
J = 1.0148E-2

h. Chambishi basin, sample MJZC9/25, biotite

Borehole MJZC9 is located in the Chambishi basin, less than 1 km southwest of the borehole NN75, Figures 1 and 2. This borehole is 1140 m deep and the sample MJZC9/25 came from a depth of 152 m. The sample is a laminated grey shale located in the Grand Conglomerat Formation, and comprises mainly quartz, sericite and biotite. A population of biotite crystals weighing 0.3 mg was separated and analysed with the $^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar technique. Results of analyses are reported in Table 8 and in an age spectrum (Fig. 11). The first two steps at 650°C and 740°C yield apparent age at 393.1 ± 6.0 Ma and 481.0 ± 1.3 Ma. The following five steps, between 760°C and 880°C, yield apparent ages ranging between 487.3 ± 4.1 and 483.0 ± 1.9 Ma and a plateau age at 485.2 Ma ± 0.9 Ma (with a MSWD at 0.7) which corresponds to 62.4 % of the $^{39}$Ar released. The last part of the spectrum, from 950°C to 1100°C, presents a convex shape with a peak of apparent age at 490.8 ± 1.8 Ma (at 950°C).
Figure 11: Age vs. $^{39}$Ar released diagram, sample MJZC9/25

Table 8: Data $^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar, sample MJZC9/25 biotite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Cum. %$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{37}$Ar$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{36}$Ar$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>Vol. $^{39}$Ar $\times 10^{-15}$mol</th>
<th>%Rad. $^{40}$Ar</th>
<th>Ca/K</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar$^{39}$Ar Age (Ma)</th>
<th>± 1σ.d. (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>37.07</td>
<td>0.2485</td>
<td>0.0447</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>0.4720</td>
<td>23.84</td>
<td>393.1 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740</td>
<td>19.72</td>
<td>32.73</td>
<td>0.1104</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
<td>7.953</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>0.2100</td>
<td>29.92</td>
<td>481.0 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760</td>
<td>37.57</td>
<td>30.95</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>7.831</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>30.21</td>
<td>485.1 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780</td>
<td>54.53</td>
<td>30.63</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>7.443</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>30.34</td>
<td>486.9 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>87.96</td>
<td>30.65</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>5.892</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>30.06</td>
<td>483.0 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>830</td>
<td>77.07</td>
<td>30.91</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>3.996</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>30.20</td>
<td>485.0 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>880</td>
<td>82.11</td>
<td>31.83</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>2.209</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>30.37</td>
<td>487.3 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>90.40</td>
<td>31.62</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>3.640</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>30.61</td>
<td>490.8 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1020</td>
<td>98.10</td>
<td>31.37</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>3.376</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>30.03</td>
<td>482.6 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>99.87</td>
<td>35.57</td>
<td>0.0219</td>
<td>0.0198</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>0.0417</td>
<td>29.68</td>
<td>477.6 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>99.93</td>
<td>145.75</td>
<td>0.4444</td>
<td>0.5485</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>-11.2</td>
<td>0.8450</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.0 215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>366.26</td>
<td>0.4715</td>
<td>1.2328</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8960</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>32.3 804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>31.80</td>
<td>0.0257</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>43.87</td>
<td>30.04</td>
<td>482.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

i) Errors are one sigma uncertainties and exclude uncertainties in the J-value.
ii) Data are corrected for mass spectrometer backgrounds, discrimination and radioactive decay.
iii) Interference corrections: ($^{36}$Ar/$^{37}$Ar)Ca = 3.49E-4; ($^{39}$Ar/$^{37}$Ar)Ca = 7.86E-4; ($^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar)K = 0.042
iv) J-values are based on an age of 97.9 Ma for GA-1550 biotite.
i. Chambishi basin, sample NN75/9, biotite

Sample NN75/9 was taken at a depth of 893.6 m in borehole NN75, in the Chambishi Basin. It is located in the hangingwall of the orebody, in the Ore Shale Formation of the Upper Roan Subgroup. This sample is a rippled white dolarenite with specks of metamorphic biotite. A population of biotite crystals weighing 0.44 mg was analysed. The results are reported in Table 9 and in an age versus $^{39}$Ar released diagram (Fig. 12). The age spectrum presents two young apparent ages ($456.4 \pm 13.1$ and $459.8 \pm 6.0$ Ma) at the first two temperature steps ($600^\circ$C and $680^\circ$C). The following step at $720^\circ$C yields an apparent age at $480.8 \pm 3.6$ Ma. Apparent ages from step 4 (at $760^\circ$C) to step 12 (at $1300^\circ$C) vary from $491.0 \pm 1.6$ Ma to $485.4 \pm 1.9$ Ma. These 9 steps yield, with 97.1% of $^{39}$Ar released, a plateau age at $488.0 \pm 0.5$ Ma with a MSWD at 1.5.

![Figure 12: Age vs. $^{39}$Ar released diagram, sample NN75/9](image-url)
Table 9: Data 40Ar-39Ar, sample NN75/9 biotite

j. Nchanga, sample NCH1, biotite

Sample NCH1ample comes from the lower orebody from Nchanga Mine, in the so-called “Lower Banded Shale” or “LBS” unit, corresponding to the Orebody Formation of the basal Upper Roan Subgroup. It is a graphitic siltstone with quartz, K-feldspar, detrital muscovite and metamorphic biotite. A population of biotite crystals weighing 0.81 mg was analysed with the 40Ar/39Ar technique. Results of analyses are reported in an age versus %39Ar released, Figure 13 and in Table 10. The first step at 650ºC, yields a younger apparent age at 435.3 ± 2.7 Ma. The steps at 1060ºC and at 1120ºC steps were lost during manipulation. Steps before and after the loss are similar within error at 487.7 ± 1.3 Ma and 489.3 ± 3.1 Ma.
Figure 13: Age vs. $^{39}$Ar released diagram, sample NCH1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (C)</th>
<th>Cum $^{39}$Ar %</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{37}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{36}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>Vol. $^{39}$Ar x10$^{-15}$mol</th>
<th>% Rad. $^{40}$Ar</th>
<th>Ca/K</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar*/$^{39}$Ar Age ± 1σ.d. (Ma)</th>
<th>Mass (mg)</th>
<th>J-value (Ma) ± 0.000025</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>28.46</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td>11.43</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>26.80</td>
<td>435.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>32.48</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>15.75</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>31.39</td>
<td>500.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>31.88</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>23.12</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>31.35</td>
<td>499.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>820</td>
<td>12.99</td>
<td>31.52</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>23.06</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>30.96</td>
<td>494.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>17.87</td>
<td>30.81</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>27.57</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>30.44</td>
<td>487.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>880</td>
<td>22.83</td>
<td>30.56</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>28.03</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>30.22</td>
<td>494.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>910</td>
<td>31.02</td>
<td>30.46</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>46.23</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>30.21</td>
<td>483.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>940</td>
<td>37.85</td>
<td>30.91</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>38.56</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>30.57</td>
<td>488.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>980</td>
<td>46.43</td>
<td>30.88</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>48.45</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>30.48</td>
<td>487.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1060</td>
<td>67.91</td>
<td>20.71</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>121.3</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>20.38</td>
<td>340.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1120</td>
<td>96.88</td>
<td>15.35</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>163.7</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>15.11</td>
<td>258.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1180</td>
<td>99.72</td>
<td>34.57</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0133</td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>30.60</td>
<td>489.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>87.24</td>
<td>0.0256</td>
<td>0.1924</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>0.0486</td>
<td>30.36</td>
<td>485.9</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24.47</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>564.8</td>
<td>23.84</td>
<td>392.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

i) Errors are one sigma uncertainties and exclude uncertainties in the J-value.
ii) Data are corrected for mass spectrometer backgrounds, discrimination and radioactive decay.
iii) Interference corrections: ($^{36}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar)$_{Ca}$ = 3.49E-4; ($^{37}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar)$_{Ca}$ = 7.86E-4; ($^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar)$_{K}$ = 0.042

Table 10: Data $^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar, sample NCH1 biotite
k. Konkola, sample KN1A, biotite and muscovite

Sample KN1A comes from the Konkola area, northern Zambia. Stratigraphically, it is located in the Lower Roan Subgroup. The sample is a greenish siltstone including mainly quartz, biotite and muscovite with minor K-feldspar. Analyses of $^{39}\text{Ar}-^{40}\text{Ar}$ were done on a population of biotite grains, as well as on a separate population of muscovite grains from the same sample.

- **Biotite**: Results of analyses of a biotite population weighing 0.38 mg are reported in Figure 14 and Table 11. The first step at 600°C yielded a young apparent age at 181.6 ± 5.2 Ma (corresponding to 1.57% of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ released). The second apparent age, at 700°C, is older, at 483.7 ± 1.7 Ma (corresponding to 12.68% of the $^{39}\text{Ar}$ released). The five following steps, for temperatures between 740°C and 850°C, give a plateau age at 496.6 ± 0.6 Ma (MSWD = 0.45, 61.5% of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ released) and apparent ages varying between 497.3 ± 1.3 Ma and 494.0 ± 2.1 Ma. The two next steps at temperatures of 920°C and 1100°C yield older apparent ages at 503.2 ± 3.2 Ma and 515.1 ± 2.1 Ma respectively (for a total of 24.0% of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ released). Finally the last step, at 1300°C, yielded a younger apparent age at 342.7 ± 47.7 Ma but corresponds to only 0.22% of the $^{39}\text{Ar}$ released.
Figure 14: Age vs. $^{39}\text{Ar}$ released diagram, sample KN1A biotite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Cum $^{39}\text{Ar}$ %</th>
<th>$^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$</th>
<th>$^{37}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$</th>
<th>$^{36}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$</th>
<th>Vol. $^{39}\text{Ar}$ x10^{-15}mol</th>
<th>$^{40}\text{Ar}/^{40}\text{Ar}$</th>
<th>Ca/K</th>
<th>$^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ Age (Ma) ± 1σ (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>19.85</td>
<td>0.0513</td>
<td>0.0320</td>
<td>1.197</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>0.0975</td>
<td>10.33 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>14.25</td>
<td>33.18</td>
<td>0.0763</td>
<td>0.0107</td>
<td>9.701</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>0.1450</td>
<td>29.98 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740</td>
<td>31.52</td>
<td>31.43</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>13.21</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>30.90 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760</td>
<td>46.29</td>
<td>31.31</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>30.88 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780</td>
<td>58.84</td>
<td>31.40</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>9.595</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>30.92 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>810</td>
<td>70.22</td>
<td>31.37</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>8.709</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>30.95 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>75.76</td>
<td>31.60</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>4.231</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>30.72 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>920</td>
<td>82.26</td>
<td>32.46</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>4.972</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>31.37 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>99.78</td>
<td>33.05</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>32.22 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>100.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>85.75</td>
<td>0.1986</td>
<td>0.2210</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>0.3770</td>
<td>20.40 ± 47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>31.92 ± 0.0125</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>76.480</td>
<td>30.69</td>
<td>493.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Errors are one sigma uncertainties and exclude uncertainties in the J-value.
2) Data are corrected for mass spectrometer backgrounds, discrimination and radioactive decay.
3) Interference corrections: $(^{36}\text{Ar}/^{37}\text{Ar})_{Ca} = 3.49\times10^{-4}$; $(^{39}\text{Ar}/^{37}\text{Ar})_{Ca} = 7.86\times10^{-4}$; $(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar})_{K} = 0.042$
4) J-values are based on an age of 97.9 Ma for GA-1550 biotite.

Table 11: Data $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$, sample KN1A biotite

- **Muscovite:** A population of muscovite crystals weighing 0.58 mg was analysed. Results are reported in an age spectrum diagram, Figure 15 and Table 12. The first step at 700°C, with 5.45% of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ released,
yielded an apparent age of 415.1 ± 2.3 Ma. The second step, at 800°C shows a sudden increase of the apparent age at 515.8 ± 1.6 Ma. The third step at 850 °C yielded a younger apparent age than at the previous step, with 489.8 ± 0.7 Ma. From the fourth step (at 900°C) to the eighth step (at 1050°C), the spectrum presents a plateau age at 483.6 ± 1.1 Ma, MSWD = 2.1, corresponding to 69.4% of the ³⁹Ar released with apparent ages varying from 485.4 ± 1.3 Ma to 481.5 ± 1.0 Ma.

Figure 15: Age vs. ³⁹Ar released diagram, sample KN1A muscovite
I. Musoshi (DRC), sample MUS 1, Microline

Sample MUS1 comes from the Musoshi Mine in the Democratic Republic of Congo. It is an arkose and was located in the Musoshi Formation of the Lower Roan Subgroup, which forms a part of the footwall of the orebody in the area. A population of microcline grains, weighing 0.81 mg, was separated and analysed with the $^{40}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}$ results. The data are reported in Table 13 and an age spectrum, age versus $^{39}\text{Ar}$ released (Fig. 16). Apparent ages vary between 405.8 ± 3.8 Ma and 467.0 ± 2.7 Ma.
Figure 16: Age vs. $^{39}$Ar released diagram, sample MUS1

Table 13: Data $^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar, sample MUS1 microcline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Cum Vol. $^{39}$Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar percentage</th>
<th>Age (Ma) ± 1σ d. (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>1.66 $^{39}$Ar $^{37}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar $^{40}$Ar/Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{36}$Ar/Ar percentage</td>
<td>24.76 405.8 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>6.68 $^{39}$Ar $^{37}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar $^{40}$Ar/Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{36}$Ar/Ar percentage</td>
<td>26.23 427.3 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840</td>
<td>12.79 $^{39}$Ar $^{37}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar $^{40}$Ar/Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{36}$Ar/Ar percentage</td>
<td>27.19 441.1 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>17.46 $^{39}$Ar $^{37}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar $^{40}$Ar/Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{36}$Ar/Ar percentage</td>
<td>27.79 449.8 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>21.65 $^{39}$Ar $^{37}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar $^{40}$Ar/Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{36}$Ar/Ar percentage</td>
<td>27.32 442.9 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>26.78 $^{39}$Ar $^{37}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar $^{40}$Ar/Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{36}$Ar/Ar percentage</td>
<td>27.50 445.6 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050</td>
<td>33.42 $^{39}$Ar $^{37}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar $^{40}$Ar/Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{36}$Ar/Ar percentage</td>
<td>27.29 442.6 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>41.94 $^{39}$Ar $^{37}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar $^{40}$Ar/Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{36}$Ar/Ar percentage</td>
<td>27.58 446.8 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1150</td>
<td>56.54 $^{39}$Ar $^{37}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar $^{40}$Ar/Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{36}$Ar/Ar percentage</td>
<td>27.72 448.8 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1175</td>
<td>69.76 $^{39}$Ar $^{37}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar $^{40}$Ar/Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{36}$Ar/Ar percentage</td>
<td>27.75 449.2 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>83.91 $^{39}$Ar $^{37}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar $^{40}$Ar/Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{36}$Ar/Ar percentage</td>
<td>28.21 455.7 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1225</td>
<td>93.35 $^{39}$Ar $^{37}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar $^{40}$Ar/Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{36}$Ar/Ar percentage</td>
<td>28.47 459.4 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1260</td>
<td>97.14 $^{39}$Ar $^{37}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar $^{40}$Ar/Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{36}$Ar/Ar percentage</td>
<td>28.05 453.5 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>99.60 $^{39}$Ar $^{37}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar $^{40}$Ar/Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{36}$Ar/Ar percentage</td>
<td>29.00 467.0 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>100.0 $^{39}$Ar $^{37}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar $^{40}$Ar/Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{36}$Ar/Ar percentage</td>
<td>26.03 424.3 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29.05 $^{39}$Ar $^{37}$Ar/Ar $^{38}$Ar/Ar $^{40}$Ar/Ar $^{39}$Ar/Ar $^{36}$Ar/Ar percentage</td>
<td>27.70 448.4 2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

i) Errors are one sigma uncertainties and exclude uncertainties in the J-value.

ii) Data are corrected for mass spectrometer backgrounds, discrimination and radioactive decay.

iii) Interference corrections: ($^{36}$Ar/$^{37}$Ar)_{Ca} = 3.49E-4; ($^{39}$Ar/$^{37}$Ar)_{Ca} = 7.86E-4; ($^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar)_{K} = 0.042

iv) J-values are based on an age of 97.9 Ma for GA-1550 biotite.
6. Discussion

The deposition of the Katangan sequence started somewhere after 877 Ma (Armstrong et al., 2004) and finished sometime after 572 Ma (Master et al., 2004). Following deposition, the Katangan sedimentary sequence underwent several episodes of metamorphism during the Lufilian Orogeny which gave the Copperbelt its arcuate shape.

a. Geochronology

$^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar analyses of all biotite, muscovite and microcline samples yielded degassing patterns with well-known features. All the samples showed very young apparent ages associated with low temperatures of degassing. These young apparent ages can be related to the release of the argon located in the external least retentive sites of the minerals. The energy necessary to release the argon in these sites is minimal and the slightest thermal disturbance could produce a loss of argon (Hanes, 1991). A common feature in biotite degassing patterns is the presence of two peaks in the apparent ages at 680°C and 850°C. The first peak at around 650°C represents released argon related to a stage of dehydroxylation of the biotite, while the second peak at 850°C can be related to one phase of dehydroxylation of chlorite (Lo and Onstott, 1989).

The interest of using two methods of analyses lies in the difference of their closure temperatures. For the biotite and muscovite and in the case of $^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar analyses, closure temperatures are between 300-400°C. For monazite in U-Pb analyses, Parrish (1990) evaluated the closure temperature at 725 ± 25 °C, while more recent studies (Cherniak et al., 2002) estimate it at more than 900 °C. Hence, ages obtained for analyses on monazite give the age of crystallisation of these minerals or the age of a metamorphic event in the case of overgrowths. As seen in the samples analysed, monazites commonly do not lie on the Concordia curve. This behaviour is attributed to the presence of excess $^{206}$Pb formed by the initial incorporation of $^{230}$Th (Heaman and Parrish, 1991). Ages obtained using the $^{39}$Ar-$^{40}$Ar technique
are not as straightforward to interpret. While a plateau age gives the age of a metamorphic event, in general, ages produced with the $^{39}$Ar-$^{40}$Ar technique commonly generate problems of interpretation.

In this study, ten populations of biotite, muscovite and microcline grains were analysed using the $^{39}$Ar-$^{40}$Ar technique. Out of these ten samples, three (NN75/26, NCH1 and MUS1) do not yield plateau ages as defined by MacDougall (1999). In this section we discuss the provisos related to the interpretation of these three samples. Sample NN75/26, which was collected from an iron formation located 2 m below a tuffaceous layer, yielded a hump-shaped curve on an age vs % $^{39}$Ar released diagram, with apparent ages ranging from 53.7 ± 1.0 Ma to 631.8 ± 1.8 Ma, which implies a thermal disturbance of the system (Lo and Onstott, 1989; Di Vicenzo et al., 2003). The maximum apparent ages of this sample are significantly older than ages yielded by other samples from this area and from this drill hole. The 631.8 ± 1.8 Ma apparent age is the highest recorded during this study but is difficult to interpret because it occurs without the presence of a plateau age. Still, this age can be found elsewhere in the Lufilian arc (Cosi et al., 1992). One plausible explanation for the disturbed age spectrum yielded by the sample NN75/26 is the incorporation of an excess of argon in the biotite. It is difficult to pin-point the cause of this excess. The emplacement of the tuffaceous layer below which the sample was extracted cannot explain this argon excess. The temperatures involved were too low and the thermal effects on the surrounding rocks were minimal. Although the biotite was separated with extreme care in order to obtain pristine biotite, it is possible that some chloritised biotite may have been included in the analysed population of grains. The excess of argon may then have been associated with the chlorite (Lo and Onstott, 1989). Problems with the sample NCH1 from Nchanga Mine were purely technical and induced a partial loss of argon. It should be noted that the apparent age before the loss, 487.7 ± 1.3 Ma, is similar within error, to the apparent age following the lost step due to the technical problem, at 489.3 ± 3.1 Ma. Finally, microclines (from sample MUS1) were also analysed
with the $^{39}$Ar-$^{40}$Ar technique. This sample does not yield a plateau age but rather some gradually increasing apparent ages between $405.8 \pm 3.8$ Ma and $467.0 \pm 2.7$ Ma. It is not uncommon to find these age spectra for potassium feldspars. These minerals do not have one closure temperature but a range of closure temperatures ranging from 350°C to 125°C (Foland, 1974; Purdy and Jäger, 1976; Harrison and McDougall, 1982; Lovera et al., 1989). A spectrum without a plateau ages may imply a slow cooling but it is difficult to quantify the rate at which it occurs without a complete 40Ar-39Ar study of K-feldspars from the area.

b. Regional implications

In the present study, Ar-Ar and U-Pb SHRIMP analyses were performed on several samples collected from a stratigraphic succession extending from the Lower Roan Formation to the Grand Conglomerat Formation. A summary of the ages obtained in this study is given in Table 14. The ages obtained do not represent a continuum but rather several distinct groupings. Three samples yielded ages between $631.8 \pm 1.8$ and $592 \pm 22$ Ma. This age span is recorded in other parts of the Central African Copperbelt and in the Irumide belt. In central Zambia, a Sm-Nd age of $595 \pm 10$ Ma on garnet and whole rock, dated a phase of eclogite facies metamorphism (John et al., 2003). In the Zambian Copperbelt, Re-Os analyses on rocks from the Nkana, Chibuluma and Nchanga deposits yielded an isochron at $583 \pm 24$ Ma (Barra et al., 2004). U-Th-Pb analyses on monazites from the Luiswishi Cu-Co-U deposits in the Democratic of Congo showed ages comprised between $603 \pm 31$ Ma and $556 \pm 29$ Ma (Lerouge et al., 2004). Finally, a date of $582 \pm 40$ Ma was obtained for the Kafue Rhyolite with the Rb-Sr technique (Cahen, 1984). The age at $531 \pm 12$ Ma obtained in this study with the U-Pb technique on metamorphic monazites from the Chambishi basin is similar to others found elsewhere in the Lufilian arc and the Zambezi belt. Monazite from a biotite-kyanite-garnet gneiss showed U-Pb age of $529 \pm 2$ Ma while the same minerals from some whiteschists yielded $^{207}$Pb/$^{235}$U ages of 531 to $532 \pm 2$
Ma (John et al., 2004). Molybdenite from the Nkana deposit yielded an age of 525.7 ± 3.4 Ma with the Re-Os technique (Barra et al., 2004). In central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Plateau age</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RCB2/72</td>
<td>monazite</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>592 ± 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCB1/36</td>
<td>monazite</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>531 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCB2/112</td>
<td>monazite</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>512 ± 17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Plateau age</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BH89/3</td>
<td>biotite</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>586.1 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN75/26</td>
<td>biotite</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>between 53.7 ± 1.0 and 631.8 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCB2/112</td>
<td>biotite</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>491.5 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCB2/4</td>
<td>biotite</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>490.9 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MJZC9/25</td>
<td>biotite</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>485.2 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN75/9</td>
<td>biotite</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>488.0 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCH1</td>
<td>biotite</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>between 435.3 ± 2.7 and 499.8 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KN1A</td>
<td>biotite</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>496.6 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KN1A</td>
<td>muscovite</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>483.6 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUS1</td>
<td>microcline</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>between 405.8 ± 3.8 and 467.0 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 14: Summary of the ages obtained

Zambia, an unfolded rhyolite in the Katangan sedimentary sequence was dated with the U-Pb zircon leaching technique at 538.0 ± 1.5 Ma (Hanson et al., 1993). Recent U-Th-Pb analyses on monazites of sediments from the Luiswishi deposit yielded an age of 556 ± 29 Ma (Lerouge et al., 2004). Finally, analyses on monazites and rutiles from the Kalumbula deposit in northwest Zambia yielded U-Pb ages of 548.6 ± 7.6 Ma and 531 ± 21 Ma respectively (Steven et Armstrong, 2003). The age of 512 ± 17 Ma, obtained from the last set of analysed monazites (RCB1/36), was also found elsewhere in the Copperbelt. Richards et al. (1988a and b) analysed rutiles and uraninites associated with veining crosscutting the ore body of the Musoshi deposit. The ages for rutile and uraninite are identical with 514 ± 2 Ma and 514 ± 3 Ma. More recently, Re-Os and U-Pb analyses of respectively molybdenite and monazite yielded ages of 511.8 ± 1.7 Ma (molybdenite), 512.9 ± 1.7 Ma (molybdenite) and 509 ± 11 Ma (monazite) (Torrealday et al., 2000).
Finally, the last and largest set of samples yielded the youngest age range, between 467.0 ± 2.7 Ma and 496.6 ± 0.6 Ma. Similar ages are widely recorded in the Copperbelt and adjacent areas. In the Domes area, west of the Zambian Copperbelt, Cosi et al. (1992) obtained a large set of K-Ar and Rb-Sr ages ranging from 475 ± 6 Ma to 492 ± 6 Ma. Furthermore, Cahen et Snelling (1971) obtained a K-Ar age of 483 ± 15 Ma for lavas located in the Kibambale area as well as K-Ar biotite ages from Nkana, Nchanga and Kinsenda ranging between 495 and 422 Ma.

During the Neoproterozoic, at c. 750-730 Ma, the southern part of the Congo Craton underwent rifting during the opening of the Khomas ocean (Hoffman, 1994). Damaran and Katangan sedimentary rocks were deposited in the resulting passive margin (Porada and Berhorst, 2000). During the Pan-African Damaran-Lufilian orogeny, the Khomas ocean closed with subduction of oceanic lithosphere underneath the Congo craton margin, leading to the formation of an Andean-type magmatic arc, and ultimately to the Himalayan-type collision between the Congo and Kalahari cratons at about 550-510 Ma (Miller, 1983; Porada and Berhorst, 2000). Eclogite facies metamorphism from the Zambezi belt, dated at 595 ± 10 Ma, and comprising associated gabbros, metagabbros and eclogite, records the timing of the subduction which took place in an oceanic environment (John et al., 2003). The ages of c. 590 Ma recorded by both the U-Pb system in monazite, and the $^{39}$Ar-$^{40}$Ar system in biotite in the present study show that there was some tectonic activity with attendant metamorphism in the Chambishi Basin that was coeval with the eclogite facies metamorphism recorded in the Zambezi belt. Talc-kyanite whiteschists in the Lufilian arc dated at c. 530 Ma represent the final stage of collision between the Congo and the Kalahari cratons (John et al., 2004). Our monazite age of 531 ± 12 Ma is probably related to this event. The ages at c. 512 Ma recorded in the Katangan basin are clearly related to a widespread mineralising phase (molybdenite, uraninite) due to circulation of fluids. Finally the youngest range of ages at c. 492-406 Ma may be related to
post-orogenic regional uplift and cooling which affected the whole Katangan basin.
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