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2.1 History

Although I have already touched on the history of Grahamstown in Chapter One of the study, I felt that it was important to develop a more thorough understanding of the history that shaped Grahamstown and its surrounding areas.

For the purposes of this document, the history of Grahamstown will be split into two parts. Part-1 The History of Colonial Rule between 1795 and 1900; Part-2 The History of Apartheid Restructuring between 1910 and 2012. Both of these periods amounting to just over a 100 years respectively.

2.1.1 History of Colonial Rule (Part-1)

Most of the research on the history of Grahamstown comes from two sources, Davenport’s “Black Grahamstown” and “A pilot study in conservation” by Gledhill et al.

Grahamstown was named after Lt-Colonel Graham, but was established by Sir John Cradock who was the governor of the Cape Colony at the time. It was established primarily as the military headquarters of the Eastern frontier which was defined by the Great Fish River.

The site for Grahamstown was chosen in 1812 by Lt-Colonel Graham. He chose the site because of its good location on the spur of a hill between two streams. This would ensure an adequate water supply. It was formally proclaimed as Grahamstown on the 14 August 1812, not only as a military garrison but also as a civil station that was to be administered by a deputy-landdrost, under the chief landdrost at Uitenhage (Gledhill et al, 1975, p.6).

By 1815 only 33 erven had been pegged and sold on auction.

2.1.2 Some key dates: (Gledhill et al, 1975, p.6)

1824: Trade in ivory opened officially which stimulated the property market in the area.
1831: The first independent newspaper in the region was established.
1834: Population of Grahamstown estimated at 3500, the great trek took place around this time.
1856: Title deeds were given to Mfengu people in Fingo village.
1860: The military importance of Grahamstown declines due to Kei River becoming the agreed boundary of the Cape Colony.
1864: The Supreme Court for the Eastern Districts was seated in Grahamstown.
1876: Five major schools had been established in Grahamstown.
1904: Rhodes University was established on May 31.

2.1.3 Historic urban design:

Gledhill et al, mentions that much of Grahamstowns urban layout of today is thanks to the initial military influence. “Colonel Graham chose the site on the spur between two streams and ordered that Lucas Meyer’s house, which was near a well, should be used as a temporary Officers Mess. The parade ground was laid out in front of the Mess, the officers took up their quarters on the north side of the parade ground while the men’s huts were situated in rows on the south–west side near another spring, now under the cathedral.(Gledhill et al,1975, p.13)”
Major G.F. Fraser (deputy-landdrost) and Colonel Lyster (commanding officer of the forces) chose suitable sites for the drostdy, gaol and court messengers’ house. The gaol and messengers’ house was placed side by side in a position parallel to the spur, near to what would later be the entrance to the Drostdy. The Drostdy was placed on the western side of the spur (roughly where the entrance to Rhodes University is currently).

The surveyor J. Knoble accepted the position of the sites set out by Fraser and Lyster. Knobel set out a line from the court messengers house and offset it by 55meters to form a wide road down the length of the spur, all the way down to the parade ground at 90 deg angle to Hill Street. The surveyor retained the officers houses, even though they were at an angle towards the north side of the parade ground, thereby creating a triangular space left open. The Cathedral would eventually be built in this position. Knobel without fully realising it, had with the decision to leave the triangular space open, created one of the most celebrated urban design pieces in South Africa, he stated the following in his report: "this method would give the Drostdy House (now arched entrance to Rhodes University) a view of the entire street, and although a triangular space would be left open, that space having the most elevated ground in its centre, might allow a very convenient situation for a church or any other public building". Lord Charles Somerset, convinced the "Society for the Propagation of the Gospel" through the Secretary of state Lord Bathurst that Grahamstown receives the 500 pounds towards a church in place of Cape Town.

The town regulations, twenty nine of them, were drawn up by Capt. Henry Somerset. By 1824 a grid iron plan had been imposed on the uneven topography of Grahamstown. " The integration of the "angled" square with the grid–iron system has given Grahamstown the kind of space frequently found in Medieval Europe. This clear, strong town image is characterised by streets "Broad enough for a full span of oxen drawing a wagon to be turned around" and lined with trees and buildings of limited height. (Gledhill et el, 1975, p.15)"

Before 1843 the Coloured and Hottentot communities merged and were moved from the old Cape Corp, to Lavender Valley north east of the town centre. This is typical of colonial developments as described by King (1976). At the same time attempts were made to improve the lives of the Fingo who were in those times water-carriers in Grahamstown. After the frontier wars between 1946 and 1953 the Fingo people were rewarded by agreement that they could have freehold title to land. Land lying to the east of the city bowl was allocated to the Fingo people. Other black townships would later be set out just north and east of Fingo village, setting in motion the separate development of "Native" from "Civil", that has lasted more than 150 years. This separate development has created a fracture in the Urban landscape, now central to the problem of the peripheral community.
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2.1.4 The history of Apartheid restructuring (Part-2)

In 1910 South Africa became a Union, and was partly free from Colonial rule. South Africa only gained full independence from Britain in 1948 when it became a Republic under D.F. Malan, who won a surprise election in 1946. The Nationalist government retained power until 1994.

In 1950 the group areas act was passed, and the Separate Amenities Act of 1953 introduced segregation on buses and other public facilities. At first the Fingo Village was not affected by the group areas act, but various proposals from 1957 to 1970 by the Group Areas Board (GAB) was finally implemented when the national government imposed it on Grahamstown, by declaring the entire Fingo Village a coloured area, and gazetting it as such. The local City Council of Grahamstown accepted this as they feared reprisal from government if they resisted any further.

The government however underestimated the complexities of trying to move an entire community and supplying them with alternative accommodation, proposed at Committees Drift 40km away. By 1980, after many attempts by government to secure alternative land for the Fingo title holders, it finally came to a head, when the government could no longer defend the suffering and abject poverty caused by its actions. It was formally announced in the Sunday Times on February 3rd 1980, by Dr Koornhof, that they intended to de-proclaim Fingo village as a coloured group area. The previous 10 years of action by the government had however left the Fingo people in dire straits (Davenport, 1990, p45).

The destruction caused by the group areas act of 1950 and the imposing of this restructuring on the urban landscape of Fingo Village and Grahamstown as a whole, and the deterioration caused in race relations, was immeasurable and is clearly visible in today’s landscape both socially and politically. Although the Fingos retained their land they had been stripped of their dignity.

2.1.5 Some key dates:

1902: The Peace of Vereeniging is signed, with the Boer defeat by the British.
1910: The Union of South Africa is formed on 31 May 1910.
1912: The ANC is established in Bloemfontein on the 8th of January.
1913: The Land Act comes into being, 90% of land is reserved for white people.
1944: ANC Youth League is formed.
1948: South Africa becomes a Republic.
1950: Group Areas Act is passed.
1970: Group Areas Act is imposed on Grahamstown. Fingo Village is proclaimed a coloured area.
1991: Group areas act abolished 30 June 1991
1992: 28 February, – The ownership of the port town of Walvis Bay is transferred from South Africa to Namibia
1994: First democratic election 27 April 1994
2010: FIFA World Cup Soccer tournament held in South Africa
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2.2 Aims, Goals and Objectives

**Aim:** A purpose or intention toward which one’s efforts are directed *(Oxford dictionary)*

**Goal:** The terminal point of a journey or race *(Oxford dictionary)*

**Objective:** The object of one’s endeavours *(Oxford dictionary)*

I think it is difficult to narrow an entire year’s work down into three simple ideas or phrases, but it has proven extremely helpful in ordering the process of research and clarifying the focus of the design.

Although the heading of this portion of the chapter has the three words in the order of 1. Aims, 2. Goals and 3. Objectives, the actual order should be Objectives, then Aims and lastly should be Goals.

Therefore:

1. **Objective(s)** *(final outcome, could be more than one)*: To determine a possible set of guidelines that could facilitate in re-integrating peripheral communities in towns and cities in South Africa that have been denigrated to the fringe of the civil community, by practices in colonial urban development and apartheid restructuring.

2. **Aims** *(direction taken to reach goals)*: The main aim of the study would be to choose a study area, then to effectively research the study area scientifically. To employ information gained during research in a creative format to inform the design.

3. **Goals** *(interim terminations along a journey to one’s final objective)*: One, define the problem. Two, find theory to support the problem. Three, find a method to prove the theory. Four, simulate a solution to test the method (design). Last, derive a set of guidelines that could be used as guidelines.

The ideas or refinements of the definitions above are still too abstract and will need to be condensed into one coherent statement which could be described as a vision for the thesis.

**Vision:** The act or power of anticipating that which will or may come to be. *(dictionary.com)*

To choose a specific site (Grahamstown), that is relevant to the problem. To carefully research all aspects of the site (study area) that might inform a solution both theoretically and physically. To use this information to animate a design or simulation in order to test various principles or guidelines that would be condensed into a document for future use.
2.3 Literature review

The review of the literature can be divided into the following parts:

1. Dissertations and Papers related to Grahamstown.
   1.1 An economic conditions analysis of public urban green spaces (PUGS) in Grahamstown Eastern Cape. 2008
   1.2 Living in Grahamstown East / Rini. A social indicators report 2001
   1.3 Grahamstown a pilot study in conservation – Urban Heritage of South Africa and the School of Architecture University of Natal. 2008
   1.4 Environmental Science 302 SoER Draft Project Report 09/09/11 Group 9– Human Settlement Supervisor: Prof. Fred Ellery Settlement Patterns in Grahamstown: Are they sustainable?

2. Dissertations and Papers more general in nature.
   2.1 Transportation + Street Trees: Effect of the Urban Design Industry’s Roadside Landscape Improvement Standards on Driver and Pedestrian Performance. 2001
   2.2 Street design Guidelines for Landcom Projects

3. Reports by local Council, Province and Government agencies.

4. Books related directly to Grahamstown.
   4.1 Davenport. T, Black Grahamstown. The Agony of a Community, Published by The Institute of Race Relations, 1980

5. Books about urban design and cities.
   5.4 Barnett. J, City Design, Modernist, Traditional, Green, and Systems Perspective

6. Existing urban frameworks for Grahamstown.
   6.2 MAKANA MUNICIPALITY DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN. August 2006

Once I decided on a topic for my thesis, which deals with the integration of peripheral communities in South Africa, with specific reference to Grahamstown, I decided to look for books and papers written on Grahamstown, to try and form an understanding of existing conditions and perceptions of the city. The first paper I stumbled upon was from Rhodes University (1.1) An economic conditions analysis of public urban green spaces (PUGS) in Grahamstown Eastern Cape. 2008

The report looked specifically at the effect public open space has on people living in close vicinity to it. The study was done across three social–economic areas in Grahamstown. The findings were interesting and basically showed that people in more affluent areas appreciated PUGS more than people in poorer areas. People living in the townships of Grahamstown perceived the open space as a threat and were not willing to contribute to its upkeep, in the more affluent areas the opposite was true. This report formed my opinion on possibly moving parks in the townships of Grahamstown to areas more accessible and closer to functions that were used often to ensure the effective use, maintenance and access to these parks. “Affluent areas has the highest percentage of people willing to pay (67%) to prevent the nearest PUGS to be developed into housing” (Walton, et al, 2008 p.22).

The second paper on Grahamstown was also from Rhodes University (1.2) Living in Grahamstown East / Rini: A social indicators report. This report is an extremely detailed study of Rini in Grahamstown but also gives good background information on the area and people. It is stated in the report that one in two households in Grahamstown grow vegetables” (Moller, 2001 p. xx)

This paper also gives detailed information on housing and services, which I use as informants for determining needs and possibility for future growth. “The 1999 survey indicated 5% of households with piped water in the dwelling , 60% with water on site, and 34% with access to a public tap” (Moller, 2001 p. 16). One of the most interesting facts of the survey was that 61% of breadwinners in the study area were 45 years and older and had education of grade 5 or lower. This indicates that there is a problem with employment under the younger people in the study area. The report also indicates that the average household size (persons) is 5.25. The report has an amazing array of statistics to draw from in making design decisions.

Dissertations and papers of a more general nature (2) informed me in a technical manner on planting of street trees to guide the design process. Transport and street trees (2.1) dealt mostly with the positive effect of street trees in the reduction of traffic related accident on streets that had many closely planted trees as apossed to streets with few or no trees, and goes contrary to the widely held notion by traffic engineers that trees close to streets are dangerous. Street design Guidelines for Landcom Projects, deals mostly with guidelines for streets, and focuses on trees and has many comparisons between good and bad examples of tree lined streets. The report also refers to ways of dealing with problems related to sidewalk trees and how they can be overcome by proper planning. The street guidelines explains hiegherarchy of streets, major roads, collector streets, local streets……
2.3 Literature review (continues)

Colonial Urban Development by King would form the basis of the theory for this dissertation, as the basic structural form of the City of Grahamstown came about due to colonial development of the area, and the basic design principles for the area was set in the early 1800’s already. King refers to the colonial city as having two basic areas or settlements namely the "Civil Station" and the "Native City" (King,1975, p.17,18) that developed separately and was supposedly reintegrated with the end of colonial rule. Grahamstown’s layout was then further structurally altered by apartheid between 1948 and the 1990’s. This notion of two separate communities would form the problem statement of this thesis, in which I ask how can the peripheral city (Native City) be reintegrated to the town (Civil Station)? and why this is necessary? King describes this as a clash of cultures or "cultural pluralism" (1975, p.23). This clash of cultures still exist and has to be considered in any design or framework proposal.

The Green City (5.2), by Low et al, speaks to the ideals of sustainability within city design and would support the idea of sustainability within future design of cities. The current layout of Grahamstown does not fulfil the ideals or principles of green city design and is unsustainable as a model.

City Design (5.3), by Barnett is based on the premise that city–design practise have not kept up with problems inherent in contemporary cities (2011,p.5). " The attempts to rebuild New Orleans after Hurricane Katrina, and the probability of more such disasters as the world’s climate changes, show that there are large gaps in city–design practise as it relates to working with and understanding the natural environment" (Barnett,2011,p.5). Barnett makes reference to incremental development and design in contrast to mega–structure development .

Existing urban frameworks for Grahamstown.(6) MAKANA MUNICIPALITY DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN August 2006 (6.2) is an urban development plan or framework for Grahamstown, which sets the stage for future development and upgrading of Grahamstown and its proposed implementation. The biggest short coming of this framework is that it does not look at current non integration as the base problem preventing future expansion and upliftment, but seems to further promote separate development within Grahamstown, it seems to favour periphery development on large scale housing over infill development. This led me to a design proposal or concept that goes against urban sprawl and containment of development on the periphery in favour of reconstruction, maintenance and reorganisation and reintegration of areas previously separated back into the urban fabric and thereby creating development opportunities within the current boundaries. The greatest move on the part of this framework is the proposed development of the east commonage. The east commonage belongs to local council and is in an ideal position in relation to the township for future development and expansion along a development corridor.