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ABSTRACT

Amplified loads of sulfate and nitrate have caused increased stress on soil systems in many areas of the world, as both are dominant components of acid rain. This is a critical environmental stress due to the damage caused to soil, water quality and ecosystem functioning. Issues concerning the rising emissions of these elements from local industries have begun to attract increasing attention in South Africa, as the rates of deposition in the Mpumalanga Highveld region alone is comparable to those experienced in First World countries. This study sought to investigate the use of natural stable isotopes of sulfur and nitrogen to identify the process transformations that these species undergo in environmental cycles. Total $\delta^{34}S$, $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{13}C$ isotope signature of soils in the Mpumalanga region were combined with total elemental concentrations to determine the effect of deposition on the soil system. Soil samples from two soil depths (0 – 10 cm and 20 – 40 cm) were taken along a distance gradient from an identified pollution source, the Majuba power station. Long-term air quality data from the study area were also obtained from Eskom’s air quality monitoring stations, as well as sulfur and nitrogen deposition data from selected literature.

Elemental concentrations decreased with soil depth as expected, while sites located approximately 25 km downwind of the power station were seen to contain higher concentrations of both soil sulfur and nitrogen. The mean per site soil sulfur concentration across all depths ranged from 0.009 % to 0.048 %, while the mean per site nitrogen concentration across all depths ranged from 0.056 % to 0.346 %. The mean soil carbon concentration in the top-soils ranged from 0.97 % to 7.93 %, and decreased in the sub-soils to 0.490 % to 3.270 %. The mean $\delta^{34}S$ value for the top-soils was found to be 8.28 ‰ and increased to 10.78 ‰ in the sub-soils. Soil $\delta^{15}N$ also increased with soil depth from 6.55 ‰ to 8.28 ‰. Soil $\delta^{13}C$ values were seen to increase from -12.83 ‰ in the top-soils to -11.90 ‰ in the sub-soils. Lighter $\delta^{34}S$ values at the surface may be due to anthropogenic deposition. The positive $\delta^{34}S$ shift was attributed to a two-source mixing model (atmospheric deposition and bedrock) and isotopic fractionation processes that occur within the soil profile. The $\delta^{15}N$ values of the top-soil were higher than what is expected if all nitrogen was derived from atmospheric nitrogen gas fixation. The increase in $\delta^{15}N$ with depth suggested that isotope fractionation occurred during nitrogen export due to the faster reaction rate of $^{14}N$ compared to $^{15}N$. The soil $\delta^{13}C$ values indicated a typical C4 grassland system. New carbon at the top-soil depths was enriched in $^{13}C$ due to the slower decay of $^{13}$C-depleted lignin; whereas in the sub-soils microbial recycling of carbon dominates and explained the higher $^{13}C$ content of the older carbon. The conceptual framework presented for this project involves simultaneous processes of deposition and export in the soil system. This was particularly true for sulfur, where sites with lower isotope values had lower soil sulfur concentrations and vice versa.
This indicates that high levels of deposition correspond to high net export. The sulfur and nitrogen isotopic signatures could not be used to as a direct means of source identification; however, the effectiveness of isotopes in elucidating transfer of these nutrients in the soil system was illustrated.
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Increased human activity including the combustion of fossil fuels has enhanced the rate and transformation of natural processes. Petrochemical refineries, the combustion of coal by power stations and the increasing numbers of vehicles on the road, have caused increasing amounts of sulfur (S) and nitrogen (N) to be released into the atmosphere. Species of these two elements are emitted via a pollution plume and are transferred from long-term geological reserves to the atmosphere (Galloway et al., 2004) where they undergo transformations and are subsequently deposited on the surface of the Earth in the form of acid deposition. This is a critical environmental stress (Driscoll et al., 2001) due to the damage caused to soil, water quality and ecosystem functioning. This damage can have considerable economic consequences. The decreasing water quality impacts on industrial and domestic use, which causes water demand and treatment costs to rise. Issues concerning the rising emissions of S and N from local industries have begun to attract increasing attention in South Africa. In the Mpumalanga Highveld region alone, the mass of deposition of atmospheric loads of sulfur oxides (SOx) and nitrogen oxide (NOx) species per unit area of land is comparable to those experienced in First World countries (Tyson et al., 1988). Moreover, atmospheric transfer models that have been used to calculate transfer and deposition of sulfur (using emissions for 1990 and a projection for 2050) have shown increasing soil acidification risk in South Africa (Van Tienhoven et al., 1995; Kuylenstierna et al., 2001). This is due to the location of power stations and petrochemical plants, proximity to large coal reserves and being under the influence of unique air circulation patterns resulting in the pollutants being trapped (Tyson et al., 1988). The fate of these emitted pollutants and their effect on the soil-water system is still unclear and potential exists to fill the necessary knowledge gaps of the South African situation. The occurrence of natural stable isotopes of S, N and oxygen in sulfate (SO4\textsuperscript{2-}) and nitrate (NO3\textsuperscript{-}) can be used to identify the sources of S and N as well as process transformations in environmental cycles. Specific sources and transformation pathways often yield identifiable signatures compromising the relative abundance and occurrence of species in relation to one another (Dawson et al., 2002). Identifying sources of S and N input and processes affecting their export from an ecosystem are critical in determining the extent of nutrient cycling locally and for global cycles. In addition, carbon (C) isotopes can also be utilized in conjunction with S and N, as the fractionation of C isotopes that occurs during ecosystem processes makes C stable isotopes an ideal tool in understanding terrestrial ecosystem pathways (Balesdent and Mariotti, 1996).

This project aims to quantify S, N and C isotopes in order to better understand nutrient cycles in grassland ecosystems receiving S and N inputs via deposition. The implementation of isotopic analysis of S and N compounds in South Africa could indeed broaden our understanding of the use of
stable isotopes in discriminating between various pollution sources and whether this is an avenue for future research. At present, the expertise required to carry out such an investigation is not yet readily available in South Africa. This project contributes to building the expertise that is currently lacking and adds to the technological advancements made in the field of environmental science.
2 AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

The main aim of this study was to obtain a baseline of the total $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ isotope signature of soils in the Mpumalanga region. Soil $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values were also determined, in order to better understand the S and N data. This study combined the measurements of total elemental concentrations in the soil system, as well as isotopic measurements of S, N and C to determine the effect of deposition on the soil system in the Mpumalanga Highveld, South Africa.

Air quality data from air quality stations around the Majuba Power station in Mpumalanga as well as S and N rates of deposition were also assessed in conjunction with the soil isotope and elemental data. This aided in evaluating the effect of these pollutants on the soil system.

Additional, this project also aimed to provide a screening set of samples and analysis in order to illustrate the effectiveness of isotopes in documenting transformations of S, N and C in the soil system. By doing so, this study will aid in elucidating which of the many species of S and N are worth further investigating by means of isotopic analysis.

This project is part of a much larger deposition project currently being carried out by the University of KwaZulu-Natal, University of the Witwatersrand, Airshed Planning Professionals and Umfula Wempilo Consulting, aimed at evaluating the impacts of acid deposition on soil and water quality in the Mpumalanga region. This project is funded by Sasol and Eskom. The larger-funded project aspires to assess the magnitudes of atmospheric deposition over the Eastern regions of South Africa, to investigate the processes and paths by which S and N pollutants move through the environment and to evaluate the damage that has been caused by these pollutants to date. It is envisioned that if the potential impact of atmospheric deposition can be forecast, management measures can be implemented in time to avert possible substantial damage.

The objectives of this study were:

1. to obtain $\delta^{34}\text{S}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ isotope measurements of soils in the region of the Majuba Power Station in Mpumalanga, South Africa, and
2. to compare these isotope measurements with soil S, N and C concentrations along a gradient from a known pollutant source.

Key questions addressed in connection with the proposed objectives were:
1. What is the $\delta^{34}S$ signal and concentration of total soil S with increasing distance from an identified pollution source, the Majuba Power Station?
2. What is the $\delta^{15}N$ signal and concentration of total soil N with increasing distance from an identified pollution source, the Majuba Power Station?
3. What is the $\delta^{13}C$ signal and concentration of total soil C with increasing distance from an identified pollution source, the Majuba Power Station?
4. How are $\delta^{34}S$, $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{13}C$ isotope measurements of soils and elemental concentrations of the nutrients correlated?
5. How do the isotopic ratios compare with potential source ratios in the published literature and is it possible to identify sources of S and N in the Mpumalanga region?
3 LITERATURE REVIEW

3.1 THE EMISSION OF SO\textsubscript{X} AND NO\textsubscript{X} FROM COAL BURNING POWER STATIONS

Mankind is living in a world totally dependent on electricity. In many countries electricity production is provided by coal burning power stations, and for many years coal has been the only fuel for raising steam (England, 1979). Even today, coal burning accounts for approximately 36\% of the world's electricity production (Eskom, 2006), however, in providing economical and reliable supplies of electricity, mankind must be aware of the detrimental effects our activities have on the environment.

Coal contains hydrogen-rich compounds, which can be burnt in oxygen to release energy. However, in doing so reduced S and N compounds that are present as impurities in the coal are also oxidized to acidic forms (Kennedy, 1986). These waste products are discharged into the atmosphere, where they are transported across a region by atmospheric circulation before being re-deposited on the land surface. It is the emission, production and deposition of these oxides that are of most concern. Sulfur and N compounds fulfil similar biological and chemical roles in the biosphere. They are important oxidation and reduction agents, providing energy for growth; both S and N are contained in amino acids and in structural components of cells, where they form conspicuous elements of the nucleic acids (Kennedy, 1986). Since S and N are so prominent in the metabolism and structure of all life forms, it is not surprising that they are also involved in the utilization of fossilized biological materials such as coal, oil and natural gas. The combustion of these fossil fuels has therefore resulted in an alteration of the S and N cycles. Gains and losses of particular forms of S and N in a particular locality can strongly affect the local balance of rates of interconversion of substances. The addition of excess S and N to the environment can thus drastically change the rate of one phase of an environmental cycle and can certainly introduce a temporary imbalance (Kennedy, 1986). This may ultimately lead to significant ecosystem degradation, especially of vulnerable ecosystems unable to restore the natural balance.

Power stations and industrial operations release SO\textsubscript{2} into the atmosphere where it is oxidized to form SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}. Once formed, sulfur-oxide pollutants (SO\textsubscript{x}) may be dispersed thousands of kilometres from their source. Sulfur oxide pathways are dependent on topography, meteorology and the rate of conversion of SO\textsubscript{2}, which can vary from 1\% to 4\% per hour. Depending on atmospheric moisture, up to 80\% of emitted SO\textsubscript{2} can be oxidized to SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} (Scorgie, 2006). Sulfate is the precursor of sulfuric acid (H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}), an important component of acid rain. Fossil fuel combustion also produces nitrogen oxides (NO and NO\textsubscript{2}, together denoted as NO\textsubscript{x}). Nitric oxide (NO) is first oxidized in the presence of O\textsubscript{2} to nitrogen dioxide (NO\textsubscript{2}) within a few seconds, and then to nitric acid (HNO\textsubscript{3}) and NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} particles
Nitrate oxides are important because of their potential to produce acid deposition and because they act as a precursor of both acid rain (Groffman, 2000; Galloway et al., 2004), and the formation of ground level and tropospheric ozone, known as photochemical smog (Furiness et al., 1998; Olivier et al., 1998; Groffman, 2000; Dalton and Brand-Hardy, 2003; Galloway et al., 2004). A number of sources contribute to NO emissions, however, overall 80% or more of all NO emissions globally are human-caused (Vitousek et al., 1997). Thus, the transformation of SOx and NOx into H2SO4 and NO3, constitute the basis of the acid rain and soil acidification phenomena that are causing increasing impacts on vulnerable ecosystems.

Dispersion by atmospheric turbulence enables the plume from the stationary source to mix with surrounding clean air carrying oxidants which transform the major primary pollutants (SO2 and NO) into secondary pollutants (SO4^2- and NO2, NO3^- etc.). Dispersion may even bring an elevated plume down to ground where some of the pollutants may undergo gradual deposition because of sedimentation, impaction or chemical adsorption - a process known as dry deposition (Smith, 1989). Dispersion is also partially responsible for drawing pollution into precipitating clouds, where through numerous processes it may finally be removed by a process known as wet deposition. Wet deposition was modelled and was found to contribute between 50% and 70% of the total global SOx deposition (Blight et al., 2009). The relative importance of wet and dry deposition for removal of NOx varies significantly among models, with globally between 40% and 70% of NOx removed by wet deposition (Blight et al., 2009).

### 3.2 IMPACTS OF ACID DEPOSITION

One of the major concerns of fossil fuel burning is the release of gases into the atmosphere that not only have negative effects on air quality but extended effects on the biosphere and the important systems that it encompasses. These effects include damage to aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, human health and corrosion of engineering material and global climate change (Rhode et al., 1995). Coal fired power plants are notoriously known for the high levels of air pollutants released per unit of power they generate. A comparison of emissions factors (pounds of emissions per megawatt hour of power generation) based on 2004 data reveal that the average coal fired power plant emits more than twelve times as much sulfur dioxide (SO2) and 6 times as much nitrogen dioxide (NO2) as the average non-coal fired power plant (Kahn, 2008). It is also widely known that ambient pollution levels are higher in countries with coal-fired power plants (Levy et al., 2002a).
A great deal is known about the presence of pollutants within the fuel and their behaviour in the industrial plant, and a reasonable working knowledge of the diffusion process in the atmosphere does exist. However, there is a need for a more objective knowledge about the impacts caused by these pollutants when they reach the lower atmosphere and the biosphere (Clarke, 1979). In order to implement effective control standards it is crucial that a broader knowledge of the actual impacts that the flue-gases released cause in the environment is acquired, so that appropriate methods for monitoring and mitigation can be effectively way.

Acid deposition is deposition of acidifying compounds, dissolved either in precipitation (also known as acid rain) or directly in "dry" form (Slanina and Davis, 2008). Both the precursors (SO$_2$, NO$_x$, and ammonia (NH$_3$)) and the products (H$_2$SO$_4$, HNO$_3$ and ammonium (NH$_4^+$)) of acid forming species can be transported over large distances (up to 1,500 km) depending on meteorological conditions, speed of conversion, and removal by deposition processes (Slanina and Davis, 2008). Acid deposition is a critical environmental stress that undermines the integrity of the ecosystem on which it is deposited (Brodin and Kuylenstierna, 1992, Bobbink and Reolofs, 1995; Fenn et al., 2003). Acid deposition is highly variable in both space and time; it links air pollution to both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems and alters the interactions of many elements, such as hydrogen (H), S, N, calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg) and aluminium (Al) (Driscoll et al., 2001). In industrial regions, acid deposition has caused excessive nutrient concentrations in soils and can result in water pollution problems known as eutrophication. Nitrate and NH$_4^+$ are essential, for development in vegetation, but in concentrations that are too high, these species lead to a diversity loss, especially in oligotrophic ecosystems adapted to low nutrient availability (Stevens et al., 2004, Slanina and Davis, 2008).

Processes that lead to soil acidification include those that lead to an increase in the number of negative charges and acidic cations in the soil, such as the accumulation of organic matter, clay formation, and the removal of basic cations (Reuss and Johnson, 1986). The loss of base cations from the soil may be due to plant uptake or to leaching. Loss of cations, by leaching from the soil, can only occur if there is an associated mobile anion (Reuss and Johnson, 1986). Under acidic conditions, these anions include NO$_3^-$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ from atmospheric deposition. It has been shown that increased inputs of SO$_4^{2-}$ accelerate leaching of acidic cations (H$^+$ and Al$^{3+}$), base cations (potassium (K$^+$), sodium (Na$^+$), calcium (Ca$^{2+}$) and magnesium (Mg$^{2+}$)), and nutrient elements and subsequently acidify terrestrial and aquatic systems (e.g. Richter et al., 1983; Reuss and Johnson, 1986).
3.3 THE MAJOR BIOGEOCHEMICAL CYCLES

3.3.1 The sulfur system

3.3.1.1 Emissions

Natural emissions of S to the atmosphere include biogenic sources, sea spray, volcanic emissions, biomass burning and wind-raised dust. The magnitudes of all natural sources are highly uncertain and exhibit high spatial and temporal variability. Estimates of global anthropogenic S emissions range from 60 to 110 Tg (S) yr\(^{-1}\) (2 to 3 T.mol.yr\(^{-1}\)) for the years 1976 to 1985 (Möller, 1984; Hameed and Dignon, 1988), comparable to but probably somewhat larger than natural emissions (excluding sea salt spray). The combustion of fossil fuels accounts for 80 to 85% of the total anthropogenic S emissions, with the remainder originating from the smelting of ores and other industrial processes and burning (Howarth et al., 1992). Evidence is accumulating that ambient S concentrations and deposition are decreasing in Western Europe (Leck and Rodhe, 1989; Mylona, 1989) and in North America (Dillon et al., 1988). However, emissions from Asia, South America, and Africa may well increase dramatically (Galloway, 1989). From 1990 to the year 2000, S emissions in Europe fell by about 37% and in the USA by about 17%, but in China over the same period emissions increased by about 30% (Kuylenstierna et al., 2001).

3.3.1.2 Transport and transformations

Sulfur dioxide, the gaseous precursor of the SO\(_4^{2-}\) aerosol, is released as a result of anthropogenic activity (fossil fuel and biomass burning) and from natural sources (volcanic gases and dimethyl sulfide) (Penner et al., 2001). Other species emitted from natural sources include hydrogen sulfide, dimethyl sulfide, dimethyl disulfide, carbonyl sulfide, carbon disulfide and methyl mercaptain. The reduced S compounds undergo oxidation in the atmosphere to SO\(_2\). The expected atmospheric residence time of most (primary) species is a day or less. Sulfur dioxide undergoes oxidation in the gas phase, primarily with the hydroxyl radical, on the surface of particles, or in precipitation elements, through oxidation with the peroxy radical or ozone, or in the presence of metal catalysts. The product in all cases is the SO\(_4^{2-}\) form either in particles or in cloud and precipitation droplets. Residence times for these various S species in the atmosphere vary depending on the chemical and meteorological regime in the region of the world in question. The chemical form in which S exists in the atmosphere has a significant influence on the efficiency with which it is removed to the surface of the earth (Whelpdale, 1992; Penner et al., 2001).

Sulfur constituents in the atmosphere can be brought to the surface by a variety of processes. Gases, such as SO\(_2\), can dissolve in cloud and raindrops or adsorb on to frozen precipitation elements. Sulfate particles are efficient condensation nuclei and are incorporated into precipitation by nucleation.
or as a result of scavenging by cloud droplets and falling drops. The efficiency of wet removal of S species depends on the form (SO$_4^{2-}$ is more efficiently removed than SO$_2$), and on the characteristics of the precipitation in a given location (Stewart and Howarth, 1992). Those deposition processes, which do not involve precipitation, are collectively termed dry deposition. Particles larger than about 10 $\mu$m in diameter may be removed by gravitational sedimentation. Smaller particles and gases, however, are more efficiently brought to the near-surface region by turbulent atmospheric motions, where they may subsequently be brought into contact with surface elements by molecular-scale processes. Actual uptake is accomplished by chemical reaction, dissolution, adsorption, etc. Sulfur dioxide is dry deposited more efficiently than particulate SO$_4^{2-}$ because it is more readily taken up at the surface location (Stewart and Howarth, 1992).

3.3.1.3 The influence of acid deposition on soil sulfur cycling

Much consideration has been given to the influence of acid deposition on S cycling. In many soils, SO$_4^{2-}$ is the most abundant divalent anion and is often the most abundant anion of any charge. As such, its abundance can influence the movement and adsorption of basic and acidic cations. In reducing environments, sulfides and polysulfides precipitate and complex with a variety of metals, often controlling the chemistry of the metals. Indirectly, precipitation of metal sulfides can control the availability of other elements, such as phosphorus (Stewart and Howarth, 1992). The extent of atmospheric SO$_4^{2-}$ retention in the soil is of the utmost importance to cationic nutrient transport, acidification processes, and aluminium mobilization. The cation leaching effects of SO$_4^{2-}$ are controlled by biological and sorption processes. As defined by Prenzel and Meiwes (1994), SO$_4^{2-}$ sorption is the process of reversibly storing both S and acidity in the soil matrix, thereby delaying and adjusting the acidification of the soil solution. Sposito (1989), defined sorption as the solid retention of SO$_4^{2-}$ that can be recovered by desorption with the addition of water and/or exchangeable anions. Kinetically, the adsorption of SO$_4^{2-}$ is very rapid (Zhang et al., 1987; Curtin and Syers 1990a) with nearly complete adsorption in minutes (Sparks, 2000). Following rapid sorption, additional removal of SO$_4^{2-}$ from solution continues at a very low rate, perhaps due to diffusive penetration of the SO$_4^{2-}$ into solid particles (Barrow, 1985), precipitation of aluminium sulfate compound(s) (Nordstorm, 1982; Khanna et al., 1986), or microbial immobilization (Freney et al., 1971).

Sulfate sorption reduces the ability of atmospheric inputs of S to cause the leaching of ions from the soil solution by reducing the concentration of SO$_4^{2-}$ in the soil solution (Singh and Johnson, 1986; Inskeep 1989). When a soil is subjected to acid deposition the concentration of SO$_4^{2-}$ in the soil solution increases. Since adsorption is concentration dependent, adsorption will also increase. Thus, sorption and biological processes can delay the cation leaching effects. Other factors such as soil pH, clay type, SO$_4^{2-}$ residence time in the soil, anion competition and the presence of oxides of Fe and Al
can also influence the degree of adsorption (Fey and Guy, 1993; Tabatabai, 2005). Sulfate may be retained in the soil by sorption to amorphous oxides and hydroxides of aluminium (Al) and iron (Fe) (Abrahamsen 1984; Van Stempvoort et al., 1990). In aerated acidic forest soils, mobilization mechanisms include the conversion of organic soil S to inorganic forms under aerated conditions, a process that is referred to as mineralization (David and Mitchell, 1987). In forest floor and humic mineral soils, the decomposition of both major forms of organic S, the mineralization of carbon-bonded S and the hydrolysis of organic $SO_4^{2-}$, are microbially mediated (Mayer et al., 1995). Sulfate can be immobilized in the soil if it is incorporated into organic matter, however, adsorption may be a more important process than biological immobilization (Reuss and Johnson, 1986). An understanding of the capacity of a soil to adsorb and retain $SO_4^{2-}$ is important for determining the effect of acid deposition on a given ecosystem (Singh and Johnson, 1986; Comfort et al., 1992).

In the subsoil, desorption can supply $SO_4^{2-}$ to the soil solution (Figure 1) (Mitchell et al., 1998). This process is dependent upon the degree to which the soil has previously adsorbed $SO_4^{2-}$, the concentration of $SO_4^{2-}$ in incoming solutions relative to the concentration with which the soil was previously equilibrated (Johnson and Todd, 1983), changes in soil pH, and the reversibility of $SO_4^{2-}$ adsorption (Mayer et al., 1995). Sulfur that was previously stored in the soil may be released, which will cause cation leaching and further lead to acidification of soils and adjacent water bodies.

![Figure 1: Schematic representation of the S cycle affecting S isotope composition (Mitchell et al., 1998).](image-url)
3.3.2 The nitrogen system

The biosphere contains a complex mixture of N compounds. The large number of compounds with different physical and chemical properties makes the picture for N flows and conversions very complex and variable. Although N is an element that is abundant on earth, only a very small proportion of it enters into the biogeochemical N cycle at significant rates. The unavailability of the 99.96% occurring as N gas, combined with the major role played by N-containing substances in all forms of life, has caused N to be one of the key elements limiting the primary production on which humans depends for food, fodder, fibre, and fuel (Rosswall, 1981).

The high energy required to break the N-N bond and the inability of most living species to utilize molecular N results in a high demand for already fixed forms of this essential element. Ecological systems are therefore, to a great extent, adapted to the recycling of the more easily available forms. Globally, 90 – 97 % of the N content of net primary production of plant biomass derives from the recycling of forms other than N2, leaving only about 3-10 % as annually fixed from the molecular N pool (Eriksson and Rosswall, 1976).

3.3.2.1 Nitrogen components

Nitrogen is present in the environment in a wide variety of chemical forms including organic N, NH4+, NO3-, nitrous oxide (N2O), NO or inorganic nitrogen gas (N2). Organic N may be in the form of a living organism, humus or in the intermediate products of organic matter decomposition.

Atmospheric N2 fixation can occur via various pathways, which include biological fixation, industrial N-fixation (in the manufacturing of fertilizers) and the combustion of fossil fuels (which release various forms of NOx). On a global scale, a source of major concern is the extent to which human activities have increased the emission of N2O into the atmosphere (Crutzen, 1972). An increase of 1.00% in the emission rate of nitrous oxide will cause a 0.20% decrease in stratospheric ozone, influencing the penetration of ultraviolet light (Crutzen, 1974).

3.3.2.2 Nitrogen deposition and effects on soil nitrogen cycling

The major sink for NOx in the atmosphere is the oxidation to HNO3, which readily dissociates into NO3- where it can be deposited as wet deposition. Dry deposition can also contribute significant loads of atmospherically derived N to ecosystems as dry gases, such as NH3 and NO2 (Kendall et al., 2007). Nitrate is weakly adsorbed onto soils and is readily leached. Ammonium, that is not biologically assimilated, is oxidized by microorganisms to NO3- (Figure 2). The nitrification of NH4+ is an important contributor of acidity to the soil as H+ ions are produced (Kennedy, 1986). The form of N
in acid precipitation also influences the amount of acidity generated and effects on cation leaching, as do uptake and leaching processes (Reuss and Johnson, 1986). If leaching occurs in non-N limited systems, especially those subject to anthropogenic inputs, acidification will occur. Leaching of base cations accompanies the leaching of acid anions (Ulrich, 1983). As calcium is depleted, the leaching of toxic inorganic aluminium is increased (Vitousek et al., 1997). Nitrate that leaches through the soils to stream water and groundwater, causes soil mineral depletion, soil acidification and alters downstream freshwater and coastal marine ecosystems (Likens et al., 1996, Nixon et al., 1996).

Concerns have arisen regarding the effects of N deposition on forest health and downstream ecosystems after observations of significant increases in NO₃⁻ concentrations in some lakes and streams (Grennfelt and Hultberg, 1986; Henriksen and Brakke, 1988; Stoddard, 1994). Numerous experiments have shown that where increased N additions led to increased NO₃⁻ mobility, the NO₃⁻ losses also led to losses of nutrient cations and increases in soil and water acidity (McNulty and Aber, 1993; Boxman et al., 1995; Emmett et al., 1995). Indeed, increased uptake of N from acid deposition has been suggested as a mechanism of forest decline in North America and Europe (Friendland et al., 1984).

![Nitrogen transformations and processes affecting δ¹⁵N values in forest ecosystems](image)

Figure 2: Nitrogen transformations and processes affecting δ¹⁵N values in forest ecosystems (Nadelhoffer and Fry, 1994).

### 3.3.3 The carbon system

The reservoirs of C consist of the atmosphere, the terrestrial biosphere (which usually includes freshwater systems and non-living organic material, such as soil C), the oceans and the sediments (which include fossil fuels). Exchanges occur between these reservoirs, because of various chemical, physical, geological, and biological processes. The global C budget is the balance of these exchanges (incomes and losses) between the C reservoirs. Over the past 200 years, humans have introduced ca.
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400 petagrams of C (PgC, where 1 petagram = 1x10^{15} metric tons) to the atmosphere through deforestation, biomass burning and the burning of fossil fuels (Sabine et al., 2004).

The C cycle is driven by the exchange of C between the land, ocean and atmosphere. The main flux from the atmosphere to the biota is expressed by the primary productivity, and this represents an important link in the C cycle (Ajtay et al., 1979). Primary productivity of an ecological system can be defined as the rate at which radiant energy is stored by photosynthetic and chemosynthetic activity of producer-organisms, chiefly green plants, in the form of organic substances which can be used as food materials (Odum, 1971).

3.3.3.1 Soil organic matter

Soil organic matter (SOM) is a very important component of the C cycle, and accurate data on this reservoir are still lacking in South Africa (du Preez, 2010). The term SOM has been used to encompass all organic materials found in soil (Stevenson, 1994), excluding charcoal (Oades, 1988), or excluding non-decayed plant and animal tissues, their partial decomposition products and the living soil biomass (MacCarthy et al., 1990). More formally, Baldock and Nelson (1998) defined SOM as the sum of all natural and thermally altered biologically derived organic material found in the soil or on the soil surface irrespective of its source, whether it is living or dead, or stage of decomposition, but excluding the above ground portion of living plants.

SOM represents a large organic C reservoir and is the result of a delicate balance between biomass accumulation by photosynthesis and oxidation by respiration. In natural systems soil organic C levels tend towards an equilibrium value, however continued alteration of management and cropping practices create a system where these levels are always in a state of flux (Baldock and Skjemstad, 1999). Loss of soil C, which has been established as the largest terrestrial C reservoir, can be caused by decreased inputs from net primary production, accelerated decomposition, and increased losses from erosion and combustion (Sabine et al., 2004). Indeed, through increased decomposition as a result of clearing and cultivation, soil C content is said to be decreasing in many parts of the world (Ajtay et al., 1979). As the impact of mankind on soil C continues to increase, the study of dead organic matter including humus, in the study of biological productivity of natural ecosystems requires further attention.

3.4 THE SOUTH AFRICAN SITUATION

Due to large-scale industrial emissions and agriculture, atmospheric pollution on the South African Highveld is perceived as a concern. Coal fired power stations generate 90 % of the country’s electricity, with Eskom (the primary electricity provider) supplying 95 % of the country’s needs. The
Mpumalanga Highveld is often referred to as the powerhouse of South Africa (Tyson et al., 1988), due to the large concentration of coal reserves and thus coal fired power stations in the area. Indeed 84% of South Africa’s total coal production originates in the Mpumalanga Highveld (Figure 3) (Mpumalanga DACE 2003). Of the 1.1 Mt of S emitted in southern Africa, 66% originates in South Africa of which an estimated 90% is released from the Mpumalanga Highveld (Sivertsen et al., 1995). In a study of the emissions from the Mpumalanga Highveld it was shown that atmospheric pollution emissions are comparable to, if not higher, than emissions from the northern hemisphere power stations (Tyson et al., 1988). Other industrial sources of atmospheric emissions in this region include petrochemical plants, ferro-alloy works, brick works, steel works and fertilizer plants. Domestic fuel burning and vehicle emissions also contribute a small fraction to the emission inventory (Blight et al., 2007). In South Africa anthropogenically induced atmospheric deposition of S and N is large compared to natural export via run-off, and of comparable magnitude to that experienced in first world countries (Blight et al., 2007). The effects of atmospheric pollution on human health, soils, surface waters, forests, agricultural crops and forests have received research attention (e.g. Scholes et al., 1996; Terblanche, 1996). However, substantial gaps exist in the knowledge base of the South African situation.

A study conducted on the long-term effects of air pollution on soil properties near the Arnot Power Station, Mpumalanga, showed that the soils have indeed become more acidic over time (Reid, 2007). In addition, the concentrations of calcium and magnesium in both the top-soils and the sub-soils, as well as the effective cation exchange capacity in the sub-soils showed a significant increase since 1996. Soluble $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ in the sub-soils showed a significant negative relationship with distance from the power station. The concentration of total S has significantly increased in both the top-soils and the sub-soils; extractable $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ showed a significant increase in the top-soils and soluble $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ showed a significant increase in the sub-soils. However, approximately 8.1 km downwind from the power station, the concentration of total S was lowest in both the top-soils and the sub-soils, while extractable $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$was lowest in the top-soils. This could possibly be as a result of lowered deposition of acidic components at this site. However, other studies have found the greatest environmental impact from power generation appears to be within 10.0 km to 15.0 km from the source (Freedman and Hutchinson 1980; Annegarn et al., 1996; Ek et al., 2001).
Grasslands

Parts of Mpumalanga fall within the grassland biome, a region where the average annual precipitation is very variable. Shallow soils, high fire frequency and frost limit the distribution of trees. Arid or semi-arid grasslands are fragile ecosystems in which the organic C and N pools are relatively smaller compared with those in some other ecosystems. As a result, these grassland ecosystems are more sensitive to climate change and the disturbances of human activities (Rutherford and Westfall, 1986).

3.5 THE USE OF STABLE ISOTOPES IN ECOLOGICAL STUDIES

Assessing the effects of chronic levels of SO$_x$ and NO$_x$ as well as the consequences of SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ deposition poses a challenge for environmental scientists. Environmental factors such as the availability of water and nutrients, can affect air pollution absorption and their effects on plants, thereby contributing to the complexity of the problem (Winner and Atkinson, 1986). A wide range of
ecological specialists suggest the use of stable isotopes of S and N to quantify the absorption of SO\(_x\) and NO\(_x\) from atmospheric sources and to reveal the mechanisms that underlie the effects of acid deposition on S and N systems (e.g. Winner et al., 1989; Dawson, 2002).

Stable isotopes offer tremendous potential for new approaches on research on a wide variety of ecological processes (Rundel et al., 1989). The occurrence of natural stable isotopes of S, N and oxygen in SO\(_4^{2-}\) and NO\(_3^-\) can be used to identify the sources of S and N as well as process transformations in environmental cycles. Specific sources and transformation pathways often yield identifiable signatures compromising the relative abundance and occurrence of species in relation to one another (Elliott, 2006). Natural differences in the stable isotope composition of biological and abiotic compounds of ecological interest result from differences in a variety of predictable factors that influence fractionation. These include source effects, diffusional constraints, enzyme selectivity, and interactions between compounds (Rundel et al., 1989). Thus, the use of stable isotope investigations can provide new insights into the source and pathways of environmental pollutants, as well as the fluxes between different compartments in the abiotic environment. The use of stable isotope techniques in ecological research has grown steadily during the past two decades. Stable isotope information has provided insights across a range of spatial scales from the cell to the plant community, ecosystem, or region and over temporal scales from seconds to centuries. The elegance of stable isotope methods derives from the fact that the behaviour of stable isotopes in ecological systems and biogeochemical cycles is reasonably well understood owing to the pioneering work of isotope chemists and geochemists (Dawson et al., 2002).

Most elements of biological interest have two or more stable isotopes, with one isotope usually present in far greater abundance. Isotopic composition is measured by determining the ratio of the two most abundant stable isotopes present in the sample (Rundel et al., 1989). Isotope effects are usually small and fractional differences in isotope ratios (\(\delta\) values) are usually expressed in parts per thousand (‰) relative to a standard. For example, the natural stable S isotope composition of a sample (in ‰) is given as:

\[
\delta^{34}S = \left[ \frac{\left( \frac{34}{32}S \right)_{\text{sample}}}{\left( \frac{34}{32}S \right)_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right] \times 1000
\]
3.5.1 Sulfur isotopes

The stable isotope abundance determination for S is usually restricted to $^{32}\text{S}$ and $^{34}\text{S}$, as the ratio of $^{34}\text{S}/^{32}\text{S}$ reflects the ratio of the two most abundant isotopes (Krouse, 1989). Meteoritic iron sulfide (FeS) has been accepted as an international standard because of its isotopic consistency and composition close to the mean of the terrestrial range (Krouse, 1989; Mook, 2000). A δ$^{34}$S value of zero indicates that the sample and meteor reference have the same δ$^{34}$S/δ$^{32}$S ratios (Winner et al., 1989). Pollutant S can be traced isotopically through the biosphere if it differs in isotopic composition from environmental receptors and subsequent fractionation processes are not extensive (Krouse, 1989). Sources of pollution often have a distinct δ$^{34}$S signature, which can be used to follow transect pathways and resistance times of S in terrestrial ecosystems (Krouse et al., 1984). Sulfur isotope data can also indicate whether S has found its way into a given soil horizon from atmospheric fallout or by transportation in solution from subsurface waters (Krouse and Case, 1981). The large variation in δ$^{34}$S values between pollutant S and natural plant and soil S has led to the important use of S isotope ratios as tracers of pollutant transfer (Krouse, 1989). In addition, the analysis of stable S isotope distributions can be helpful in documenting the fate of SO$_2$ in various ecosystems (Winner et al., 1989), as there are distinct isotopic differences between anthropogenic and background S (Krouse, 1987). Isotopic ratios can thus be used as source tracers if the various sources are isotopically different from each other and if changes in isotopic composition during pollution transport and transformation are small. Fortunately, the latter criterion is known to be met, e.g. in the case of S oxidation in the atmosphere (Hitchcock and Black, 1984; Krouse, 1987).

3.5.1.1 Atmospheric sources of sulfur

Sulfur isotope analysis of atmospheric aerosols is a well-established tool for identifying sources of S in the atmosphere, estimating emission factors, and tracing the spread of S from anthropogenic sources through ecosystems. Primary SO$_4^{2-}$ particles, such as sea salt, mineral dust, fly ash or industrial dust are directly emitted with S in the form of SO$_4^{2-}$. Therefore, the isotopic composition of primary sulfate particles can be interpreted directly as a source signature. Five particle types dominate primary particles: biological particles, mineral dust, industrial dust, resuspended road dust and fly ash. Sulfur in plant tissue mostly reflects the isotopic composition of the atmospheric input (dry and wet deposition); unless other sources such as artificial fertilizer or local geology dominate the S input into soil (Krouse and Grinenko, 1991; Gebauer et al., 1994; Novak et al., 2000, Zhao et al., 2003).

Anthropogenic sulfates exhibit a wide range of δ$^{34}$S values, depending on regionally varying sources [combustion, fossil fuel refining, gypsum processing, ore smelting (Table 1) (Nielsen, 1974; Krouse and Grinenko, 1991)]. The δ$^{34}$S value of sea spray is approximately 20 ‰; the average of all
volcanic emissions is around 5 ‰ while most of the biogenic emissions are isotopically lighter (Pichlmayer et al., 1998)

Table 1. $\delta^{34}$S values (‰) for a selection of natural and anthropogenic sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Mean $\delta^{34}$S value (‰)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sea-spray</td>
<td>$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>+21</td>
<td>Rees (1970)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcanic activity</td>
<td>Total S</td>
<td>+4.7</td>
<td>Lein (1968)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flue gas from coal combustion</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1 to +3</td>
<td>Nielsen (1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion and refining of oil and gas</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{S}$</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>Pankina (1983)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5.1.2 Lithospheric sources of sulfur

The entire S contributed to our environment by natural processes and human activity originates from reservoirs in the earth's crust. In many cases, the $\delta^{34}$S can serve as a 'fingerprint' to identify sources of S and trace its fate in the environment (Nielson et al., 1991).

Gypsum (CaSO$_4$.2H$_2$O) is one of the most common evaporitic minerals occurring in terrestrial, lacustrine and marine sedimentary environments and can be found along most of the hyper-arid coastline from South Africa through to Angola (Watson, 1979). The origin of southern Africa’s most extensive gypsum accumulation is still unclear, while Cagle (1975) proposed a bedrock source which could be significant for gypsum in South Africa (Visser, 1963). Bedrock sulfides from the Damaran Orogen have a $\delta^{34}$S from -4.1 to +13.8 ‰, (mean +4.8 ‰), with average $\delta^{34}$S isotopic composition for potential source rocks such as the Matchless Amphibolite Belt mean at +8.7 ‰, the Rössing Formation mean at +3.5 ‰ and the Karibib Formation mean at +3.8 ‰ (Shannon and Hugo, 1974; Pirajno et al., 1992; Eckardt and Spiro, 1999). Weathering of these sulfides would produce $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ with $\delta^{34}$S values similar to their source sulfide. Reimer (1986) found South African playa gypsum to have a mean $\delta^{34}$S of 15.1 ‰, while the S isotopic composition for terrestrial gypsum in the Namib desert is slightly lower at mean 13.9 ‰ (Eckardt and Spiro, 1999). Gypsum from the organic-rich marine sediments,
acting as a marine atmospheric H$_2$S proxy, have lighter isotopic S values of -34.8 to -4.5 ‰, which are typical for organic-rich, sulfate-reducing upwelling sediments (Kaplan and Ritterberg, 1964).

Sulfide ores such as pyrite, chalcopyrite, polymetallic and copper-nickel deposits are a major source of anthropogenic S (Grinenko and Grinenko, 1991). The global mean $\delta^{34}$S value for pyrite-chalcopyrite deposits ranges from -2.0 to +5.0 ‰. The average isotope composition of S compounds released during sulfide ore processing is slightly enriched in $^{34}$S and the average $\delta^{34}$S value has been taken as +3.4 ‰ (Grinenko and Grinenko, 1991).

The extent of S contribution to the environment from the mining and utilization of coal is well known. Although SO$_2$ is the main form in which S is released into the atmosphere by coal utilization, S may also be introduced into the environment as SO$_4^{2-}$ during the mining of coal or from the weathering of coal stockpiles and waste dumps (Smith and Bates, 1974). Sulfur in coal occurs in several chemical forms, as Fe sulfide, as organic S, as SO$_4^{2-}$ and as elemental S, while pyrite and organic S are the most abundant forms. Mach et al. (1999) measured $\delta^{34}$S ratios of 70 samples of coal from all stratigraphic levels in the Northern Czech Republic, arriving at a mean value of 2.0 ‰. This $\delta^{34}$S signature of the pollution source differs from $\delta^{34}$S of the forest floor (3.4 ‰) by only 1.4 ‰. Hortsmann et al. (2001) measured ten sulfide concentrates (pyrite) from coal samples from the Witbank coalfield and the results are given below (Table 2). Their study concluded that the source of S in the studied coal was likely to have been a combination of original plant S and $^{34}$S depleted S from bacterial reduction of dissolved SO$_4^{2-}$ in (ground) water.

Table 2. Total S concentration (%) and $\delta^{34}$S (‰) value for South African coal (Horstmann, 2001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total S (%)</th>
<th>$\delta^{34}$S (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low-S coal</td>
<td>0.9 - 1.1</td>
<td>Pyrite: -30 to +17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate–S coal</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>SO$_4^{2-}$: -11 to +5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Organic S: -2.5 to +4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within-seam variations in the isotopic composition of coal seam components have been firmly established (Smith and Batts, 1974; Price and Shieh, 1979) and according to Smith and Bates (1974), isotopic data for total S in coal cannot be used to assess the isotopic composition of emissions to the
atmosphere due to the numerous fractionation processes that occur. It has been suggested that a more extensive global assessment of the isotopic composition of coals should be undertaken.

### 3.5.1.3 Sulfate isotopic composition

The large variations in the S isotopic composition ($\delta^{34}$S values from ca. −50.0 to ca. +30.0 ‰) in the lithosphere, and the additional spatial–temporal dependence of oxygen isotopic composition of precipitation, results in dissolved $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ having a remarkable fingerprint (e.g. Clark and Fritz, 1997). Sulfate isotopic composition has thus been used in many studies to examine the $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ sources and processes affecting its content during the hydrological cycle. An investigation was carried out on the $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ content, as well as $\delta^{34}$S and $\delta^{18}$O of dissolved $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ in a crystalline massif located in the Sudety Mountains, Poland, in order to assess the amount of the $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ delivered to the surface and groundwater systems by modern atmospheric precipitation (Sznikiewicz et al., 2008). Approximately one third of the $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ delivered to the surface and groundwater by modern precipitation was attributed to anthropogenic pollution. The $\delta^{34}$S ($\text{SO}_4^{2-}$) values in the precipitation had a small range of variations from 3.27 to 7.6 ‰, with an average of 5.7 ‰. These values were slightly higher, on average by 1.2 ‰, than $\delta^{34}$S ($\text{SO}_4^{2-}$) values in surface water and groundwater (average 4.6 ‰). These values were in agreement with results for freshwater $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ and suggest that $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, affected by biological transformation, was probably the most important constituent of sulfate in the catchment under study.

### 3.5.1.4 The use of sulfur isotopes to document fractionation processes in the sulfur cycle

Chemical and biological isotope fractionation processes cause variations in the natural abundance of S isotopes in the S cycle (Mitchell et al., 1998). The former may be extremely slow and therefore difficult to observe, while biological processes are known to accelerate kinetic isotope fractionation (Mitchell et al., 1998). Two processes suspected to cause 'overall' S fractionations are assimilation of S by plants and mineralization of organic S in the soil (Krouse and Grinenko, 1991).

In general, $^{34}$S is enriched in sulfate and depleted in sulfide (Kemp and Thode, 1968). This effect is observed during $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ reduction, from $\text{S}^{6+}$ to $\text{S}^{2-}$. Indeed, the most important aspect of the S cycle in soils and sediments is the reduction of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ by microorganisms. In the dissimilatory reduction of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ to form $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ with the subsequent oxidation of organic matter, large isotopic fractionation occurs (Jackson and Gough, 1989). It is this primary fractionation process in nature that enables the use of stable isotope ratios to elucidate anthropogenic influences in the S cycle. As S is reduced in anaerobic sediments, $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ becomes isotopically depleted in $^{34}$S, while the residual $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ becomes enriched in $^{34}$S (Nielson, 1974).
Soil sorption/desorption of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ buffers the short-term variation in the concentration of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ in water draining from soils as well as the isotopic composition of the dissolved $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ (Fuller et al., 1985; Van Stempvoort 1989). If isotope techniques are to be used to trace the effect of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ deposition, it is essential to examine any fractionation effects. In strongly polluted soils, soil $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values have known to shift by approximately 20 ‰ (Krouse and Case, 1981). However, based on field studies of the upland forest soil B-horizon in the Plastic Lake watershed, Ontario, there was no significant fractionation of $\text{S}$ and $\text{O}$ isotopes in $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ by soil sorption, including mineral adsorption (Van Stempvoort et al., 1990). According to Van Stempvoort et al., (1990) mere adsorption and desorption of inorganic $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ does not cause $\text{S}$ isotope fractionation. The $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ pattern of inorganic $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ is site-specific because it is controlled by two site-specific processes:

(i) Existence/non-existence of preferential pathways for fast seepage of unaltered atmospheric $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ to deeper soil horizons, and

(ii) Mixing of atmospheric $\text{S}$ (primary $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ with newly mineralized organic $\text{S}$ (secondary $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$)

Van Stempvoort et al. (1990) details two-fractionation scenarios for total soil $\text{S}$ in unploughed forested sites: the first isotope effect is discrimination of the heavier isotope $^{34}\text{S}$ during assimilation of atmogenic $\text{S}$ by spruce; the second biological $\text{S}$ isotope shift is caused by mineralization of organic soil $\text{S}$. With increasing soil depth, the older, more mature organic residues become enriched in the heavier isotope whereas the soil water becomes enriched in the lighter isotope, which is preferentially mineralized and removed (Van Stempvoort et al., 1990).

In a study on the isotope compositions of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ in bulk precipitation near Munich (Germany) and seepage water and soil $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ in acid forest soils representative of southern Germany, similar results were found (Mayer et al., 1995). The $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values of inorganic $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ at the depth of 50-60 cm were nearly identical to the $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values of seepage water $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ from the lysimeters prior to irrigation. This supports findings of Van Stempvoort et al. (1990) in the Plastic Lake watershed (Canada), indicating that $\text{S}$ isotope fractionation between adsorbed and aqueous $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ in soils is negligible. Laboratory studies using synthesized goethite, an important sulfate-sorbing phase in soils, gave similar results (Mayer et al., 1995). Some $\text{SO}_4^{2-} \delta^{34}\text{S}$ data from previous studies of soils and organic-poor marine sediments also indicate negligible isotope effects associated with sorption. Thus, biological redox cycling of $\text{S}$ remains the only known Earth-surface process that strongly fractionates (>3.0 ‰) $\text{S}$ and O isotopes in $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ (Van Stempvoort et al., 1990).

A study by Norman et al. (2002) measured $\text{S}$ isotope fractionation under conditions approaching gross mineralization. Laboratory incubation–extraction experiments were performed on samples from the Oh and Ah horizons of a Black Forest soil in a catchment near Schluchsee, Germany.
Changes in $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values for SO$_4^{2-}$ extracted every three days with deionized-distilled water over a three week incubation period were found to be small (<1.5 ‰). A second experiment used the addition of dilute ammonium sulfate solution, enriched in $^{34}\text{S}$ relative to soil S, to demonstrate unequivocally that SO$_4^{2-}$ adsorption and desorption during the incubation were negligible. This study concluded that the leaching of soils led to a loss of isotopically light organic SO$_4^{2-}$ from the organic S pool. This process was considered responsible for progressively heavier $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values for organic S with depth in undisturbed forest soils (Norman et al., 2002).

The analysis of natural abundance ratios of stable isotopes can provide a useful approach to elucidate the biogeochemical S cycle. A study was conducted in two catchments in the Black Forest (Germany) in order to determine the isotope compositions of S compounds in the two-forested catchments, for which S fluxes and pool sizes of all major S sources were known (Mayer et al., 1995). Whereas S isotope measurements should allow the identification of S sources in different ecosystem compartments, oxygen isotope ratios in SO$_4^{2-}$ were used as a potential indicator for redox reactions. The isotopic composition of SO$_4^{2-}$ in bulk precipitation, canopy throughfall, and seepage water at three different soil depths, stream water, and groundwater were monitored. Isotope measurements on aqueous SO$_4^{2-}$ were complemented by $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ -analyses on SO$_2$ in the air, total S and inorganic SO$_4^{2-}$ in the soil, and bedrock S. The similarity in $\delta^{34}\text{S}$-values between precipitation and throughfall SO$_4^{2-}$ suggested that dry deposition of S compounds, isotopically similar to those of bulk precipitation, was the reason for the observed increase in S fluxes in the study area. The slight variations in $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values of atmospheric S constituents over time was believed to be caused by seasonal variability in S sources with different isotopic signatures such as burning of oil and coal (Nielsen 1974), emissions from automobile exhaust (Grey and Jensen, 1972), or marine S sources (Nriagu et al., 1991; Newman et al., 1991; Wadleigh et al., 1994). The mass weighted mean $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ value for bulk precipitation and throughfall SO$_4^{2-}$ in both catchments was found to be +2.1 ‰ over the entire observation period of the study. This value was interpreted as the isotopic signature of long-range transported S of anthropogenic and natural origin, since the study sites in the Black Forest are remote with only minor emissions from local industrial sources. The soil S isotope data indicated that atmospheric deposition was the dominant source of SO$_4^{2-}$ throughout the mineral soil horizons of the two catchments, whereas lithogenic S derived from mineral weathering was rather insignificant. In addition, it was found that microbial transformations were not only responsible for the depletion in the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values of seepage water SO$_4^{2-}$, but they also explained variations in the $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values of different S compounds in different soil horizons. These variations did not indicate multiple sources of S in this ecosystem but in situ isotope fractionation during S mineralization and immobilization in the soil (Mayer et al., 1995).
3.5.2 Nitrogen isotopes

Two stable isotopes of N occur, $^{14}\text{N}$ and $^{15}\text{N}$, the former being the most abundant (Mook, 2000). The ratio of these two stable N isotopes for $\text{N}_2$ in air is constant, which makes atmospheric $\text{N}_2$ useful as the standard in the convention used in stable isotope analysis. Isotopic composition is expressed in parts per thousand deviation from the atmospheric N as defined by the following equation:

$$
\delta^{15}\text{N} = \left[ \frac{\frac{^{15}\text{N}}{^{14}\text{N}}_{\text{sample}}}{\frac{^{15}\text{N}}{^{14}\text{N}}_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right] \times 1000
$$

A wide range of oxidation states exhibited by N compounds leads to a wide range of $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ ratios being present in compounds of differing natural origins. This is useful for identifying sources and pathways of N in the environment (Kendall and McDonnel, 1998).

3.5.2.1 Tracing anthropogenic inputs of nitrogen to ecosystems

The identification of actual N sources and the understanding of the processes affecting local $\text{NO}_3^-$ concentrations can aid in the development of effective water quality and land-use management practices. In many circumstances, N isotopes offer a direct means of source identification because different sources of $\text{NO}_3^-$ often leave distinct isotope compositions (Kendall et al., 2007). In addition, biological cycling of N often changes isotope ratios in predictable and recognizable directions. N isotope studies often include the analysis of the oxygen isotope of $\text{NO}_3^-$ ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$), especially in the studies of the role of atmospheric deposition in watersheds (Kendall and McDonnel, 1998).

Air pollution sources have been distinguished using $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ analysis of $\text{NO}_x$ for the past several decades. Generally, anthropogenic $\text{NO}_x$ sources have positive $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values (Kendall et al., 2007), and existing studies suggest that natural $\text{NO}_x$ sources, including lightning, have lower $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values than anthropogenically derived $\text{NO}_x$ from fossil fuel combustion. It has also been documented that relatively pristine sites usually have lower $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ($\text{NO}_2$) values than highly polluted or heavily travelled sites (Moore, 1977). $\text{NO}_x$ emissions from coal-fired power stations have been reported in the range of $+6\%_o$ to $+13\%_o$ (Heaton, 1990) while that of vehicle exhaust is reported in the range of $+3.7\%_o$ to $+5.8\%_o$ (Moore, 1977; Pearson et al., 2000). In both vehicle and stationary source fossil fuel combustion, the isotopic value of the resulting $\text{NO}_x$ is suggested to be a function of the N present in the original fuel, the $\text{N}_2$ pumped through the engine and the fractionations associated with the thermal $\text{NO}_x$
production. Since thermally produced NO\textsubscript{x} is presumed to have lower $\delta^{15}$N values than fuel-derived NO\textsubscript{x}, it is assumed that vehicle NO\textsubscript{x} emissions have lower $\delta^{15}$N values compared with that of stationary sources (Kendall et al., 2007). As for biogenic soil emissions and biomass burning, $\delta^{15}$N values are expected to be $<0$ \textperthousand due to the preferential volatilization of $^{14}$N.

Soil N composition can be used to identify and trace sources of atmospheric input into ecosystems. Heaton (1987) measured the $\delta^{15}$N of oxidized and reduced N gases. The $\delta^{15}$N values ranged from -150.0 \textperthousand for oxidized N gases (from the stack of a HNO\textsubscript{3} plant) to 5.2 \textperthousand for emissions from a coal-burning power station. Formation of HNO\textsubscript{3}, by the incremental solution of NO, in water absorption towers, if accompanied by a large exchange isotopic fractionation, could leave NO, with this low $\delta^{15}$N value. The $\delta^{15}$N value of the HNO\textsubscript{3} was found to be -6.0 \textperthousand. As mentioned previously, power stations and vehicles produce NO, chiefly by oxidation of atmospheric N ($\delta^{15}$N = 0.0 \textperthousand, and partly from the fuel [$\delta^{15}$N of coal = -2.0 to +3.0 \textperthousand (Wlotzka, 1972)]. Since the oxidation is rapid and occurs at high temperatures relatively little fractionation is envisaged; $\delta^{15}$N values for pollutant NO, may therefore be expected to be close to 0.0 \textperthousand. However, the $\delta^{15}$N of atmospheric deposition can vary significantly depending on the N form and season. Weighted values for wet and dry deposition estimated the $\delta^{15}$N of total atmospheric deposition to be -3.0 \textperthousand for NO\textsubscript{3} and NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} (Heaton, 1986). However, significant temporal variation is known to be common.

Bragazza et al. (2004) integrated temporal and source changes at 16 sites across 11 European countries, and identified sources of atmospheric deposition by measuring the $\delta^{15}$N of mosses. Moss $\delta^{15}$N varied from -8 to -3 \textperthousand across the gradient. The $\delta^{15}$N values were not correlated with total deposition, annual temperature, or annual precipitation. Instead, the isotopic compositions were significantly correlated with the ratio of reduced to oxidized N (NH\textsubscript{x}/NO\textsubscript{x}) in the deposition. Mosses located in areas with greater emissions of NH\textsubscript{3} from agriculture activities had lower $\delta^{15}$N values than those more heavily influenced by NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from industrial activity.

3.5.2.2 The use of nitrogen isotopes to document processes in the soil nitrogen cycle

Fractionation during mineralization results in small isotopic differences and it is assumed that the observed discrimination is negligible (Evans, 2007). In light of the changing understanding of soil N cycling and technological advances, further research is needed on the processes and fractionation events that produce organism-available N. It is believed that the overall rate of soil N cycling is limited by the rate of depolymerisation of amino acids from soil organic matter that occurs at localized microsites within the soil (Schimel and Bennett, 2004). In addition to the uptake of inorganic N, organic N uptake may occur either directly by plants or through mycorrhizae (Schimel and Bennett,
For this reason, bulk soil values may provide little information on the $\delta^{15}$N of N assimilated by organisms.

Studies on $\delta^{15}$N of inorganic reduced N compounds compared with oxidized forms are becoming more important because 70% of atmospheric NH$_3$ originates from volatilization of NH$_4^+$. The observed discrimination with volatilization can be large because the formation of gaseous NH$_3$ from NH$_4^+$ involves several steps and each can discriminate against $^{15}$N (Evans, 2007). The first of these reactions is the formation of NH$_3$ from NH$_4^+$, which induces an isotopic effect of ca. 20‰. Final volatilization of NH$_3$ into the atmosphere results in an observed discrimination of 30‰, depending on the concentration gradient between the soil and atmosphere (Högberg, 1997).

A constant pattern across ecosystems is that the soil $\delta^{15}$N increases and N content decreases with soil depth (Shearer and Kohl, 1986; Fry, 1991; Högberg, 1997). Possible mechanisms for this observation include (Nadelhoffer and Fry, 1988):

- discrimination during decomposition,
- differential preservation of components enriched in $^{15}$N and
- illuviation of $^{15}$N enriched organic matter in deeper soil horizons.

The most likely mechanisms for the increase with depth are inputs of litter at the soil surface that are isotopically lighter than organic matter and overall isotopic fractionation during microbial processing of organic matter during decomposition and N loss (Figure 4) (Nadelhoffer and Fry, 1988; Kramer et al., 2003). Fractionation for each N transformation that occurs with increasing soil depth causes the remaining soil N to become progressively enriched in $^{15}$N as N moves down the soil profile (Figure 4).
Simultaneous analysis of both soil N content and N isotopic composition can provide information on N sources to the ecosystem. Soil N content decreases during decomposition because of net mineralization of SOM and subsequent gaseous loss by volatilization and denitrification. As previously mentioned, these decreases in soil N content are accompanied by a corresponding increase in the soil $\delta^{15}N$ (Nadelhoffer and Fry 1988). This process follows Rayleigh distillation kinetics (Mariotti et al., 1982; Nadelhoffer and Fry, 1988) and can be described by:

$$\delta^{15}N_t = \delta^{15}N_0 + \varepsilon \ln \left( \frac{C_t}{C_0} \right)$$  

(3)

where $\delta^{15}N_t$ is the isotopic composition of the substrate at time t, $\delta^{15}N_0$ is the isotopic composition of the substrate at the start of the reaction and $C_t/C_0$ is the fraction of the substrate remaining after time t. When $\delta^{15}N_t$ is plotted against $C_t/C_0$, the slope of the linear relationship ($\varepsilon$) is equal to the fractionation associated with decomposition (Mariotti et al., 1981). Extrapolation back to $C_t/C_0 = 1$ yields the expected isotopic composition of the original N source.
If values of $\varepsilon$ were similar among soils, then differences in the $y$-intercept between soils would indicate sources of different isotopic composition. The isotopic composition and concentration of the original substrate are seldom known and so Equation (3) is rewritten as:

$$\delta^{15}N_I = k + \varepsilon \ln\left([N]\right) \quad (4)$$

Where $\delta^{15}N_0$ and $C/C_0$ in Eq. (1) are replaced by a constant $k$, and soil N concentration ([N]) respectively (Fustec et al., 1991).

In arid ecosystems, cyanobacteria and lichens that are capable of N fixation dominate the primary source of N input in the biological soil crust. Surface disturbance can be widespread in these systems and eliminates biological soil crusts and N fixation. Therefore identifying whether N input is dominated by physical (atmospheric deposition) or biological (N$_2$ fixation) processes is important to determine the potential affects of surface disturbance on ecosystem N cycling. Evans and Ehleringer (1993) used a Rayleigh relationship to assess the relative contribution of physical and biological processes (Figure 5). The predicted linear relationship between soil $\delta^{15}$N and the natural log of soil N content was established. Values for the biological soil crust fell immediately along this relationship while values for atmospheric deposition fell well off the relationship. Evans and Belnap (1999), who observed lower soil N contents, confirmed these results and greater soil $\delta^{15}$N values in disturbed versus undisturbed sites.

![Figure 5. Relationship between soil $\delta^{15}$N and Ln. (soil nitrogen content) in soils and in two potential sources of N in cold desert ecosystems (Evans and Ehleringer, 1993).](image)

Measurements of natural abundance $^{15}$N have been used increasingly as an indicator of change in the N
cycling of forests (Dawson, 2002). Elevated levels of N availability can lead to increased rates of N cycling. This increase in turn results in $^{15}$N enrichment of each soil pool as the lighter $^{14}$N isotopes are preferentially lost through leaching and denitrification. Other studies have used natural abundance $^{15}$N and $^{18}$O within NO$_3^-$ simultaneously to examine whether N deposited onto a forest is cycled within plants and microbes or directly passes through the forest into nearby streams without biological processing, an indicator that the system may have reached N saturation (Durka et al., 1994).

### 3.5.3 Carbon isotopes

The fractionation of C isotopes that occurs during ecosystem processes makes stable isotopes an ideal tool in understanding terrestrial ecosystem pathways. Much of the C isotope work has focused on C3 vs. C4 plant dynamics because of the substantial difference in $^{13}$C discrimination in these photosynthetic pathways. Carbon isotope data are usually expressed as $\delta^{13}$C, which is defined as the relative difference between the isotope ratios of sample and standard. The international standard is Vienna-Pee Dee Belemnite (V-PDB). The present $\delta^{13}$C value of the atmosphere is -8.0 ‰. C3 plants show a $\delta^{13}$C ranging from -32.0 ‰ to -22.0 ‰ (mean of -27 ‰) and C4 plants have values of -16.0 ‰ to -9.0 ‰ (mean of -13.0 ‰) (Balesdent and Mariotti, 1996; Boutton, 1996).

The $\delta^{13}$C value of SOM reflects the relative proportion of C3 to C4 plant material present (Ludlow et al., 1976; Martin et al., 1990). On this basis, natural $^{13}$C abundance in SOM has been used as a proxy to estimate the turnover rates of SOM when the original vegetation was replaced by plants with a different photosynthetic pathway (Martin et al., 1990; Trouve et al., 1994). It has also been utilized as a tracer of paleoecological vegetation changes in many parts of the world. This includes Africa (Bond et al., 1994; Schwartz et al., 1986), India (Mariotti and Peterschmitt, 1994), South America (Guillet et al., 1988; Volkoff and Cerri, 1987), Central America (Johnson and Wedin, 1997), and western North America (Dzurec et al., 1985; McPherson et al., 1993; Steuter et al., 1990).

#### 3.5.3.1 Using variation of $\delta^{13}$C with depth to estimate soil carbon pools

SOM can be distinguished into two pools according to their mean residence time: ‘active soil carbon’ with turnover rates of 25 years and ‘passive soil carbon’ with turnover rates on the order of hundreds to thousands of years (Balesdent and Guillet, 1982; Connin et al., 1997; Harrison, 1996). It is still not clear what properties of SOM determine what material makes up each category. $\delta^{13}$C values differ for each soil fraction, with the coarser, sandier fractions containing less C with lower in $^{13}$C values than the clay-rich fraction (Balesdent et al., 1988; Bird et al., 2000; Gregorich et al., 1995; Martin et al., 1990). It may be that physical protection by the clay minerals rather than chemical differences distinguish the two categories (Hsieh, 1997) or selective preservation of fine particulates with increased fire frequency (Bird et al., 2000).
The $\delta^{13}C$ of SOM generally increases with depth in soil that has remained under the same plant community for an extended period of time (O'Brien and Stout, 1978; Desjardins et al., 1994). In the tropics, several authors (e.g. Volkoff et al., 1982; Desjardins et al., 1991) have reported increases of 2 to 4 ‰ within the upper meter. This trend appears to be independent of soil physical and chemical conditions (Balesdent et al., 1993). This pattern of increasing $\delta^{13}C$ values with depth is attributed to isotopic fractionation during decomposition of plant material and humification (Ågren et al., 1996). Soil $\delta^{13}C$ values increase when the size of physical SOM fractions decrease (Cerri et al., 1985; Vitorello et al., 1989; Desjardins et al., 1991; Feigl et al., 1995). The increase of $\delta^{13}C$ during humification is probably due to preferential decomposition of organic compounds depleted in $^{13}C$, conversion of humic to fulvic acids (Nadelhoffer and Fry, 1988; Balesdent et al., 1993), or incorporation of older, depleted soil organic matter (Wedin et al., 1995). Flexor and Volkoff (1977) studied changes in $\delta^{13}C$ values of the humus chemical fractions of a humic ferralitic soil profile under a Brazilian tropical forest. They showed that the humic fraction had a more negative $\delta^{13}C$ values than the others fractions, but selective preservation of specific groups of compounds such as lignin does not explain the pattern of $\delta^{13}C$ with increased SOM age in all cases (Wedin et al., 1995).

### 3.5.4 Conclusion

Amplified loads of NO$_3^-$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ have caused increased stress on soil and water systems in many areas of the world, as both are dominant components of acid rain. Excess deposition of these nutrients have negative impacts on ecosystem health and services such as loss of biodiversity (Sala et al., 2000; Stevens et al., 2004), eutrophication and N saturation (Aber et al., 1998), soil acidification (Richter and Markewitz, 2001), and increased susceptibility to secondary stresses (Aerts and Bobbink, 1999; Witzell and Shevtsova, 2004). Future climate changes may exacerbate the situation by affecting biogeochemical controls on the transport of water, nutrients and other materials from land to freshwater ecosystems (Kendall et al., 2007).

In order to make effective progress in environmental management, it is essential that the understanding of the processes that affect local N and S concentrations is broadened and more sophisticated ways to identify actual N and S sources are developed. Stable isotope studies can offer a means to better understand these nutrient cycles and their interactions. In addition, isotope studies enables source identification, as anthropogenic and natural sources of S and N often have distinct isotopic compositions, and the processes that occur in the cycling of these elements yield recognizable and predictable isotope ratios. Thus, the analysis of $\delta^{34}S$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values from various sources can aid in tracing the origin of these pollutants and their fate in the environment.
4 METHODS AND MATERIALS

4.1 STUDY SITE SELECTION

The Mpumalanga province is naturally divided into three physiographic zones *(Error! Reference source not found.)*, namely the Escarpment, the Lowveld and the Highveld. The Highveld forms the bulk of south-western Mpumalanga and comprises flat, gently undulating terrain at high altitude. Mean annual rainfall ranges from 500 to 900 mm and the mean annual temperature is 12°C. The area of interest falls into the climatological transition region between the Highveld and the nearby escarpment. The nearest representative long-term climatological observations are from Ermelo, about 90 km north north-east of Amersfoort (SAWB, 1986). The mean annual precipitation there is 755 mm, with January (126 mm) and November (131 mm) having the highest mean monthly rainfall. Rain is recorded on 96.7 days yr\(^{-1}\) (≥0.1 mm), of which on average 24 days receive ≥10 mm day\(^{-1}\). However, from May to August the average monthly rainfall totals are ≤20 mm (Mphepya *et al.*, 2004).

The Majuba Power Station is one of Eskom’s youngest power stations, having been operational since 2001. It is situated between Amersfoort and Volksrust, about 55 km from Standerton, Mpumalanga (Figure 6). Majuba is the most southern of the 11 functional Eskom coal fired power stations, and is approximately 200 km south-east of Johannesburg and 50–100 km south-east to south-south-east of the major industrial activities, which also includes the main centre of electric power generation in the Mpumalanga Highveld area. The area around Majuba was selected as the sampling site as background pollution levels are assumed to be low due to its distance from densely populated and industrial sites. A map of the estimated annual SO\(_x\) ground level concentrations in the Mpumalanga area illustrates how the area of sampling was not impacted by high SO\(_x\) concentrations which occur further north and west of the sampling site *(Error! Reference source not found.)*. Thus, the area selected was considered a good indication of local and combined impacts of these power stations.

As part of the strategic ambient air quality-monitoring network, Eskom has constructed air quality monitoring stations within 6 km of the Majuba power station to assess the local impacts on air quality resulting from the emissions of the station. This site is equipped to monitor SO\(_2\), NO\(_x\) and fine particulate matter (Eskom Environmental Report 2000). Thus, relatively long-term ambient air quality data from the study area can be assessed in conjunction with the isotope data. The monitoring stations that fall within the selected study site include Majuba 1, Majuba 2, Majuba 3 and Verkykkop.
Figure 6. Location of the Majuba Power Station in relation to other power stations and towns. Soils and air quality data were collected in the immediate vicinity of the Majuba Power Station.
4.2 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY DATA

The air quality data were collected at the Eskom air quality-measuring station within 6 km of the Majuba Power Station, referred to as Majuba 1. Air quality and weather parameters measured at this station include particulate matter, \( \text{SO}_2 \) concentrations, and meteorological parameters comprising wind speed, wind direction and ambient temperature (Table 3). The time span for these data range from December 1994 to 2005. These parameters are measured on a frequent basis (approximately every 10 minutes), but only hourly averages are logged. Aggregated data and wind rose data were taken directly from Eskom Environmental Database-driven Web-enabled Integrated System (EDWEIS) for Environmental Observations and Analysis (accessed 2009).
Table 3. Location of the Majuba air quality monitoring station, including the parameters measured and dates of measurement, used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air quality measuring station</th>
<th>GPS coordinates</th>
<th>Parameters measured</th>
<th>Dates of measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Majuba 1</td>
<td>29° 48' 00.8'' E, 27° 06' 46.2'' S</td>
<td>PM10^1 (BTA), TMP^4, SO2, WDR^5, WSP^6, WVL</td>
<td>Apr 1995 – 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO2, NO, NOx^3</td>
<td>Dec 1994 – Dec 1997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PM10^1 – Particulate matter (µg.m^-3)
TMP^4-Ambient temperature (°C)
SO2^2-Sulfur dioxide concentrations (ppb)
WDR^5 – Wind direction (taken from the centre of the wind rose)
WSP^6 – Wind speed (m.s^-1).
WVL^7 – Wind velocity (m.s^-1).
NO2, NO, NOx^3 - Nitrogen dioxide, nitric oxide and nitrogen oxide (ppb)

4.3 SULFUR AND NITROGEN DEPOSITION DATA

Data on S and N deposition rates were taken from the literature review and modelling of acid deposition over the Highveld area compiled by Airshed Planning Professionals Pty Ltd as part of a study entitled ‘Investigation into the Effects of Atmospheric Pollutants on the Soil-Water-Ecosystem continuum in the Eastern Regions of South Africa’. This study was part of an Eskom – Sasol Joint Committee project. From this report, total S and N deposition rates for the Amersfoort site were used, due to its proximity to the Majuba Power Station near where the soil samples were collected (Figure 6).

Meteorological modelling undertaken in this project utilized the US-EPA CALMET modelling for three base case years selected by the hydrological specialists. In addition, hourly CALPUFF modelling of NOx and SOx emissions to simulate wet, dry and total deposition of SO4^2- and NO3^- species for the base case and break point years were applied. The outputs included:

- Hourly deposition rates for the average rainfall year (2000) for selected discrete receptor points.
- Annual deposition rates for the base case average wet (1995) and dry (2006) year scenarios for the entire modelling domain (1.7 km grid resolution).
- Annual deposition rates for the historical and projected future break point years for the entire modelling domain (using meteorological data for an average rainfall year).

### 4.4 SOIL SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

Sample points were located at varying distances from the power station in order to incorporate a distance gradient into the study, ranging from 1.4 km to 41 km downwind of the power station (Figure 7). Sites were chosen on the basis of the emission plume and thus sampling was conducted in an arc ranging from east-south-east to south-east. Land type, as well as land use, was taken into consideration during site selection and sampling sites were restricted to natural grasslands (Land Type Survey Staff, 2002).

The sampling methodology implemented in similar studies by Van Tienhoven (1997) and Reid (2007) was used in this study. The GPS co-ordinate for each site formed the centre-point of an equilateral triangle with the sampling points at the apexes of the triangle located 25 m apart. At each of the sample points, three samples were combined to give a composite sample, for both the top-soils and the sub-soils. Top-soils were collected by hand augur (10 cm diameter) from the soil surface to a depth of 10 cm, while sub-soils were collected from 20 – 40 cm in the soil profile. Ten sites were sampled, with three replicate soil samples collected at each site at the two soil levels. A sub-soil sample from site 1 could not be obtained as the soil was too hard to sample via soil augur, this resulted in 59 soil samples (top-soils n = 30, sub-soils n = 29).
Figure 7. Location of sites sampled for isotope studies, including the position of the power station and the air quality stations.

4.5 LABORATORY METHODS

The soil samples were air-dried to prevent any significant isotope fractionation, sieved (2 mm sieve), and ground to a homogenous powder. The soils were also pre-treated with dilute 1% hydrochloric acid to remove carbonates and dried overnight at 50°C. Iso-Analytical Limited1 in the United Kingdom performed the δ³⁴S analysis of total sedimentary S, including total S content. The soil δ¹⁵N and δ¹³C, as well as total soil N and C analyses, were undertaken at the CSIR2 in South Africa.

All the isotope analyses were performed using an IRMS coupled with an elemental analyser (EA), with an overall precision better than 0.5%. Analysis was performed using a standard protocol for each instrument, which includes blanks and certified standards

1 Iso-Analytical Limited http://www.iso-analytical.com Tel: +44 (0)1270 766771
2 Council for Scientific and Industrial Research http://www.csir.co.za Tel: +27 12 841 2911
**Sulfur isotope analysis**

The three steps in S isotope analysis are (1) the extraction of the S compound(s) of interest, (2) the preparation of the measurement gas and (3) isotope ratio mass spectrometry (Mayer and Krouse, 2004). The most frequently practiced procedure to measure S isotope abundances is using SO$_2$ in an electron impact source isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS). Since few techniques can handle raw sample specimens, extracting various forms of S from raw materials and converting them to pure SO$_4^{2-}$ or SO$_4^{-2}$ precipitates are a pre-requisite and a major challenge in S isotope abundance studies. In order to extract dissolved SO$_4^{-2}$ from water, the sample was filtered through a 0.45µm membrane, and converted to barium sulfate (BaSO$_4$) by addition of barium chloride (BaCl$_2$) solution. Ion exchange techniques were successfully employed for this purpose (Mayer and Krouse, 2004). Thermal decomposition of BaSO$_4$ then results in SO$_2$ formation. Pure BaSO$_4$ requires a temperature near 400 °C for decomposition, which is close to the softening temperature for quartz. The SO$_2$ produced is purified chemically, separated in a GC column and swept by an inert carrier gas via an open slit through an ion source of a mass spectrometer. The changing ion currents are then recorded with multiple collectors. For the S isotope analysis of the cola sample, approximately 5 mg samples were weighed into pre-cleaned tin capsules and combusted on-line in a Flash EA. This involved the normal coupling to the MS via a ConFloIV. The coal samples were combusted with vanadium pentoxide powder to ensure full oxidation. Samples were referenced against the international standard, NZ1, and sulfanilamide was used as a running standard.

**Nitrogen isotope analysis**

EA-IRMS (elemental analyser isotope ratio mass spectrometry) was the technique used for N and C isotope analyses. In brief, tin capsules containing appropriate amounts of samples or reference materials (equivalent to ca. 20 µg N for the NH$_4^+$ on resin and 100 µg N for the silver nitrate), were loaded into an automatic sampler on a Europa Scientific elemental analyser. Here, samples are dropped in sequence into a furnace held at 1000 °C, where they are combusted in an oxygen rich environment. The tin capsules flash combust, raising the temperature in the region of the sample to ~ 1700 °C. The gases produced on combustion are swept in a helium stream over combustion catalyst (Cr$_2$O$_3$), copper oxide wires (to oxidize hydrocarbons), and silver wool to remove S and halides. The resultant gases, N$_2$, NO$_x$, H$_2$O, O$_2$, and CO$_2$ are swept through a reduction stage of pure copper wires held at 600 °C. This step removes O$_2$ and converts NO$_x$ species to N$_2$. A magnesium perchlorate chemical trap was used to remove H$_2$O (Barrie and Prosser, 1996).

For $^{15}$N analysis, CO$_2$ was removed using a chemical trap (Carbosorb) and the N focused on a packed column gas chromatograph held at an isothermal temperature of 100 °C. The resultant
A chromatographic peak of $\text{N}_2$ enters the ion source of a Europa Scientific 20-20 IRMS where it is ionized and accelerated. Gas species of different masses are separated in a magnetic field then simultaneously measured using a Faraday cup collector array at masses of 28, 29 and 30. Both references and samples are converted to gases and analysed using this method.

**Coal isotope analysis**

The coal $\text{S}$ isotope signal was measured using the standard routine. Three c.a. 5 mg samples were weighed into pre-cleaned tin capsules and combusted on-line in a Flash EA. The normal coupling to the MS via a ConFlo IV was used. The coal samples were combusted with vanadium pentoxide powder to ensure full oxidation. Samples were referenced against an international standard called NZ1 and sulfanilamide was used as a running standard. Reproducibility was 0.5 ml$^{-1}$ (S Woodburn, 2012 pers. comm.)

**Particle size distribution**

Particle size analysis is a measurement of the size distribution of the individual particles in a soil sample. The major features of this method are the dispersion or destruction of soil aggregates into discrete units by chemical and/or mechanical means and the separation of particles according to size limits by sieving and sedimentation. Dispersion was accomplished using a 4 % sodium hexametaphosphate solution buffered with sodium carbonate (Anderson and Ingram, 1996). Texture was determined using a hydrometer. Soil texture was classified using a texture triangle according to the USDA (United States Department of Agriculture) classification scheme.

### 4.6 DATA ANALYSIS

A two-way factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyse the six response variables; percentage elemental $\text{S}$, percentage elemental $\text{N}$, percentage soil organic $\text{C}$, $\delta^{34}\text{S}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values using the SAS 9.1. The two factors considered were, soil depth (0 – 10 cm and 20 – 40 cm) and sample site. If the overall ANOVA test was shown to be significant, then multiple comparison tests were used to show where the differences lay. The Bonferroni procedure was used as this test is in between the most conservative and the least conservative comparison tests. Since the number of observation per group/treatment differs, the type III sum of squares ANOVA table was consulted. In the case where the overall model was non-significant, the non-significant interactions were removed from the model, and the test rerun, leading to an improvement of the overall ANOVA model.
In order to meet the assumptions of the ANOVA test, the data were tested for:

- Normality: This was evaluated using graphical analysis (boxplots and histograms), as well as a goodness of fit test.
- Homogeneity of variances: This was checked by plotting the residuals against the predicted values.

The data were shown to approximate normality, and no transformations of the data were undertaken. Statistical significance was accepted for p < 0.05.
5 RESULTS

Before exploring, the results found in this study, this brief introduction serves to explain the structure of the results reported.

This study aims to quantify soil S and N concentrations as well as isotopes in order to better the understanding of nutrient cycles in the grassland ecosystem. In order to achieve this a number of different types of data were collected, some personally collected in the field and analysed in the laboratory whereas other have been extracted from the literature in order to answer the key questions of this study.

Firstly, data from the wind and pollution roses obtained from the Majuba 1 air quality monitoring station are presented. The data were collected from 2000 - 2005 and are presented as wind speed and direction as well as SO$_2$ concentrations. The data on S and N rates of S and N deposition have been extracted from the Eskom-Sasol project report (Blight et al., 2009). This is followed by the elemental and isotopic soil data for S, N and C for Majuba soils.

The key findings in the S, N and C data show the relationship between the elemental concentrations and the isotope ratios with soil depth and sample site. The relationships for each element have been reported in a systematic way to allow for a comparison. Statistical groups were identified as described in Chapter 3 Section 3.5. The elemental and isotope values for each element were plotted against sample site. In all cases, except for soil N concentration, the mean ± standard error for top-soil and sub-soil value per site is indicated in the figure, even though the statistical grouping was based on the mean value per site, irrespective of depth. In all these figures, the sites have been ordered according to the elemental concentration values in the top-soils.

The results obtained regarding the S, N and C concentration and isotope ratios for a composite coal sample obtained for Majuba power station are reported.
5.1 RESULTS COLLECTED BY OTHERS IN COLLABORATIVE PROJECTS

5.1.1 Wind fields

The wind rose and pollution rose data were collected from the Majuba 1 air quality monitoring station during the period 2000 – 2005. Figure 8 and Figure 9 show wind direction, but the pollution rose (Figure 8) shows pollution concentration while the wind rose (Figure 9) shows wind speeds. The Majuba 1 station monitors ambient SO$_2$ and NO$_x$ concentration, although NO$_x$ wind fields were not available for analysis. The centre of the wind rose depicts the air quality monitoring station. The position of the spokes in the polar diagram represents directions from which the wind was blowing. The length of the segment indicates the percentage of the time the wind blew from that direction. Each arc in the wind/pollution rose represents a 3.0 % interval. The pollution concentration (ppb) or speed (m.s$^{-1}$) in the various categories are denoted by colours and width. So, for example, the SO$_2$ pollution rise (Figure 8) shows that winds blow from the west 17 % of the time, and SO$_2$ concentrations are less than 2 ppb for 4.5 % of that time. The centre of the Majuba 1 wind rose depicts that calm winds (0 – 0.5 m.s$^{-1}$) prevailed for 6.0 % of the time.

Figure 8. Sulfur dioxide pollution rose for all hours. Data collected from Majuba 1 air quality monitoring station during the period 2000 – 2005.

Figure 9. Wind rose for all hours. Data collected from Majuba 1 air quality monitoring station during the period 2000 – 2005.
The SO\textsubscript{2} pollution rose shows high concentrations of SO\textsubscript{2} (greater than 83.0 ppb for 3.0 % of the time) from the north-westerly direction (Figure 8). Data from the wind rose (Figure 9) show high wind speeds coming from the north-westerly direction. High wind speeds are also seen to be coming from the east and southeast, however the concentration of SO\textsubscript{2} from these directions is far lower. The strong winds coming from the southeast have low SO\textsubscript{2} concentrations (< 2.0 ppb for 9.0 %, 2 - 5 ppb for 4.5 % and between 13.0 and 28.0 % ppb for approximately 1.0 % of the time).

The variance in the hourly mean SO\textsubscript{2} concentration with season taken from the Majuba 1 air quality monitoring station is shown below (Figure 10). SO\textsubscript{2} concentration is seen to peak during the spring and winter months, at approximately mid-day. This may be due to high demands and stable atmospheric conditions during these times.

![Diurnal variation for each season](Image)

**Figure 10.** Variance in the hourly mean SO\textsubscript{2} concentration (ppb) with season, taken from the Majuba 1 air quality control station during the period 1995 - 2000.

**5.1.2 Ambient air concentrations and deposition rates of sulfur and nitrogen for a range of Highveld sites**

Ambient air pollution concentrations and deposition rates modelled at discrete receptor points for an average rainfall meteorological year (2000/2001) is given below (Table 4).
Table 4. Ambient air pollution concentrations and deposition rates for discrete receptor points for an average rainfall meteorological year (Blight et al., 2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor site</th>
<th>Annual average ambient air concentration ((\mu g/m^3))</th>
<th>Total annual deposition rate ((kg.ha^{-1}.yr^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SO(_2)</td>
<td>SO(_4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amersfoort</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majuba 1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majuba 3</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verkykkop</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The S ambient air concentration is seen to be dominated by SO\(_2\) across all receptor sites during wet, dry and average rainfall years with little difference in the SO\(_2\) contribution across years (Table 4). According to Blight et al. (2009), the overall sulfur deposition rate largely followed regional SO\(_2\) concentration gradients. Since SO\(_2\) concentrations are high it is feasible that they will contribute large proportions to S deposition. The highest percentage contribution of SO\(_2\) deposition to total S deposition was predicted to occur in the immediate vicinity (downwind) of high stack releases (e.g. Majuba 1 site 94 %). At other sites, the percentage contribution of SO\(_2\) deposition was projected to be typically 80 % to 90 % of total S deposition (Blight et al., 2009).

Total N deposition was modelled and measured to be dominated by wet deposition of NO\(_3^-\) and NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\) (~50 %), with total wet deposition accounting for over 60 % of the total deposition (Blight et al., 2009).

5.1.3 Measured and modelled S and N deposition rates for the Amersfoort region

Total S and N deposition rates are given from published results from previous measurement and modelling studies. Mphepya and Held (1999) used the NOAA inferential model to indirectly measure dry S deposition for the 1996 – 1998 period. Hourly concentrations of SO\(_2\), NO\(_x\), O\(_3\) and SO\(_4^{2-}\), as well as meteorological parameters (temperature, relative humidity, rainfall, wind speed and direction, sigma theta and total radiation) were used as input variables for the modelling.

Galy-Lacaux et al. (2003) presented measurements of N deposition for Amersfoort for the 1996 - 1998 period. Total N deposition at Amersfoort has been measured as 15 kg N.ha\(^{-1}\).yr\(^{-1}\)
comprising 63% wet deposition (9.5 kg N.ha⁻¹.yr⁻¹) and 37% dry deposition (5.6 kg N.ha⁻¹.yr⁻¹).

Based on Blight et al. (2009) measured and modelled values at Amersfoort, N deposition was found to comprise: 37% dry deposition of gases (NO, NO₂, HNO₃, NH₃), 33% wet deposition of ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃), 24% wet deposition of (NH₄)₂SO₄ and HNO₃ and <1% dry deposition of NH₄NO₃.

Table 5. Summary of measured and modelled total S and N deposition rates obtained from literature for a similar period 1996 – 2001.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Measured and modelled total S deposition (kg.ha⁻¹.yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Measured and modelled total S deposition (kg.ha⁻¹.yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Measured total N deposition (kg.ha⁻¹.yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Measured and modelled total N deposition (kg.ha⁻¹.yr⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amersfoort</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>16.47</td>
<td>5.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Modelled output by Blight et al. (2009) for total S deposition was a factor of 1.5 higher than that published by Mphepya and Held (1999). The higher values are due to higher predictions for wet S deposition. The wet S deposition was predicted for the period 2000 – 2001, which had a rainfall of 720 mm compared to the average 1996 - 1998 rainfall of 510 mm (Blight et al., 2009). Thus, the modelled total S deposition by Blight et al. (2009) was higher than the measurement deposition at the Amersfoort station (Table 5).

Predicted total N deposition at Amersfoort was lower than the total measured N deposition rates published for Amersfoort. About 30% of this difference is due to dry deposition of NH₃ gas not having been accounted for in the predictions made by Blight et al., (2009). Dry deposition of gaseous NH₃ is given as accounting for 33% of the total N deposition at Amersfoort (Blight et al., 2009). Excluding gaseous NH₃, predicted N deposition comprised 50% of that measured at Amersfoort (Table 5).

5.1.4 Spatial variation in ambient concentration and deposition rates

Spatial variations in ambient concentrations and deposition rates for an average rainfall year taken from the Eskom-Sasol Airshed report are also reported (Figure 11 and Figure 12), taken from Blight et al., (2009).
The highest total annual S deposition was predicted to occur over the central Highveld receptor sites, with $>80$ kg.ha$^{-1}$.yr$^{-1}$ being projected for Kendal 2 and between 20 and 30 kg.ha$^{-1}$.yr$^{-1}$ for the Elandsfontein and Leandra sites. Total S deposition rates were less than 15 kg.ha$^{-1}$.yr$^{-1}$ at Volksrust and Amersfoort (Figure 11).

The total N deposition maximum was predicted to occur at a more eastern location compared to the S deposition maximum (centred between Bethal and Ermelo) (Figure 12). Total N deposition was predicted to be dominated by wet deposition of NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4$NO$_3$, with time taken for formation of these products and spatial variations in rainfall accounting for the deposition maximum being located more remotely from significant NO$_x$ source areas. Higher rainfall generally occurred over the eastern regions, while the lowest rainfall occurred over the south-western Mpumalanga Province, bordering Gauteng Province (Blight et al., 2009). This area of minimum rainfall coincides with the area of elevated ambient NO$_3^-$ concentrations, with the reduced wet removal likely to have contributed to the peak ambient ground level NO$_3^-$ concentrations (Blight et al., 2009).

![Predicted Total Annual Sulphur Deposition - Wet + Dry (kg/ha/annum)](image)

Figure 11. Predicted total annual S deposition for average rainfall year kg.ha$^{-1}$.yr$^{-1}$ (2000/1).
RESULTS

Figure 12. Predicted total N deposition for an average rainfall year kg.ha\(^{-1}\).yr\(^{-1}\) (2000/1).

5.2 RESULTS COLLECTED PERSONALLY AS PART OF THIS RESEARCH

5.2.1 Sulfur

5.2.2 Total soil sulfur

The total soil S concentration was found to be higher in the top-soil (0 – 10 cm) than the sub-soil (20 – 40 cm) depths (Figure 13). The mean per site (n = 3) soil S concentration across all depths ranged from 0.009 % to 0.048 % and both soil depth and sample site were shown to significantly affect the concentration of S in the soil (F = 112.83, p < 0.0001; F = 41.72, p < 0.0001 respectively), while no statistical interaction was found to exist between these 2 factors.
RESULTS

Figure 13. Mean percentage soil S concentration (± standard error) found in the Majuba soils for all 10 sites, representing both top (n = 30) and sub-soil (n = 29) depths. Means associated with different letters are statistically different (p ≤ 0.05).

When the soil S concentrations were compared across the ten sites, three major groups were identified. Sites 2, 3, 4 and 6 had a lower percentage of soil S than the remaining six sites (Figure 14). The spatial pattern observed shows sites 5 and 7, which are situated 22.45 km and 25.70 km downwind from the Majuba Power Station to have higher soil S content than those situated closer to the power station (Figure 15). However, site 1, which is 9.58 km northeast of Majuba, also shows a relatively high soil S concentration.

Figure 14. Soil S concentration found in the Majuba soils across the two soil depths and the 10 sites sampled. Means associated with the different letters are significantly different (p ≤ 0.05).
Figure 15 Map of sample sites showing spatial variation in percentage soil S, showing both top-soil (n = 29) and sub-soil depths (n = 30) for Majuba soils.

The SO$_2$ pollution rose from the Majuba 1 air quality monitoring station shows high concentrations of SO$_2$ coming from the north-westerly direction (Figure 8). This could explain the high concentrations of soil S found at sites 5 and 7, southeast of the power station.

### 5.2.3 Soil sulfur isotopes

The δ$^{34}$S values were found to be higher in the sub-soil than top-soil depths (Figure 16). The top-soils ranged from 4.72 to 15.32 ‰, while the sub-soils ranged from 7.28 to 19.07 ‰. The δ$^{34}$S values were compared across all sample sites and soil depths and both soil depth and sample site were shown to significantly affect the δ$^{34}$S value in the soil (F = 49.90, p < 0.0001; F = 18.59, p < 0.0001).
RESULTS

Figure 16. Mean ± standard error $\delta^{34}S$ ‰ values for Majuba soils for all ten sites, representing top (n = 30) and sub (n = 29) soil depths. Means associated with different letters are statistically different ($p \leq 0.05$).

The sites were grouped together according to similarity in the mean isotope signature. Site 5, 7, 8 and 9 are all in close proximity to each other with similar isotope signals, as are sites 1, 2, 3 and 4 (Figure 17). Site 10, on the north east of the Sandspruit catchment is an outsider with statistically higher S isotope signal than the remaining nine sites (Figure 18). The $\delta^{34}S$ value across the ten sites appears to follow a similar pattern as the soil S concentrations. In general, sites with higher S concentrations had higher $\delta^{34}S$ values.

Figure 17. Mean ± standard error $\delta^{34}S$ ‰ values for Majuba soils, for both top-soils (n = 30) and sub-soils (n = 29), across the ten sampled sites. Means associated with a different letter are significantly different ($p \leq 0.05$).
RESULTS

Figure 18. Map of sample sites showing spatial variation in the mean $\delta^{34}$S (‰) signal, showing both top-soil ($n = 29$) and sub-soil depths ($n = 30$) for Majuba soils.

A weak correlation ($r^2 = 0.237$ for top-soils and $r^2 = 0.183$ for sub-soils) was established between mean soil $\delta^{34}$S signal and S concentration (Figure 19). Site 10 again appears as an outlier, and does not fall along a linear relationship between soil $\delta^{34}$S signal and S concentration.
Figure 19. Graph showing mean soil $\delta^{34}$S (‰) signal versus mean S concentration for both top-soils ($r^2 = 0.237$) and sub-soil depths ($r^2 = 0.183$) for Majuba soils.

5.3 NITROGEN

5.3.1 Total elemental nitrogen

Nitrogen concentrations were found to be higher in the top-soil than in the sub-soil depths (Figure 20). The mean per site ($n = 3$) percentage elemental N concentration across all depths ranged from 0.056 % to 0.346 %.

The total soil N concentrations were also compared across all sample sites and soil depths. The percentage N was found to be affected by both soil depth and sample site ($F = 102.11$, $p < 0.0001$, $F = 21.00$, $p < 0.0001$ respectively), while a weak statistical interactive effect was also found to exist between these two factors ($F = 2.26$, $p = 0.0382$)
A Bonferroni post-hoc test was then carried out across the ten sites to see where the differences in elemental N values occurred. The sites were grouped together, irrespective of soil depth, according to significant similarity in percentage N (Figure 21), and two groups were identified. The sites showed similar grouping to the S concentrations, with sites 2, 3, 4 and 6 having the lowest percentage of soil N (Figure 22). The higher N values occurred at sites 5 and 7, located approximately 25 km from the Majuba power station.
Figure 21. Nitrogen concentration (%) found in the Majuba soils across the two soil depths (0-10 cm and 20-40 cm) and the 10 sites sampled. Means associated with the different letters are significantly different (p ≤ 0.05).

Figure 22. Map of sample sites showing spatial variation (significant interaction between site and depth, (F = 2.26, p = 0.0382) in percentage soil N, for top-soil (n = 30) and sub-soil (n = 29) depths for Majuba soils.
5.3.2 Soil nitrogen isotopes

The soil $\delta^{15}N$ values ranged from 3.15 ‰ to 9.64 ‰ and were found to be higher in the sub-soil than top-soil depths (Figure 23).
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**Figure 23.** Mean ± standard error $\delta^{15}N$ ‰ values for Majuba soils for all ten sites, representing top $(n = 30)$ and sub $(n = 29)$ soil depths. Means associated with different letters are statistically different ($p \leq 0.05$).

The $\delta^{15}N$ values were found to be significantly affected by both soil depth and sample site ($F = 4.64, p < 0.0001, F = 8.46, p < 0.0001$), while no statistical interaction was found to exist between these two factors.

The $\delta^{15}N$ values were compared across the ten sites, and grouped together according to statistical similarity (Figure 24). There appears to be a slight similarity between the grouping of the $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{34}S$ values across sites. Site 5, 7, 8 and 9 are all close to each other with similar mean isotope signals. Site 1, 2, 3 and 4 are in close proximity to the Majuba power station and to each other, and display statistically similar $\delta^{15}N$ signals (Figure 25). As seen in the $\delta^{34}S$ data, site 10 on the north east of the Sandspruit catchment is an outsider with its own unique S and N isotope signature. In this case, the $\delta^{15}N$ signal is significantly lower than the other nine sites. A similarity in the grouping of sites for the S and N isotope values and the S and N elemental concentrations is also seen to exist.
RESULTS

Figure 24. Mean ± standard error δ¹⁵N (‰) values for Majuba soils, for both top-soils (n = 30) and sub-soils (n = 29), across the ten sampled sites. Means associated with a different letter are significantly different (p ≤ 0.05).

Figure 25. Map of sample sites showing spatial variation in δ¹⁵N (‰), for both soil depths combined (n = 59) for Majuba soils.
The mean N concentration for each site was then plotted against the $\delta^{15}$N value at each soil depth. There is a very weak correlation between these two variables in the top-soils ($r^2 = 0.026$) compared to the sub-soil ($r^2 = 0.055$) depths. A diffusion gradient can be established, as the sub-soil depths is seen to have lower N levels than the top-soils (Figure 26).
Figure 26. Graph showing mean soil $\delta^{15}$N signal versus mean N concentration for both top-soils ($r^2 = 0.026$) and sub-soil depths ($r^2 = 0.055$) for Majuba soils.

In order to utilize the Rayleigh distillation model on Majuba soils, $\delta^{15}$N versus the natural log of soil N content was plotted (Figure 27). A weak correlation was found between these factors ($r^2 = 0.14$) and the linear relationship was extrapolated back to Ln %N = 0, to yield a $\delta^{15}$N value of approximately 1.14 ‰. Thus, according to the Rayleigh distillation model, the N isotope signal of the original N source is 1.14 ‰.

Figure 27 Graph showing mean soil $\delta^{15}$N versus natural log mean N concentration for the pooled data for Majuba soils.
5.4 CARBON

5.4.1 Total elemental carbon

The concentration of total soil C was found to be statistically higher in the top-soil than the sub-soil depths ($F = 18.45, p = 0.000112$), as expected (Figure 28). The top-soils ranged from 0.97% to 7.93%, while the sub-soils ranged from 0.49% to 3.27%.
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Figure 28. Mean percentage soil C concentration ($\pm$ standard error) found in the Majuba soils for all 10 sites, representing both top ($n = 30$) and sub-soil ($n = 29$) depths. Means associated with different letters are statistically different ($p \leq 0.05$).

A significant difference in the C concentration across the ten sites was found ($F = 3.22, p = 0.0051$), but only the Bonferroni pair-wise comparison was able to establish where this difference occurred. Two groups were established, with a large degree of overlap in statistical similarity in mean soil C concentration (Figure 29). Site 7 was significantly different to site 1, 2 and 3. The spatial variation shows sites 2 and 3, which are in close proximity and downwind to the Majuba power station, as well as site 6, which is approximately 37.41 km downwind of the power station having the lowest percentage of soil C (Figure 30).
Figure 29. Mean ± standard error soil C concentration (%) values for Majuba soils, for both top-soils (n = 30) and sub-soils (n = 29), across the ten sampled sites. Means associated with a different letter are significantly different (p ≤ 0.05).
5.4.2 Soil carbon isotopes

Top-soils were found to be more depleted in $\delta^{13}$C than the sub-soil depths (Figure 31). The top-soils ranged from -16.27 to -10.93 ‰, while the sub-soils ranged from -10.28 to -5.44 ‰.
RESULTS

Figure 31. Mean ± standard error $\delta^{13}$C %o values for Majuba soils for all ten sites, representing top (n = 30) and sub (n = 29) soil depths ($p \leq 0.05$).

Both soil depth and sample site were shown to significantly affect the $\delta^{13}$C value in the soil ($F = 7.31$, $p = 0.009$; $F = 5.30$, $p = 0.025$ respectively), while no interaction was found to exist between these two factors. The sites were grouped together according to similarity in the isotope signature across both soil depths. Sites 1, 2, 3, 5, 7 and 9 are not significantly different (Figure 32), while Site 10 on the north east of the Sandspruit catchment again appears to have a unique C isotope signature, which is only statistically similar to 3 other sites. This outcome was also observed in the N and S isotope data for site 10. It appears that site 10 was a consistent outlier (both mathematically and statistically) due to difference in topography and potential difference in land types.
RESULTS

Figure 32. Mean ± standard error $\delta^{13}$C (‰) values for Majuba soils, for both top-soils (n = 30) and sub-soils (n = 29), across the ten sampled sites. Means associated with a different letter are significantly different ($p \leq 0.05$).

Figure 33. Map of sample sites showing spatial variation in $\delta^{13}$C (‰), for both soil depths combined (n = 59) for Majuba soils.
A very weak correlation was established between mean soil $\delta^{13}$C signal and soil C concentration at the top-soil ($r^2 = 0.035$) and sub-soil ($r^2 = 0.053$) depths. Site 10 only appears as an outlier in the sub-soils (Figure 34) while site 1, in close proximity to the power station, is an outlier in the top-soil depths.

![Graph showing mean soil $\delta^{13}$C signal versus mean C concentration for both top-soils ($r^2 = 0.035$) and sub-soil ($r^2 = 0.053$) for Majuba soils.](image)

**Figure 34.** Graph showing mean soil $\delta^{13}$C signal versus mean C concentration for both top-soils ($r^2 = 0.035$) and sub-soil ($r^2 = 0.053$) for Majuba soils.

### 5.5 CORRELATIONS

The mean soil N concentration was plotted against the mean soil C concentration at both depths (Figure 35). A very weak correlation is seen to exist ($r^2 = 0.002$) for the top-soil, while no correlation is present in the sub-soil depths ($r^2 = 0.000$). Site 4 and site 6 do not fall on the best-fit line, and can be described as outliers. A very similar pattern is observed in the soil S concentration versus C concentration plot (Figure 36). The sub-soils, however, have a higher correlation ($r^2 = 0.01$) than the top-soils ($r^2 = 0.006$).
The relationship between soil N and S concentration was also investigated. A strong correlation is seen to exist between these two nutrients in both the top-soil ($r^2 = 0.814$) and sub-soil depths ($r^2 = 0.851$) (Figure 37).

Figure 36. Graph showing mean soil sulfur concentration versus mean carbon concentration for both top-soils ($r^2 = 0.006$) and sub-soil depths ($r^2 = 0.01$) for Majuba soils.

Figure 35. Graph showing mean soil nitrogen concentration versus mean carbon concentration for both top-soils ($r^2 = 0.002$) and sub-soil depths ($r^2 = 0.000$) for Majuba soils.

The relationship between soil N and S concentration was also investigated. A strong correlation is seen to exist between these two nutrients in both the top-soil ($r^2 = 0.814$) and sub-soil ($r^2 = 0.851$) depths (Figure 37).

Figure 37. Graph showing mean soil N concentration versus mean S concentration for both top-soils ($r^2 = 0.814$) and sub-soil depths ($r^2 = 0.851$) for Majuba soils.
RESULTS

The mean soil $\delta^{15}$N signal was plotted against the mean soil $\delta^{13}$C signal for both depths (Figure 38). No correlation is seen for the top-soil ($r^2 = 1E-05$), with site 10 being the major outlier. The correlation is drastically improved when this outlier is removed from the series. A slight correlation is present in the sub-soil depths ($r^2 = 0.501$) with site 1 lying off the correlation gradient. The soil $\delta^{34}$S versus $\delta^{15}$C signal plot has a comparable weak correlation in both the top ($r^2 = 0.007$) and sub ($r^2 = 0.006$) soil depths, with site 10 being the foremost outlier in both soil depths (Figure 39).

There appears to be a very weak relationship between the mean soil $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{34}$S signals in both the top-soil depth ($r^2 = 0.178$). Site 8 and 9 are outliers in this series, and were outliers in the soil S and N concentration plot. The correlation between soil $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{34}$S signals in the sub-soil depth is very week ($r^2 = 0.007$), and again site 10 is responsible for the weak relationship (Figure 40).

Figure 38: Graph showing mean soil $\delta^{15}$N signal versus mean soil $\delta^{13}$C signal or both top-soils ($r^2 = 1x10^{-05}$) and sub-soil depths ($r^2 = 0.501$) for Majuba soils.

Figure 39: Graph showing mean soil $\delta^{34}$S signal versus mean soil $\delta^{13}$C signal for both top-soils ($r^2 = 0.007$) and sub-soil depths ($r^2 = 0.006$) for Majuba soils.
The range in the C:N ratio for both the top-soils and sub-soils is shown below (Table 6).

Table 6. Table of C:N ratios ± standard errors obtained for both top-soils (n = 30) and sub-soils (n = 29) for Majuba soils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil depth</th>
<th>Mean ± standard error C:N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 10 cm</td>
<td>8.06 ± 1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 40 cm</td>
<td>9.61 ± 2.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6 COAL

A composite sample of the coal burnt at Majuba power station was also analysed and the results are given in Table 7. The total N and C concentration is higher than that analysed in the soil samples, while the δ^{15}N and the δ^{13}C value of the coal sample were far lower.

Table 7. Mean ± standard error for total S, N and C concentration (%), as well as δ^{34}S, δ^{15}N and δ^{13}C (%) for a composite coal sample from Majuba power station

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total S (%)</th>
<th>Total N (%)</th>
<th>Total C (%)</th>
<th>δ^{34}S (%)</th>
<th>δ^{15}N (%)</th>
<th>δ^{13}C (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.44 – 0.66%</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.08</td>
<td>53.77 ± 2.75</td>
<td>3.80 ± 0.50</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.27</td>
<td>-23.42 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.7 PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION

The particle size distribution showed that soils contained higher percentages of clay, followed by silt and sand. The sub-soils had higher percentages of clay than the top-soils, while percentages of silt and clay were higher in the top-soils (Figure 41).

Figure 41. Graph showing particle size distribution for all 10 sites combined, indicating both top-soil (n = 29) and sub-soil (n = 30) depth for Majuba soils.
Sulfur, N and C play an important role in the functioning of terrestrial ecosystems and are essential for life. Studies of the interactions between these nutrients can provide a valuable means of understanding the structure and functioning of ecosystems. Increased S and N deposition to systems may enhance the rate and transformation of natural processes (Figure 42). Identifying sources of S and N input and processes affecting their export from an ecosystem are critical in determining the extent of nutrient cycling locally and for global cycles.

This study combined the measurements of total elemental concentrations in the soil system, as well as isotopic measurements of S, N and C to determine the effect of deposition on the soil system in the Mpumalanga Highveld, South Africa.

Figure 42. Schematic diagram illustrating the pathway of S, N and C from the atmosphere to the soil system.

6.1 CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

The interpretation of the presented conceptual framework (Figure 43) requires an understanding of the simultaneous processes of deposition and export in the soil system. S and N are deposited on the surface and loss from the top-soils to the sub-soil occurs through export. The rate at which these processes of deposition and transfer occur, will determine the net concentrations and
isotopes measured at the time of sampling. The theory proposed relates specifically to S since the N data does not support this theory. This may indicate that biological and chemical fractionation occurs at different rates in the N and S soil system.

High isotopic values indicate a higher ratio of the heavier isotope. During fractionation, the $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ isotope value will increase if the reaction favours the lighter isotope, and vice versa.

**Figure 43.** Conceptual diagram illustrating the correlation between $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ and % S in the top-soil and sub-soil depth.

Sulfur is deposited on the surface soil by the process of deposition. The deposited S from anthropogenic sources has a lighter $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ isotope value than that of natural sources. Large amounts of S deposition results in an increase in S export, which then decreases the percentage of S in the top-soils. More export also implies shorter residence times allowing for less biological fractionation and maintaining lower S isotopic signatures in the top-soils. As the net export decreases, the percentage of S in the top-soils increases. Since export has decreased, the S has a longer soil residence time, allowing natural biological processes to occur. These biological processes favour the lighter S isotope, which will then be incorporated into biological material. Thus, fractionation occurs and the soil becomes enriched in the heavier S isotope resulting in a heavier isotope value.

In the sub-soils, the percentage of S decreases due to lower SOM concentrations (Figure 13) and net export of S. The S in the sub-soils will then have a heavier isotopic signal, because of biological fractionation and the fact that the S does not necessarily originate from atmospheric deposition on the soil surface. The heavier isotopic signal in the sub-soils could be a mixture of natural bedrock S (with heavier $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values) and the deposited S (with lighter $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values) and biologically transformed S. Thus the origin of the S in the sub-soils is not necessary the same as that of the top-soils.
6.1.1 Limitations of conceptual framework

The main aims of this study were to obtain a baseline of the total $\delta^{34}$S and $\delta^{15}$N isotope signatures of soils in the Mpumalanga region, and to compare these S and N concentrations at various soil depths with these isotope values. Therefore, in order to meet this objective at an achievable cost, only total soil S, N and C isotope values and soil concentrations were measured.

Isotopic signatures of various possible S and N sources in the study area were not measured (other than the coal sample), and direct tracing of S and N through the system, from the atmosphere to the soil cannot be made. However, comparison between the S and N isotope signature in the Majuba coal sample and soil values can be inferred. Oxygen isotopes were also not measured, thus oxidation processes and transfer of $\text{NO}_3^-$ and $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ species cannot be documented. Patterns of mineralization and transfers between organic and inorganic pools were not analysed, and inorganic S and N isotopes were also not measured.

Variations in the soil S, N and C isotopes will now be discussed, followed by an interpretation of the soil S, N and C concentrations. Finally, correlations between the various elements will be examined.

6.2 SOIL ISOTOPES

6.2.1 Sulfur

The mean ± standard error $\delta^{34}$S in the top-soils was found to be 8.28 ± 0.18 ‰ and increased in the sub-soils to 10.78 ‰ ± 0.47 (Figure 16). A variety of natural and anthropogenic sources of S results in a wide range of $\delta^{34}$S values. Common natural sources of S include sea-spray and volcanic emissions, while anthropogenic sources may consist of flue gas from coal combustion and combustion and refining of oil and gas (Table 1). The wide range in $\delta^{34}$S values can be attributed to essentially three processes, which vary with locality. These are

(a) local weathering of rocks containing evaporates and sulfide ores, (sub-soils),

(b) inputs of sulfate from the atmosphere (top-soils), and

(c) bacterial sulfate reduction in less aerobic environments leading to differentiation of $\delta^{34}$S values with soil depth (internal).
Other studies from a coal fired power plant in Spain that utilized South African coal, measured δ^{34}S for SO\textsubscript{2} in the stack emissions of a coal-powered plant to be $-2.8 \pm 0.5\%$ (Puig et al., 2008). The same study found the δ^{34}S in bulk precipitation at the polluted sites to increase to $+3.3\%$. For the polluted atmosphere in Bologna, Panettiere et al. (2000) reported a mean δ^{34}S value of $+3.2\%$ (range $+0.4$ to $+6.2\%$). Atmospheric SO\textsubscript{4}^{2-} from polluted areas in central Europe had a measured δ^{34}S values ranging from close to $+4$ to $+5\%$ (Querol et al., 2000). Novak et al. (1996) found SO\textsubscript{2} δ^{34}S near a cluster of coal-fired power stations in central Europe to vary between $0.2 - 0.3\%$ in the winter and $2.5 - 4.8\%$ in the summertime.

In addition to anthropogenic S, natural sources (sea salt sulfate, marine DMS, and crustal evaporates and gypsum) could also influence S isotope ratios in the atmosphere, eventually affecting those of precipitation and throughfall. Majuba power station is located approximately 500 km from the Indian Ocean and marine aerosols are known to have very high positive δ^{34}S values ($+20\%$) (Newman et al., 1991). Mphepya et al., (2004) calculated the sum of marine contributions to the total ionic content of Amersfoort to be 11%. Galpin and Turner (1999) found that the 73.5% of the variance in rainfall signatures in Amersfoort could be explained by industrial, oceanic with overland path, biomass combustion and soil and surface material (in order from most important factor to least important factor). Thus, it is possible that a marine component could contribute to the higher than expected δ^{34}S values at Majuba.

Sulfur is known to have two fractionation processes: the assimilation of S by plants and the mineralization of organic S in the soil (Krouse & Grinenko 1991). Both are associated with an increase in soil δ^{34}S. The δ^{34}S values were found to be higher in the sub-soil than top-soil depths (Figure 8). This is in line with other studies that show an increase in δ^{34}S ratios from top-soil to mineral soil, regardless of the distance from major point sources of atmospheric pollution (Novak et al., 1996; Novak et al., 2001). The positive δ^{34}S shift may result from

i) mixing of S from two isotopically distinct sources (bedrock and atmosphere) and/or

ii) isotope fractionation processes within the soil profile.

Sulfate precursors of gypsum and dissolved sulfate include bedrock sulfides and decompositional marine biogenic H\textsubscript{2}S. The δ^{34}S value of bedrock sulfide in the Central Namib desert has been known to vary from $-4.1 - 13.8\%$ (Eckardt and Spiro, 1999), the large variation accounted for differences in geology and varying sulfide species (such as chalcocite, bornite and pyrite). Reimer (1986) measured the δ^{34}S of southern African playa gypsum to be slightly higher at 15.1\%. Thus, it is possible that a two-source mixing model is responsible for the higher δ^{34}S values found in the Majuba sub-soils.
The $\delta^{34}S$ value for the Majuba coal sample was measured at $+3.8 \pm 0.5 \%$ (Table 7) and has an S concentration of 0.44%. Several studies have shown that low-S ($\leq 1\%$ S) coal contains mostly syngenetic organic sulfur with rather homogeneous $\delta^{34}S$ values reflecting primary plant S (e.g. Smith and Batts, 1974; Price and Shieh, 1979, Smith et al., 1982; Hackley and Anderson, 1986; Spiker et al., 1994). Hortsmann et al., (2001) measured the $\delta^{34}S$ of organic S in a South African coal to range from -2.5 – 4.9 \%. The results found in our study fall within the higher end of this range. This may explain the higher $\delta^{34}S$ values found in the Majuba soil, compared to those published.

6.2.2 Nitrogen

The $\delta^{15}N$ values of total N ranged from 3.15 \% to 9.64 \% and were seen to increase with soil depth, as N concentration decreased (Figure 23). The isotopic composition of atmospheric N$_2$ is considered globally uniform, within analytical precision, with a $^{15}N$ abundance of 0.37 \% (Junk and Svec, 1958; Sweeney et al., 1978; Mariotti, 1983). $\delta^{15}N$ values for terrestrial N compounds generally fall in the range -15 to + 20 \% (Heaton, 1986). The $\delta^{15}N$ values of the Majuba top-soils ($6.55 \pm 1.21 \%$) were higher than what is expected if all N was derived from atmospheric N$_2$ fixation. If this were the case, the $\delta^{15}N$ signature would be approximately 0 \% (Nadelhoffer & Fry, 1994). Heaton (1986) reported $\delta^{15}N$ originating from dry deposition in non-cultivated areas to usually be in excess of 5 \%. In Utah, surface soil $\delta^{15}N$ values ranged from 0 to 4 \% in undisturbed sites (Evans & Ehleringer, 1993; Evans & Belnap, 1999). In forest mineral soils in Japan, $\delta^{15}N$ values of total soil N ranged from 1.0 to 6.8 \% (Koba et al., 1997). Heaton (1986) found that NO$_3^-$ in soil water had a similar $\delta^{15}N$ signature as total soil organic N, which is in the range of +4 to +8 \%.

The higher positive values of $\delta^{15}N$ in Majuba soils indicate that the soil N is affected by other processes of the N cycle, which could include coupled nitrification/denitrification, NH$_3$ volatilization, or atmospheric deposition of enriched NO$_3^-$ or NH$_4^+$. An increase in isotope abundances may result from the effects of human activities such as domestic grazing and cultivation (Aranibar et al., 2004). These activities tend to fractionate the N within the system thus, favouring exports of $^{14}N$ in the harvested or consumed plants. A considerable number of isotopic surveys found $\delta^{15}N$ values of greater than 10 \%, and these were interpreted as representing localised pollution by animal or sewage waste (Heaton, 1986). Thus, land use intensity could explain the high $\delta^{15}N$ values in Majuba soils, although effort was made not to sample near sewage or animal waste.

In addition, the high $\delta^{15}N$ values may verify that the Majuba area is not N-limited. Nitrogen impoverished areas are known to experience lower mineralization and nitrification rates and smaller N gas losses to the atmosphere (Neill et al., 1997). In contrast, N rich systems will have more N losses
than N-poor systems, which will lead to the former having higher $\delta^{15}N$ values. As N is lost, the N left behind will undergo biological fractionation leading to isotopic enrichment (Högb erg 1997).

It is worthwhile to note that soil N isotope values are known to be highly seasonal (Woodburn, 2011 pers. comm.; Heaton, 1986). Aranibar et al. (2004) also investigated N cycling in the soil and plant system along a precipitation gradient in the Kalahari sands (South Africa) and concluded that $\delta^{15}N$ values increase as aridity increases. Since seasonal $\delta^{15}N$ data for the Majuba study area are not available, care should be taken in comparing $\delta^{15}N$ values with other studies that might differ in amount and seasonality of precipitation. However, with that in mind, a comparison of the $\delta^{15}N$ values in Majuba soils, (which has a mean annual rainfall of 1008mm) to the wettest site sampled in the Kalahari (Lukulu, with a mean annual rainfall of 970mm), reveals that the Majuba site has a slightly higher mean $\delta^{15}N$ value for top-soils (6.33 ‰) than Lukulu (5.10 ‰). This is therefore contradictory to the findings made by Aranibar et al., (2004).

The increase with depth suggests that isotope fractionation occurred during N export due to the faster reaction rate of $^{14}N$ compared to $^{15}N$ (Koba et al., 1997). A similar pattern is observed in the total soil S and $\delta^{34}S$ data (Figure 13 and Figure 16). This increase with depth could also be due to inputs of plant litter at the soil surface that are isotopically lighter than soil organic matter and overall isotopic fractionation during microbial processing of organic matter during decomposition and N loss (Nadelhoffer and Fry, 1988; Kramer et al., 2003). Fractionation for each N transformation that occurs with increasing soil depth causes the remaining soil N to become progressively enriched in $^{15}N$ as N moves down the soil profile.

The $\delta^{15}N$ value for the composite coal sample from Majuba power station (0.73 ± 0.27 ‰) was found to be within the lower range reported in the literature. In Australia, $\delta^{15}N$ values of coal samples ranged from 0.5 to 2.7 ‰, while a coal sample from Beaver Lake in the Antarctic was reported as 3.00 ‰ (Rigby and Batts, 1985). Since very little isotopic fractionation is envisaged at the high temperatures of combustion, $\delta^{15}N$ of emitted NOx, is expected to be similar to that of the N which was oxidized (atmospheric N$_2$, $\delta^{15}N = 0$; fuel N, $\delta^{15}N = -1$ to +5 ‰) (Heaton, 1986). Furthermore, the $\delta^{15}N$ value obtained for the Majuba composite coal sample was not far off the valued obtained via the Rayleigh distillation model, which estimated the N isotope signal of the original N source to be 1.14 ‰.
6.2.3 Carbon

The δ¹³C values increased from -12.83 ± 0.19‰, in the tops soils to -11.90 ± 0.22‰, in the sub-soil depths (Figure 29). These values indicate a typical C4 grassland system, as C4 plants exhibit a δ¹³C value of -13.00 ± 2‰ (Lajtha and Marshall, 1994). The δ¹³C values for Majuba soils are higher than those found in a semi-arid grassland in south eastern Arizona where the δ¹³C in SOM was measured at -16.5‰ (Biggs et al., 2002) and a typical savanna where the C isotope signal varies between -26 and -14‰ (Martinelli et al., 2007).

The increase in δ¹³C with soil depth was also documented by Balesdent et al. (1993) at 14 forested sites, as well under savanna like vegetation in southeast Brazil (Roscoe, 1999). Various mechanisms have been proposed to account for increasing δ¹³C ratios with increasing soil depth. Balesdent and Mariotti (1996) suggested a conceptual model for the δ¹³C ratios of soil organic matter distinguishing between early and later stages of C decay. In the early steps, ¹³C enrichment in soil due to microbial respiration is more or less balanced by the slower decay of ¹³C-depleted lignin, whereas later microbial recycling of C dominates and explains the higher ¹³C content of old C. In addition, the higher ¹³C content of pre-industrial atmospheric CO₂ may account for some of the ¹³C enrichment in older soil organic matter (Balesdent and Mariotti, 1996).

δ¹³C values are also known to differ for each soil fraction, with the coarser fractions containing less C and always lower in ¹³C than the clay-rich fractions (Balesdent et al., 1988; Bird et al., 2000; Gregorich et al., 1995; Martin et al., 1990). It has been suggested that physical protection by the clay minerals rather than chemical differences distinguish the two categories (Hsieh, 1997) or selective preservation of fine particulates with increased fire frequency (Bird et al., 2000). In the Majuba study, top-soils contained lower δ¹³C values than sub-soils. This could be an indication that top-soils are dominated by coarser soil fractions than sub-soils. According to the particle size distribution data, the top-soils did contain more sand than the sub-soils, but this difference was not statistically significant.

6.3 SOIL CONCENTRATIONS

6.3.1 Sulfur

Sulfur content of grassland soils usually ranges between 0.010 and 0.05% with an average of 0.03% (Clark et al., 1980). The S percentages in Majuba soils fell within this range, at 0.031% in the top-soils to 0.021% in the sub-soils. However, it was higher than the S percentages found near the Arnot power station, South Africa, where total soil S values ranged from 0.0104% in the top-soils to 0.006% in the lower soil depths (Reid, 2007). In the Great Plains of North America, total organic S in bulk soils of the native grassland sites ranged from 0.019% to 0.085%, with an average of 0.044% (Wang et al., 2006). Total S in the soils of the Ethiopian Rift Valley ranges from 0.01% of the
subsurface layers of the Fluvisol, to 0.046% of the Andosol at a depth of 70–100 cm from the surface (Itanna, 2005). The S content of Majuba soils was higher than of selected virgin South African soils, which range from 0.0032 to 0.03% (du Toit and du Preez, 1995). The variations in soil S concentrations may also be due to differences in soil organic matter (SOM) levels resulting from differences in vegetation, climate and soil types.

The study conducted by Reid (2007) found an increase in total S during a ten-year period, in both soil depths, as the acidity of the soil increased due to acid deposition. Similarly, it is feasible that under high acid deposition conditions, Majuba soils contain high percentages of soil S.

The total soil S values were also seen to decrease with soil depth (Figure 13), as expected. This may be because the top-soils receive S deposition directly from the atmosphere; the sub-soils receive sulphur as throughput from the top-soils (Reid, 2007). Roberts and Bettany (1985) also found organic sulphur to decrease significantly with depth as did the proportion of organic sulphate. The carbon-bonded S fraction is highly labile, and remineralizes the immobilized SO$_4^{2-}$ (Freney et al., 1971; Saggar et al., 1981; Maynard et al., 1984). Thus, as SO$_4^{2-}$ is known to generally increase with depth in the soil profile, the total carbon-bonded S decreases with depth. A study investigating sulphur in soils of the central-northern part of the Zambian copper belt-mining district also found concentrations of total S to be higher in top-soil relative to subsurface soil (Kříbek et al., 2010). The Zambia study concluded that the high content of sulphur in top-soil might be a result of S emissions from mining operations.

Sites 5 and 7, approximately 22.45 and 25.70 km downwind from the Majuba Power Station showed the highest percentages of soil S (Figure 14 and Figure 15). Data from the wind and pollution roses collected from the Majuba 1 air quality monitoring station indicate high wind velocities with high S concentrations in the direction east-north-east to south-east (Figure 8 and Figure 9). Thus, it would appear that due to the prevailing winds and the height of the stack, the pollution plume does not affect the sites near the power station, and the S deposition is falling onto sites located approximately 25 km from the power station. However, some studies have shown the greatest impact from power generation to be within 10 – 15 km from the source (Freedman and Hutchinson 1980; Annegarn et al. 1996; Ek et al. 2001; Reid, 2007). A pollution gradient study in the Kola Peninsula (Russia) found a correlation between percentage of S in the top-soils and S deposition (Koptsik and Alewell, 2007). This correlation was found to be strongest up to 16 km from the source with S contents appearing to be rather unaffected for distances greater than 20 km. Thus, the high concentrations of S that were found at such large distances from the Majuba Power Station may be due to low net export of S rather than high deposition loads at those sites. As explained in the conceptual framework, reduced amounts of S
deposition may result in lower net S exports, resulting in higher percentages of S compared to higher S deposition sites.

High percentages of soil S were also measured at site 1, only 9.58 km slightly north east of the power station. Since the wind roses do show high velocity winds in that direction, it is possible that this site is directly influenced by high deposition loads from the pollution plume.

6.3.2 Nitrogen

The higher soil clay content found in Majuba soils (36 ± 1.5 % in the top-soils increasing to 39 ± 1.7 % in the sub-soil depths) could account for the higher S, N and C percentages. The total soil N ranged from 0.22 % in the top-soils depths to 0.13% in the sub-soils (Figure 20). These values are higher than results reported for savanna soils in the Kruger National Park (0.024 % ± 0.002 % to 0.052 % ± 0.007 %) (Janse, 2007), but comparable to values reported by Reid (2007) near the Arnot Power station (0.20 % in the top-soils and 0.10 % in the sub-soil depths). In grassland soils in the eastern escarpment of South Africa, the total N ranged from the minimum of 0.23 % to a maximum of 0.94 %, with a median N concentration and standard deviation of 0.37 and 0.16 % (Ndala et al., 2006). Total N was found to be within the range reported by Sullivan et al. (2006) of 0.1 – 0.4 % for soils in the north-eastern USA and Schaaf et al. (1995), with reported values of 0.02 – 0.1 % for soils in north-eastern Germany. However, the total N content of the soils is above the range of 0.04 – 0.05 % reported by Singh et al. (1995) for soils within the vicinity of a coal-fired power plant in India. The relatively high values of N found in the Majuba soils may be due to increased N deposition.

The total soil N values were seen to decrease with soil depth, as seen in the soil S values. Again, this may be due to top-soils receiving N deposition directly from the atmosphere as well as organic inputs from plant material; while the sub-soils receive N as throughput from the top-soils (Reid, 2007). The decrease in total N with soil depth is congruent with other studies, including forest soils in Japan (Koba et al., 1997), as well as savanna soils (Janse, 2007) and grassland soils (Reid, 2007).

The total N in both the top-soil and sub-soil depths showed a similar spatial variation as seen in the total soil S values (Figure 21 and Figure 22). The higher N values at sites located approximately 25 km from the Majuba power station may also be explained by low net export of N at these sites or the ability of pollutants to be transported over large spatial gradients, according to conventional distance models.
6.3.3 Carbon

The soil C concentration in the top-soils was 3.09 ± 0.002 % and decreased to 1.79 ± 0.001 % in the sub-soils (Figure 28). According to a generalized organic C map for virgin top-soils in South Africa, organic C in the Mpumalanga province under grassland varies from 0.09 % to 12.53 % (with a median of 2.51 %) (Barnard, 2000). The percentage organic C in non-cultivated grassland soils in Harrismith, South Africa, was found to be 2.6 % in bulk soils and 3.32 % in the coarse soil fraction (Birru 2002). The spatial distribution of soil C seen in Figure 20 shows high within site variability, which is a common characteristic of undisturbed systems (Barnard, 2000).

6.4 CORRELATION BETWEEN SOIL ISOTOPEs AND SULFUR, NITROGEN AND CARBON CONCENTRATIONS

6.4.1 δ¹⁵N; % N

A weak correlation was seen to exist between δ¹⁵N and % N (Figure 26). A diffusion gradient can be established, as sub-soils did contain lower N levels than the top-soils. If a Rayleigh distillation model is used to access the relative contribution of physical and biological processes on N content in soils, a linear relationship between soil δ¹⁵N and soil N content would indicate N from natural processes (Figure 5). Values that fall off this line indicate N from atmospheric deposition (Evans and Ehleringer, 1993). The relationship between δ¹⁵N and % N in Majuba soils indicates that of a natural undisturbed system (Figure 27).

6.4.2 C:N ratio

The C:N ratio in Majuba soils were in the range expected for grassland soils (approximately 10) (Table 6) (Schimel et al., 1994) and increased from the top-soil to the sub-soil depths. This is also comparable to the C:N ratio found in desertified grasslands of Inner Mongolia, China (Yu-Chun et al., 2010). The large variability in C:N ratio across the ten Majuba sites may be due to local differences in organic matter across the landscape, indicating the relative rates of turnover. The amount (stock) of organic matter in a given soil can increase or decrease depending on numerous factors, and even when stocks are at equilibrium, SOM is in a continual state of flux (Paul, 1984). SOM turnover is mainly dependent on primary production and soil microbial activity (Jenny, 1980), which could differ greatly across the ten sites leading to variability in the C:N ratios in Majuba soils.

While a weak correlation was found to exist between δ¹⁵N and δ¹³C in the Majuba soils, this may be due to certain sites identified as outliers in the series (Figure 38). Martinelli et al., (2007) found a direct correlation between δ¹⁵N and δ¹³C in the soils under terra firme forests in the Brazilian Amazon.
Region. The correlation observed can be explained by a progressive isotopic enrichment in the direction to deeper soil layers due to the decomposition of organic matter.

6.4.3 $\delta^{34}$S: % S

A strong correlation is seen to exist between soil S content and $\delta^{34}$S value (Figure 19). In general, sites with higher S concentrations had higher $\delta^{34}$S values. This result is contrary to the observation found in the Teepee Creek area of Alberta, where more negative $\delta^{34}$S values of soil specimens were found for higher S contents (Krouse and Case, 1981). This was also the case in forest soils in Europe, where sites receiving the largest amounts of S from the atmosphere had the highest S concentration at the top-soil depth. Sites receiving the smallest amount of S from the atmosphere had the lowest S concentration. It appears that S retention in the organic-rich forest floor increases with atmospheric S loads. This may not be the case with grassland soils near an S deposition source. Sites that contained the smallest percentages of soil S had lighter isotopic values. According to the conceptual framework proposed in this study, sites with low S percentages experience high net S export. This idea is similar to that found in N-rich areas, where additional N results in high N losses (Hoğberg 1997). The lighter $\delta^{34}$S values for these sites that are experiencing high S losses indicate S deposition. Thus, a coupling of the two processes of net export and deposition is needed to explain the correlation between soil S content and $\delta^{34}$S.

Site 10, on the north east of the Sandspruit catchment does not fit within this framework, as it has a higher soil S isotope signal, but a low soil S concentration. Specifically, there is a substantial decrease in soil S concentration in the sub-soil compared to the top-soil. This may be due to site specific factors, such as land type, resulting in an increases export of S, with little soil residence time for significant fractionation to occur resulting in a higher than expected $\delta^{34}$S value.

6.4.4 $\delta^{34}$S:$\delta^{15}$N

A strong correlation can be seen between soil N and S concentration (Figure 37), as well as soil $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{34}$S values (Figure 40). While the correlation between N and C were indicative of a natural system, and the S data pointed towards deposition, the coupling of N and S indicate that processes in the N cycle are also related to deposition. It becomes difficult to separate the natural processes from deposition when the N and S results are so highly correlated.
7 CONCLUSION

The findings of this research can be summarised in response to the key questions posed at the beginning of this study.

1. **What is the $\delta^{34}S$ signal and concentration of total soil S with increasing distance from an identified pollution source, the Majuba Power Station?**

   The mean $\delta^{34}S$ in the top-soils was found to be 8.28 ‰ and increased in the sub-soils to 10.78 ‰. The mean soil S concentration was 0.031 % in the top-soils and decreased to 0.021 % in the sub-soils. In general, sites situated 22 – 27 km downwind from the Majuba Power Station had higher soil $\delta^{34}S$ and total soil S than those situated closer to the power station.

2. **What is the $\delta^{15}N$ signal and concentration of total soil N with increasing distance from an identified pollution source, the Majuba Power Station?**

   The mean $\delta^{15}N$ values ranged from 3.15 ‰ to 9.64 ‰ and were seen to increase with soil depth, as N concentration decreased. The total soil N ranged from 0.22 % in the top-soils depths to 0.13% in the sub-soils. Higher total soil N concentrations were found at sites located approximately 25 km from the Majuba power station.

3. **What is the $\delta^{13}C$ signal and concentration of total soil C with increasing distance from an identified pollution source, the Majuba Power Station?**

   The $\delta^{13}C$ values increased from -12.83 ‰, in the tops soils to -11.90 ‰, in the sub-soil depths. The total soil C concentration decreased from 3.09 % in the top-soils to 1.79 % in the sub-soils. No real relationship was seen in the $\delta^{13}C$ values and total soil C with increasing distance from the Majuba power station.

4. **How are $\delta^{34}S$, $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{13}C$ isotope measurements of soils and elemental concentrations of the nutrients correlated?**

   A strong correlation was seen to exist between soil S content and $\delta^{34}S$ value. In general, sites with higher S concentrations had higher $\delta^{34}S$ values. This supports the conceptual framework proposed in this study. A weak correlation was seen to exist between $\delta^{15}N$ and total soil N concentrations. A diffusion gradient was established, as sub-soils did contain
lower N levels than the top-soils. The relationship between $\delta^{15}$N and total soil N concentrations in Majuba soils indicates that of a natural undisturbed system.

5. *How do the isotopic ratios compare with potential source ratios in the published literature and is it possible to identify sources of S and N in the Mpumalanga region?*

After comparison with identified pollution source ratios, the lighter $\delta^{34}$S values at the surface were suggested to be from anthropogenic deposition. The positive $\delta^{34}$S shift was attributed to a two-source mixing model (atmospheric deposition and bedrock) and natural isotopic fractionation processes that occur within the soil profile. The $\delta^{15}$N values of the top-soil were higher than what is expected if all nitrogen was derived from atmospheric nitrogen gas fixation. The higher positive values of $\delta^{15}$N in Majuba soils indicate that the soil N is affected by other processes of the N cycle, which could include coupled nitrification/denitrification, NH$_3$ volatilization, or atmospheric deposition of enriched NO$_3^-$ or NH$_4^+$. An increase in isotope abundances may result from the effects of human activities such as domestic grazing and cultivation. The sulfur and nitrogen isotopic signatures could not be used to as a direct means of source identification; however, the effectiveness of isotopes in elucidating transfer of these nutrients in the soil system was illustrated.
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