ASSESSMENT OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN INVASIVE AND NON-INVASIVE BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENTS IN CRITICALLY ILL PATIENTS

Jadot Ninziza

A Research Report submitted to the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the

Witwatersrand, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

of

Master of Science in Nursing

Johannesburg, 2010

DECLARATION

I, Jadot Ninziza declare that this research is my own work. It is being submitted for the degree of Master of Science (Nursing) in the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. It has not been submitted before for any degree in any other University.

Signature:

Jadot Ninziza

_____ Day of _____ 2010

Protocol number: M040516

DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to my family, friends and colleagues, for their motivation, inspiration, love and support throughout my studies at the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To almighty Jehovah God for his protection and blessing throughout my study.

My utmost gratitude goes to my lecturer, supervisor, Mentor and role model, Ms Shelley Schmollgruber for her guidance, tireless support, motivation and patience throughout this course.

To my family for their continuous support and encouragement during the difficult times.

To all the staff and masters students of the department of Nursing Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, for their moral support and advice.

To Professor Pieter Becker and Doctor S.A.S. Olorunju at the Medical Research Council for their valuable guidance in the statistical analysis and interpretation of the data.

To the doctors, nurses, patients and families who participated in this study, thank you so much for making this study possible.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the study was to describe and compare the limits of agreement between invasive blood pressure (IBP) and non-invasive blood pressure (NIBP) readings obtained on patients in the adult critical care units (CCU) of a tertiary health care institution, to describe the factors that affect accuracy of both techniques, to describe the difference in terms of accuracy and sensitivity and the reasons given by the clinical practitioners for their choice of blood pressure measurement technique.

A non-experimental descriptive comparative, prospective design was utilized in this two part study. The sample comprised of CCU patients (n = 80) in five adult critical care units over a 3-month period. Non-probability purposive sampling was utilized to obtain the desired sample in part one of the study. Data collection was via IBP and NIBP measurements obtained by the researcher and a record review of the patient's critical care charts. Part two of the study comprised of clinical practitioners (n=50) and convenience sampling method was utilized. Descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyse data.

At the 95% confidence interval, the limits of agreements were found to be in range of \pm 35 mmhg of IBP and NIBP systolic, \pm 19.5 mmHg of IBP and NIBP diastolic and \pm 19.3 mmhg IBP and NIBP of mean arterial pressure. In practical terms this means that IBP and NIBP can not be used interchangeably in CCUs as the two methods did not consistently provide similar measurements because there was a high level of disagreement that included clinically important discrepancy of more than 10 mmhg which is the cut off acceptable reference in terms of discrepancy between the two BP techniques and add to the growing literature suggesting that IBP remains the gold standard technique for measuring the blood pressure in critical care setting. Factors such as Inotropic/ vasopressor support, sedation / analgesia, mechanical ventilation and severity of illness (APACHE II score) did not show significant influence on the discrepancy of the two BP techniques.

In the second part of the study, more than 80 % of the sample of clinical practitioners acknowledged that the IBP technique remains the gold standard.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

DECLARATION	i
DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIIST OF APPENDICES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	х
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii

CHAPTER ONE: OVERVIEW OF THE STUDY

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY	1
1.2	PROBLEM STATEMENT	4
1.3	PURPOSE OF THE STUDY	5
1.4	RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	6
1.5	SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY	6
1.6	RESEARCHER'S ASSUMPTIONS	7
1.6.1	Meta-theoretical Assumptions	7
1.6.2	Theoretical Assumptions	10
1.6.3	Methodological Assumptions	13
1.7	OVERVIEW OF RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	14
1.7.1	Research Design	14
1.7.2	Research Method	15
1.8	VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY	16
1.9	SUMMARY	16

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	INTRODUCTION	18
2.2	OVERVIEW AND PHYSIOLOGY OF BLOOD PRESSURE	19

2.2.1	Blood Pressure Monitoring in Critical Care Unit	19
2.3	INVASIVE BLOOD PRESSURE MONITORING	20
2.3.1	Advantages of Invasive Blood Pressure Measurement	22
2.3.2	Disadvantages of Invasive Blood Pressure Measurement	23
2.4	NON INVASIVE BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENT	24
2.4.1	Advantages of Non Invasive Blood Pressure Measurement	25
2.4.2	Disadvantages of Non Invasive Blood Pressure Measurement	25
2.5	COMPARISON OF DISCREPANCY BETWEEN INVASIVE BLOOD	28
	PRESSURE AND NON NVASIVE BLOOD PRESSURE	
2.6	NURSING IMPLICATIONS	30
2.6.1	Role of Clinical Assessment	33
2.7	APPLICATION OF IBP AND NIBP	33
2.7.1	Nursing Knowledge	38
2.8	SUMMARY	43

CHAPTER THREE :RESEARCH DESIGN AND RESEARCH METHODS

INTRODUCTION	45
PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES	45
RESEARCH DESIGN	46
RESEARCH SETTING	48
TARGET POPULATION	49
SAMPLE AND SAMPLING METHOD	50
Sample	50
Sampling Method	51
DATA COLLECTION	53
Data Collection Procedure	53
Instrument	57
PILOT STUDY	60
ETHICAL CONSIDERATION	61
VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY OF THE STUDY	62
SUMMARY	64
	INTRODUCTION PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES RESEARCH DESIGN RESEARCH SETTING TARGET POPULATION SAMPLE AND SAMPLING METHOD Sample Sampling Method DATA COLLECTION Data Collection Procedure Instrument PILOT STUDY ETHICAL CONSIDERATION VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY OF THE STUDY

CHAPTER FOUR: DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

INTRODUCTION	65
APPROACH TO DATA ANALYSIS	66
RESULTS AND FINDINGS	68
Section One: Demographic data	68
Section Two: Baseline Assessment Information	72
Section Three: Level of Agreement Between Blood Pressure	75
Measurements	
Difference between systolic NIBP and systolic IBP	75
Relationship between systolic NIBP and IBP	76
Agreement between systolic NIBP and systolic IBP	77
Difference between invasive and non invasive diastolic BP	79
Relationship between invasive and non invasive diastolic BP	80
Agreement between diastolic NIBP and diastolic IBP	81
Difference between invasive and non invasive mean BP	82
Relationship between IBP mean BP and NIBP mean BP measurements	83
Agreement between invasive and non invasive MAP measurements	84
Section Four: Comparison of the limits of agreement between invasive	98
and non invasive BP as to what is clinically acceptable in critical care	
unit	
Section Five: Opinion of CCU staff on IBP and NIBP measurements	99
DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS	101
SUMMARY	112
	INTRODUCTION APPROACH TO DATA ANALYSIS RESULTS AND FINDINGS Section One: Demographic data Section Two: Baseline Assessment Information Section Three: Level of Agreement Between Blood Pressure Measurements Difference between systolic NIBP and systolic IBP Relationship between systolic NIBP and IBP Agreement between systolic NIBP and systolic IBP Difference between invasive and non invasive diastolic BP Relationship between invasive and non invasive diastolic BP Agreement between diastolic NIBP and diastolic IBP Difference between invasive and non invasive mean BP Agreement between diastolic NIBP and diastolic IBP Difference between invasive and non invasive mean BP Agreement between invasive and non invasive mean BP Relationship between IBP mean BP and NIBP mean BP measurements Agreement between invasive and non invasive MAP measurements Gection Four: Comparison of the limits of agreement between invasive and non invasive BP as to what is clinically acceptable in critical care unit Section Five: Opinion of CCU staff on IBP and NIBP measurements DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS SUMMARY

CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY OF THE STUDY, MAIN FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

5.1	INTRODUCTION	113
5.2	SUMMARY OF THE STUDY	113
5.2.1	Purpose of the study	114
5.2.2	Objectives of the study	115
5.2.3	Methodology	115

viii

5.3	MAIN FINDINGS	116
5.4	LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY	121
5.5	CONCLUSION	122
5.6	RECOMMENDATIONS ARISING FROM THE STUDY	124
5.6.1	Recommendations for Clinical Nursing Practice	124
5.6.2	Recommendations for Nursing Education	125
5.6.3	Recommendations for further Research	127

LIST OF REFERENCES

128

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	APACHE II score	139
APPENDIX B	Data collection questionnaire for patients	140
APPENDIX C	Data collection questionnaire for clinical practitioner	143
APPENDIX D Clinical practitioners information letter and consent form		144
APPENDIX E	Request for permission to conduct Research (Hospital	146
	management)	
APPENDIX F	Patient / relative information letter and consent form	147
APPENDIX G	Ethical clearance certificate	149
APPENDIX H	Hospital clearance certificate	150
APPENDIX I	Approval letter from post graduate committee	151
APPENDIX J	Retrospective consent	152

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
4.1	Characterization of critical illness of participants (n=80)	70
4.2	Summary of participant baseline information (n=80)	72
4.3	Summary of clinical treatment influence of blood pressure	74
	measurements (n=80)	
4.4	Summary of agreement and bias between NIBP (systolic and diastolic	
	and MAP) different times of data collection	87
4.5	Summary of limits of agreement between IBP and NIBP based on the	
	factors that influence the two BP measuring techniques	88
4.6	Summary of the limits of agreement between IBP and NIBP based on	
	the factors that influence the two BP measuring techniques	89
4.7	Summary of the limits of agreement between IBP and NIBP for patients	93
	admitted to CCU for different reasons (medical, elective and emergency	
	surgery) (n=80)	
4.8	Comparison of the limits of agreement and bias between IBP and NIBP	97
	systolic, diastolic and MAP	
4.9	Demographic data of clinical practitioners (n=50)	99

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Diagram and set up of invasive blood pressure measurement	22
2.2	Example showing the discrepancy of invasive and non-invasive blood	30
	pressure measurement in the critical care unit	
4.1	Age group of the participants	69
4.2	Gender for participants	69
4.3	Timing for data collection process	71
4.4	Difference between invasive and non invasive systolic blood pressure	76
4.5	Relationship between systolic NIBP and IBP	77
4.6	Agreement between systolic NIBP and systolic IBP	79
4.7	Difference between IBP and NIBP diastolic	80
4.8	Relationship between IBP and NIBP diastolic measurement	81
4.9	Agreement between diastolic NIBP and diastolic IBP	82
4.10	Differences between IBP MAP and NIBP MAP measurements	83
4.11	Differences between IBP MAP and NIBP MAP measurements	84
4.12	Agreement between NIBP MAP and NIBP MAP measurements	85

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

The following abbreviations are used consistently throughout the study:

CCU	Critical care unit
IBP	Invasive blood pressure
NIBP	Non-invasive blood pressure
BP	Blood pressure
CVA	Cerebro-vascular accident
SANC	South Africa Nursing Council
MAP	Mean arterial pressure
ABG	Arterial blood gas
SVR	Systemic vascular resistance
CVP	Central venous pressure
Hb	Hemoglobin
ARDS	Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome
MRC	Medical Research Council
SBP	Systolic blood pressure
DBP	Diastolic blood pressure
MBP	Mean blood pressure
PC	Pressure control
SIMV	Synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation
PS	Pressure support
PEEP	Positive end-expiratory pressure
TNT	Nitroglycerin
PRN	As necessary
IV	Intravenously
mmHg	Milliliters of mercury
RSA	Republic Of South Africa