

# LEARNERS' MATHEMATICAL REASONING WHEN GENERALIZING FROM NUMBER PATTERNS IN THE GENERAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING PHASE

By

WILLIAMS CHAPASUKA NDLOVU

STUDENT NUMBER: 0616576G

SUPERVISOR:

PROFESSOR MARGOT BERGER

A research report submitted to the Wits School of Education, Faculty of Science, University of the Witwatersrand in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science by combination of coursework and research.



**Johannesburg, South Africa**

**June 2011**

## Copyright Notice

The copyright of this research report vests in the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, in accordance with the University's Intellectual Property Policy.

No portion of the text may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including analogue and digital media, without prior written permission from the author and the University. Extracts of or quotations from this report may, however, be made in terms of Sections 12 and 13 of the South African Copyright Act No. 98 of 1978 (as amended), for non-commercial or educational purposes. Full acknowledgement must be made to the author and the University.

## **ABSTRACT**

This study aims to explore GET learners' mathematical (algebraic) reasoning when generalizing from number patterns. Data was collected in a former model C school in greater Johannesburg area by means of a questionnaire based task involving number patterns. The mathematical reasoning of the grade 9 participants when generalizing from number patterns was examined within a commognitive framework. According to this perspective, thinking is a special activity of communication in which a participant of a discourse engages. The participants' responses to questions in the questionnaire based task were classified according to particular aspects of the discourse they used, specifically routines (strategies) and visual mediators. The participants' generalization routines were further classified into one of the three main categories; numeric, figural and pragmatic generalizations. The analysis focused on how the learners' derived rules for the  $n$ th term and their justifications for their responses.

The results of this study strongly support the notion that students' algebraic reasoning when generalizing in number patterns is intertwined with their choices of routines and mediators. Most learners used recursive routines while a few used explicit routines (classified and categorized as numeric routines) and number-mediators. Also, most participants found it easier to informally verbalize their generalizations. However participants' spoken justifications of their written and spoken responses often did not match their use of routines and visual mediators. As such, an awareness and appreciation (by teachers) of students' diverse use of routines and mediators when generalizing from number patterns could have direct pedagogical implications in the mathematics classrooms.

## **KEYWORDS**

Algebra, Generalization, Commognition, Thinking, Communication and Reasoning

## **DECLARATION**

I declare that this research report is my own unaided work. It is being submitted for the degree of Master of Science at the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg South Africa. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination at any other University.

---

**(Signature of Candidate)**

**7<sup>th</sup> day of June in the year 2011**

## **DEDICATION**

In loving memory of my late Parents: Enala & Chapasuka

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First and foremost I thank the **Almighty GOD** for giving me life and a good health. And also, I would like to thank the following people for their contributions towards my work:

My supervisor, Professor Margot Berger, for many hours of advice and encouragement, often under difficult circumstances, in which she helped me to develop and maintain the focus and yet enabled me to take ownership of this work. I am most privileged to have worked with such an understanding and exceptional person.

My former lecturers; Professor Jill Adler from University of Witwatersrand and Dr. Mercy Kazima from the University of Malawi – Chancellor College for encouraging me that I can do it. Your efforts and intellect to see the potential in others have not gone unnoticed. Thanks. Ngiyabonga.

I wish to pass my special thanks to the Principal of the school involved in this study, the 29 Grade 9 learners, who willingly agreed to participate in my study, spending so much time with them in their classroom. I am particularly grateful to L5, L9, L15, L17, L23 and L28, who willingly gave of their time to further participate in the interviews.

I am grateful to the National Research Foundation, the Department of Education and the University of the Witwatersrand for the financial assistance provided for my study.

Last but not least, I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my wife Jean Pemphero, for her love, patience and understanding throughout this academic journey. And also to our lovely daughter, Faith Dinna, for continuously asking when are you going to finish studying and become a Doctor or Professor? Such kinds of questions always bring a new projectile into my life. My brothers, sisters and friends thank you for the support and encouragement. I am proud of you all. God Bless.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|                                    | Page        |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| <i>Research title</i> .....        | <i>i</i>    |
| <i>Copyright notice</i> .....      | <i>ii</i>   |
| <i>Abstract and keywords</i> ..... | <i>iii</i>  |
| <i>Declaration</i> .....           | <i>iv</i>   |
| <i>Dedication</i> .....            | <i>v</i>    |
| <i>Acknowledgements</i> .....      | <i>vi</i>   |
| <i>Table of contents</i> .....     | <i>vii</i>  |
| <i>References</i> .....            | <i>x</i>    |
| <i>Appendices</i> .....            | <i>xi</i>   |
| <i>List of tables</i> .....        | <i>xii</i>  |
| <i>List of figure</i> .....        | <i>xiii</i> |
| <i>Abbreviation</i> .....          | <i>xiv</i>  |

### **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION**

|                                                   |   |
|---------------------------------------------------|---|
| 1.1. Introduction                                 | 1 |
| 1.2. Background to the study                      | 1 |
| 1.3. Research Problem                             | 3 |
| 1.3.1. Statement of Purpose                       | 3 |
| 1.3.2. Research Questions                         | 3 |
| 1.3.3. Number patterns                            | 4 |
| 1.3.4. Limitations of the study                   | 4 |
| 1.4. Rationale of the study                       | 4 |
| 1.4.1. Algebra in the School Curriculum           | 5 |
| 1.4.2. Curriculum Reforms and Personal Experience | 6 |
| 1.5. The structure of the report                  | 6 |
| 1.5.1. Chapter 1                                  | 6 |
| 1.5.2. Chapter 2                                  | 7 |
| 1.5.3. Chapter 3                                  | 7 |
| 1.5.4. Chapter 4                                  | 7 |
| 1.5.5. Chapter 5                                  | 7 |
| 1.5.6. Chapter 6                                  | 8 |
| 1.5.7. Chapter 7                                  | 8 |

### **CHAPTER 2: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

|                                          |    |
|------------------------------------------|----|
| 2.1. Theoretical Framework               | 9  |
| 2.1.1 Commognitive Perspective           | 9  |
| 2.1.2 Definition of Commognition         | 10 |
| 2.1.3 Description of Commognitive tenets | 10 |
| 2.1.4. Mathematics as a Discourse        | 12 |
| 2.1.5. Summary of Commognitive Theory    | 15 |

|                                                       |    |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 2.2. Literature Review                                | 17 |
| 2.2.1. Number Patterns                                | 17 |
| 2.2.2. Algebraic Generalization                       | 18 |
| 2.2.3. Algebraic Thinking                             | 25 |
| 2.2.3.1. Reasoning through Generalization             | 25 |
| 2.2.3.2. Learners' Thinking Process                   | 26 |
| 2.2.3.3. Cognitive Gap between Algebra and Arithmetic | 28 |
| 2.3. Conclusion                                       | 30 |

## **CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH DESIGN & METHODOLOGY**

|                                              |    |
|----------------------------------------------|----|
| 3.1. Introduction                            | 31 |
| 3.2. Research approach                       | 31 |
| 3.3. Research methodology                    | 32 |
| 3.3.1. Case study                            | 32 |
| 3.3.2. Research Instruments                  | 32 |
| 3.3.2.1. The Questionnaire based task        | 32 |
| 3.3.2.2. Discussion of items in the task     | 34 |
| 3.3.2.3. Piloting the task                   | 37 |
| 3.3.2.4. Questionnaire task based interviews | 38 |
| 3.3.2.5. The transcriptions                  | 39 |
| 3.4. Validity and Reliability Issues         | 39 |
| 3.4.1. Validity and Reliability              | 39 |
| 3.4.2. Trustworthiness                       | 40 |
| 3.4.2.1. The Instruments                     | 40 |
| 3.4.2.2. The use of audio tapes              | 41 |
| 3.4.3. Data Interpretation                   | 41 |
| 3.4.4. Researcher effect                     | 41 |
| 3.4.5. Researcher bias                       | 41 |
| 3.5. Ethical Issues                          | 42 |
| 3.5.1. Ethics of the study                   | 42 |
| 3.5.2. Confidentiality                       | 42 |
| 3.6. Conclusion                              | 42 |

## **CHAPTER 4: ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK**

|                                             |    |
|---------------------------------------------|----|
| 4.1. Introduction                           | 43 |
| 4.2. Classification of learners' routines   | 43 |
| 4.3. Description of routine categories      | 45 |
| 4.3.1. The routines categories              | 45 |
| 4.3.2. The routines sub-categories          | 46 |
| 4.3.2.1. Numeric Generalization – Recursion | 46 |
| 4.3.2.2. Numeric Generalization – Explicit  | 47 |



|                                              |    |
|----------------------------------------------|----|
| 4.3.2.3. Figural Generalization              | 48 |
| 4.3.2.4. Pragmatic Generalization            | 49 |
| 4.4. Aspects of Generalization               | 50 |
| 4.4.1. Globalizing                           | 51 |
| 4.4.2. Local (Extending)                     | 51 |
| 4.5. Indicators of Generalization Categories | 51 |
| 4.5.1. Numerical Generalization              | 51 |
| 4.5.2. Figural Generalization                | 52 |
| 4.5.3. Pragmatic Generalization              | 53 |
| 4.6. Mathematical Visualization              | 53 |
| 4.6.1. Visual Mediators.                     | 54 |
| 4.6.2. Description of the Visual Mediators   | 54 |
| 4.6.3. Indicators of Mediators               | 55 |
| 4.7. Conclusion                              | 56 |

## CHAPTER 5: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA

|                                                                      |    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 5.1. Quantitative Analysis                                           | 57 |
| 5.1.1. Learners' Generalization Approaches                           | 57 |
| 5.1.2. Learners' Written Responses                                   | 58 |
| 5.1.2.1. Level Descriptors.                                          | 58 |
| 5.1.2.2. Learners' Choices of Routines and Mediators                 | 59 |
| 5.1.3. Learners use of Mediators                                     | 63 |
| 5.1.4. Summary of the Previous Discussion                            | 68 |
| 5.2. Qualitative Analysis                                            | 68 |
| 5.2.1. Interview Approach.                                           | 71 |
| 5.2.2. The Interviewed Participants                                  | 71 |
| 5.2.3. Learners' Generalization Routines                             | 73 |
| 5.2.4. Learner Interview Analysis                                    | 75 |
| 5.2.4.1. Low Ability Learners                                        | 75 |
| 5.2.4.1. i) Researcher Comments (L5)                                 | 78 |
| 5.2.4.1. ii) Researcher Comments (L9)                                | 82 |
| 5.2.4.2. Medium Ability Learners                                     | 83 |
| 5.2.4.2. i) Researcher Comments (L15)                                | 85 |
| 5.2.4.2. ii) Researcher Comments (L17)                               | 89 |
| 5.2.4.3. High ability Learners                                       | 90 |
| 5.2.4.3. i) Researcher Comments (L23)                                | 93 |
| 5.2.4.3. ii) Researcher Comments (L28)                               | 97 |
| 5.2.5. Discussion of the Interviews (episodes of the transcriptions) | 98 |
| 5.3. Summary of analysis and findings                                | 99 |

## **CHAPTER 6: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

|      |                                        |     |
|------|----------------------------------------|-----|
| 6.1. | Participants' Common Routines          | 101 |
| 6.2. | Participants' Common Mediators         | 102 |
| 6.3. | Learners' Algebraic Reasoning          | 105 |
| 6.4. | Learners' Difficulties                 | 107 |
| 6.5. | Learners' Mathematical Communication   | 111 |
| 6.6. | Comparison of the Interviewed Learners | 113 |
| 6.7. | Summary and Conclusion                 | 116 |

## **CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

|      |                           |     |
|------|---------------------------|-----|
| 7.1. | Introduction              | 117 |
| 7.2. | Detailed findings         | 118 |
| 7.3. | Reflections of the study  | 122 |
| 7.4. | Limitations of the study  | 123 |
| 7.5. | Implications of the study | 124 |
| 7.6. | Recommendations           | 125 |
| 7.7. | Conclusions               | 125 |

|                   |     |
|-------------------|-----|
| <b>REFERENCES</b> | 127 |
|-------------------|-----|

## **APPENDICES**

|          |                          |     |
|----------|--------------------------|-----|
| A1 ..... | Questionnaire-based task | 134 |
| A2 ..... | Ethics documentation     | 138 |
| B .....  | Question 1 QRASS         | 145 |
| C.....   | Question 2 QRASS         | 146 |
| D .....  | Question 3 QRASS         | 147 |
| E .....  | Question 4 QRASS         | 148 |
| F .....  | Interview Transcriptions | 150 |

## **LIST OF TABLES**

|          |                                                      |     |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 1 .....  | Linking the GET and FET Mathematics LO's             | 3   |
| 2 .....  | Definitions of generalization                        | 19  |
| 3 .....  | Aspects of generalizations                           | 22  |
| 4 .....  | Research Questions and Instruments                   | 39  |
| 5 .....  | Classification of routine categories                 | 44  |
| 6 .....  | Summary of Learners' Mediators (LVM)                 | 55  |
| 7 .....  | Overall routine utilization in the study             | 60  |
| 8 .....  | Overall numerical generalization routines            | 61  |
| 9 .....  | Summary of Mediators                                 | 63  |
| 10.....  | Questions 1 – 4 Responses                            | 66  |
| 11.....  | Examples of Learners' solutions                      | 67  |
| 12 ..... | Summary of the Interviewees' responses               | 70  |
| 13 ..... | Routines versus Mediators in generalization          | 73  |
| 14.....  | Examples of Learners' Mediators                      | 74  |
| 15 ..... | Learners' generalization routines                    | 119 |
| 16 ..... | Choice of generalization routines by learner ability | 120 |

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

|         |                                                  |    |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1 ..... | Tiles Groups                                     | 12 |
| 2.....  | Example of Growing Patterns                      | 18 |
| 3 ..... | Tabular Mediation (Representation)               | 50 |
| 4.....  | Diagrammatic-Tabular Representations (Mediation) | 53 |

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

GET Education and Training

GD Gauteng Department of Education

FET Further Education and Training

OBE Outcome Based Education

RNCS Revised National Curriculum Statement

C2005 Curriculum 2005

NCS National Curriculum Statement

DoE Department of Education

LR Learners' Routines

LVM (LM) Learners' Visual Mediators

QRASS Question Response and Summary Sheet

LD Level Descriptors

TLA Task Level of Attainment

MALATI Mathematics Learning and Teaching Initiative

SO Specific Outcomes

AS Assessment Standards

LO Learning Outcomes

AF Analytical Framework

NCTM National Council for Teachers Mathematics