variance in the black childrens' IQ scores. When social variables were put in second, they added 15% of the IQ variance. As 24.5 of the 35% of the variance accounted for was shared by biological and social variables, it was impossible to distinguish the effects separately. Using part correlations 3% was contributed by both natural mothers' race and adopted fathers' education, 2% the quality of the childrens preadoptive placements and the remaining 1.4% was contributed by the other biological and social variables.

Scarr and Weinberg made the following conclusions from the data.

- (i) IQ scores of children are environmentally malleable. This was shown by the huge increase in the mean IQ of the adopted children compared to parents' IQ, and the finding that placement and adoptive family characteristics account for a substantial portion of the IQ differences in black adopted children.
- (ii) Race of mothers accounted for 3% of the childrens' IQ variances. This is established from part correlations. However even this percentage probably includes some other unmeasured environmental differences between

the groups.

- above the mean of the black/interracial adoptees. They point out that biological children had been in their family since birth and their natural parents were much brighter than the biological parents of adoptees regardless of race.
- (iv) Biological children scored higher than the black adoptees on school performance measures. This finding is congruent with their higher IQ scores.
- (v) A combination of genetic background, placement, and adoptive family characteristics contributed to the IQ differences among the black/ interracial adoptees.

## 2.4.2 A Critique by Jensen

Jensen (in Scarr 1981) attacks the above study from three perspectives. Firstly he points to a contradiction made by Scarr and Weinberg. He points out that Scarr and Weinberg conclude that from the correlations between education level of natural parent and child's IQ, and from the differences found between

e explicable in genetic terms. However they also conclude that IQ is effected by the intellectually experior white families. Jensen says that this finding must mean that the IQ gains made by the lack/interracial children must be because of the white environment rather than SES or anything else.

the above conclusion as in a study which Нe comes to in 1974, (Jensen 1974) he found high SES conducted black children scored significantly lower than white from low SES background. Jensen questions children "Factor X" is that upper SES black parents what this doing for their children that even low SES are not Jensen does not accept doing. white parents are this Factor X interpretation and goes on to argue that effect of "white environment" on "black IQ" has been overdrawn and that 'selective biases' could be an 101 families example, though explanation. For the study, 59 technically eligible volunteered for Jensen thinks that it is likely families did not. that volunteers undertaking mental testing tend to be biased upward. He thinks it also reasonable that agencies would place potentially brighter black and interracial adoptees in the upper-class white homes. He notes too, that the majority of the adoptees were This is important in that the born in Wisconsin.

Forces Qualifications Test in 1968 showed accounting blacks to be the highest in the country - The IQ of 97. The 29 black/black adoptees in Scarr Weinberg's study obtained a mean IQ of 96,8.

The second level of criticism concerns the meaning of an IQ mean score of 109 in the interracial adoptees. Jensen cites a study by Goldhammer (1971) where the black males in interracial matings were well above the average occupational status of black males. As it appears from means and standard deviations of the educational levels of natural parents that quite a few were college students, it is possible that the interracial mean of adoptees would not have been much different if reared by their natural parents.

Thirdly, Jensen criticizes Scarr and Weinberg's use of correlations and regressions. He says that partial "the natural confounding of placement histories and racial admixture unfortunately cannot be unconfounded He says that this statistically" (Ibid pg 510). technique is the same as to argue that dogs and cats, in general, differ in size because they eat different One could then statistically amounts food. οf and reach the out" food intake amounts "regress conclusion that cats and dogs are genetically the Same.

is likely that Jensen would also agree with Werner at al (in Scarr 1981) that the fact that IQs are invironmentally malleable does not contradict a high heritability of as much as .80. Werner et al say that scarr and Weinberg's data does not rule out .80 heritability though it does not prove it.

#### 2.4.3 A Critique by Kamin

Taking the other extreme from Jensen's position (in Scarr and Weinberg, 1981) Kamin indicates that Scarr and Weinberg's data can be reanalyzed to suggest a zero or close to zero heritability. Firstly, points out that though Scarr and Weinberg distinguish between early (placed before 1 year) and late adoptees and though late adoptees were ruled out of the various heritability analyses, comparisons are made with families containing both early and late adoptees. is important as both parents and natural children This have been shown to be different in families containing early and late adoptees. Natural children in families containing late adoptees had a mean IQ of (Stanford - Binet) and 113.3 (Wechsler) compared to the 115.6 (S - B) ad 119.8 (W) of natural children containing early adoptees. Mean midparent IQ in early families was .120 (and 17.4 years of adoptive education) compared with the 116 IQ (and 14,6 years education) of the late adoptive families. Thus in

Kamin's analysis of the data (given to him in raw form by Scarr) he excludes late adoptees and the parents and natural children in the families containing them.

Because Scarr and Weinberg did not have IQ scores of the natural parents of the adopted children, they used instead, whereas in the case of education level adoptive parents' IQ scores rather than education in comparisons. From the computer level were used education mothers' that Kamin found printout correlates .17 with natural child IQ and .28 with that Similarly the fathers' child. adopted οf the education correlated .24 with the IQ of his natural Thus "the child and .28 with the adopted child. unreported data on adoptive parents education offer all to a heritability interpretation" no support at in Scarr 1981, pg 471). Scarr and Weinberg had found that unrelated sib pairs reared themselves together were just as highly correlated as were biological sibs.

According to Kamin, the mean IQ difference between mean not need children adopted natural and the adopted heritability at all. Firstly most of may not reap the home children were black and thus environment advantages that a white child would. Secondly in this study early 'adoptee' meant placed year of age. The late adoptees scored 15 before one

points lower than the early adoptees. But when the early adoptees are divided into those adopted before those adopted after this another and months The earlier placed significant difference occurs. shildren had a mean IQ of 110, compared to the 103 for those placed between 8 and 12 months. This suggests that some of the differences between the natural child and even the early adopted child may be attributable to the gap before adoption. This would explain the discrepancy between Scarr and Weinberg's study and one by Horn et al (1977) where children (adopted straight from hospital) showed no difference between natural and adopted children of the same parents. This fact, noted, may again suggest at et Horn as heritability as the IQs of the biological mothers were significantly lower than those of the adoptive parents.

## 2.4.4 Conclusion

The results, interpretations and conclusions, drawn by these respected researchers, though contradictory, are not at all surprising. From an examination of each of their earlier writings these are the conclusions one would have expected. Scarr is a self-confessed would have expected. Scarr is a self-confessed 'moderate', Kamin is a strong campaigner of zero heritability, and Jensen, as has been seen previously, is the most influential advocator of a high (.80)

heritability. It seems that each is a highly skilled statistician, each has a sharp, critical mind, and each is highly articulate \*5 in expounding a point of view. Yet given all this, each has been able to criticize other points of view and fit the data into their own preconceived notion.

the terminology of Popper (1974) 'debates' such as 'conjectures termed this be one would refutations. However, this method in the philosophy meant to take place independently of of science is preconceived ideas and ideology. What each theorist more importantly what to has chosen to report and leave out, the statistics used and the general points made, reflect more than a distanced observation. (See The resolution of the gene/environment Chapter IV) remain an ideological destined to problem seems choice. The mechanisms to answer the questions are From the data gathered up to this not available. seem that no-one is correct, neither point it would Scarr nor Jensen nor Kamin nor anyone else. question itself, it appears, is an impossible one to environmental variables effect IQ seems study. How a point of more relevant study.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### CHAPTER III

#### THE "PROCESS" OF THOUGHT

researchers in the area that The emphasis intelligence tests have placed on intelligence and 'capacity' rather than thought 'process' has led to studies dealing with ΙQ separation of the intelligence and those dealing with the formation of cognition. Whereas research dealing with intelligence has mainly noted phenomena, other research has been concerned with the 'how' of cognition. Thus IQ research, when culture has been found example, in effect test scores, one of three things usually Conclusions are drawn a) that the differences occurs. reflect innate capacity, b) that a 'more culture-fair' test should be devised or c) environmental variables are noted. When the last alternative is postulated it in a linear way. Intelligence is affected by X plus Y plus ..... and not by any 'process.'

On the other hand, outside of the IQ domain there have been attempts to show how culture effects ways of seeing and operating cognitively in the world. The method that seems to deal most effectively with the 'how' question is a 'dialectical materialist' one. In this chapter this method will be briefly outlined. Some cross-cultural research will be examined from a

'process' point of view and some consequences for IQ tests will be extrapolated.

### 3.1 Dialectical Materialism

The method of analysis which seems to explain most comprehensively how environment acts on cognition, is a dialectical materialist conception. The great advantage that this method has, over say a positivist one, is that thought is seen as a 'process' rather than as static. It is able to explain how effects take place rather than merely stating that "such and such" effects do take place.

Dialectical materialism sees the world as a complex of constantly changing relations between entities, and they are only understood when seen as a totality of inter-connections with everything else. In is not dealing with abstract ideals and method, one their relations (as was Hegel for instance) but with material entities - "one cannot separate thought from matter which thinks" (Marx 1977 [reprint] pg 152). dialectical involved in is itself Thought relationships with everything around it as well as in its own progression. And the pivot around which all relations take place in the materialist dialectic is It is primarily this which economic relations. determines human consciousness.

The mode of production of material life conditions the social, political and intellectual life process in general. It is not the consciousness of man that determines their being, but, on the contrary, their social being that determines their consciousness (Moll quoting Marx, 1984, pg. 41)

The 'mode of production' or the particular historically changing economic 'type' is all important.

The way in which men produce their means of subsistence depends first of all on the nature of the actual means of subsistence they find in existence and have to reproduce. This mode of production must not be considered as being the production of the physical existence of these individuals. Rather it is a definite form of activity of these individuals, a definite form of expressing their life, a definite mode of life on their part. As individuals express their life, so they are (Marx and Engels 1970, pg 47).

different places productive in time and Through demands placed by the mode of The activity varies. production will thus determine the cognitive processes developed by that person, group or class. As material thought processes, and so do production changes so varied cognition have through history patterns of it is fundamentally important not But dramatically. dialectical materialists the that to think evolutionary development which bears a referring to biological 'structure.' (Such changes would give rise inference that the genetic changes are bringing to

about cognitive changes). But rather as Montague (1975) and Lawler (1978) point out, over the course of millions of years biological evolution has resulted in of the grouping 'homo sapiens', and historical development has transformed primitive man into thus man, without any structural or biological modern change to the brain. The brain has stayed the same, though its function has varied.

the brain as having Attempts to conceptualize 'entities' which correlate directly with behaviourial manifestations is outmoded and false. or cognitive Further, of cognition in terms of conceive to "leads to capacities, properties of characteristics paradoxes in the unresolvable ambiguities and interrelation of experimental data and ..... (lack of) integration with research findings of anthropologists" (Cole and Scribner pg 191). Luria, operating from a dialectical materialist position asserts that complex 'functional organized are Cognitive processes The components are represented in different brain but operate through a combination the different constellations depending on the task at of (Luria 1966). He states further that neither the hand components nor the functional relations into which already formed at birth. they enter are individual's development forms through experience of particular social environment. In his own their

words:

It is now generally accepted that in the process of mental development there takes place a profound quantitive reorganization of human mental activity, and that the basic characteristics of this reorganization is that elementary, direct activity is replaced by complex functional systems, formed on the basis of the childs communication with adults in the process of learning (Luria quoted in Simon pg 20).

Thus a socio-historical or dialectical materialist approach sees cognitions as 'interactive historical not as 'capacities'. Also, this view processes' and does not see environment as 'causing' cognitions of a is through the persons' rather it particular type, activity in that environment, and a child's relations peers and natural school, his with adults. consciousness his/her that man-made phenomena research will now explicate how develops. Some different cognitions develop cross-culturally.

## 3.2 Culture and 'Abstract' Thought.

[1972], Murray [1961], (Dasen studies e.g. [1984 - unpublished Moll Price-Williams [1961], thesis]) have demonstrated that there is a retardation development, particularly in of cognitive operations, amongst 'formal attainment of Vygotskii, being a groups. Westernized cultural dialectical materialist, argues that this must be seen

a process which takes place within a mocio-historical context and is not merely a result of lack of intellectual ability.

operations can be regarded as a form of Formal 'abstract thinking.' Abstract or conceptual thinking by psychologists to mean the ability to is used classify or to group things together at various levels The development of difficulty. of "abstracted" abstract thinking as espoused by Vygotskii may well be contrasted with IQ theorists Jensen and Cattell's Jensen (1969) differentiated two 'kinds' conception. of intelligence which, as has been seen, he contested were innate capacities. His 'Level 1' intelligence involved associative type rote learning whereas his 'Level 2' involved higher level intellectual functions associated with "abstract thinking." One was either born with only level 1 or with both. These levels are very similar to Cattell's 'fluid' and 'crystalized' intelligence:

Fluid intelligence is the capacity for new conceptual learning and problem solving, a general 'brightness' and adaptability, relatively independent of education and experience, which can be invested in the particular opportunities for learning (encountered) by the individual in accord with his motivations and interests

and crystalized intelligence, in contrast, is a precipitate out of experience, consisting of acquired knowledge and developed intellectual skills (in Lawler pg 70 - 71).

Contrary to the 'innate ability' conclusions reached by Jensen and Cattell, when Vygotskii (in Luria 1976) discovered that some people had not moved beyond operations (level 1 or crystalized concrete more abstract formal operations intelligence) to intelligence) he argued that (level 2 or fluid historical circumstances determined that they did not practical cognition. The lifestyle move beyond representative of peasants, and most people from poor urban communities, necessitated only manual labour, not needing, and hence not developing more thus abstract cognitive processes.

There have been a number of substantial studies which indicate that Cattell's notion that abstract cognition develops 'relatively independent of education and experience' is untrue.

A Western individual usually develops cognitively in following way. Classifications first take a the as colour, size, shape and 'perceptual' form such followed by 'functional' Position. is This classification in what things can do or what can be done with things - and finally to the groupings of these together under a common class name (Bruner et al This development does not move beyond the 1966). second classification amongst most first or

non-industrialised people. Contrary to Jensen and Cattell, Western schooling has been claimed to be the crucial factor.

In a study by Greenfield 1966 (quoted in Cole and Scribner, 1974), it was found that children of the Wolof tribe in rural Senegal who had attended school, be it in a city or at a "bush school" performed very similarly to a Western child. Colour preference decreased with age in favour of form and functional groupings. The children who did not attend school rarely used super-ordinate language needed for 'higher' classification and in fact showed greater preference for perceptual classification as age increased. It would appear that a certain 'type' of cognition is shaped by Western schooling.

However, the simplistic notion that schooling was the only factor in determining the development of abstract cognitions was challenged by Scribner (in Cole and Scribner, 1974). She showed that not only schooling, but contact with Westernization increased abstracting processes. She got various groups to sort cards into similar categories to those Greenfield had used. However she extended her groups to include high school students (adult), non-literate adults from a transitional-type village holding 'cash jobs,' nonliterate farmers from a traditional village near a

road and nonliterate farmers from a traditional village five hours from the nearest road. Also there were matched groups of children in the 10 to 14 year age group and in the 6  $\pm$  8 year age group.

Most high schoolers formed groups into 'taxonomic' categories (e.g. needle, scissors, pins) 'cash workers' and road villagers used category groupings (though usually functional ones of this type: needle, scissors, shirt) although they were illiterate. However the bush village grouping dropped off sharply, showing much more jumble though there were indications of adhering to some other category influence. The children (6 - 8 years old) could not categorize at all - whether at school or not. The 10 - 14 years old non-school subjects could not categorize while their schooled counterparts made some taxonomic categorization.

One cannot here attribute 'abstraction' abilities only to schooling, as the adult village group, though they had no schooling at all, performed on a par with, or above, the 10 - 14 year old school group. Thus it would seem that some influence which leads to abstraction processes takes place through minimal contact with Westernization, other than through Western schooling. It would seem that the tasks required in schooling as well as tasks which are

Western influenced appear to bring adaptation to cognition in the form of more abstract thinking.

Further, a study by Irwin and McLaughin (1970) has shown that inability to abstract in rural populations - certainly in the population of Mano rice farmers with whom they worked - is exaggerated by the type These researches gave the same material. of test as used in previous experiments to detect abstraction processes to their rice farmer subjects, in addition, they devised a task which was but identical in principle but containing objects (rice, The adult subjects known in the culture. bowls) scored substantially higher using objects familiar to them than when playing with the unfamiliar cards. This has vast implications for so-called 'culture-fair tests!'

The claim that 'abstract', 'fluid' or 'level 2' thinking is innate and not bound up with Westernized schooling, tasks and materials appears to be false. In fact the level of abstraction needed seems to be the main determinant.

## Culture and Perception

Definitions of 'intelligence' (pg 14) seem to vary as to whether intelligence includes the capacity involved

at the sensory level - most seem not to. Yet differences at this level are bound to effect "intelligence" at any other level. Perception is usually regarded in psychology as "processes by which people organize and experience information that is primarily of sensory origin .... also .... perception involves active operations and information and is not a passively received 'direct copy' of the external world" (Cole and Scribner, 61 - 62).

The first perceptual difference found is at the level of representation on a two-dimensional surface. Herskowits (in Cole and Scribner, 1974) found that when he presented photographs to a group of people with no Western contact, not only was there no recognition of the representation (even though this may have been a picture of a close relative) but the people didn't know what to do with the paper.

Other studies such as those by Hudson in South Africa (Hudson 1962) and Mundy-Castle (1966) in Ghana showed that there was recognition of the objects represented, however 'perspective' or 3-dimensionality were not recognized. Hudson (ibid) has also shown that African children perceived a man ascending stairs as maimed - one leg shorter than the other and that African children, when asked to draw a cow in profile, showed all four cloven hooves, two horns and two ears,

indicating a combination of profile and frontal views.

Perception amongst non-Western groups appears to be
"matter-of-fact" whereas perception in Western people
involves greater conceptualization.

Berry (1971) has argued that ecological necessity determines perceptual To test this processes. hypothesis, he gave four groups, the Temne of Sierra Leonne, New Guinea Natives, Australian aborigines and Eskimos, οf ability to a test make discriminations 'tests of spatial skill.' and three The ranked according to four groups were importance of hunting. He hypothesized that hunting requirements would necessitate the ability discriminate well, as well as astute spatial Results showed that improvements on all perception. four tests paralleled the increase in hunting led Berry to conclude that "the This requirements. technological οf psychological underpinning development, often isolated as spatial ability, are shown to develop in relation to an ecology, which by way of technological change is open to change itself" (1971 pg 335).

### 3.4 Culture and Logical Processes

One of the central components seen by most IQ theorists as indicative of 'intelligence' is the

capacity to reason logically. However, Luria (1971) responding to even simple verbal found that has learned convention. In a study in is a syllogisms the early 1930's, he presented two Asia in collectivized to syllogisms of peasants in this area. The one kind uncollectivized to the practical related content consisted ofexperience of the villages, whereas the other kind no relation to familiar practical life. bore of the practical problem was the following:example In the grows where it is hot and humid. "Cotton is hot and humid. Does cotton grow there village it not?" A syllogism needing exactly the same logical process, but which was not connected with cognitive practical experience was, for example:- "In north, where there is snow all year, the bears are is in the North. Are the bears white white. Town X or not?" Both collectivized town that uncollectivized groups had no difficulty handling the Yet though the correct type of problem. first drawn, support for their answers was conclusion through group, was uncollectivized amongst the the facts of experience, "and that's the appealing to know myself!" In the second kind of Ι way it is. syllogism, almost all the uncollectivized, nonschool peasants replied in a similar way, "how should I know what colour the bear was? It was your friend that saw him, ask your friend. They were unable, or refused, to use a purely symbolistic conception. On the other hand, where people had had even a small amount of schooling or who were engaged in a collective planning of farm production were able to see the syllogistic assumptions in order to drawn conclusions. The same finding was recorded by Scribner (in Cole and Scribner, 1974) using a variation of Luria's syllogism in a different population sample.

It would seem that as with abstraction, 'logical reasoning' as conceived from a western perspective is not needed to operate effectively within the practical environs of a peasant economy - and hence not developed, as biologically both groups are the same.

### 3.5 Summary

It appears that cross-cultural research dealing with the acquisition of cognitions has been almost totally neglected by IQ theorists. Abstraction, perception and logical reasoning are often quoted as being aspects of 'intelligence,' (see pg 14), yet the fact that socio-historical variables have been shown to affect their attainment, has been ignored. The fact that activity within a production mode has time and again been illustrated to be fundamental in forming cognitive 'style,' seems to have had no impact

on IQ theory.

This type of research has been neglected in favour of positivist studies such as those in Chapter II where debate and research seems to be no nearer reaching a conclusion than it was at the beginning of the century, and where 'facts' are interpreted in line with one's preconceived ideas.

It would seem that the reason that IQ research has limited itself to positivist inquiry while neglecting all else, is because of the ideological gain which this research has been able to offer capital.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### CHAPTER IV

#### IQ AND IDEOLOGY

shall now be made for the notion that IQ tests maintained their prominent position in Western society not because of the objectivity of the facts facts are not objective) but been seen the has of the political use that is made of largely because It shall be argued that the interests of the them. in determining central been have bourgeoisie mainstream opinion and use made of IQ tests.

a small aside: If the reader is still convinced the 'science' of intelligence operates outside the researcher's political position even after Kamin, and Scarr and Weinbergs' position in relation Jensen black/white adoption study, a study by Pastore the light on the issue. throw more (1949)should studied the political attitudes of a random sample of twenty-four scientists active in the nature/nurture found that of the twelve hereditarians, debate and the twelve of and conservatives, eleven were environmentalists, eleven were either liberal radical!

# 4.1 'Innate Superiority' before the IQ Test

least post 'primitive history, or at Throughout communism,' the idea that some people are 'entitled' and economic privileges due to their social 'natural superiority' has very often been at ideological centre of the division of society into Because of the importance it has held, it classes. has also, paradoxically, been one of the primary motivators in the dialectical 'movement' of history. For example, the feudal aristocracy's belief in their inborn superiority over the 'scum' peasantry and labouring poor in France in the 1700's, was a major motivation which led the peasantry to revolt and stage the French Revolution. The revolutionaries called for Fraternity." It "Liberty, Equality and historical fact that equality was not achieved. reason for this was that the revolution was carried out by a peasant/bourgeoisie coalition against the The bourgeoisie carried the flag of aristocrats. was what they because that not egalitarianism envisaged, but because they needed an alliance with the peasantry in order to overthrow the feudal lords and to take power themselves. They could not, even in principle, have adhered to the motto as they needed the same peasantry to work as labour to create profit for themselves.

Of necessity, while the bourgeoisie were entrenching themselves in the social and economic positions of power, the 'innate superiority' theory was dormant. However, moving into the second half of the nineteenth century the working class in Europe had realized that 'equality' they had revolted for, was They began to make demands for education forthcoming. all and for extended franchise. In Britain the and universal 1867 extended in franchise was elementary education was imposed in 1870. It seems that the ruling class during this period had begun to unsure of their privileged position and they feel again needed a theory to legitimize the existing 1869 (in the period between the hierarchy. In the franchise and the imposition of extention of Francis Galton universal elementary education) Sir published his book entitled 'Hereditary Genius' in idea of 'innate the back on he fell which superiority.' The aim of the book, he said, was -

to show .... that a man's natural abilities are derived by inheritance, under exactly the same limitations as are the form and physical features of the organic world (in Simon; 1980, pg 14).

Whereas theories of innate superiority, prior to Galton's had been 'mere philosophies,' he embedded his theory within the 'zeitgeist' at the time, which was 'science.'

His theory gained rapid acceptance for two reasons. Firstly, research in the social sciences at the time was so unsophisticated (see pg 7) that almost anything measured took the name of science. And secondly what he was saying was what the bourgeoisie wanted to hear.

fact that clearly biased data was collected in a The manner, that excessively bold inferences were sloppy drawn from very ambiguous evidence, and were supported by either persistent refusal to consider plausible alternative hypotheses or 'straw-man' theories alternative hypothesis prematurely and dismiss 1974), did not deter Galton unfairly (Blum associates from drawing the conclusions they wanted to it deter widespread acceptance of his draw. Nor did He was writing what the ruling class needed theory. for their future policies, and it was the ruling class in a position to declare what was and what who were was not 'correct' science. They had the power and the rhetoric of the information. The means to spread by the bourgeoisie had now found a equality exposed scientific justification to revoke it.

From this period onward mental tests (predominantly the intelligence test) have been used in most Western countries as scientific backups to policy. Through this period, at different times, capital has had different needs, and the intelligence test with a

different emphasis placed on it to suit different needs, has been used to justify the want of the power-elite.

## 4.2 The Prominence of Intelligence

Before it is demonstrated just how policy influences intelligence tests and how it benefits capital, it is imperative to see why intellectual activity — rather than say physical strength — has taken on such importance under capitalism.

low high or that asserts Herrnstein (1973) intelligence is the main factor determining success in a capitalist country. In a 'meritocracy,' with the opportunity being equal, social and provisor of all economic success would be paralleled by intellectual ability (see introduction pg 3). Herrnstein regarded this as the most fair system in that what one is born will rather than what one is born into with, position in society. Inequality determine ones is seen to be natural and hence between individuals In sum, one is born with a certain amount desirable. intelligence which is unevenly distributed in a population and it is this innate capacity which would, and should, determine one's position in society.

There have been various criticisms of this theory.

the more important are firstly that Two of stratification in any known society is based more upon social class background and amount of years in school, scores per se. (Caxon and Jones 1975). than on IQ 'Equal opportunity' seems to be a fallacious concept in that one is always born into a specific in itself, environment which determines ones life. And secondly is far from adequate evidence to say that IQ there all round ability which makes for social tests assess and economic progress (see Chapter II).

An opposing view as to why intelligence holds such great importance under capitalism is a dialectical materialist one. This theory asserts:-

Control of the natural resources which people need to central to capitalists. But 'nature' must survive is be transformed into products, and in order to do this labour is needed. People are thus forced to human sell themselves as labour in order to survive. and technology, with capitalism οf the arowth mechanization, the production line and increased 'consumerism,' the proletariat has increased forced primarily into jobs requiring their physical into productive activity which requires strength or in terms of 'intellectual' skills. Work very little on a production line is usually one-dimensional and methodical without variation and requiring little 'thought' as such.

This is particularly important in view of Marx's assertion Vygotskii's (1972) (1977)and consciousness develops through 'activity.' Work not requiring abstraction or logical reasoning does simply not produce abstract or logical thinking. On the other hand the conceptualization in the factories, the logical deductions are carried and the abstractions out by the factory management. And as mechanization increases on the one side the work becomes more menial and on the other it requires greater 'intellectual' Though it is true that monopoly capitalism activity. requires more semi-skilled labour this still does not require 'high intelligence.' This domain is reserved for the bourgeoisie and a class of petit-bourgeois scientists, doctors, lawyers and like professionals.

labour' has become way 'intellectual In this is the status. Ιt and wealth associated with 'ability' which most people wished they 'had.' 'High intelligence has been accepted in its association the IQ test has provided a and privilege scientific 'rationalization' for the contradictions in bourgeois society. 'Intelligence' has become one of important factors in determining a persons the most 'justified' future.