Declaration

This dissertation was supervised by Prof. M.J. Byrne and Prof. E.T.F. Witkowski

I declare that this dissertation, submitted for the degree of Master of Science, at the school of Animal Plant and Environmental Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, is my own work except where I have explicitly indicated otherwise in the text.

Signature:.....Date:....

A dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Science, University of the Witwatersrand, in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

August 2008

Abstract

The release of the seed eating beetle Sulcobruchus subsuturalis for biological control of Caesalpinia decapetala in South Africa has been ongoing since 2000. This is the first post release evaluation of the efficacy of the agent against the weed. The study assessed the phenology of C. decapetala and S. subsuturalis at two sites and included determining the establishment of the beetle on the target weed at 25 study sites. In addition, the effects of S. subsuturalis on seed densities as well as seed germination and seedling recruitment were examined. Furthermore predation by native ants and attacks by native parasitoids were also examined at two release sites. Mature filled pods were available on the tree from September (spring) to March (summer) when the beetle is expected to be reproductively active. The majority of beetle releases by Working for water took place in summer. However the proportion of beetle infested seeds, which were only recovered inside pods in the tree canopy at study sites, was low (0 to 15.5%). Consequently high seed densities and seedling recruitment were observed in the field. In the laboratory, S. subsuturalis did not lay eggs on buried seeds, however seed infestation levels were generally high. Only 8.3% of the seeds containing adults germinated and 6.3% emerged into seedlings. Of the seeds containing larvae, only 14.6% germinated and 2.1% emerged into seedlings. Thus far, S. subsuturalis has failed to maintain high populations on the target weed, possibly due to egg predation by native ants (*Crematogaster* species, Pheidole megacephala, Messor natalensis and Tetramorium avium) and attacks by native parasitoids. Egg predation reached 100% within 10 days. Egg parasitism by unknown parasitoids ranged between 80 and 93.1% in Limpopo. The parasitic wasp Dinarmus altifrons was recovered once developing inside a C. decapetala seed infested with S. subsuturalis. Further investigations regarding attacks by indigenous parasitoids on S. subsuturalis are required to determine the extent of this problem. Meanwhile releases of S. subsuturalis against C. decapetala should continue. However release efforts need to be improved by (a) using inundative rather than inoculative releases (b) Releasing every year between September and March (summer) and (c) involving land owners, farmers and nature reserve authorities in release activities. Ultimately an additional agent should be sought for release against C. decapetala as the weed may not be the preferred host for S. subsuturalis.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported with funding from the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Working for Water (WfW) programme.

I would also like to thank my supervisors, Prof. M.J. Byrne and Prof. E.T.F. Witkowski for their consistent guidance and support throughout the compilation of this dissertation. Further thanks to other members of the committee; Dr Julie Coetzee and Prof David Mycock for their guidance.

Prof. John Hoffmann, Prof. Cliff Moran and Fiona Impson are thanked for their tremendous guidance.

I also thank the PPRI team at Cedara for not only allowing me to use their facilities but also supporting me during my time there.

All the WfW extension officers in their respective provinces for providing release sites and other valuable information.

Finally, special thanks to my parents for their eternal support and encouragement.

Contents:

Declaration	I
Abstract	II
Acknowledgements	III
Table of contents	IV
List of figures	VI
List of tables and appendices	XI

Chapter 1: Ge	eneral introduction1
	1.1. Biological control of invasive alien plants1
	1.2. Biological control in South Africa
	1.2.1. Taxonomy and description of <i>Caesalpinia decapetala</i> 3
	1.2.2. Biology and ecology of <i>C. decapetala</i>
	1.2.3. Caesalpinia decapetala in South Africa
	1.2.4. The seed feeder <i>Sulcobruchus subsuturalis</i>
	1.3. Seed feeding biological control agents10
	1.4. Vegetative and reproductive phenology11
	1.5. Seed banks
	1.6. Seedling recruitment
	1.7. Seed germination13
	1.8. Study sites and release strategies14
	1.9. Research aim and objectives

Chapter 2: Reproductive phenology of *Caesalpinia decapetala* and the establishment

f Sulcobruchus subsuturalis at release sites
2.1. Introduction
2.1.1. Reproductive phenology and beetle releases
2.1.2. Establishment of released biocontrol agents
2.1.3. Seed banks and seedling recruitment
2.2. Materials and methods
2.2.1. Vegetative and reproductive phenology of Caesalpinia

decapetala	24
2.2.2. Establishment of Sulcobruchus subsuturalis	28
2.2.3. Statistical analyses	28
2.3. Results	29
2.3.1. Vegetative and reproductive phenology of <i>Caesalpinia</i>	
decapetala	29
2.3.2. Establishment of Sulcobruchus subsuturalis	41
2.4. Discussion	43
2.4.1. Vegetative and reproductive phenology of <i>Caesalpinia</i>	
decapetala	43
2.4.2. Establishment of <i>Sulcobruchus subsuturalis</i>	47
2.5. Conclusion	49

Chapter 3: Oviposition and Longevity of Sulcobruchus subsuturalis and its

effect on seed germination and seedling recruitment50
3.1. Introduction
3.2. Materials and methods53
3.2.1. Oviposition period and adult longevity53
3.2.2. Oviposition preference
3.2.3. Effects of Sulcobruchus subsuturalis on seed germination and
seedling recruitment55
3.2.4. Statistical analyses57
3.3. Results
3.3.1. Oviposition period and adult longevity57
3.3.2. Oviposition preference
3.3.3. Seed germination
3.4. Discussion61
3.4.1. Oviposition period and adult longevity61
3.4.2. Oviposition preference
3.4.3. Effects of Sulcobruchus subsuturalis on seed germination and
seedling recruitment

3.5. Conclusion	
-----------------	--

Chapter 4: A	Ant predation and parasitism by native parasitoids on	
Sulcobruchu	s subsuturalis life stages	66
	4.1. Introduction	66
	4.1.1. Predation and parasitism	66
	4.2. Materials and methods	68
	4.2.1. Removal/predation of S. subsuturalis life stages by native	
	ants	68
	4.2.2. Native parasitoids	70
	4.3. Statistical analyses	70
	4.4. Results	70
	4.4.1. Removal/predation of S. subsuturalis life stages by native	
	ants	70
	4.4.2. Parasitoids of beetle eggs and adults	74
	4.5. Discussion	75
	4.6. Conclusion	79
Chapter 5:	•••••	80
	5.1. General discussion	80
	5.2. General conclusion	87
References		90
Appendix I		.108
Appendix II		.109
Appendix II	Ι	.110
Appendix IV	7	.110
Appendix V.		.111
Appendix V	I	.111
Appendix V	П	.112
Appendix V	III	.112

List of figures

Figure 1.3: Distribution of *Caesalpinia decapetala* in South Africa. Each dot indicates presence within a ¹/₄ degree grid. Source: SAPIA Database (Henderson, 2001).

Figure 1.4: A dense impenetrable *Caesalpinia decapetala* thicket at a biocontrol agent release site in Ferncliffe Nature Reserve, Kwazulu-Natal province, South Africa. Photo was taken in July 2006.

Figure 1.5: *Sulcobruchus subsuturalis*, a seed feeding bruchid beetle emerging from a seed of its host, *Caesalpinia decapetala*. Note the pale coloured hatched eggs attached to the seed.

Figure 2.5: Seasonal distribution of *Caesalpinia decapetala* pods at Boughton represented as mean (\pm SE) per square metre. Mature pods in the canopy are from the previous season. Note that Boughton produced no pods in 2006 because the plant at the site did not flower. Mature pods on the ground were also dispersed from the previous season. a = means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at *P* = 0.05. Note that the y-axis in this figure is 10 times less than the y-axis in figure 2.4.

Figure 2.6: Mean (\pm SE) seeds per mature pod in the canopy observed over a period of 15 months at Ferncliffe and Boughton. Note that Boughton did not produce new pods in 2006 because the plants did not flower, hence the number of seeds per pod continued to decrease throughout the year. Data collected from n = 40 pods sampled per month per site.

Figure 2.7: Under canopy mean (\pm SD) seed bank densities of *Caesalpinia decapetala* at Boughton and Ferncliffe over a period of 15 months. Boxes = SE of the mean; whiskers = SD of the mean. Seeds were collected from the litter layer plus the 0-6cm soil depth. For each time period, bars with different letters (a,b) are significantly different at *P* = 0.05

Figure 2.12: Mean number of seedlings of *Caesalpinia decapetala* outside the plant canopy and monthly rainfall for Ferncliffe and Boughton. Boxes = SE of the mean;

Figure 4.4: Cumulative percentage predation of seeds in April 2007 at Boughton andFerncliffe. Seeds contained Sulcobruchus subsuturalis adults. n = 4 trials (Boughton): n = 4 trials (Ferncliffe). n = 20 seeds/trial.

List of tables and appendices

Table 1.1: Geographical position of selected study sites including their respective mean monthly minimum and maximum temperatures and the number of agents released at specific times. Temperature data were provided by South African Weather Service. *** = data missing.

 15

Table 2.1: The presence of the biocontrol agent (eggs and adults) in the main study sites(Ferncliffe and Boughton) in KwaZulu-Natal and other sites around South Africa

Table 3.2: The total number of adult beetles emerging per successive generation over

 time under laboratory conditions. Monitoring took place from June to December 2006.

 Appendix VI: Univariate Tests of Significance for seeds at different soil depths in

 December 2006 at Ferncliffe. Sigma-restricted parameterization. Effective hypothesis

 decomposition.
 111