

REFERENCE LIST

- Abd-El-Khalick, F. (2006). Preservice and experienced biology teachers' global and specific subject matter structures: implications for conceptions of pedagogical content knowledge. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 2(1), 1-29.
- Abd-El-Khalick, F. and Akerson, V. (2007). On the role and use of "theory" in science education research: A response to Johnston, Sutherland, and Sowell. *Science Education*, 91(1), 187-194.
- Abell, S. K. (2007). Research on science teacher knowledge. Abell, S.K. and Lederman, N.G. (2007) (Eds.). *Handbook of research on science education*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc. pp. 1105-1149.
- Aguillard, D. (1999). Evolution education in Louisiana public schools: a decade following Edward v Aguillard. *The American Biology Teacher*, 61(3) 182-188.
- Anastasi, A. (1968). *Psychological testing* (3rd Ed.). New York: Macmillan.
- Anderson, R. (2007). Teaching the theory of evolution in social, intellectual, and pedagogical context. *Science Education*, 91(4) 664-677.
- Antolin, M.F. and Herbers, J.M. (2001). Perspective: evolution's struggle for existence in America's public schools. *Evolution. International Journal of Organic Evolution*, 55(12), 2379-2388.
- Asghar, A. Wiles, J.R. and Alters, B. (2007). Canadian pre-service elementary teachers' conceptions of biological evolution and evolution education. *McGill Journal of Education*, 42(2), 189-210.
- Ayala, F. J. (2000). Arguing for evolution. *The Science Teacher*, 67(2), 30-32.
- Ball, D.L. (2000). Bridging practices: intertwining content and pedagogy in teaching and learning to teach. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 51(3), 241-247.
- Ball, D.L. and Bass, H. (2000). Interweaving content and pedagogy in teaching and learning to teach: knowing and using mathematics. In J. Boaler (Ed.). *Multiple perspectives on the teaching and learning mathematics*. Westport, CT: Ablex. pp. 83-104.
- Ball, D.L. and Feiman-Nemser, S. (1988). Using textbooks and teachers' guides: A dilemma for the beginning teachers and teacher educators. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 18(4), 401-423.
- Ball, D.L., Lubienski, S.T. and Mweborn, D.S. (2001). Research on teaching mathematics: the unsolved problem of teachers' knowledge. In V. Richardson (Ed.). *Handbook of research on teaching* (4th Ed.). New York: Macmillan.
- Bandoli, J.H. (2008). Do state science standards matter? Comparing student perceptions of coverage of evolution in Indiana and Ohio public high schools. *The American Biology Teacher*, 70(4), 213-216.
- Barnett, J and Bell, B. (1997). Developing the science curriculum in Aotearoa New Zealand. In B. Bell and R. Baker (Eds.). *Supporting teacher development for curriculum implementation*. New Zealand: Addison Wesley Longman. pp. 257-267.
- Berdie, D. and Anderson, J. (1974). *Questionnaires: Design and use*. Metuchen, New Jersey: The Scarecrow Press, Inc.
- Berger, M. (2006). The clash of cultures in the classroom and the teaching of evolution. *South African Journal of Science*, 102(3/4), 90.
- Best, J.W. (1977). *Research in education*. (3rd Ed.). New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- Bishop, B.A. and Anderson, C.W. (1990). Students' conceptions of natural selection and its role in education. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 27(5), 415-427.

- Borgatti, S.P. (1996). Elements of research: Theoretical framework. <http://www.analytictech.com/mb313/elements.htm>. Accessed April 2010.
- Bull, J.J. and Wichman, H.A. (2001). Applied evolution. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 32(2001), 183-217.
- Caliendo, S.M. and Kyle, W.C. (1996). Establishing the theoretical frame. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 33(3), 225-227.
- Campbell, N.A., Reece, J.B., Urry, L.A., Cain, M.L., Wasserman, S.A., Minorsky, P.V. and Jackson, R. J. (2008). *Biology* (8th Ed.). San Francisco: Pearson Benjamin Cummings.
- Cavallo, A.M.L. and McCall, D. (2008). Seeing may not mean believing: examining students' understandings and beliefs in evolution. *The American Biology Teacher*, 70(9), 522-530.
- Cheung, D. and Davis, N.G. (2000). Teachers' stages of concern about the target-oriented curriculum. *Education Journal*, 28(1), 109-122.
- Chisholm, L., Volmink, J., Ndhlovu, T., Potenza, E., Mohamed, H., Muller, J., Lubisi, C., Vinjevold, P., Ngozi, L., Malan, B., and Mphahlele, L. (2000). *A South African curriculum for the twenty-first century*. Report of the Review Committee on Curriculum 2005, Pretoria.
- Chuang, H.C. (2003). Teaching evolution: attitudes and strategies of educators in Utah. *The American Biology Teacher*, 65(9), 669-674.
- Clough, M.P. (1994). Diminish student's resistance to biological evolution. *The American Biology Teacher*, 56(7), 409-414.
- Clores, M.A. and Limjap, A.A. (2006). Diversity of students' beliefs about biological evolution. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 26(1), 65-77.
- Cochran, K., DeRuiter, J. and King, R. (1993). Pedagogical content knowing: an integrative model for teacher preparation. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 44(4), 263-272.
- Cohen, L. and Manion, L. (1986). *Research methods in education* (2nd Ed.). Australia: Croom Helm Publishers.
- Cohen, L. and Manion, L. (1994). *Research methods in education*. (4th Ed.). London and New York: Routledge.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., and Morrison, K. (2000). *Research methods in education*. London: RoutledgeFalmer.
- Collins English Dictionary: Major new edition (1991). (3rd Ed.). Aylesburg, England: Market House Books Ltd.
- Converse, J.M. and Presser, S. (1986). *Survey questions: handcrafting the standardized questionnaire*. Series: Quantitative applications in the Social Sciences. New Park, California: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Dalrymple, G. B. (1991). "So how old is the Earth, anyway?" *National Centre for Science Education Reports*, 11(4), 17.
- Dempster, E.R. and Hugo, W. (2006). Introducing the concept of evolution in South African schools. *South African Journal of Science*. 102(3/4), 106-112.
- Dennick, D. and Joyes, G. (1994). New science teachers' subject knowledge. *The School Science Review*, 76(275), 103-109.
- Department of Basic Education (2011). Curriculum and assessment policy statement Grades 10-12: *Life Sciences*. Pretoria: Department of Education.

- Department of Education (2002). Revised national curriculum statement Grades 7-9 (Schools). Pretoria: Department of Education.
- Department of Education (2003). National curriculum statement Grades 10-12 (General). Pretoria: Department of Education.
- Department of Education (2005). Learning programme guidelines: *Life Sciences*. Pretoria: Department of Education.
- Dobzhansky, T. (1973). Nothing in biology makes sense except in the light of evolution. *The American Biology Teacher*, 35(3), 125-129.
- Farber, P. (2003). Teaching and the nature of science. *The American Biology Teacher*, 65(5), 347-354.
- Foddy, W. (1993). *Constructing questions for interviews and questionnaires: theory and practice in social research*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Fraenkel, J. and Wallen, N. (1990). *How to design and evaluate research in education*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Freeman, S. and Herron, J.C. (2004). *Evolutionary analysis* (3rd Ed.). United States of America: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Fuller, F.F. (1969). Concerns of teachers: a developmental conceptualization. *American Educational Research Journal*, 6(2), 207-226.
- Galfo, (1975). A. J. *Interpreting educational research* (3rd Ed.). Dubuque: W.M.C. Brown Company Publishers.
- Gall, M., Borg, W. R. and Gall, J. R. (1996). *Educational research: an introduction* (6th Ed.). New York: Longman Publishers.
- Gauteng Department of Education (2007). A new content framework for the subject *Life Sciences* as listed in the national curriculum statement Grades 10-12 (General). Circular 67/2007. www.education.gpg.gov.za/Legislation/Circulars/2007circulars/67.2007.documents. Accessed April 2008.
- Gay, L.R. (1981). *Educational research: competencies for analysis and application* (2nd Ed.). Columbus: Charles E. Merrill Publishing Co.
- Gess-Newsome, J. (1999). Secondary teachers' knowledge and beliefs about subject matter and their impact on instruction. In J. Gess-Newsome and N. Lederman (Eds.) *Examining pedagogical content knowledge: The construct and its implications for science education*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers. pp. 51-94.
- Glesne, C. and Peshkin, A. (1992). *Becoming qualitative researchers: an introduction*. New York: Longman Publishing Group.
- Guba, E. G. and Lincoln, Y.S. (1994). Competing paradigms in qualitative research. In N. K. Denzin and Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.). *Handbook of qualitative research*. 105-117. Thousand Oaks. California: Sage.
- Hall, G.E., and Hord, S.M. (2006). *Implementing change: patterns, principles, and potholes* (2nd Ed.). Boston: Pearson.
- Hall, G., George, A. and Rutherford, W. (1979). Research and Development Report No. 3032. Austin, Texas: University of Texas: Research and Development Center for Teacher Education, the University of Texas.
- Hall, G.E. and Loucks, S.F. (1978). Teacher concerns as a basis for facilitating and personalizing staff development. *Teachers College Record*, 80(1), 36-53.

- Hatch, J.A. (2002). *Doing qualitative research in educational settings*. New York: State University of New York.
- Hashweh, M.Z. (1987). Effects of subject matter knowledge in the teaching of biology and physics. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 3(2), 109-120.
- Haury, D.L. (1996). Teaching evolution in school science classes. *Eric Digest*. <http://www.ericdigests.org/1998-1/evolution.htm>. Accessed September 2009.
- Hemenway, R. E. (1999). The evolution of a controversy in Kansas shows why scientists must defend the search for truth. *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 46(10), 7-8.
- Herek, G.M. (1995). Developing a theoretical framework and rationale for a research proposal. In W. Pequegnant and E. Stover (Eds.). *How to write a successful research grant application: A guide for social and behavioural scientists*. New York: Plenum Press. pp. 85-91.
- Hildebrand, D., Bilica, K. and Capps, J. (2006). Addressing controversies in science education: a pragmatic approach to evolution. *Science Education*, 17(8/9), 1033-1052.
- Hill, H.C., Schilling, S.G. and Ball, D.L. (2004). Developing measures of teachers' mathematics knowledge for teaching. *The Elementary School Journal*, 105(1), 11-30.
- Holley, D. (2009). The patterns of evolution: divergent evolution, convergent evolution and coevolution revealed. http://evolution.suite101.com/article.cfm/the_patterns_of_evolution. Accessed July 2009.
- Horsely, D.L. and Loucks-Horsely, S. (1998). CBAM brings order to the tornado of change. *Journal of Staff Development*, 17(4), 17-20.
- Jimenez Aleixandre, M.P. (1994). Teaching evolution and natural selection: a look at textbooks and teachers. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 31(5), 519-535.
- Johnson, R. B. and Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2004). Mixed methods research: a research paradigm whose time has come. *Educational Researcher*, 33(7), 14-26.
- Kardong, K.V. (2006). *Vertebrates: comparative anatomy, function, evolution* (4th Ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Kerlinger, F.N. (1986). *Foundations of behavioural research* (3rd Ed.). USA: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc.
- LeCompte, M.D. and Schensul, J.J. (1999). *Designing and conducting ethnographic research*. Walnut Creek, California: AltaMira Press.
- Leedy, P. (1989). *Practical research planning and design*. (4th Ed.). New York: McMillan Publishing Company.
- Lever, J. (2002). Science, education and schooling in South Africa. In J. W. and L. Wilson (Eds.). *The architect and scaffold: evolution and education in South Africa*. Human Sciences Research Council, Cape Town: comPress. www.hsresearch.ac.za. Accessed July 2009. pp. 10-44.
- Loucks-Horsely, S. (1996). Professional development for science education: a critical and immediate challenge. In R. Bybee (Ed.) (1996). *National standards and the science curriculum*. Biological Sciences Curriculum Study. <http://www.nas.edu/rise/backg4a.htm>. Accessed March 2008.
- Mail and Guardian (2007). Schools' (r)evolution: religious backlash expected as evolution forms part of curriculum. October 26 – November 1. pp. 9.
- Makgoba, M. (1999). Professional educators' union's (Gauteng region) comments on teacher development. Gauteng Department of Education District Development Conference, 21-23 September 1999: Gauteng Department of Education, Buffelspoort. pp. 72-73.

- Marks, R. (1990). Pedagogical content knowledge: From a mathematical case to a modified conception. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 41(3), 3-11.
- Matthews, D. (2001). Effect of a curriculum containing creation stories on attitudes about evolution. *The American Biology Teacher*, 63(6), 404-409.
- Mashalaba, P. and Sanders, M. (2003). An investigation of Natural Sciences textbooks as support materials for activity-based lessons. Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual Meeting of the Southern African Association for Research in Mathematics and Science Education, University of Swaziland, Swaziland, pp. 402-409.
- McCarthy, T. and Rubidge, B. (2005). *The story of Earth and life: A southern African perspective on a 4.6-billion-year journey*. Cape Town: Struik Publishers.
- McMillan, J. and Schumacher, S. (2001). *Research in education: A conceptual introduction* (5th Ed.). New York: Addison Wesley Longman Inc.
- Mertens, D.M. (2005). *Research and evaluation in education and psychology: Integrating diversity with quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods* (2nd Ed.). Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Miller, J. Scott, E. and Okamoto, S. (2006). Public acceptance of evolution. *Science*, 313(5788), 765-766.
- Mohammad, R.F. and Kumari, R. (2007). Effective use of textbooks: a neglected aspect of education in Pakistan. *Journal of Education for International Development*, 3(1), 1-12.
- Moore, R. (2002). Teaching of evolution: do state standards matter? *Bioscience*, 52(4), 378-381.
- Moore, R., Jensen, M. and Hatch, J. (2003). Twenty questions: what have courts said about the teaching of evolution and creationism in public schools? *Bioscience*, 53(8), 766-771.
- Moore, R. (2004). How well do biology teachers understand the legal issues associated with the teaching of evolution? *Bioscience*, 54(9), 860-865.
- Moore, R. and Kraemer, K. (2005). The teaching of evolution and creationism in Minnesota. *The American Biology Teacher*, 67(8), 457-466.
- Moore, R. (2008). Creationism in the biology classroom: what do teachers teach and how do they teach it? *The American Biology Teacher*, 70(2), 79-84.
- Moran, L. (1993). *What is evolution?* The TalkOrigins Archive: exploring the creation / evolution controversy. <http://www.talkorigins.org/faqs/evolution-definition.html>. Accessed June 2008.
- Nadelson, L.S. (2009). Preservice teacher understanding and vision of how to teach biological evolution. *Evolution Education and Outreach*, 2(3), 490-504.
- National Academy of Sciences and Institute of Medicine of the National Academies (2008). *Science, evolutionism, and creationism*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Association of Biology Teachers (2008). *Position statement on teaching evolution*. <http://www.oklascience.org/NABTstatmnt.html>. Accessed September 2011.
- National Geographic. (2009). <http://news.nationalgeographic.com/news/2009/02/photogalleries/darwin-birthday-evolution/photo2.html>. Accessed September 2011.
- National Science Teachers Association (1997). An NSTA position statement on the teaching of evolution. *Science Scope*, 21(2), 26-27.

- Ngxola, N. and Sanders, M.R. (2008). Teaching evolution in the new curriculum: *Life Sciences* teachers' concerns. Proceedings of the 16th Conference of the Southern African Association for Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education. University of Lesotho, Maseru, pp.799-807.
- Opie, C. (2004). *Doing educational research. – A guide to first time researchers*. London: Sage Publications.
- Oppenheim, A. (1966). *Questionnaire design and attitude measurement*. London: Heinemann.
- Parker, J. and Heywood, D. (2000). Exploring the relationship between subject matter knowledge and pedagogic content knowledge in primary teachers learning about forces. *International Journal of Science Education*, 22(1), 89-111.
- Patton, M.Q. (1980). *Qualitative evaluation methods*. London: Sage Publications.
- Patton, M.Q. (1982). *Practical evaluation*. London: Sage Publications.
- Patton, M.Q. (2002). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods* (3rd Ed.). London: Sage Publications.
- Pigliucci, M. (2005). Evolution's importance to society. <http://www.actionbioscience.org/evolution/pigliucci.html>. Accessed August 2007.
- Prinou, L., Halkia, L. & Skordoulis, C. (2008). What conceptions do Greek school students form about biological evolution? *Evolution Education Outreach*, 1(3), 312-317.
- Rains, J.R., Kelly, C.A. and Durham, R.L. (2008). The evolution of importance of multi-sensory teaching techniques in elementary mathematics: theory and practice. *Journal of Theory in Practice in Education*, 4(2), 239-252.
- Raven, P.H., Johnson, G.B., Losos, J.B. and Singer, S.R. (2005). *Biology* (7th Ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Reeves, T. C. and Hedberg, J. G. (2003). *Interactive learning systems evaluation*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Education Technology Publications.
- Rice, J.W., Warner, D.A., Kelly, C.D., Clough, M.P. and Colbert, J. T. (2010). The theory of evolution is not an explanation for the origin of life. *Evolution Education and Outreach*, 3(2), 141-142.
- Rutledge, M.L. and Mitchell, M.A. (2002). High school biology teachers': knowledge structure, acceptance and teaching of evolution. *The American Biology Teacher*, 64(1), 21-27.
- Rutledge, M.L. and Sadler, K.C. (2007). Reliability of the measure of acceptance of the theory of evolution (MATE) instrument with university students. *The American Biology Teacher*, 69(6), 332-335.
- Rutledge, M.L. and Warden, M.A. (2000). Evolutionary theory, the nature of science and high school biology teachers: critical relationships. *The American Biology Teacher*, 62(1), 23-28.
- Sadler, T.D. (2005). Evolutionary theory as a guide to socioscientific decision-making, *Journal of Biological Education*, 39(2), 68-72.
- Sanders, M. (1993). Erroneous ideas about respiration: the teacher factor. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 30(8), 919-934.
- Sanders, M. (1995). A useful format for questionnaires and tests used in educational research. In Hendricks, A. (1995). Volume 1 of the proceedings of the Third Annual Meeting of the Southern African Association for Research in Mathematics and Science Education. 25-29 January. pp. 712-725.

- Sanders, M. and Kasalu, L. (2004). Lack of understanding of policy as a factor affecting the theory-practice gap in implementing Curriculum 2005. In A. Buffer and R. Laugksch (Eds.). Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual Conference of the Southern African Association for Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education. January 2004. University of Cape Town, Cape Town: pp. 917-925.
- Sanders, M. and Nduna B. (2007). So what's the new curriculum all about? Understanding the requirements of the new South African curriculum: implications for science teachers. In H. Van Rooyen and J. de Beer (Eds.). *Teaching science in the OBE classroom*. Braamfontein, South Africa: McMillan South African Publishers (Pty) Ltd.
- Sanders, M. (2008). Teaching about "evolution": more than just knowing the content! Proceedings of the 4th Biennial Conference of the South African Association of Science and Technology Educators. July 2008. University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg: pp.91-103.
- Sanders, M. (2010). Meeting the curriculum requirements of "learner-centredness" when teaching evolution: giving learners a fair deal. Vol. 3 of the Proceedings of the Eighteenth Annual Meeting of the Southern African Association for Research in Mathematics, Science, and Technology Education. January 2010. University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pinetown. pp. 22-31.
- Scharmann, L.C. (1993). Teaching evolution: designing successful instruction. *The American Biology Teacher*, 55(8), 481-486.
- Scharmann, L.C. (2005). A proactive strategy for teaching evolution. *The American Biology Teacher*, 67(1), 12-16.
- Schilders, M., Sloep, P., Peled, E. and Boersma, K. (2009). Worldviews and evolution in the biology classroom. *Journal of Biological Education*, 43(3), 115-120.
- Schumacher, S. and McMillan, J. H. (1993). *Research in education: a conceptual introduction*. New York: HarperCollins College Publishers.
- Schwab, J. J. (1978). Education and the structure of the disciplines. In I. Westebury and N. Wilkof (Eds.). *Science, curriculum and liberal education: selected essays*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Science in Africa. (2003). Teaching evolution in schools "not a priority". <http://www.scienceinafrica.co.za/2003/march/evolve.htm>. Accessed June 2008.
- Scott, E. (2000). The creation / evolution continuum. National Centre for Science Education: Defending the teaching of evolution in the public schools. <http://ncselegacy.org/creationism/general/creationevolution-continuum>. Accessed February 2011.
- Scott, E.C. (2009). *Evolution vs. creationism. An introduction* (2nd Ed.). Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press.
- Shulman, L.S. (1986). Those who understand: knowledge growth in teaching. *Educational Researcher*, 15(2), 4-14.
- Shulman, L. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: foundations of the new reform. *Harvard Educational Review*, 57(1), 1-22.
- Smith, M.U. (1994). Counterpoint: Belief, understanding, and the teaching of evolution. *Journal of Research and Science Teaching*, 31(5), 591-597.
- Spady, G.W. (1994). *Outcome-based education: critical issues and answers*. Virginia: American Association of School Administration, Arlington.

- Stears, M. (2006). The FET curriculum and the teaching of evolution – what are the challenges facing teachers? Proceedings of the Third Biennial Conference of the South African Association of Science and Technology Educators, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, pp. 177-182.
- Stein, M, Baxter, J., and Leinhardt, G. (1990). Subject matter knowledge and elementary instruction: a case from functions and graphing. *American Educational Research Journal*, 27(4), 639-663.
- Stodolsky, S. and Grossman, P. (1995). The impact of subject matter on curricular activity: an analysis of five academic subjects. *American Educational Research Journal*, 32(2), 227-249.
- Strauss, A. and Corbin, J. (1990). *Basis of qualitative research: grounded theory, procedures and techniques*. Newbury Park: Sage.
- Sweeny, B. (2003). The CBAM: A model of the people development process. <http://www.mentoring-association.org/membersonly/CBAM.html>. Accessed March 2006.
- Tashakkori, A. and Teddlie, C. (1998). *Mixed methodology: Combining qualitative and quantitative approaches*. Applied social research methods series. 46. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications.
- Tatina, R E. (1989). South Dakota high school biology teachers and the teaching of evolution and creationism. *The American Biology Teacher*, 51(5), 275-280.
- The Clergy letter project. (undated).
http://www.butler.edu/clergyproject/Christain_Clergy/ChrClergyLtr_htm. Accessed 14 February 2011.
- The University of California Museum of Paleontology, Berkeley (2006). Misconceptions. On the website “Understanding evolution for teachers”.
<http://evolution.berkeley.edu/evosite/misconcepts/index.shtml> . Accessed May 2011.
- Tidon, R. and Lewontin, R.C. (2004). Teaching evolutionary biology. *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 27(1), 124-131.
- Trani, R. (2004). I won't teach evolution: It's against my religion. And now for the rest of the story. *The American Biology Teacher*, 66(6), 419-427.
- Van Driel, J., de Jong, O., and Verloop, N. (2002). The development of preservice chemistry teachers' pedagogical content knowledge. *Science Education*, 86(4), 572-590.
- Walonick, D.S. (1993). Everything you want to know about questionnaires.
<http://www.statpac.com/research-papers/questionnaires.htm>. Accessed September 2010.
- Wandersee, J., Mintzes, J. and Novak, J. (1994). Research on alternative conceptions in science. In D. Gabel (Ed.). (1994). *Handbook of research on science teaching and learning*. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company. pp. 177-210.
- Watzke, J.L. (2007). Longitudinal research on beginning teacher development: Complexity as a challenge to concerns-based stage theory. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 23(1), 106-122.
- Weld, J. and McNew, J. (1999). Attitudes toward evolution. *The Science Teacher*, 66(9), 27-31.
- Wilbert, H. and Rusch, S.R. (1984). The argument – creationism versus evolutionism. USA: Creation Research Society.
- Wiles, J. and Branch, G. (2008). Teachers who won't, don't, or can't teach evolution properly: a burning issue. *The American Biology Teacher*, 70(1), 6-7.
- Wuerth, M. (2004). Resources for teaching evolution. *The American Biology Teacher*, 66(2), 109-113.
- Zimmerman, M. (1987). The evolution-creation controversy: opinions of Ohio high school teachers. *Ohio Journal of Science*, 87(4), 115-125.