

REFERENCE LIST

- Abd-El-Khalick, F. (2006). Preservice and experienced biology teachers' global and specific subject matter structures: implications for conceptions of pedagogical content knowledge. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 2(1), 1-29.
- Abd-El-Khalick, F. and Akerson, V. (2007). On the role and use of "theory" in science education research: A response to Johnston, Sutherland, and Sowell. *Science Education*, 91(1), 187-194.
- Abell, S. K. (2007). Research on science teacher knowledge. Abell, S.K. and Lederman, N.G. (2007) (Eds.). *Handbook of research on science education*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc. pp. 1105-1149.
- Aguillard, D. (1999). Evolution education in Louisiana public schools: a decade following Edward v Aguillard. *The American Biology Teacher*, 61(3) 182-188.
- Anastasi, A. (1968). *Psychological testing* (3rd Ed.). New York: Macmillan.
- Anderson, R. (2007). Teaching the theory of evolution in social, intellectual, and pedagogical context. *Science Education*, 91(4) 664-677.
- Antolin, M.F. and Herbers, J.M. (2001). Perspective: evolution's struggle for existence in America's public schools. *Evolution. International Journal of Organic Evolution*, 55(12), 2379-2388.
- Asghar, A. Wiles, J.R. and Alters, B. (2007). Canadian pre-service elementary teachers' conceptions of biological evolution and evolution education. *McGill Journal of Education*, 42(2), 189-210.
- Ayala, F. J. (2000). Arguing for evolution. *The Science Teacher*, 67(2), 30-32.
- Ball, D.L. (2000). Bridging practices: intertwining content and pedagogy in teaching and learning to teach. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 51(3), 241-247.
- Ball, D.L. and Bass, H. (2000). Interweaving content and pedagogy in teaching and learning to teach: knowing and using mathematics. In J. Boaler (Ed.). *Multiple perspectives on the teaching and learning mathematics*. Westport, CT: Ablex. pp. 83-104.
- Ball, D.L. and Feiman-Nemser, S. (1988). Using textbooks and teachers' guides: A dilemma for the beginning teachers and teacher educators. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 18(4), 401-423.
- Ball, D.L., Lubienski, S.T. and Mweborn, D.S. (2001). Research on teaching mathematics: the unsolved problem of teachers' knowledge. In V. Richardson (Ed.). *Handbook of research on teaching* (4th Ed.). New York: Macmillan.
- Bandoli, J.H. (2008). Do state science standards matter? Comparing student perceptions of coverage of evolution in Indiana and Ohio public high schools. *The American Biology Teacher*, 70(4), 213-216.
- Barnett, J and Bell, B. (1997). Developing the science curriculum in Aotearoa New Zealand. In B. Bell and R. Baker (Eds.). *Supporting teacher development for curriculum implementation*. New Zealand: Addison Wesley Longman. pp. 257-267.
- Berdie, D. and Anderson, J. (1974). *Questionnaires: Design and use*. Metuchen, New Jersey: The Scarecrow Press, Inc.
- Berger, M. (2006). The clash of cultures in the classroom and the teaching of evolution. *South African Journal of Science*, 102(3/4), 90.
- Best, J.W. (1977). *Research in education*. (3rd Ed.). New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- Bishop, B.A. and Anderson, C.W. (1990). Students' conceptions of natural selection and its role in education. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 27(5), 415-427.

- Borgatti, S.P. (1996). Elements of research: Theoretical framework.
<http://www.analytictech.com/mb313/elements.htm>. Accessed April 2010.
- Bull, J.J. and Wichman, H.A. (2001). Applied evolution. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 32(2001), 183-217.
- Caliendo, S.M. and Kyle, W.C. (1996). Establishing the theoretical frame. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 33(3), 225-227.
- Campbell, N.A., Reece, J.B., Urry, L.A., Cain, M.L., Wasserman, S.A., Minorsky, P.V. and Jackson, R. J. (2008). *Biology* (8th Ed.). San Francisco: Pearson Benjamin Cummings.
- Cavallo, A.M.L. and McCall, D. (2008). Seeing may not mean believing: examining students' understandings and beliefs in evolution. *The American Biology Teacher*, 70(9), 522-530.
- Cheung, D. and Davis, N.G. (2000). Teachers' stages of concern about the target-oriented curriculum. *Education Journal*, 28(1), 109-122.
- Chisholm, L., Volmink, J., Ndhlovu, T., Potenza, E., Mohamed, H., Muller, J., Lubisi, C., Vinjevold, P., Ngozi, L., Malan, B., and Mphahlele, L. (2000). *A South African curriculum for the twenty-first century*. Report of the Review Committee on Curriculum 2005, Pretoria.
- Chuang, H.C. (2003). Teaching evolution: attitudes and strategies of educators in Utah. *The American Biology Teacher*, 65(9), 669-674.
- Clough, M.P. (1994). Diminish student's resistance to biological evolution. *The American Biology Teacher*, 56(7), 409-414.
- Clores, M.A. and Limjap, A.A. (2006). Diversity of students' beliefs about biological evolution. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 26(1), 65-77.
- Cochran, K., DeRuiter, J. and King, R. (1993). Pedagogical content knowing: an integrative model for teacher preparation. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 44(4), 263-272.
- Cohen, L. and Manion, L. (1986). *Research methods in education* (2nd Ed.). Australia: Croom Helm Publishers.
- Cohen, L. and Manion, L. (1994). *Research methods in education*. (4th Ed.). London and New York: Routledge.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., and Morrison, K. (2000). *Research methods in education*. London: RoutledgeFalmer.
- Collins English Dictionary: Major new edition (1991). (3rd Ed.). Aylesburg, England: Market House Books Ltd.
- Converse, J.M. and Presser, S. (1986). *Survey questions: handcrafting the standardized questionnaire*. Series: Quantitative applications in the Social Sciences. New Park, California: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Dalrymple, G. B. (1991). "So how old is the Earth, anyway?" *National Centre for Science Education Reports*, 11(4), 17.
- Dempster, E.R. and Hugo, W. (2006). Introducing the concept of evolution in South African schools. *South African Journal of Science*. 102(3/4), 106-112.
- Dennick, D. and Joyes, G. (1994). New science teachers' subject knowledge. *The School Science Review*, 76(275), 103-109.
- Department of Basic Education (2011). Curriculum and assessment policy statement Grades 10-12: *Life Sciences*. Pretoria: Department of Education.

- Department of Education (2002). Revised national curriculum statement Grades 7-9 (Schools). Pretoria: Department of Education.
- Department of Education (2003). National curriculum statement Grades 10-12 (General). Pretoria: Department of Education.
- Department of Education (2005). Learning programme guidelines: *Life Sciences*. Pretoria: Department of Education.
- Dobzhansky, T. (1973). Nothing in biology makes sense except in the light of evolution. *The American Biology Teacher*, 35(3), 125-129.
- Farber, P. (2003). Teaching and the nature of science. *The American Biology Teacher*, 65(5), 347-354.
- Foddy, W. (1993). *Constructing questions for interviews and questionnaires: theory and practice in social research*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Fraenkel, J. and Wallen, N. (1990). *How to design and evaluate research in education*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Freeman, S. and Herron, J.C. (2004). *Evolutionary analysis* (3rd Ed.). United States of America: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Fuller, F.F. (1969). Concerns of teachers: a developmental conceptualization. *American Educational Research Journal*, 6(2), 207-226.
- Galfo, (1975). A. J. *Interpreting educational research* (3rd Ed.). Dubuque: W.M.C. Brown Company Publishers.
- Gall, M., Borg, W. R. and Gall, J. R. (1996). *Educational research: an introduction* (6th Ed.). New York: Longman Publishers.
- Gauteng Department of Education (2007). A new content framework for the subject *Life Sciences* as listed in the national curriculum statement Grades 10-12 (General). Circular 67/2007. www.education.gpg.gov.za/Legislation/Circulars/2007circulars/67.2007.documents. Accessed April 2008.
- Gay, L.R. (1981). *Educational research: competencies for analysis and application* (2nd Ed.). Columbus: Charles E. Merrill Publishing Co.
- Gess-Newsome, J. (1999). Secondary teachers' knowledge and beliefs about subject matter and their impact on instruction. In J. Gess-Newsome and N. Lederman (Eds.) *Examining pedagogical content knowledge: The construct and its implications for science education*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers. pp. 51-94.
- Glesne, C. and Peshkin, A. (1992). *Becoming qualitative researchers: an introduction*. New York: Longman Publishing Group.
- Guba, E. G. and Lincoln, Y.S. (1994). Competing paradigms in qualitative research. In N. K. Denzin and Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.). *Handbook of qualitative research*. 105-117. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage.
- Hall, G.E., and Hord, S.M. (2006). *Implementing change: patterns, principles, and potholes* (2nd Ed.). Boston: Pearson.
- Hall, G., George, A. and Rutherford, W. (1979). Research and Development Report No. 3032. Austin, Texas: University of Texas: Research and Development Center for Teacher Education, the University of Texas.
- Hall, G.E. and Loucks, S.F. (1978). Teacher concerns as a basis for facilitating and personalizing staff development. *Teachers College Record*, 80(1), 36-53.

- Hatch, J.A. (2002). *Doing qualitative research in educational settings*. New York: State University of New York.
- Hashweh, M.Z. (1987). Effects of subject matter knowledge in the teaching of biology and physics. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 3(2), 109-120.
- Haury, D.L. (1996). Teaching evolution in school science classes. *Eric Digest*. <http://www.ericdigests.org/1998-1/evolution.htm>. Accessed September 2009.
- Hemenway, R. E. (1999). The evolution of a controversy in Kansas shows why scientists must defend the search for truth. *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 46(10), 7-8.
- Herek, G.M. (1995). Developing a theoretical framework and rationale for a research proposal. In W. Pequegnant and E. Stover (Eds.). *How to write a successful research grant application: A guide for social and behavioural scientists*. New York: Plenum Press. pp. 85-91.
- Hildebrand, D., Bilica, K. and Capps, J. (2006). Addressing controversies in science education: a pragmatic approach to evolution. *Science Education*, 17(8/9), 1033-1052.
- Hill, H.C., Schilling, S.G. and Ball, D.L. (2004). Developing measures of teachers' mathematics knowledge for teaching. *The Elementary School Journal*, 105(1), 11-30.
- Holley, D. (2009). The patterns of evolution: divergent evolution, convergent evolution and coevolution revealed. http://evolution.suite101.com/article.cfm/the_patterns_of_evolution. Accessed July 2009.
- Horsely, D.L. and Loucks-Horsely, S. (1998). CBAM brings order to the tornado of change. *Journal of Staff Development*, 17(4), 17-20.
- Jimenez Aleixandre, M.P. (1994). Teaching evolution and natural selection: a look at textbooks and teachers. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 31(5), 519-535.
- Johnson, R. B. and Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2004). Mixed methods research: a research paradigm whose time has come. *Educational Researcher*, 33(7), 14-26.
- Kardong, K.V. (2006). *Vertebrates: comparative anatomy, function, evolution* (4th Ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Kerlinger, F.N. (1986). *Foundations of behavioural research* (3rd Ed.). USA: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc.
- LeCompte, M.D. and Schensul, J.J. (1999). *Designing and conducting ethnographic research*. Walnut Creek, California: AltaMira Press.
- Leedy, P. (1989). *Practical research planning and design*. (4th Ed.). New York: McMillan Publishing Company.
- Lever, J. (2002). Science, education and schooling in South Africa. In J. W. and L. Wilson (Eds.). *The architect and scaffold: evolution and education in South Africa*. Human Sciences Research Council, Cape Town: comPress. www.hsrcpress.ac.za. Accessed July 2009. pp. 10-44.
- Loucks-Horsely, S. (1996). Professional development for science education: a critical and immediate challenge. In R. Bybee (Ed.) (1996). *National standards and the science curriculum*. Biological Sciences Curriculum Study. <http://www.nas.edu/rise/backg4a.htm>. Accessed March 2008.
- Mail and Guardian (2007). Schools' (r)evolution: religious backlash expected as evolution forms part of curriculum. October 26 – November 1. pp. 9.
- Makgoba, M. (1999). Professional educators' union's (Gauteng region) comments on teacher development. Gauteng Department of Education District Development Conference, 21-23 September 1999: Gauteng Department of Education, Buffelspoort. pp. 72-73.

- Marks, R. (1990). Pedagogical content knowledge: From a mathematical case to a modified conception. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 41(3), 3-11.
- Matthews, D. (2001). Effect of a curriculum containing creation stories on attitudes about evolution. *The American Biology Teacher*, 63(6), 404-409.
- Mashalaba, P. and Sanders, M. (2003). An investigation of Natural Sciences textbooks as support materials for activity-based lessons. Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual Meeting of the Southern African Association for Research in Mathematics and Science Education, University of Swaziland, Swaziland, pp. 402-409.
- McCarthy, T. and Rubidge, B. (2005). *The story of Earth and life: A southern African perspective on a 4.6-billion-year journey*. Cape Town: Struik Publishers.
- McMillan, J. and Schumacher, S. (2001). *Research in education: A conceptual introduction* (5th Ed.). New York: Addison Wesley Longman Inc.
- Mertens, D.M. (2005). *Research and evaluation in education and psychology: Integrating diversity with quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods* (2nd Ed.). Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Miller, J. Scott, E. and Okamoto, S. (2006). Public acceptance of evolution. *Science*, 313(5788), 765-766.
- Mohammad, R.F. and Kumari, R. (2007). Effective use of textbooks: a neglected aspect of education in Pakistan. *Journal of Education for International Development*, 3(1), 1-12.
- Moore, R. (2002). Teaching of evolution: do state standards matter? *Bioscience*, 52(4), 378-381.
- Moore, R., Jensen, M. and Hatch, J. (2003). Twenty questions: what have courts said about the teaching of evolution and creationism in public schools? *Bioscience*, 53(8), 766-771.
- Moore, R. (2004). How well do biology teachers understand the legal issues associated with the teaching of evolution? *Bioscience*, 54(9), 860-865.
- Moore, R. and Kraemer, K. (2005). The teaching of evolution and creationism in Minnesota. *The American Biology Teacher*, 67(8), 457-466.
- Moore, R. (2008). Creationism in the biology classroom: what do teachers teach and how do they teach it? *The American Biology Teacher*, 70(2), 79-84.
- Moran, L. (1993). *What is evolution?* The TalkOrigins Archive: exploring the creation / evolution controversy. <http://www.talkorigins.org/faqs/evolution-definition.html>. Accessed June 2008.
- Nadelson, L.S. (2009). Preservice teacher understanding and vision of how to teach biological evolution. *Evolution Education and Outreach*, 2(3), 490-504.
- National Academy of Sciences and Institute of Medicine of the National Academies (2008). *Science, evolutionism, and creationism*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Association of Biology Teachers (2008). *Position statement on teaching evolution*. <http://www.oklascience.org/NABTstatmnt.html>. Accessed September 2011.
- National Geographic. (2009). <http://news.nationalgeographic.com/news/2009/02/photogalleries/darwin-birthday-evolution/photo2.html>. Accessed September 2011.
- National Science Teachers Association (1997). An NSTA position statement on the teaching of evolution. *Science Scope*, 21(2), 26-27.

- Ngxola, N. and Sanders, M.R. (2008). Teaching evolution in the new curriculum: *Life Sciences teachers' concerns*. Proceedings of the 16th Conference of the Southern African Association for Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education. University of Lesotho, Maseru, pp.799-807.
- Opie, C. (2004). *Doing educational research. – A guide to first time researchers*. London: Sage Publications.
- Oppenheim, A. (1966). *Questionnaire design and attitude measurement*. London: Heinemann.
- Parker, J. and Heywood, D. (2000). Exploring the relationship between subject matter knowledge and pedagogic content knowledge in primary teachers learning about forces. *International Journal of Science Education*, 22(1), 89-111.
- Patton, M.Q. (1980). *Qualitative evaluation methods*. London: Sage Publications.
- Patton, M.Q. (1982). *Practical evaluation*. London: Sage Publications.
- Patton, M.Q. (2002). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods* (3rd Ed.). London: Sage Publications.
- Pigliucci, M. (2005). Evolution's importance to society. <http://www.actionbioscience.org/evolution/pigliucci.html>. Accessed August 2007.
- Prinou, L., Halkia, L. & Skordoulis, C. (2008). What conceptions do Greek school students form about biological evolution? *Evolution Education Outreach*, 1(3), 312-317.
- Rains, J.R., Kelly, C.A. and Durham, R.L. (2008). The evolution of importance of multi-sensory teaching techniques in elementary mathematics: theory and practice. *Journal of Theory in Practice in Education*, 4(2), 239-252.
- Raven, P.H., Johnson, G.B., Losos, J.B. and Singer, S.R. (2005). *Biology* (7th Ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Reeves, T. C. and Hedberg, J. G. (2003). *Interactive learning systems evaluation*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Education Technology Publications.
- Rice, J.W., Warner, D.A., Kelly, C.D., Clough, M.P. and Colbert, J. T. (2010). The theory of evolution is not an explanation for the origin of life. *Evolution Education and Outreach*, 3(2), 141-142.
- Rutledge, M.L. and Mitchell, M.A. (2002). High school biology teachers': knowledge structure, acceptance and teaching of evolution. *The American Biology Teacher*, 64(1), 21-27.
- Rutledge, M.L. and Sadler, K.C. (2007). Reliability of the measure of acceptance of the theory of evolution (MATE) instrument with university students. *The American Biology Teacher*, 69(6), 332-335.
- Rutledge, M.L. and Warden, M.A. (2000). Evolutionary theory, the nature of science and high school biology teachers: critical relationships. *The American Biology Teacher*, 62(1), 23-28.
- Sadler, T.D. (2005). Evolutionary theory as a guide to socioscientific decision-making, *Journal of Biological Education*, 39(2), 68-72.
- Sanders, M. (1993). Erroneous ideas about respiration: the teacher factor. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 30(8), 919-934.
- Sanders, M. (1995). A useful format for questionnaires and tests used in educational research. In Hendricks, A. (1995). Volume 1 of the proceedings of the Third Annual Meeting of the Southern African Association for Research in Mathematics and Science Education. 25-29 January. pp. 712-725.

- Sanders, M. and Kasalu, L. (2004). Lack of understanding of policy as a factor affecting the theory-practice gap in implementing Curriculum 2005. In A. Buffer and R. Laugksch (Eds.). *Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual Conference of the Southern African Association for Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*. January 2004. University of Cape Town, Cape Town: pp. 917-925.
- Sanders, M. and Nduna B. (2007). So what's the new curriculum all about? Understanding the requirements of the new South African curriculum: implications for science teachers. In H. Van Rooyen and J. de Beer (Eds.). *Teaching science in the OBE classroom*. Braamfontein, South Africa: McMillan South African Publishers (Pty) Ltd.
- Sanders, M. (2008). Teaching about "evolution": more than just knowing the content! *Proceedings of the 4th Biennial Conference of the South African Association of Science and Technology Educators*. July 2008. University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg: pp.91-103.
- Sanders, M. (2010). Meeting the curriculum requirements of "learner-centredness" when teaching evolution: giving learners a fair deal. Vol. 3 of the *Proceedings of the Eighteenth Annual Meeting of the Southern African Association for Research in Mathematics, Science, and Technology Education*. January 2010. University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pinetown. pp. 22-31.
- Scharmann, L.C. (1993). Teaching evolution: designing successful instruction. *The American Biology Teacher*, 55(8), 481-486.
- Scharmann, L.C. (2005). A proactive strategy for teaching evolution. *The American Biology Teacher*, 67(1), 12-16.
- Schilders, M., Sloep, P., Peled, E. and Boersma, K. (2009). Worldviews and evolution in the biology classroom. *Journal of Biological Education*, 43(3), 115-120.
- Schumacher, S. and McMillan, J. H. (1993). *Research in education: a conceptual introduction*. New York: HarperCollins College Publishers.
- Schwab, J. J. (1978). Education and the structure of the disciplines. In I. Westbury and N. Wilkof (Eds.). *Science, curriculum and liberal education: selected essays*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Science in Africa. (2003). Teaching evolution in schools "not a priority". <http://www.scienceinafrica.co.za/2003/march/evolve.htm>. Accessed June 2008.
- Scott, E. (2000). The creation / evolution continuum. National Centre for Science Education: Defending the teaching of evolution in the public schools. <http://ncselegacy.org/creationism/general/creationevolution-continuum>. Accessed February 2011.
- Scott, E.C. (2009). *Evolution vs. creationism. An introduction* (2nd Ed.). Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press.
- Shulman, L.S. (1986). Those who understand: knowledge growth in teaching. *Educational Researcher*, 15(2), 4-14.
- Shulman, L. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: foundations of the new reform. *Harvard Educational Review*, 57(1), 1-22.
- Smith, M.U. (1994). Counterpoint: Belief, understanding, and the teaching of evolution. *Journal of Research and Science Teaching*, 31(5), 591-597.
- Spady, G.W. (1994). *Outcome-based education: critical issues and answers*. Virginia: American Association of School Administration, Arlington.

- Stears, M. (2006). The FET curriculum and the teaching of evolution – what are the challenges facing teachers? Proceedings of the Third Biennial Conference of the South African Association of Science and Technology Educators, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, pp. 177-182.
- Stein, M, Baxter, J., and Leinhardt, G. (1990). Subject matter knowledge and elementary instruction: a case from functions and graphing. *American Educational Research Journal*, 27(4), 639-663.
- Stodolsky, S. and Grossman, P. (1995). The impact of subject matter on curricular activity: an analysis of five academic subjects. *American Educational Research Journal*, 32(2), 227-249.
- Strauss, A. and Corbin, J. (1990). *Basis of qualitative research: grounded theory, procedures and techniques*. Newbury Park: Sage.
- Sweeny, B. (2003). The CBAM: A model of the people development process. <http://www.mentoring-association.org/membersonly/CBAM.html>. Accessed March 2006.
- Tashakkori, A. and Teddlie, C. (1998). *Mixed methodology: Combining qualitative and quantitative approaches*. Applied social research methods series. 46. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications.
- Tatina, R E. (1989). South Dakota high school biology teachers and the teaching of evolution and creationism. *The American Biology Teacher*, 51(5), 275-280.
- The Clergy letter project. (undated).
http://www.butler.edu/clergyproject/Christain_Clergy/ChrClergyLtr_hm. Accessed 14 February 2011.
- The University of California Museum of Paleontology, Berkeley (2006). Misconceptions. On the website “Understanding evolution for teachers”.
<http://evolution.berkeley.edu/evosite/misconcepts/index.shtml> . Accessed May 2011.
- Tidon, R. and Lewontin, R.C. (2004). Teaching evolutionary biology. *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 27(1), 124-131.
- Trani, R. (2004). I won’t teach evolution: It’s against my religion. And now for the rest of the story. *The American Biology Teacher*, 66(6), 419-427.
- Van Driel, J., de Jong, O., and Verloop, N. (2002). The development of preservice chemistry teachers’ pedagogical content knowledge. *Science Education*, 86(4), 572-590.
- Walonick, D.S. (1993). Everything you want to know about questionnaires.
<http://www.statpac.com/research-papers/questionnaires.htm>. Accessed September 2010.
- Wandersee, J., Mintzes, J. and Novak, J. (1994). Research on alternative conceptions in science. In D. Gabel (Ed.). (1994). *Handbook of research on science teaching and learning*. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company. pp. 177-210.
- Watzke, J.L. (2007). Longitudinal research on beginning teacher development: Complexity as a challenge to concerns-based stage theory. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 23(1), 106-122.
- Weld, J. and McNew, J. (1999). Attitudes toward evolution. *The Science Teacher*, 66(9), 27-31.
- Wilbert, H. and Rusch, S.R. (1984). The argument – creationism versus evolutionism. USA: Creation Research Society.
- Wiles, J. and Branch, G. (2008). Teachers who won’t, don’t, or can’t teach evolution properly: a burning issue. *The American Biology Teacher*, 70(1), 6-7.
- Wuerth, M. (2004). Resources for teaching evolution. *The American Biology Teacher*, 66(2), 109-113.
- Zimmerman, M. (1987). The evolution-creation controversy: opinions of Ohio high school teachers. *Ohio Journal of Science*, 87(4), 115-125.