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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCG</td>
<td>Bacille Calmette–Guérin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTTC</td>
<td>Desmond Tutu TB Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOTS</td>
<td>Directly observed treatment short-course strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBCs</td>
<td>High-burden countries, of which there are 22 that account for approximately 80% of all new TB cases arising each year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Human immunodeficiency virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDGs</td>
<td>Millennium Development Goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDR</td>
<td>Multidrug resistance (resistance to isoniazid and rifampicin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDR-TB</td>
<td>Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NTP  National tuberculosis control programme or equivalent

PAL  Practical Approach to Lung Health

R/U  Ravensmead/Uitsig

SADHS  South African Demographic and Health Survey

STATSSA  Statistics South Africa

TB  Tuberculosis

UN  the United Nations

WHO  World Health Organisation

XDR-TB  Extremely drug resistant -TB due to MDR strains that are also resistant to a fluoroquinolone and at least one second-line injectable agent (amikacin, kanamycin and/or capreomycin)
ABSTRACT

Introduction: Tuberculosis (TB) persists as a serious global public health problem of a magnitude requiring urgent attention. The increase in new cases of TB in African countries where the prevalence of HIV is relatively low has been associated with other host and environmental factors. There is little or no comparable data on the association between host and environmental related factors and TB incidence in low HIV prevalence regions of South Africa.

Objectives: This study aims to investigate host and environmental factors associated with incident TB in one region of South Africa.

Methods: 3493 TB-free participants were recruited, and baseline data collected at the beginning of 2003 in the Lung Health Study in Ravensmead and Uitsig, Cape Town, South Africa. The TB register was used to identify new cases among the 3493 participants between 2003 and 2007.

Results: Of the 3493 study participants, 109 developed TB; i.e. 57 males and 52 females. The incidence of TB in the Ravensmead and Uitsig study population was 632 per 100,000. Cohabiting, OR= 2.09 (95% CI= 1.05 - 4.17), smoking, OR= 2.19 (95% CI= 1.48 - 4.14), and history of imprisonment
OR= 1.88 (95% CI= 1.09 - 3.23) were all statistically associated with TB incidence in multiple logistic regression models. The summary population attributable fraction for these three factors was 53.2%.

**Conclusions:** TB incidence was high in this community. Cigarette smoking was one of the most important predictors of TB incidence, and the proportion of smokers in this population was relatively high. TB control and prevention strategies need to focus on interventions which will reduce or limit the impact of TB risk factors.
CHAPTER 1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB) incidence rate is a key indicator for monitoring performance in TB control interventions, and an understanding of the epidemiology of TB forms the basis for implementing a successful national TB control programme (Rieder, 1999; Ward, 2004). Thus it follows that identifying those at increased risk of developing TB disease is strategic in TB control (Ward, 2004). TB control programmes aim to stop transmission by identifying and treating infectious disease, preventing infection (vaccination), and preventing disease among infected high-risk individuals (preventive intervention).

TB is ranked second to Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) as the leading cause of death globally (Raviglione, 2003). Worldwide, the number of new cases is still rising due to an increased case load in Africa, Eastern Mediterranean and South-East Asia (WHO, 2007; Story, 2004). WHO declared TB a global health emergency in 1993 (Story, 2004). Africa, home to 11% of the world’s population, carries 31% of the global burden of TB cases (Story, 2004; WHO, 2007; WHO 2008a). The TB case load has continued to grow in Africa (WHO, 2007), despite the 46 countries in the WHO African
region adopted a resolution declaring TB as an emergency in the African region (WHO, 2005). WHO reported that 13 (87%) of the 15 countries with the highest estimated TB incidence rates in 2007 were in Africa (WHO, 2009).

TB persists as a serious global public-health problem which needs urgent attention (Stop TB, 2002). Renewed global efforts to control TB started when the World Health Assembly resolution recognized TB as a major global public health problem (WHO, 1991). Later, when the United Nations (UN) adopted the Millennium Declaration in 2000, TB became one of the priorities defined in the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). The MDG related to the TB control impact (Target 8) is not only to halt the incidence, but also to begin to reverse it by 2015 (Dye et al., 2005). The long term goal set by WHO and its Stop TB partners is to eliminate TB as a public health concern (i.e. to reduce TB incidence to 1 or less per million population by 2050) (Lönnroth & Raviglione, 2008).

TB is a multi-factorial disease in which the environment interacts with host-related factors to contribute to the development of the clinical disease (Lienhardt, 2001). However, the causal chain of processes influencing the epidemiology of TB is not merely of a simple linear nature as shown in Figure 1 (Story, 2004). Exposure to a potentially infectious case is a prerequisite for becoming infected. Once an individual is exposed, there are factors which determine the following:
• The risk of becoming infected,

• The probability that an infected individual will develop tuberculosis, and

• The likelihood that a diseased individual will die from TB (Story, 2004; Rieder, 1999).

Figure 1.1 Epidemiology of tuberculosis – factors affecting risk of exposure, infection, progression to disease and death (source: Story A. Tuberculosis – A general introduction)
The World Health Organisation (WHO) estimated that 9.27 million new cases of TB occurred in 2007, compared with 9.24 million new cases of TB in 2006. Of these 9.27 million new cases, an estimated 44% or 4.1 million were new smear-positive cases. India, China, Indonesia, Nigeria and South Africa were ranked first to fifth respectively in terms of the total number of new TB cases (WHO, 2009). Approximately 80% of TB cases are found in only 22 countries\(^1\); with the highest incidence rate reported in Africa (WHO, 2008a; WHO, 2007). The unprecedented growth of the TB epidemic in Africa is attributable to several factors, the most important being the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) epidemic (Chaisson and Martinson, 2008).

### 1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

South Africa has a long history of high TB burden (Harling et al, 2008). WHO’s estimate of national incidence of TB in South Africa was 600 cases per 100 000 persons per annum in 2005, and this increased to 940 cases per 100 000 persons per annum in

\(^1\) 22 High-Burden Countries (in alphabetical order): Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Brazil, Cambodia, China, DR Congo, Ethiopia, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Mozambique, Myanmar, Nigeria, Pakistan, Philippines, Russian Federation, South Africa, Thailand, Uganda, UR Tanzania, Viet Nam, Zimbabwe.
WHO estimates the 2007 TB incidence rate to be 948 new cases per 100 000, with South Africa ranking fifth in terms of the total number of incident TB cases in the world (WHO, 2009). It was one of the reported five leading underlying causes of death among South Africans between 1997 and 2001 (Stats SA, 2006). Statistics South Africa (Stats SA), further reported TB to be a leading cause of death among African and Coloured males during the same period (Anderson & Phillips, 2006; Stats SA, 2006).

Host-related and environment-related factors have been shown to play a role in the development of tuberculosis (TB), but few studies were carried out to identify their respective roles in the developing countries (Lienhardt et al., 2005). Moreover, in most studies investigating risk factors for TB, host-related and environmental factors were usually investigated separately, using different designs, making it impossible to assess their respective effects (Rieder, 1999; Lienhardt, 2001). There is a need to re-examine the contribution of environmental and host-related factors for TB and identify factors that can influence the individual risk of development TB, in order to adjust and adapt TB control policies (Lienhardt et al., 2005).
1.3 JUSTIFICATION

There has been a reported increase in new TB cases in sub-Saharan countries or communities with a relatively low HIV prevalence (WHO, 2009; WHO, 2007; Corbett et al., 2003), indicating that there are factors other than HIV driving high TB incidence in these settings. The high TB incidence rates estimated for some African countries are partly explained by the relatively high rates of HIV co-infection (Corbett et al., 2003). The WHO reported that TB incidence rates are falling in seven of nine epidemiological sub-regions and are stable in eastern Europe. However, TB incidence rates are increasing in African countries even in places with a low prevalence of HIV (WHO, 2009).

In South Africa, few previous studies have shown a conflicting association between host and environmental factors and active TB disease (Coetzee et al., 1988; Schoeman et al., 1991; Harling et al., 2008). In addition, the design of previous studies has usually been case-control and cross-sectional, and their results might be biased by the limitations of these types of studies. Data are needed on the rates of disease by place and person according to the various risk factors (Lienhardt, 2001). Little or no comparable data exists about the association between host and environmental related factors and TB incidence in regions of South Africa with high TB incidence and low HIV prevalence.
This study investigates the association between host and environment related factors and TB disease in a high TB prevalence setting.

1.4 LITERATURE REVIEW

The development of TB is a two-stage process in which a susceptible person exposed to an infectious tuberculosis case first becomes infected and may later develop the disease, depending on various factors (Lienhardt et al., 2005). Thus the risk factors for infection are quite different from those associated with developing TB after infection (Story, 2004; Rieder, 1999).

A complex relationship exists between the host factors and environmental factors which determines who develops TB after infection (Lienhardt, 2001). Some of these factors have considerable impact because not only are they strong risk factors in themselves, but they may also be highly prevalent in the general population. Even though some factors appear to increase TB risk several-fold, they may be regarded as medical curiosities of minimal public health importance because they occur only rarely. The importance of any risk factor in public health is determined by both the strength of the association and the prevalence of the risk factor in the population (Rieder, 1999; Lönnroth et al., 2008).
In persons infected with tubercle bacilli, any factor modifying the balance established in the body between the host’s immune defences and the tubercle bacilli can have an impact on the risk of developing the disease (Lienhardt et al., 2005). The relative importance of TB risk factors was investigated in a number of recent systematic reviews. Stevenson et al. (2007) estimated that 15% of adult pulmonary TB cases in India can be attributed to diabetes (Stevenson et al., 2007). This finding was consistent with the results of a recent review which found consistent evidence for an increased risk of TB among people with diabetes (Jeon & Murray, 2008).

Another recent review study investigated alcohol use as a risk factor for TB and found that there is a three-fold risk increase of active TB associated with consumption of more than 40g of alcohol per day, and/or having an alcohol use disorder (Lönnroth et al., 2008). Lin et al. (2009) demonstrated that tobacco smoking is associated with an increased risk of TB. They also reported that passive smoking and biomass fuel combustion also increase the TB risk (Lin et al., 2007). Improved understanding of the respective effects on TB risk factors on the incidence of TB will have strong implications for tuberculosis control and prevention (Lienhardt, 2001).

Studies investigating risk factors for TB have been conducted mainly in developed countries, with relatively few studies in developing countries, particularly in high TB burden countries (Lienhardt et al., 2005). Studies conducted in developed countries
showed immigration and HIV infection as important risk factors for TB, especially for those individuals born in high burden countries. A study by McKenna et al. from 1986 to 1993 found that the incidence of tuberculosis in foreign-born persons residing in the western United States was almost twice the rate among foreign-born persons in the rest of the country. The incidence of tuberculosis in foreign-born persons varied substantially according to the world region of origin. People from Asian countries other than India, Japan, mainland China, and countries of the former Soviet Union had the highest rate. Fifty five per cent of immigrants with tuberculosis had the condition diagnosed in their first five years in the United States (McKenna et al., 1995).

A recent study which lasted from 2001 to 2006 reported that immigrants accounted for 57% of all TB cases in the United States in 2006 (Cain et al., 2008). Individuals born in sub-Saharan Africa and Southeast Asia accounted for 53% of the total annual number of cases among all foreign-born persons, even though they comprised just 22% of the total US foreign-born population (Cain et al., 2008).

Rose reported that the increase in tuberculosis in England and Wales over 10 years in the 90s was due to an increase in the number of patients who had been born abroad (Rose et al., 2001). A study in Alberta Canada, reported that immigrants accounted for 56.3% of all TB cases from 1989 to 1998, and by far the highest rates were seen in immigrants to Canada from Asia, in particular HBCs (Long et al., 2002).
A study in Liguria Italy, reported a 30% increase in new TB cases among non-European immigrants (Crimi et al., 2005). In Madrid, Spain, a study from 1994 to 2004 also reported an increase of TB rates among immigrants (Inigo et al., 2006). It is conceivable that immigrants are more at risk, especially given that they are plagued by socioeconomic problems in their new country including homelessness, and staying at a homeless shelter or a nursing home where contact with TB patients may be increased (Romaszko et al., 2008).

HIV infection is purported to be the greatest single risk factor for the progression to active TB disease in adults (Frieden et al., 2003). The latest WHO report showed that of the 9.27 million TB incident cases in 2007, an estimated 1.37 million (15%) were also HIV-positive (WHO, 2009). This estimate is double the estimate of 0.7 million cases in 2006 (WHO, 2008a). The rise in tuberculosis in the United States in the mid-1980s was due in part to the high prevalence of HIV infection (CDC, 1992).

In US cities where studies have been performed, the prevalence of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) infection among tuberculosis patients was high (Weis et al., 2002). A study in King County, Washington found that HIV infection was associated with a six-fold increase in risk of developing TB (Buskin et al., 1994). Another 12-year-study in San Francisco went further to quantify the impact of HIV on TB epidemic. The study found that in San Francisco, the TB rates were eight times higher among persons
infected with HIV than among persons who were not infected with HIV. The study also found that the percentage of tuberculosis cases among HIV-positive patients in San Francisco that was attributable to their HIV infection during the 12-year-period was 87% (DeRiemer et al., 2007). Recent analysis shows that 2.6% of all new TB cases in Europe in 2000 were attributable to HIV co-infection (Corbett et al., 2003). Churchill et al., reported an increase of HIV associated TB in adults attending three north central London hospitals (2000).

However, the impact of HIV infection as a risk factor for progression to active TB is limited by its prevalence in the population and the access to highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART). The WHO’s measurements provided strong evidence that the relative risk of developing TB in HIV-positive people as compared with HIV-negative people is higher than previously estimated (WHO, 2009).

The relative risk of developing TB in HIV-positive persons was estimated at 20.6 times (95% CI 15.4–27.5) in 2007 in countries with a generalised HIV epidemic (i.e. countries where the prevalence of HIV is above 1% in the general population), while the relative risk was estimated as 26.7 times (95% CI 20.4–34.9) in countries where the prevalence of HIV in the general population is between 0.1% and 1%, and 36.7 times (95% CI 11.6–116) in countries where the prevalence of HIV in the general population is less than 0.1% (WHO, 2009).
A few studies have also reported a decrease in TB incidence among HIV infected patients. Crimi et al. demonstrated a marked reduction in TB notifications among AIDS patients between 1996 and 2002 in the Italian region of Liguria (Crimi et al., 2005). Similar trends were reported in Madrid; the authors attributed this reduction to TB control efforts and the widespread availability of HAART (Inigo et al., 2006).

Several studies have been conducted to investigate factors associated with TB in the developed countries. A study conducted in Houston Texas investigating epidemiological differences between US-born and foreign-born patients, reported that among US-born patients black ethnicity, smoking, use of alcohol, use of illicit drugs, low income, lack of high school diploma, incarceration and homelessness were independent risk factors for TB (El Sahly et al., 2001). These findings were consistent with the results of previous studies conducted in other US cities (Small et al., 1994; Alland et al., 1994 and Barnes et al., 1997).

A recent study reported that substance abuse (use of illicit drugs or alcohol) is the most prevalent behavioural risk factor among patients with TB in the United States (Oeltmann et al., 2009). A study in London showed that homelessness, prison and problem drug use were independent risk factors for TB (Story, 2004). A study in Rotterdam demonstrated an increase of TB cases among drug addicts or homeless persons from
8.8% of total cases in Rotterdam in 1999 to 12.6% of total cases in 2001 (De Vries and Van Hest, 2005).

Numerous studies evaluating risk factors associated with TB have been conducted in developing countries; however, most of these studies were conducted in West Africa and very few were conducted in high burden countries (HBCs) in the African region (Lienhardt, 2001; Lienhardt et al., 2005). Furthermore, most of these studies were case-control studies, thus there are issues with generalisability and temporality (WHO, 2001).

In sub-Saharan Africa risk factors associated with TB include HIV infection, age, male gender, history of asthma, marital status, family history of TB, increasing household size, ethnicity, crowding, family structure, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption and poor housing conditions (Hill et al., 2003; Gustafson et al., 2004; Lienhardt et al., 2005 & Hill et al., 2006). As expected earlier studies focused on investigating HIV infection as a risk factor for developing TB. In the Mwanza Region in Tanzania a population-based case-control study carried out to investigate the relative and population-attributable risk of HIV infection for developing active tuberculosis reported that HIV infection was associated with an eight-fold increase in the risk of developing active tuberculosis (Van den Broek et al., 1993).
The study further showed that 29% of total TB cases were attributable to HIV infection. These findings were consistent with results of previous studies conducted in other African regions (Orege et al., 1993; Houston et al., 1994; Van Cleeff & Chum, 1995). A study in rural northern Malawi showed an increase of new smear-positive TB cases attributable to HIV increased from 17% in 1988-1990 to 57% in 2000-2001. The authors conclude that without HIV the incidence of smear-positive TB would have fallen in this population (Glynn et al., 2004).

Although HIV infection has clearly had a profound effect on TB epidemiology, other potentially important risk factors have not been investigated extensively (Dye, 2006). Studies investigating other factors associated with TB in sub-Saharan Africa were limited to countries with low HIV prevalence and low TB incidence, especially in West Africa. A multi-centre study conducted in low HIV prevalence in West African countries, viz Guinea, Guinea Bissau, and the Gambia investigated the combined effect of both host and environmental related factors on TB, and demonstrated that TB was associated with male sex, HIV infection, smoking (with a dose-effect relationship), history of asthma, family history of TB, marital status, adult crowding, and renting a house (Lienhardt et al., 2005).

This finding was consistent with the results of the other studies conducted in Guinea Bissau and the Gambia (Hill et al., 2003; Gustafson et al., 2004 and Hill et al., 2006).
Crampin et al. in Karonga District in Malawi reported that TB cases were associated with HIV infection and having a family or household contact with tuberculosis. The study also found that current or recent pregnancy, smoking and cooking smoke exposure were not associated with TB (Crampin et al., 2004). An earlier study in this population found that age, gender, type of occupation, education and housing structure were associated with TB (Glynn et al., 2000).

A recent study in this population reported that close TB contact is a risk factor for developing active TB. It found that an identifiable recent contact with known smear-positive cases accounted for 12.5% of the tuberculosis burden in this population (Crampin et al., 2008)

Very few studies investigating other factors associated with TB have been conducted in HBCs outside of sub-Saharan Africa, yet these countries collectively account for 80% of incident TB cases globally (WHO, 2009). A study in India reported that TB was associated with a low education level, kitchen type and diabetes in south India. It also found that high income, cooking with biomass fuels, history of smoking and alcohol consumption were not associated with TB (Shetty et al., 2006). Another study in south India found that age, male gender, smoking and alcoholism were all independently associated with TB (Kolappan et al., 2007). In Russia, a study reported that the main risk factors for tuberculosis were low accumulated wealth, financial insecurity,
consumption of unpasteurised milk, diabetes, living with a relative with tuberculosis, being unemployed, living in overcrowded conditions, illicit drug use, and a history of incarceration in both pre-trial detention centres and prison (Coker et al., 2006). A study in Brazil reported a lower socioeconomic status as a risk factor for TB (Vendramini et al., 2006).

There is limited data on host and environment related factors associated with TB in South Africa. An earlier study in the Mamre community near Cape Town found no association between crowding and TB, while it reported an association between alcohol abuse and TB (Coetzee et al., 1988). Another study conducted in the Mamelodi community found no association between socioeconomic factors and the risk of developing TB (Schoeman et al., 1991). A recent study found alcohol abuse, cigarette smoking and low body mass index (BMI) were each independent risk factors for tuberculosis in the general South African population (Harling et al., 2008).

Other studies on risk factors for TB in South Africa have been conducted on miners where risk factors included age, mining occupation, silicosis status, and HIV infection (Kleinschmidt & Churchyard, 1997). HIV infection and silica exposure have been reported as major risk factors for TB in other studies conducted on South African gold mining populations (Corbett et al., 1999; Corbett et al., 2000).
The aim of TB control is to break the cycle of transmission, either by interrupting human transmission of infection or by protecting individuals against infection/disease (Lienhardt & Ogden, 2004). Therefore TB incidence is a critical impact measure of TB control interventions. The Directly Observed Treatment (DOTS) approach to TB control is comparatively cost-effective and its successful implementation has been associated with the decline in TB incidence rates in some places. However, a systematic review showed no difference in the cure rates in patients on DOTS therapy compared with self-administered therapy (Volmink & Garner, 2007).

The rapid decline of TB incidence rates has also been reported in many countries which have not successfully implemented DOTS (Lönnroth & Raviglione, 2008). This suggests a stronger focus on prevention interventions is needed. A better understanding of risk factors can also broaden new avenues for identifying target groups for TB control interventions (Lönnroth & Raviglione, 2008).
1.5 OBJECTIVES

1.5.1 General objective


1.5.2 Specific objectives

- To calculate the TB incidence in Ravensmead and Uitsig communities from 2003 to 2007;

- To identify factors associated with the TB incidence in Ravensmead and Uitsig communities from 2003 to 2007;

- To investigate the relationship between host and environment related factors and TB incidence in Ravensmead and Uitsig communities from 2003 to 2007.
CHAPTER 2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 STUDY DESIGN

This study is a prospective cohort study through secondary data analysis of the Lung Health Survey 2002 and electronic TB register data collected from the epidemiologic field site of Ravensmead and Uitsig in Cape Town, South Africa.

2.1.1 About the 2002 LHS

The Lung Health Survey was conducted from 1st July to 15th December 2002. Two questionnaires, the children’s questionnaire, and the adults’ questionnaire were used for the 2002 LHS. Eight hundred and thirty nine (15%) residential addresses were randomly selected and all individuals living at these addresses were eligible for the study.

A census of the survey population was carried out by enumerating all people living in the selected households. Where the head of the household did not consent for the household to be enrolled in the survey, a replacement household at an adjacent address was selected, first to the right, and then to the left of the household. All
participants were supervised by a trained field worker and, had a pre-coded questionnaire containing questions on demographic characteristics and earlier TB treatment completed. The aim of the 2002 Lung Health Survey was to determine the prevalence of lung diseases, including asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Den Boon, 2007).

2.1.2 Ravensmead & Uitsig TB register database

The Ravensmead and Uitsig TB patient treatment registers are kept and maintained at two primary healthcare facilities serving these communities. The electronic TB treatment register database of Ravensmead and Uitsig patients is maintained and updated at the Desmond Tutu TB Centre.

Treatment starting date, smear and outcome results were recorded in the database. Demographic data, including race, date of birth, gender, and home address at the time of treatment commencement were also recorded in the database. The TB case definitions and treatment outcomes were classified according to WHO treatment guidelines (WHO, 2003). A TB case is classified according to whether or not the patient has previously received TB treatment. This distinction is also essential for epidemiological monitoring of the TB epidemic at regional and country level.

The following definitions are used:
• **New.** A patient who has never had treatment for TB or who has taken anti-
tuberculosis drugs for less than one month.

• **Relapse.** A patient previously treated for TB who has been declared cured or
treatment completed, and is diagnosed with bacteriologically positive (smear or
culture) tuberculosis again.

• **Treatment after failure.** A patient who is started on a re-treatment regimen after
having failed previous treatment.

• **Treatment after default.** A patient who returns to treatment, positive
bacteriologically, following interruption of treatment for two months or more.

• **Transfer in.** A patient who has been transferred from another TB register to
continue treatment.

• **Chronic case.** A patient who is sputum-positive at the end of a re-treatment
regimen

• **Other.** All cases that do not fit the above definitions (WHO, 2003).
2.2 STUDY POPULATION AND STUDY AREA

The study population comprised adults (persons ≥15 years) living in the Ravensmead and Uitsig communities who participated in the Lung Health Survey in 2002. The study area is an established epidemiological field site in Cape Town, South Africa. It comprises two neighbouring suburbs (Ravensmead and Uitsig) with a combined population of 36 343 (Stats SA, 2003) served by two primary healthcare clinics and a tertiary university hospital, and spans an area of 3.4 km$^2$. Migrant workers make up a small proportion of the population, although there is a high level of mobility both within the community and to and from neighbouring areas.

The study area is unique in South Africa because it used to have a relatively low prevalence of HIV. In the whole district in which Ravensmead and Uitsig are based the HIV prevalence among mothers attending antenatal care clinics increased from 7.9% in 2001 to 15.1% in 2004 (Shaikh et al., 2006). The study area has an extremely high notified disease rate of TB (761/100 000 per year for all forms of TB; 238/100 000 per year for new smear positive disease) (Verver et al., 2004). The WHO directly observed treatment short-course strategy (DOTS) was introduced in these two communities in 1997 (Den Boon et al., 2007).
2.3 SAMPLE SIZE

A total of 3 971 adults from 837 randomly-selected households within the Ravensmead and Uitsig communities were eligible to participate in the Lung Health Survey. This study consisted of the cohort of the 2002 Lung Health Survey participants (3494) who met the following criteria:

- No diagnosis of TB at the time of the survey.
- Had complete data on exposure and outcome.

2.4 DATA PROCESSING & MANAGEMENT

The 2002 LHS and electronic TB register data were double entered into a Microsoft Access databases. Inconsistencies between the two entries were checked against original data and errors were corrected. The required variables for this study were extracted into two different databases; individual and environment information from the 2002 LHS and the TB cases from the electronic TB register. These databases were transferred to STATA. The data were cleaned, checked for consistency and accuracy, and duplicates and incomplete records were dropped. The two databases were then merged into one STATA flat table.
2.5 OUTCOME VARIABLE

The outcome variable for this study was a TB case; classified as a person who started on TB treatment and had at least a positive microbiological smear or culture and was registered in the TB treatment register. The source of the outcome variable was the electronic TB register dataset.

2.6 EXPLANATORY VARIABLES

Explanatory variables available for the study were as follows (see Appendix 1 for categories and definitions):

- Host related variables: age, gender, education, occupation status, marital status, smoking status, alcohol consumption and, lung health conditions.

- Environmental-related variables: silica dust exposure, prison, cooking fuel.

- The source for risk factors/explanatory variables was the 2002 Lung Health Survey database.
2.7 FOLLOW-UP

Three thousand four hundred and ninety three (3493) initially TB-free participants were recruited, and baseline data were collected at the beginning of 2003 in the Lung Health Study in Ravensmead and Uitsig, Cape Town, South Africa. Follow-up began on 1 January 2003 and continued until 31 December 2007.

Data from the TB register were reviewed to identify new TB cases among the 3493 participants. Participants were characterised as a TB case if their demographic details matched the demographic details of the 2002 LHS and a treatment starting date had been recorded in the TB treatment register database. Non TB cases were individuals who did not have follow up-data, i.e. had no TB treatment history during the follow-up period.

2.8 RESEARCH QUESTION

What are the host and/or environmental factors associated with TB incidence in the Ravensmead and Uitsig communities of Cape Town, South Africa?
2.9 ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Written informed consent was obtained from the head of each household. The study was approved by the research ethics committees of Stellenbosch University and the University of Cape Town.

For this secondary data analysis, unique identifiers were removed to ensure the confidentiality and privacy of study participants. Approval and permission to use datasets was obtained in writing from the Desmond Tutu TB Centre (DTTC) and a data transfer form was completed and signed. Due care was taken to ensure that the analysis of this study was restricted to its purpose. Ethics approval for this study was granted by both University of Witwatersrand and Stellenbosch University Research Ethics Committees.

2.10 PLANS FOR DISSEMINATION AND UTILISATION OF RESULTS

The final report will be submitted to the University of Witwatersrand’s library for accessibility by researchers and policy-makers. The preliminary and final results will be presented at local and international conferences. And also be published in a peer-reviewed scientific journal. Copies of the report findings will be forwarded to the both
Provincial and National Department of Health’s Tuberculosis Control Programmes as a potential tool for informing policy direction.

2.10 DATA PROCESSING METHODS AND ANALYSIS

The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 12.0.1 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and STATA version 8.0 for Windows.

To calculate the TB incidence for the period from 2003-2007, the number of new cases over the study period was divided by the total number of the study participants and averaged over a year. This calculation has been described elsewhere (Passannante & Ahamed, 2005). To compare incidence rates, ordinary Binomial approximations of the Normal test were used.

There were three stages in the analysis of the data. These include descriptive statistics, univariate, and multiple logistic regression analysis. These stages are described below:

Frequency and percentages were reported for categorical variables, and the Chi-squared test was used to identify factors associated with TB incidence in Ravensmead and Uitsig communities. Where the observations were too small (cell size less than 5),
Fisher’s exact test was used. The subjects under investigation were defined by a set of 22 variables, including age, gender, education, marital status, smoking status, alcohol consumption and basic clinical symptoms such as a previous history of TB and other chest conditions. The selected variables are presented in Appendix 1.

Univariate and multiple logistic regression models were used to investigate factors associated with TB incidence. Estimated Odds ratios were reported with 95% confidence intervals, and the statistical significance was set at the 5% alpha level, and all tests were two sided. Maximum likelihood methods were used to estimate models, and the likelihood ratio test was used to compare different models. The researcher investigated possible effect modification using multiple logistic regression.

The final multiple logistic regression model and STATA command “aflogit” procedures were used to obtain estimates of the population attributable fractions (PAFs) (%) of incidence of TB associated with significant risk factors and corresponding 95% confidence intervals.
CHAPTER 3. RESULTS

3.1 BACKGROUND FACTORS

The study comprised 3493 participants, and the mean age was 38 years (SD=16.13). More than half (n=1996, 57%) of the study participants were female, with 1678 (48%) reported as married; very few were either divorced (7%) or co-habiting (4%). Approximately half (n=1766, 51%) of the study participants had a primary education. The majority of study participants were unemployed (n=2004, 58%) with (n=1537, 45%) reporting a monthly household income of less than R500 (Table 3.1).

3.2 TB INCIDENCE RATES

TB incidence for the period from 2003-2007, was calculated by the number of new cases over the study period by the total number of the study participants and averaged over a year. The average annual incidence of TB in Ravensmead and Uitsig was 632 per 100 000. TB incidence rate was higher among males (773 per 100 000) compared to females (527 per 100 000). The difference in TB incidence rate between males and females was statistically significant (p=0.02) (Figure 3.1).
Table 3-1 Distribution of socio-demographic variables for the cohort, Cape Town, South Africa, 2003-2007.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable and category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1,496</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1,996</td>
<td>57.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,492</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age groups (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=55</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,481</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>1,678</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>1,285</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohabiting</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,478</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;R500</td>
<td>1,537</td>
<td>44.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R500-R999</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1000-R1999</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=R2000</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,431</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>1,468</td>
<td>42.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>2,004</td>
<td>57.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,472</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1,766</td>
<td>50.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Started tertiary</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,491</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.1 TB Incidence rates by gender in the R/U population

The TB incidence grew with increasing age until age 54 years, and then the rates fell to the lowest rate of 247 per 100 000. The rate increased from 585 per 100 000 among 15-24 age-groups to 821 per 100 000 among 45-54 age groups. There was a significant association between age and TB incidence (p=0.02) (Figure 3.2).
TB incidence also differed according to smoking and alcohol status. Smokers developed TB at a rate of 871 per 100 000 per year and non-smokers at a rate of 313 per 100 000 per year ($p<0.01$). Alcohol drinkers developed TB at a rate of 949 per 100
000 per year while non-alcoholics developed TB at a rate of 465 per 100,000 per year (p<0.01) (Figure 3.3).

Figure 3.3 Cumulative (5 year period) TB incidence rates by alcohol/smoking status in the R/U population
3.3 RISK FACTORS

Fifty seven (3.8%) males developed TB disease compared with 52 (2.6%) of females (p=0.04). TB occurred in 86 (4.8%) smokers and 23 (2.9%) non-smokers (p<0.01). There was a higher percentage (7.6%) of TB in individuals who had spent time in prison compared with 2.7% of those who had not been in prison (p<0.01). There was a statistically significant difference in proportion for TB cases between age-groups (15-24=2.9%; 25-34=3.6%; 35-44=3.8%; 45-54=4.0%; >=55=1.2%) (p=0.04), alcohol use (Yes=4.7%, No=2.3%) (p<0.01), and previous history of chest conditions (TB=18.2%, other chest condition=2.5%) (p=0.02) (Table 3.2).
Table 3-2 Proportion of new TB cases by selected factors, Cape Town, South Africa, 2003-2007.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable and category</th>
<th>TB# N=109 (%)</th>
<th>TB# N=3384 (%)</th>
<th>Total N</th>
<th>P-value (&lt;0.05)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>57 (3.81)</td>
<td>440 (96.19)</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>52 (2.61)</td>
<td>1944 (97.39)</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age groups (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>28 (2.89)</td>
<td>941 (97.11)</td>
<td>969</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>27 (3.64)</td>
<td>715 (96.36)</td>
<td>742</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>28 (3.83)</td>
<td>704 (96.17)</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>19 (4.04)</td>
<td>451 (95.96)</td>
<td>470</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;55</td>
<td>7 (1.23)</td>
<td>561 (98.77)</td>
<td>568</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous medical history</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB</td>
<td>23 (7.64)</td>
<td>278 (92.36)</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other chest conditions</td>
<td>12 (1.65)</td>
<td>715 (98.35)</td>
<td>727</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking (cigarettes)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>85 (4.26)</td>
<td>1,922 (95.72)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>23 (1.55)</td>
<td>1,457 (98.45)</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking (other)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20 (4.82)</td>
<td>395 (95.16)</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>89 (2.90)</td>
<td>2,990 (97.10)</td>
<td>3089</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exposure to silica dust</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12 (4.03)</td>
<td>286 (95.97)</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>97 (3.04)</td>
<td>3,091 (96.96)</td>
<td>3188</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exposure to other hazards</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>31 (3.78)</td>
<td>789 (96.22)</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>77 (2.90)</td>
<td>2,579 (97.10)</td>
<td>2655</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exposure to birds in a cage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8 (1.86)</td>
<td>422 (98.14)</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>101 (3.34)</td>
<td>2,927 (96.66)</td>
<td>3028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcohol use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>57 (4.66)</td>
<td>1,157 (95.34)</td>
<td>1224</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>52 (2.30)</td>
<td>2,207 (97.70)</td>
<td>2259</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cooking fuel</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>100 (3.00)</td>
<td>3,229 (97.00)</td>
<td>3329</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other fuels</td>
<td>6 (5.22)</td>
<td>109 (94.78)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prison</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>21 (7.64)</td>
<td>254 (92.36)</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>85 (2.67)</td>
<td>3,104 (97.33)</td>
<td>3189</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at p<0.05
3.4 LOGISTIC REGRESSION ANALYSIS

3.4.1 Univariate logistic regression model for risk of tuberculosis

Host related factors

The univariate analysis was done to identify significant host factors associated with TB incidence in order to inform the multivariate model. Table 3.3 presents the results (reference categories are in bold print).

In summary, the odds of developing TB were higher in males (OR= 1.48; 95% CI= 1.01-2.17). When compared with individuals 15-24 years of age, individuals of age greater or equal to 55 years had reduced odds of developing the disease (OR= 0.42; 95% CI= 0.18 - 0.97). Compared with being married, cohabiting increased the odds of developing TB (OR= 3.17; 95% CI= 1.69 - 5.97). A monthly household income of R2000 or more compared with a monthly household income of less than R500 decreased the odds of developing TB by 48% (OR= 0.52; 95% CI= 0.28 - 0.98).

The disease was associated with alcohol consumption (OR=2.07; 95% CI= 1.44 - 3.04) and cigarette smoking (OR= 2.84; 95% CI= 1.78 - 4.51) (Table 3.3). Individuals with a previous history of TB were more likely to develop TB compared to those with previous history of other chest conditions (OR= 0.20; 95% CI= 0.10 – 0.41).
Table 3-3 Logistic regression analysis for Host related factors of TB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable and category</th>
<th>Univariate OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value (&lt;0.05)*</th>
<th>Multivariate OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value (&lt;0.05)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Host related factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.48 (1.01 - 2.17)</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15-24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>1.27 (0.74 - 2.17)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>1.34 (0.79 - 2.26)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>1.42 (0.78 - 2.56)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=55</td>
<td>0.42 (0.18 - 0.97)</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>0.73 (0.47 - 1.14)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.83 (0.48 - 1.43)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>1.07 (0.42 - 2.72)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.07 (0.39 - 2.89)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>0.50 (0.18 - 1.41)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.92 (0.29 - 2.93)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohabiting</td>
<td>3.17 (1.69 - 5.97)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
<td>2.19 (1.09 - 4.38)</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.09 (0.74 - 1.60)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1.02 (0.36 - 2.84)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>0.55 (0.18 - 1.64)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>0.72 (0.24 - 2.15)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;R500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R500-R999</td>
<td>0.74 (0.42 - 1.28)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1000-R1999</td>
<td>0.99 (0.60 - 1.63)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=R2000</td>
<td>0.52 (0.28 - 0.98)</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.07 (1.41 - 3.04)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol days</td>
<td>1.14 (0.96 - 1.37)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (cigarettes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.84 (1.78 - 4.51)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
<td>2.08 (1.24 - 3.46)</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (other)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.67 (1.03 - 2.79)</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous medical history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other chronic conditions</td>
<td>0.20 (0.10 - 0.41)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; *Significant at p<0.05
Environmental related factors

The researcher conducted a univariate analysis to identify significant environmental related risk factors associated with TB incidence in order to inform the multivariate model. Table 3.4 presents the results (reference categories are in bold print).

Individuals who had been in prison were 3.02 times more likely to develop TB disease than those who had not been in prison (OR= 3.02; 95% CI= 1.84 - 4.95). There was no significant association between developing TB and living next door to pigeons/birds in a cage, previous occupational exposure to silica dust or other hazards, or cooking fuels used in household (Table 3.4).
Table 3-4 Logistic regression analysis for Environmental related factors of TB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable and category</th>
<th>Univariate OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value (&lt;0.05)*</th>
<th>Multivariate OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value (&lt;0.05)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to silica dust</td>
<td>Yes: 1, No: 0.78 (0.41 - 1.38)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to other hazards</td>
<td>Yes: 1, No: 0.76 (0.50 - 1.16)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure birds in a cage</td>
<td>Yes: 1, No: 1.82 (0.88 - 3.77)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooking Fuel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>Yes: 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other fuels</td>
<td>1.78 (0.76 - 4.14)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prison</td>
<td>Yes: 3.02 (1.84 - 4.95)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
<td>1.88 (1.09 - 3.23)</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; *Significant at p<0.05

3.4.2 Multivariate logistic regression model for risk of tuberculosis host and environmental related factors

In multivariate logistic regression models, an analysis with host and environmental variables was assessed and effect modification was also investigated. In the final multivariate model, host and environmental related factors were assessed together. Reference categories used compare the odds of developing to TB (for example,
females compared with males) are underlined in bold. Only factors found to be significantly associated with development of TB (p<0.05) are presented in the final model.

Among host related factors, cohabiting was significantly associated with the odds of developing TB (p=0.03) in an adjusted model (OR= 2.09; 95% CI= 1.05 – 4.17). Smoking remained strongly associated with TB disease (OR= 2.19; 95% CI= 1.48 - 4.14). Gender, age, monthly income, alcohol use and a previous history of TB were not significantly associated with TB disease in multiple models (Table 3.3).

Among the environmental related factors, living in a household which used other fuels for cooking was not significantly associated with the odds of developing TB disease. A history of imprisonment was significantly associated with increased odds of TB disease (p=0.02) in the adjusted model (OR= 1.88; 95% CI= 1.09 – 3.23) (Table 3.4).

Several variables were evaluated for possible effect modification. The researcher tested the possible effect of age on marital status, alcohol use, smoking and being in prison, the possible effect of sex on alcohol use, smoking and being in prison, and also investigated the possible interaction effect between cigarette smoking and alcohol use as well as use of other drugs. The researcher investigated the interaction effect of
household income had on the type of household fuel used for cooking. No interaction between these variables was found to be statistically significant.

3.5 Population Attributable Fraction (PAF) estimates

The population attributable fraction (PAF) estimates and 95% confidence intervals for risk factors for TB are shown in Appendix 2. Cohabitation had population attributable fraction of 12.4%. The PAF for smoking was the highest (42.9%), reflecting the high prevalence of the risk factor (57.6%). Imprisonment had PAF of 9.9%. The combined PAF for these three factors accounted for 53.2% of the TB cases.
CHAPTER 4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Introduction

The main objective of this study was to determine factors associated with TB incidence in Ravensmead and Uitsig; two community areas within the Cape Metropolis in the Western Cape Province in South Africa. Data for predetermined potential risk factors were recorded at baseline from 3 493 participants recruited at the beginning of 2003. The results showed that the average annual incidence rate in Ravensmead and Uitsig was 632 per 100 000. Cohabiting, smoking and history of imprisonment were found to be significantly associated with TB incidence even after adjusting for other factors. However, gender, age and alcohol use were found not to be significantly associated with TB incidence.

4.2 Marital status/cohabiting

Marital status has long been associated with the risk of developing TB in Africa (Lienhardt et al., 2005). The plausible mechanism of the association is that the family
structure determines the risk of exposure and reflects the socioeconomic status of individuals (Gustafson et al., 2004; Lienhardt et al., 2005).

A multi-centre study conducted in three Western African countries found that people living without children, alone, or with adults of their own sex only, had a higher risk of developing TB than people living in households with children or/and adults of the opposite sex (Lienhardt et al., 2005), while in a Guinea-Bissau study found that being single and living alone was an independent risk factor for TB (Gustafson et al., 2004).

A Malawian study reported previous marriage (divorce, separation or widowhood) as a strong risk factor for TB (Crampin et al., 2004). According to the 2001 census there were more individuals living together like married partners (cohabiting) than those who were divorced, widowed or separated among coloured population in the Western Cape (Stats SA, 2003). In this study, cohabiting was associated with the risk of developing TB after adjusting for other factors. Cohabiting may be a proxy indicator of the low socioeconomic status since cohabitation is associated with less education, lower earnings, and more uncertain economic prospects (Kerr et al., 2006). This finding suggests that cohabiting may be increasingly prevalent in this population. The study estimated that 12.4% of incident TB cases were attributable to cohabiting.
4.3 Smoking

The most important risk factor influencing the incidence of TB identified in this study is smoking, which showed an estimated PAF of 42.9%. The specific mechanisms by which cigarette smoking increases the risk of TB are multi-factorial and probably interactive in their effects; they include structural and immunologic mechanisms (Arcavi & Benowitz, 2004). An alternative explanation is the site-specific effect of an airborne insult (Bates et al., 2007).

These findings were consistent with those reported in two studies carried out in West Africa and in South Africa. A study in West Africa showed the risk of TB was two times higher in current smokers compared with non-smokers (Lienhardt et al., 2005). A study carried out in South Africa found that cigarette smoking as an independent risk factor for TB (Harling et al., 2008). Smoking has also been previously reported as a strong risk factor for TB infection in this population (Den Boon et al., 2005). A recent study in Taiwan found that tobacco smoking was associated with a two-fold increased risk of active TB. Authors reported that 17% of incident TB cases in this population were attributable to smoking (Lin et al., 2009). Western Cape province has the highest rates of smoking especially among the Coloured population (SADHS, 2007; SADHS, 2003). Therefore smoking is not only important as a risk factor for TB but its prevalence was also very high in this population.
4.4 Imprisonment

Evidence has shown that a history of imprisonment is associated with a risk of developing TB (Tekkel et al., 2002; Lobacheva et al., 2007). The plausibility for this association is that imprisonment increases the risk of exposure to tubercle bacilli since prisons are usually overcrowded and living in the poor ventilated rooms, thus facilitating the transmission of tubercle bacilli.

This study found that being in prison was strongly associated with increased odds of developing TB. A history of imprisonment accounted for 9.9% of incident TB cases in this population. Similar findings have been reported elsewhere; a study carried out in Russia has shown that a prison or detention history was an independent risk for TB. Authors reported a population attributable risks of 0.8% and 2% for having been in prison or a pre-trial detention centre, respectively (Coker et al., 2006). A study in Estonia had previously reported that TB risk was higher for those who had been in prison (Tekkel et al., 2002).

In South Africa, prisons are known to be overcrowded, hence an increased likelihood of coming into contact with infected persons. The total capacity of South Africa’s prisons stood at 114 821 prisoners, while the actual number of inmates stood at 184 806, with the occupation rate of 161% in 2004 (Steinberg, 2005).
4.5 Gender

The male gender has been reported as an independent risk factor in other studies in sub-Saharan Africa (Gustafson et al., 2004). According to literature, more men than women are diagnosed with TB worldwide (Rieder, 1999; Uplekar et al., 1999; Uplekar et al., 2001).

This gender discrepancy in TB rates has been attributed to differential exposure to risk behaviours associated with TB. While it is widely acknowledged that there has been an increase in women engaging in risk behaviours such as alcohol, substance abuse and incarceration, such behaviours are still predominantly seen in men (Watkins & Plant, 2006; EUROWHO, 2007). Other contributing factors include differential access to care, health-seeking behaviour and stigmatisation (Uplekar et al., 2001).

This study, gender was not important risk factor for active TB disease after adjusting for others factors including smoking. The lack of the association between being a male and TB in this study may be partly explained by the following; the descriptive data analysis showed no difference in smoking between males and females in this population, according to the South African Demographic and Health Survey (SADHS), the health service utilisation by adults is higher in females compared to males and it has not changed much between the 1998 and 2003 surveys (SADHS, 2003). This suggests
that the lack of association may be due to the absence of factors contributing to gender differentials in this population.

These findings are further supported by previous studies conducted in South Africa that found no association of TB with the male gender. Harling et al. reported that being female was associated with a 31% reduced odds of recent TB after adjusting for individual and household level variables; however this association was not statistically significant (Harling et al., 2008).

### 4.6 Age and HIV

The risk of developing TB is age dependent (Vynnycky and Fine, 2000). This is partially attributed to cumulative prevalence of TB infection (Rieder, 1999). Other plausible hypotheses include that the estimated lifetime risks of developing TB were higher for the elderly and adults (Vynnycky & Fine, 1997, 2000).

Chaimowicz suggested that the contributing factor was the high frequency of reactivation of TB in older people, due to an interaction between age-associated immune dysregulation and the high proportion of individuals with latent infections (Chaimowicz, 2001). There is a reported shift to younger adults in African and Asians
countries; this shift has been attributed to the increase in HIV prevalence in these countries (WHO, 2007).

This study has shown no association between age and TB incidence. An increasing age has been reported as an independent risk factor for TB incidence. A Malawian study reported the lower risk of TB in age groups under the age of 30 years compared with adults and the elderly (Glynn et al., 2000). An increasing age was reported as a strong risk factor for TB incidence in Guinea-Bissau study (Gustafson et al., 2004).

A study in Ottawa Canada found the highest age-specific incidence in the elderly population (Kim et al., 2008). This suggests that age is not a predictor for the development of TB in this study population and this may also partially suggest that the HIV prevalence is low in this population. HIV infection may be the most important predetermining factor, thus the trends in younger age.

### 4.7 Alcohol

Alcohol use is a recognised risk factor for TB. Possible causal pathways include specific social mixing patterns among people with alcohol use disorders, leading to a higher risk of infection (Lönnroth et al., 2008) or a weakened immune system leading to
a higher risk of break down from infection to TB disease (Mason et al., 2004; Lönnroth et al., 2008).

Previous studies have reported alcohol use as a strong risk factor for TB in South Africa. An earlier study carried out on the Mamre population in South Africa showed that alcohol use was strongly associated with TB (Coetzee et al., 1988). Recently, Harling and colleagues (2008) reported alcohol use as an independent risk factor for TB in South Africa.

This study’s results show that alcohol use was not statistically associated with TB incidence after adjusting for other factors including smoking. These results are supported by similar findings shown elsewhere. In West Africa, Lienhardt et al. (2005) reported similar findings where they showed that alcohol intake was not associated with TB after adjusting for other factors. A Gambian study showed evidence that alcohol use was not a strong predictor of TB disease (Hill et al., 2006). A study in India showed no effect of alcohol consumption on TB (Shetty et al., 2006).

The weak association may be attributed to the fact that alcohol use is associated with smoking. This hypothesis has been supported by the recent evidence from a study conducted in India. The authors have shown that the effects of smoking, after
adjustment for drinking, were stronger than those of drinking after adjustment for smoking (Gajalakshmi & Peto, 2009).

Cooking smoke has been shown to increase the risk of TB (Mishra et al., 1999). Cooking smoke might increase the risk of tuberculosis by reducing resistance to the initial infection, by promoting the development of active tuberculosis in people who are already infected, or both (Mishra et al., 1999). A recent case control study in India found an association between biomass smoke and pulmonary tuberculosis. The authors reported that 36% of cases were attributable to biomass fuel usage (Kolappan & Subramani, 2009). A study in Mexico showed an association between cooking with traditional wood stoves and tuberculosis (Pérez-Padilla et al., 2001).

In this study household fuel for cooking was not independently associated with TB. These findings are consistent with evidence from previous studies; a Malawian study showed no effect of cooking smoke on TB (Crampin et al., 2004). In India, Shetty and colleagues (2006) reported that the type of cooking fuel was not an independent risk factor for TB. The lack of association with cooking fuel in this study may also partly be due to few households using biomass fuels.
4.8 HIV

HIV infection is the most potent risk factor for TB risk factors given that infection with tubercle bacilli has already occurred (Corbett et al., 2003). This is because co-infection with HIV and tubercle bacilli increases the risk of rapid progression from latent to active TB (Bacaër et al., 2008). The spatial and temporal variation in TB incidence is strongly associated with the prevalence of HIV infection (Corbett et al., 2003).

While TB remains one of the most common manifestations of HIV infection in South Africa, its impact is surprisingly unapparent on TB cases (SADHS, 2003). For example, KwaZulu-Natal with the highest HIV seroprevalence rate nationally, has the lowest TB prevalence (SADHS, 2003). Western Cape province has the highest TB incidence rate in the country while it also has the lowest antenatal HIV prevalence rate in South Africa (SADHS, 2003; National Department of Health, 2008; WHO, 2009). In the Western Cape, the antenatal HIV prevalence rate slightly decreased during the study period from 13.1% in 2003 to 12.6% in 2007 (National Department of Health, 2004; National Department of Health, 2008).

In this study, HIV infection was not measured among the study participants, therefore its impact on TB incidence could not be assessed, especially age-specific incidence rates.
In the absence of this explanatory variable, the study could not assess the effect HIV infection on other factors which were investigated.

However, the study area has been reported to have a relatively low proportion of the individuals of HIV-infected (Verver et al., 2004). A very low HIV prevalence of 6% among new TB cases has been reported in Ravensmead and Uitsig (Den Boon, 2007). This supports the argument that increasing TB incidence rates observed in the Ravensmead and Uitsig population may be strongly associated with other well established and more prevalent TB risk factors other than HIV infection.

4.9 Limitations of the study

There are several limitations of our study. One limitation of this study is that the 2002 Lung Health Survey was a cross-sectional study and therefore the information on the explanatory variables was collected once.

Another limitation is that the cohort was passively followed-up and it was assumed that change in explanatory variables over time was minimal. Therefore, various information biases were also likely. Considering that exposure levels were self-reported, the reporting of some variables may be inaccurate. Only variables present in the dataset were analysed.
The important information on HIV status of participants and other known risk factors such as crowding was not captured by the 2002 LHS, therefore such variables could not be included in the analysis. This raises issues of potential uncontrolled confounding which may affect the results of this study. TB cases were extracted from paper-based TB Treatment Register, the ascertaining or diagnosis may be missing and in some cases might no have been categorised as new cases.

Although migrant workers make up a small proportion of the Ravensmead and Uitsig population, there is a high level of mobility both within the community and to and from neighbouring areas. It is therefore possible that some of the cases may be missed. There is no active case finding in the communities. The cases are registered when they present themselves in the clinics. Therefore there is a possibility of an underestimation of the incidence rate. The quality of datasets depends on the completeness of data. Since the paper-based TB treatment register is not properly filled in by nurses and doctors, some of the variables in the TB dataset were not completed.
CHAPTER 5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 CONCLUSION

Examining both host and environmental related factors is important in understanding the epidemiology of TB. This study investigated both host and environmental factors associated with TB disease in a population with a high TB incidence.

Cohabiting, cigarette smoking and a history of imprisonment were strongly associated with an increased risk of developing TB in this population. The combined population attributable fraction for these three factors accounted for more than half of the TB cases.

This variation in factors associated with the risk of TB may be partly explained by the prevalence of the different risk factors in the population. Therefore it has been suggested that a better understanding of TB risk factors may help unearth why TB risk varies across countries, within countries, and within communities (Lönnroth & Raviglione, 2008). Interventions focusing on reducing the prevalence of the TB risk factors will help reduce TB incidence (Lönnroth et al., 2009).
This study presents evidence on the association between host and environmental related factors and the risk of tuberculosis disease. These findings highlight the importance of including environmental related factors in a study, particularly emerging characteristics such as history of imprisonment.

Despite the limitations, this study suggests that both host and environmental related factors may play an important role in determining an individual’s risk of developing TB.

5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

The evidence presented in this study suggests that a greater emphasis on social and economic policies focusing on assisting the poor and marginalised population is needed in the discourse of tuberculosis control.

Cigarette smoking was the single most important factor that increases the likelihood of developing TB in this population, and the prevalence of smoking is high in this population. TB control programmes in this community should incorporate the recommendations contained in the WHO’s Practical Approach to Lung Health (PAL), issued in 2008 (WHO, 2008b; IUATLD & WHO, 2007).
This study confirms that a history of imprisonment is associated with a significant increase in the risk of developing TB. There is a need to strengthen the implementation of two very important general measures for the TB control in prisons. These include decreasing over-crowding and also improving nutrition and hygiene as well as improving access to health services. Active case finding among prisoners and periodically among symptomatic and close contact of the index cases is also recommended.

Further research on risk factors for TB is needed to address the limitations of this study. TB prevention strategies need to focus on interventions which reduce or limit the impact of TB risk.
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APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1. Variables of interest and their definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Host related factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1=Female, 2=Male</td>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age groups</td>
<td>1=&quot;15-24&quot;, 2=&quot;25-34&quot;, 3=&quot;35-44&quot;, 4=&quot;45-54&quot;, 5=&gt;=&quot;55&quot;</td>
<td>Age groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>1=Married, 2=Single, 3=Widowed, 4=Divorced, 5=Cohabiting</td>
<td>Marital status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>1=Yes, 2=No</td>
<td>Employment status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education status</td>
<td>1=None, 2=Primary, 3=Secondary, 4=Started tertiary</td>
<td>Level of education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly income</td>
<td>1=&lt;R500, 2=R500-R999, 3=R1000-R1999, 4=R2000-R4999, 5&gt;=R5000</td>
<td>Usual monthly income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous medical history</td>
<td>1=TB, 2=Other chest conditions</td>
<td>Previous history of chest condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (cigarettes)</td>
<td>1=Yes, 2=No</td>
<td>Smoked cigarettes for a year or longer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (Other)</td>
<td>1=Yes, 2=No</td>
<td>Smoked anything other than tobacco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use</td>
<td>1=Yes, 2=No</td>
<td>Drink alcohol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol days</td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of alcohol drinking days (Monday to Sunday)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental related factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to silica dust</td>
<td>1=Yes, 2=No</td>
<td>Previous occupational exposure to silica dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to other hazards</td>
<td>1=Yes, 2=No</td>
<td>Previous occupational exposure to other dusts, gases, strong smells, chemicals, tumes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to birds in a cage</td>
<td>1=Yes, 2=No</td>
<td>Live next to pigeons/birds in a cage (not chickens)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooking fuel</td>
<td>1=Electricity, 2=Other fuels</td>
<td>Fuels mostly used for cooking in the dwelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prison</td>
<td>1=Yes, 2=No</td>
<td>Spent time in prison</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX 2. Population Attributable Fraction (PAF) Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Multivariate logistic OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Population attributable fractions (95% CI)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohabiting</td>
<td>2.19 (1.09 - 4.38)</td>
<td>0.124 (-0.02 - 0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>2.08 (1.24 - 3.46)</td>
<td>0.429 (0.15 - 0.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prison</td>
<td>1.88 (1.09 - 3.23)</td>
<td>0.099 (0.01 - 0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.532 (0.30 - 0.69)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio.

* CI calculated on log (1-AF) scale
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