

***UBUNTU, ZIMBABWE AND THE ETHICS OF INTERVENTION***



by  
**PETA DE JAGER**

research report  
submitted in partial fulfilment for the degree of

**Master of Arts**  
**APPLIED ETHICS FOR PROFESSIONALS**  
(by coursework and research report)

In the Faculty of Humanities  
University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg

February 2010  
Supervised by Dr. Brian Penrose

## ***ABSTRACT***

The profound and extensive nature of difficulties in Zimbabwean current affairs raises a moral dilemma for South Africa: should it intervene in some way, or respect Zimbabwean sovereignty? Is there a plausible ‘middle ground’ theory to resolves this dilemma?

This paper argues that there may well be. It further argues that such a ‘middle ground’ account is consistent with at least one version of *ubuntu*, an indigenous sub-Saharan African philosophy. What does *ubuntu* have to say about the right (or perhaps even the obligation) of the South African government to have intervened in Zimbabwean affairs? Does it vindicate South Africa for its failure to intervene? This project, whilst not providing a decisive answer to the question of whether intervention in Zimbabwe by South Africa is legitimate on this African world-view, provides one possible approach to evaluating the dilemma from an *ubuntu*-informed perspective.

**KEYWORDS:** *uBuntu*, Zimbabwe, ethics, intervention, sovereignty, South Africa, philosophy, applied ethics, international relations.

---

<sup>i</sup> FRONTISPIECE: Akan gold weight from “African Voices” depicting the Ghanaian allegory of the two crocodiles who share a stomach, but still fight over food.

SOURCE: [African Voices \(Mason\)](#)