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"Although he was helpless and defenceless, he decided to declare 'war' against his persecutors. Without arms he said, he was going to wage a relentless struggle against the white man. He was going to rob him, break into his stores, burgle his houses, and make him uncomfortable in every way possible." (1)

A common perception amongst white residents in Krugersdorp during the period 1887-1923, was that blacks were engaged in a kind of low-key war (2) against whites where newspapers reported almost every other day, how a white storekeeper had been murdered and robbed, how a white girl had been brutally raped, how gangs of 'Amalaita' were attacking white men in the streets and how even the policemen were not invulnerable to assaults at the hands of black criminals.

What this Paper intends to show is that Krugersdorp's white residents saw hardened black criminals as a "threat to property and lives", and while calling for more police, more secure prisons and harsher sentences on such criminals, developed a racist consciousness that turned all blacks into "ascriptive criminals" (3), who had to be separated from whites in every possible sphere and 'incarcerated' into mine compounds, locations, separate hospitals, schools and halls and into separate queues at market tables, railway ticket offices and post offices, removed off the sidewalks and out of parks.

In the process, this Paper hopes also to demonstrate the injustice of such a racist perception amongst white residents, the different ways in which black criminal statistics were inflated, the perceptions of black criminals themselves, the views of black residents of Krugersdorp, and finally, the minority voice amongst whites that responded differently to black crime.

Rather than waste valuable space on a detailed "background" to introduce this Paper, I have taken the liberty to include a detailed survey of Krugersdorp within the text as a whole. The Paper progresses roughly chronologically from 1887 to 1914.

Krugersdorp was first laid out in November 1887 (4), not long after gold had been discovered on the Witwatersrand. Together with Johannesburg and Boksburg in the East (5) it formed the nucleus of what would later simply be called the Rand or the Reef, a sprawling conglomeration of mining towns situated on the richest mining deposits in the world.

It was a rough town, at first, with its black and white miners engaged in drinking, fighting, whoring and gambling (6), in no particular order, earning it several nicknames, including the "Fighting Dorp" (7) and "Devil's Dorp" (8). In such a context crime, ranging from petty theft to murder, was not uncommon, victims and perpetrators were both black and white.

Whites, however, had control over the town in the form of local officials like the Mining Commissioner, the Landdrost, the District Surgeon and the local Sanitary Committee (9) elected by the 431 whites resident in the town in 1890 (10). The State, in the form of the Zuid-Afrikaansche Republiek or Z.A.R. had a white State President, Paul Kruger, and a white elected Parliament, the Volksraad. They provided the white
Z.A.R. Police or 'Zarps' to towns like Krugersdorp or 'Veg Kommandoess' (irregular troops) in case blacks ever forgot who was boss.

The local newspapers as well as those on the rest of the Rand, were owned by whites, run by white editors for their largely white readers. White miners, once they became employees of the white 'Randlords' like Rhodes, Goerz, Albu, Barnato and Robinson, who all owned mines on the West Rand, had the right to strike, the right to join trade unions, the right to vote (although heavily limited in the Z.A.R.), and this, together with their rare skills, ensured that they commanded high salaries, and lived the life of a 'labour aristocracy' (11), in white suburbs like Luipaardsvlei, Lewisham and Randfontein Village.

Blacks, by which I mean Africans, earned far less, lived in single-sex mine compounds (12), in rooms in the backyards of white masters, in the backrooms of factories and shops, and a few, perhaps 200, lived in a location together with coloureds and a few Indians, in 1891 (13). They had no vote, no newspapers, no overt political power, belonged to no trade unions, had no say in the police who arrested them, the courts that tried them, and prisons that incarcerated them, and no direct means to counter the accusations of whites that they were criminals, to counter the racism, the authoritarianism, the segregationist policies directed at them.

This is not to suggest that they had no power, no ability to evade laws and police often with impunity, to build up their own worlds of strong drink and flamboyant music (14), to strike back at racist whites and their segregationist and authoritarian policies. Krugersdorp's blacks had all of these, but the system worked against them and to many, it was a 'war' they experienced, ravaging them with poverty, disease, malnutrition, insults, neglect and harassment.

Some blacks in Krugersdorp resorted to robbing the hotels and stores of whites like the 'Kaffir' who robbed Mr. Belcher's hotel at Klein Paardekraal in 1892, of 60 pounds of soft goods. Mr. Belcher caught the thief and placed him in the charge of his black servants while he went to call the police. He 'escaped', perhaps with the help of Mr. Belcher's servants, but was later arrested by police and sent to jail for 3 months hard labour and 25 lashes (15). Black criminals paid dearly for choosing whites as their victims.

Court records show that in December, 1892 of the 64 cases heard by the special Landdrost at Krugersdorp, 37 involved a guilty finding, four resulted in a prison sentence without an option of a fine: two cases of theft, one case of assault and one case of vagrancy, all received lashes in addition, with the exception of the vagrancy charge, and all involved black offenders (16). In December 1893, of the 91 cases heard by the same elected, judicial official, 47 or over half, were blacks, many of whom were found guilty under the Pass Laws, as well as one for theft and one for assault. (17)

The Landdrost did not hear the really serious cases, murder cases, for example, were forwarded to the Circuit court in Johannesburg where the Judges meted out harsh punishments: Judge Aneshoff sentenced seven men to death at his circuit, and a total of 136 years of imprisonment, Judge de Korte sentenced one man to death, inflicted 27 years of prison and 75 lashes, in the same period (18).
Black murderers, like "Hans" who killed his colleague "September" in 1896 at Krugersdorp (19), rarely escaped the noose, and would be escorted under strong guard from the Circuit Court to Pretoria to be hanged. When blacks killed a white man, like the infamous Cocks murder in Johannesburg in 1889, hanging was almost a certainty. In this particular case, the white jury petitioned for the execution of the five black condemned men to be made a public spectacle with mine managers ensuring that their 'boys' were present (20). Whites were seldom sentenced to death, only two had been executed in the Z.A.R. by 1892 (21), while those that were not so lucky, could be pardoned by the State President, like the two 'Boers' who had murdered an Englishman without any extenuating circumstances. The Star announced "Hanging is Abolished, for white men, that is, though not for black men", in disgust at the pardon, pointing out that the President could "surely never sign another death warrant for a European in this Republic" (22).

The newspaper, was wrong, of course, and the occasional white murderer did find himself on the scaffold although, not as far as I can ascertain, for the murder of a black victim. White murderers, especially "Burghers", Dutch-speaking citizens who had the vote, had to pass through a legal system clearly biased in their favour, tried by their 'peers', the same Dutch-speaking Burghers, although usually of a "lower class", who served on the jury (23).

In 1895 8 murder cases involving white defendants and 34 cases involving black defendants were heard by the same racially composed jury. Only 3 whites were found guilty but 20 blacks received the same guilty verdict. The same pattern is revealed for lesser crimes, only half the whites were found guilty on their 'assault with intent' charges while 17 out of 22 blacks were found guilty (24).

Blacks often found themselves in an alien legal system, without legal representation, and although 'native interpreters' translated for them, were cross-examined in ways that were unfamiliar to them, being less articulate than their counterparts, many must have been found guilty by default of the legal process. Even if a fine was imposed, many could not afford even the 10 shillings imposed for pass offences, and served the 14 days, hard labour, sentence instead (25). In prison they were fed more 'mielie meal' but less meat than whites, and a little salt, with apparently no fruit or vegetables (26) so that at least one black prisoner in Krugersdorp's jail succumbed with scurvy and died (27). Jail escapes often involved black prisoners.

White residents of Krugersdorp, nonetheless, both portrayed and saw themselves as 'victims' of black crime. They had an unfair legal system with crooked policemen (28) on one hand, white juries and often ruthless white prosecutors (29) and landdrosts (30), on the other hand, to ensure that criminal statistics revealed "rampant" crime amongst blacks that could be pointed to, by outraged white residents, who could demand that the authorities "do something".

Thus, in 1895, statistics for December showed that Krugersdorp's 84 cases placed it 5th out of 47 towns in the Z.A.R., after Johannesburg, Heidelberg, Pretoria and Bloemfontein. 35% of all cases heard in the Z.A.R. that month involved pass law offences, other cases involved the liquor law (15%), the Masters and Servants Law (12%) and Assault
Comparing Krugersdorp with Johannesburg, Florida, Boksburg and Pretoria, we find Krugersdorp at the bottom with pass law offences, near the top for theft and in the middle for assault and the liquor law. Dividing the cases according to whether they were committed by whites and blacks, we find that in virtually each of these five towns, for each of these four offences (with the exception of the pass laws that affected only blacks), blacks exceeded whites by a factor of 3 to 1, in each case. In one exception, blacks in Krugersdorp committed 5 times as much theft (or should that be: were arrested five times as much), compared to whites. Given the rough parity of blacks and whites on the rand in the mid-1890s, of 40 000 blacks and 40 000 whites (32), this figure is significant. White racists pointed a finger at such statistics as justification for a wide array of segregationalist laws.

One must bear in mind, of course, that even a biased legal system should not produce black criminals in such disproportionate amounts, and must consider the possibility that blacks actually committed more crimes than whites. Given unrelenting poverty, a huge gap between black and white wages, a system that clearly worked to keep them down, it would be understandable, even expected, that blacks committed crimes particularly theft, housebreaking and burglary of shops especially from wealthy whites, for straightforward material gain (33). It is also worth exploring to what extent such conditions could drive blacks to lash out violently, through murder, assault and rape, again, on white victims.

Charles van Onselen has investigated what he called "periodic waves of collective hysteria" (34) simply known as the "black peril", where white women and girls were apparently raped or sexually assaulted on an unusually frequent and brutal scale. These "black peril" periods are clearly discernable on the Rand in 1893, 1897, 1907-8, 1911-2 and less clearly thereafter (35). Van Onselen notes that these periods correspond with economic downturns and political tension. More shall be said about this later.

Krugersdorp experienced similar "scares" although not in 1893 nor 1897 which van Onselen admits were hardly comparable with the scares after the Anglo-Boer War (36). Krugersdorp's scare occurred in 1899, a time of acute political tension in the months leading up to the Anglo-Boer War. In one incident, a black man, Klaus, was charged with attempted rape on a white married woman, after posing as a policeman to get her to open the door. By this time blacks were employed as policemen by the state and, usually, sent out against black criminals not against white women, so Klaus' ruse did not work and he was arrested (37). Barely three months later a black man was sentenced, in a separate case, for 3 months hard labour and 10 lashes for being found under a lady's bed (38).

Attacks like these upset white residents deeply (39) and added to the fear and resentment felt by whites towards blacks founded on white fears of economic competition with cheaper and often even more efficient black rivals (39). Some of the consequences of this was incessant demands by Krugersdorp's residents for more police, more secure prisons, harsher sentences, greater enforcement of pass laws and the curbing of illicit liquor activities which were seen as
important contributing factors to incidents of 'serious' black crime. I have termed these consequences 'authoritarianism', as it subjected the innocent black majority to police harassment and brutal sentences for minor offences.

As far as the police force were concerned, Johannesburg and Pretoria's white residents shared Krugersdorp's concern about the poor quality of the 'Zarps', who in one case, had to be charged before a landdrost in Pretoria by the Head Constable for "refusing to shave" (41). The member of the Volksraad for Krugersdorp, Mr. Meyer, appearing before Krugersdorp's white residents, promised to see what he could do to secure better wages for the local police force, who were paid less than the policemen at Potchefstroom, Barbeton, Klerksdorp and Christiana, despite their "heavier duty" (42). There were only 176 policemen, officers and men, both mounted and foot policemen, serving the Rand's 80,000 blacks and whites in 1894. Only 48 were available for night duty. (43)

Often illiterate, these Dutch-speaking, country "bumpkins" (44) were often irregularly paid, and had to borrow money from canteen keepers, opening them up to bribery (45). Many resorted to illegally "arresting" blacks for pass offences, demanding spot fines which they pocketed for themselves, something which particularly angered mining companies as well as white residents who would send their black servants on errands, only to have them return several hours later after having been "arrested" for a pass offence, and having to pay a policeman two pounds to be released (46). Black policemen, similarly, were often corrupt, both in this regard and in applying the liquor law (47).

Krugersdorp's white residents evidently felt that an unsatisfactory police force (48) was not able to protect them properly and called regularly for both an increase in this police force and its reform to make it more efficient.

Krugersdorp's delapidated wood-and-iron prison was also the object of the ire of white residents, mainly because it failed to keep black prisoners incarcerated. In 1898, a 50 pound reward was offered for the recapture of two "Zulus" who escaped from Krugersdorp Jail (49). In 1896 the prison was in an "awful condition" and was so overcrowded that some prisoners, one can only assume black prisoners, were chained to poles outside the prison (50).

Poor control over Krugersdorp's black prisoners astonished the Star which observed that 40 blacks marched to the town to wash, such facilities apparently being absent from the prison, "had been drinking and consequently had a big row" (51). When a cattle thief escaped from Krugersdorp Jail in 1893, local farmers were up in arms. (52)

A reporter who investigated the local prison in 1899 concluded that a "more dilapidated, disreputable house of correction does not exist in the state" (53). The main building was crumbling in places and some cells were built on sand. Not surprisingly, the report concluded that "the authorities [it would seem] deliberately... placing temptation to escape in the way of convicts" (54).

A great deal of petty segregationist regulations plagued the lives of blacks in Krugersdorp at this time. Apart from control over their movements through increasingly stringent pass controls and increasingly harsh fines imposed on them
for drunkeness, possession of liquor and for illicit liquor selling (55), local blacks faced harassment from whites and police if they so much as walked on the pavement or sidewalk in town.

The notorious ‘sidewalk’ regulation seems to have been first applied in Johannesburg in 1894 where the Star spoke out against the ‘barbarous’ sentences meted out by the landdrosts who ordered lashes to be given. It point out that "the first batch of natives could not possibly have known that they were committing an offence" and felt that a stern warning would have been sufficient. The newspaper did not condemn the law itself and revealed deeper, less altruistic motives when it warned that "the Rand is in chronic danger of a shortfall in the native labour supply because of the sentences" (56). The next day there were reports of blacks leaving the Rand because they were "murdering all the natives for walking on footpaths" (57).

At least part of the motive behind the law was, if we are to take a white Krugersdorp resident at his word, to prevent blacks ‘jostling’ whites and obstructing the path so that whites, male and female, had to "elbow their way through these louts or walk in the mud" (58). Obviously it would also be a profound badge of inferiority if blacks were forced to walk in the mud themselves in a ‘white’ town, metaphorically and literally, lowering themselves in relation to whites, trudging through mud and other rubbish in the gutters.

The same fear of ‘jostling’, particularly of white females, led to demands for separate queues at the Railway Ticket Office (59), the Post Office (60) and Market Place (61). Could such ‘jostling’ express the fear of assault including indecent assault? What about pick-pocketing or bag-snatching? The regulation must certainly have reduced the possibility of such criminal ‘incidents’ perpetrated by blacks on white ‘victims’.

Closely linked to this would be demands to lighten the town, which were made with increasing stridency by white residents, but achieved no success before the Anglo-Boer War (62). Well-lit roads would also aid police catch night-curfew breakers. Here, any blacks found in the town between 9pm at night and 5am in the morning, without a ‘Night Pass’, would be summarily arrested (63). A bell would actually sound some 15 minutes before nine, to warn all blacks to clear out of town. Such a petty restriction on the movement of local blacks, a regulation that would only be imposed on whites in times of extreme turmoil like the 1914 Afrikaner Rebellion (64), gives substance to the claim that white residents saw themselves in a ‘war’ against blacks, such a law clearly being designed to reduce the possibility of black criminal attacks on whites.

Of course, more profound segregationalist policy was also applied to blacks by the white authorities. The first black location was established in 1891 because blacks were "squatting in the town" (65). This location was situated a half a mile from the town, which by 1897 was seen as too close for the comfort of white residents and removed to a site one mile away from the town (66).

In an article entitled ‘Street Orgies’, it was reported in 1899 that the white residents of Human Street were complaining about “disgraceful scenes enacted..."
Sunday afternoons... as a regular occurrence" with "drunken and noisy niggers loitering around... they fight, they curse... to the annoyance and disgust of the residents, quite unmolested by the police". The naked racism here is fueled by annoyance at noise and possible indecency, but there is a note of fear that latent violence could break out against whites (67).

These and other points considered, did not disappear during the lengthy Anglo-Boer War, although the exigencies of a real war did seriously disrupt the mining economy and largely emptied the Rand of people, thus drastically minimizing crime as a whole (68). The military regime was harsh on any attempts by white or black criminals to re-assert themselves (69), and it was only by 1904, that Krugersdorp returned to "normal", continuing its "war" between black and white residents. The local white population was 2,000 and the black population 1,500 in the town, as a whole, with 372 black adults in the location, 296 males and 166 females (70). The struggling local mining industry brought another 12,500 blacks into the mines, and about 4,000 whites (71). Coloureds numbered 400 and Indians 300 (72).

Most of the black mineworkers, as was pointed out earlier, lived in the single-sex compounds on the mines. Increasingly, however, black mineworkers who regularly signed up for lengthy contracts, and the black compound police or 'police boys' (the hated "nongqayo", brutal "Zulu" policemen, to the black mineworkers) were 'rewarded' with the 'privilege' of being allowed to live some kind of married, family life in Mine Married Locations. The Randfontein mines took the lead in this and 264 black males and over a hundred black females were already housed in this way at the Randfontein Estates and G.M. Co., in 1903, with other mines following suit soon afterwards (73).

The mine married locations and single-sex compounds of the West Rand, like those on the rest of the Rand, increasingly became the base of a notorious and organised gang of criminals known alternatively as the 'Ninevites' or the 'Amalaita', a disciplined 'fighting force' organised along military lines with soldiers in the front ranks and generals commanding at the top, this gang gave a new dimension to the 'war' of crime between blacks and whites in Krugersdorp (74). It was their leader, Jan Note, who expressed the desire, mentioned at the beginning of this Paper, to "make [whites] uncomfortable in every way possible".

According to Charles van Onselen, the Amalaita were preceded by first, the "Umkosi Wezintaba" or the "Regiment of the Hills" (75), and then by the "People of the Stone" and the "Nongoloza" (76). The Regiment of the Hills consisted of organised black criminals living in the Klipriviersberg hills, south of Johannesburg and called, by them, "Shabalawawa", they preyed on mostly black migrant workers either through 'highway robbery' or through the 'abathelisi' trick whereby they demanded passes from black workers by pretending to be policemen, demanding 'spot fines' on all and sundry, whether passless or not (77). In this way they were merely copying what many policemen themselves were doing.

They also preyed on white residents and reports of "Midnight Marauders" (78) and a "bandit gang" of black burglars who were responsible for 28 burglaries in one month, in Roodepoort alone, are strongly suggestive of Jan Note's Regiment of the Hills, especially in their 'modus operandi'.

According to Charles van Onselen, the Amalaita were preceded by first, the "Umkosi Wezintaba" or the "Regiment of the Hills" (75), and then by the "People of the Stone" and the "Nongoloza" (76). The Regiment of the Hills consisted of organised black criminals living in the Klipriviersberg hills, south of Johannesburg and called, by them, "Shabalawawa", they preyed on mostly black migrant workers either through 'highway robbery' or through the 'abathelisi' trick whereby they demanded passes from black workers by pretending to be policemen, demanding 'spot fines' on all and sundry, whether passless or not (77). In this way they were merely copying what many policemen themselves were doing.

According to Charles van Onselen, the Amalaita were preceded by first, the "Umkosi Wezintaba" or the "Regiment of the Hills" (75), and then by the "People of the Stone" and the "Nongoloza" (76). The Regiment of the Hills consisted of organised black criminals living in the Klipriviersberg hills, south of Johannesburg and called, by them, "Shabalawawa", they preyed on mostly black migrant workers either through 'highway robbery' or through the 'abathelisi' trick whereby they demanded passes from black workers by pretending to be policemen, demanding 'spot fines' on all and sundry, whether passless or not (77). In this way they were merely copying what many policemen themselves were doing.
The Rise of the Ninevites after the Anglo-Zulu War, coincided with an economic downturn on the Rand between 1906-8, and the Zambitha Rebellion in Natal which disturbed and worried the white residents of Krugersdorp (80). The two events were related in the sense that many Zulu ‘vagrants’ pushed by the rural economy at Natal, and influenced by events there, could find no work on the Rand, and so militant and poverty-stricken, they moved into the ranks of the Ninevites as ‘soldiers’ or “amakhehla” (81).

A large number of burglaries were committed by blacks on both the white-owned stores and residences of whites in Krugersdorp during this period, which imply organised black criminal attacks on the property of white residents (82). In addition the number of assaults being inflicted on whites by blacks in Krugersdorp, rose steadily over the years 1905, 1906 and 1907, including the murder of a white storekeeper (83). Incidents of “black peril” attacks rose steadily from 1906, peaking in 1908 (84). Under this ruthless “attack” white residents retreated into mental laagers, growing increasingly racist and authoritarian in their attitudes to blacks.

White residents at Randfontein Village demanded more “police protection” from the quasi-military South African Constabulary (S.A.C.) and the Transvaal Territorial Police (T.T.P.). White residents at Luipaardsvlei added their voices to the demands for more policemen after a local store was robbed in broad daylight, leading to a “feeling of insecurity amongst the residents” (85). At Randfontein Village there were 2 sergeants and 10 troopers, all mounted, serving 126 adult, male, white, residents, and 1 sergeant and 6 mounted troopers at Luipaardsvlei, for a population half the size of Randfontein Village (86). However, these white suburbs were situated near major mines, Randfontein serving the giant Randfontein Estates and G.M. Co., Luipaardsvlei serving the Luipaardsvlei Estates and G.M. Co., where thousands of black mineworkers were housed in compounds and mine locations. Clearly this must have caused them to feel unduly vulnerable if one policeman for every 12 white, male residents was seen as inadequate.

The police responded to these demands by enforcing a variety of laws more strictly than before, but particularly pass laws (87) and liquor laws (88). In 1904, 1 539 cases of all crimes were reported, 1 280 cases were tried leading to 1 184 convictions, and 1 177 pounds worth of property was recovered out of the 2 880 pounds reported stolen. 1 482 pounds worth of fines were imposed (89). The cause of these successes were not increased police numbers but greater vigilance and harder work from the police who were so under-manned that they were compelled to do “double duty” in the form of working overtime without an increase in pay (90). To facilitate greater efficiency, attempts were made to establish a degree of uniformity between the two police forces (91).

White residents also demanded improvements in the local prison and in the control of convicts who were increasingly used as cheap labour by the local state to repair streets and roads (92), and by local mines, largely for surface work (93).
local violent black crime, led the Transvaal Legislative Assembly to promulgate regulations in 1905, prohibiting blacks and later Chinese from possessing "dangerous weapons" which included "swords, daggers, knives with blades longer than ten inches in length, spears, loaded or spiked sticks, knuckle dusters, jumpers, crowbars, hammers exceeding 3 pounds in weight, axes, pickhandles and sandbags" (113). Any black person caught with such a "dangerous weapon" in his possession, would face a fine of 25 pounds or 3 months in prison. Whites selling such weapons, "unless they believed that it was required for a lawful purpose," faced the same penalty. The regulations only applied to the Witwatersrand.

That these regulations were clearly supported by local white residents, was clearly demonstrated in 1906 when a local storekeeper was fined 15 pounds or one month's imprisonment for selling "butcher's knives to Chinese coolies". White residents in Krugersdorp condemned the sentence as "too light" and a local newspaper felt that punishment should have included the loss of the storekeeper's trade license (114).

Aside from these authoritarian measures, many white residents turned their attentions to enforcing or calling for even more stringent segregationist policies. There were frequent calls by local white residents to "Purge the Path" of blacks (115), a campaign was launched to stop black men from bathing or washing themselves in the many dams on the mines, especially if they were near public roads, partly because these blacks were often "in a state of absolute nudity", while whites swam in such dams with impunity (116). Great concern was expressed over the failure of police to enforce the Night Curfew. Many whites complained that they had great difficulty with their servants "whose visitors remain for an hour after the bell has gone and say when they are spoken to, that 'they won't get caught'" (117). Blacks regularly evaded many of these petty regulations with impunity (118).

Some whites responded in a different way to the rising black crime rate, particularly serious crime directed at white residents, by criticising police for their heavy-handed tactics, which they argued alienated law-abiding blacks who were needed in an alliance against black criminals and 'agitators' stirring up political and economic unrest amongst blacks.

In one incident which reveals black attitudes to police harassment quite clearly, a pass-raid was made on the Krugersdorp Location in 1905 where 14 Africans were arrested. A local preacher, Ernest Majura, declared that he was going to show the "poor oppressed natives that he at least would resist these 'infernal policemen', and assaulted one of the policemen, leading to a "general disturbance" in the location (119).

The Magistrate who heard the case involving Majura on a charge of assault, discharged him on the grounds that the police had acted illegally in searching houses without a proper warrant, adding that "a Kaffir has the same rights as any British subject, and his home was his castle and sacred even from the police". There was substantial jubilation in the location that night in celebration of an "unexpected native triumph over a policeman" (120).

The magistrate was not alone in taking such an approach to black crime, in 1906 the local newspaper argued:
unless we are to have a Kaffir terror superadded to the Chinese, a very radical change needs to take place in our dealing with the natives and the Pass Laws must be carried out with far greater stringency than they are presently in a lawful and business-like manner and not by raids of locations." (121)

Obviously there was a fear amongst white residents that they would one day push the blacks 'too far', and the spectre of widespread insurrection by blacks haunted whites probably as much as black criminal attacks, and also contributed to the hardening of white attitudes to blacks, with a minority responding with a more accommodating stance.

The period from Union until 1914 saw many of the above features reproduced with the period 1910-12 serving as a kind of high-water mark for black attacks on white residents, with these attacks slowly declining thereafter, and for this reason, this period deserves special scrutinisation.

Black sexual assaults on white women, in the form of rape, attempted rape and indecent assault, rapidly increased so that, for example, three times as many rapes were committed on white women in the period 1906-12, compared to the period 1901-5 (122). These attacks led to a bout of hysteria amongst whites that has been dubbed the 'Black Peril'. Van Onselen identifies two separate occurrences in this period, the first from 1906-8, that coincided with a serious economic depression and political tension caused by the 1907 white mineworker's strike; the second, from 1911 to 1913, corresponds with, again, declining economic conditions, and political tension in the form of the 1913 mineworker's strike (123).

My own research and van Onselen's own valuable statistics drawn from the Report of Commission on assaults on Women, 1913, does not bear out the hypothesis of two separate scares, but rather one unbroken 'Black Peril' scare from 1906 to 1913, the dip in the statistics between 1909 and 1910 seem too slight and inconsistent (only indecent assault cases really decline in 1909, while in 1910, rapes do decline but attempted rapes and indecent assault cases especially, are markedly increasing). 'Black Peril' reports are particularly common in Krugersdorp during this period, especially 1910 (124). Van Onselen, focussing, perhaps, too much on Johannesburg sees the 'Lyndhurst outrage' of 1911 as the start of a second scare on the Reef, while in Krugersdorp this is not the case.

The point of van Onselen's work is to demonstrate that 'Black Peril' scares co-incide with economic downturns and political tension, an argument which is largely borne out by his evidence. In Krugersdorp, however, the economic boom on the Rand from 1909 to 1912, sees an increase in sexual attacks by blacks on white women. This does not mean that van Onselen is wrong, however, as Krugersdorp and the West Rand generally was swimming against the tide during this period, and mines were closing down, in 1910 (125), at the peak of 'Black Peril' scares in Krugersdorp. It seems that economic factors do have a role to play in these scares.

A significant number of these attacks were committed by black 'houseboys', male domestic servants employed by many white
particular attention to by the 1913 Commission and consequently by the local state at Krugersdorp as well. Van Onselen suggests that in these harsh times, white women were quite capable of cynically and falsely charging their black male domestic servants with sexual offences "in order to defraud their houseboys of their wages", something which the 1913 Commission confirms (126).

While van Onselen does not dwell on this point, he has been attacked for this point by T. Keegan, who accused him of being a "Functionalist" (127). I also have problems with this view but only as I find it astonishingly unlikely that white women would subject themselves to the public impression that they had been sexually assaulted by their black servants, merely to cheat a servant out of a few pounds or to break off a 'difficult relationship' (128). I have found no evidence for this myself in my research in Krugersdorp, and the suggestion by the all-male Commission, smacks too much of 'blaming the victim' or denying that the assaults really happened.

While van Onselen correctly points out that increased sexual assaults may be largely the result of increased police vigilance and increased willingness by white women to come forward with charges in the wake of public hysteria after, usually, a particularly brutal sexual assault (129), it seems likely however that these statistics reflect a real increase in actual assaults on white women committed by black men. I would argue that economic downturns and political tension played an important role here by increasing tension between white females and their black house servants, where constant and unreasonable demands were probably increasingly piled onto these increasingly resentful black servants (130), who were increasingly insulted and threatened with the sack until the servant lashed out violently, for this is what rape really consists of, by raping his white female employer. No blame is apportioned here rather external pressures act on a situation that is already characterised by sexual tension, resentment felt by males towards being ordered around by white females, and white females fears of potential confrontation (131).

It should be noted that a large number of rapes, attempted rapes and indecent assaults were committed by black men on white women, where they were complete strangers to each other (132). In Krugersdorp we also find black house servants attack young white girls where the 'tension between employer and employee' is somewhat muted, as they are merely 'daughters' of such employers. Van Onselen is probably correct when he suggests that this group was "particularly sensitive to sexual behaviour" and they, together with women of "extremely nervous disposition" became easily frightened or over-reacted to circumstances (133). I would like to suggest that in many cases of "attempted rape" black criminals, in harsh economic times, were either in the process of robbing white households or were intending to rob whites, when they were "found", in ladies' bedrooms, under the bed, or in the house of single white women, and were either hiding from those they intended to rob or lashed out at those women in their way or who tried to apprehend them. I know of at least one case where an 'attempted rape' charge was placed on a man found in a lady's bedroom who had a long list of housebreaking and theft convictions held against him. Would he, in calmer times, have been merely charged on housebreaking? (134)
To conclude this brief focus on sexual assaults on white women, it is worthwhile noting that most of these assaults are committed by individuals and not by an organised gang, with the exception of the notorious Harrison cases, which involved a planned gang-rape committed by the Amalaita (135). Despite Jan Note's threats to make whites "uncomfortable in every way possible", and despite the fact that Amalaita, which often consisted of 'houseboys' or acted on the behalf of 'houseboys' who wanted revenge on their white masters, would occasionally assault white men, the sexual assault of white women do not seem to have been part of the criminal gang's "style", which van Onselen has pointed out, often involved homosexual activities with amakhela often taking a Khela or "boy-wife" as a companion (136).

The Amalaita did assault white males and policemen in an increasingly daring and outrageous extent during the same period that the 'Black Peril' scares occurred, however, also peaking during the period 1918-11. In Krugersdorp, the motive was often robbery although often it was merely for revenge or assault for assault's sake (137). In the harsh economic times of a declining local mining economy, where many Amalaita were black mineworkers themselves, such motives are understandable; material gain and a 'lash out' against privileged whites. Of course white mineworkers were themselves notorious for assaulting black mineworkers; so revenge attacks (138) seem quite likely as well.

White outrage led magistrates to impose particularly harsh sentences on those who assaulted white men, just as 'Black Peril' attacks often led to whites baying for blood, demanding and often getting the death penalty imposed (139) and brutal sentences for the most harmless "indecent assault" cases including the writing of love-letters to white women (148). The black man responsible for the "savage assault" on a white miner, on the local York mine, in 1918 (incidentally the year it closed down), was sentenced to 4 months in prison and 10 lashes, the Magistrate expressing the hope that this would serve "as a lesson to the native not to touch a white man" (141).

It was attacks by gangs of Amalaita on single white men that particularly upset Krugersdorp's white residents. A party of five 'Amalaita' "knocked" another white about seriously in 1918 (142). The local Member for the Provincial Councillor, and a Town Councillor for Krugersdorp, were assaulted by Amalaita on their way back from a political meeting, but the two managed to put the gang to flight.

The incident that shook the white residents most, and stunned the rest of the Rand, occurred in January, 1911. A small local police force, led by Supt. Deane raided the West Rand mine married location on a Saturday night in search of a certain Amalaita who had been defying the police and terrorising the inhabitants of West Krugersdorp. They made a number of arrests under the Liquor Law because a drinking spree was underway when they arrived. They had to release these prisoners when virtually the whole location rose up against them shouting 'Bulalo umlango' ('Kill the white men'). Police shot a "notorious Amalaita ring leader" dead but were forced to retreat to the mine hospital with many men wounded where they were rescued by the compound manager and his 'Police Boys' (143). The Police returned in force the following Monday with twenty men armed with rifles,
The local newspaper commented, "this sort of attack seems to point to the necessity of all policemen being armed...when entering dangerous locations, where the scum of the reef seek a hiding place" (144), a feeling strongly supported by white residents writing to the newspaper in the wake of the attack (145).

Events like these galvanised the state into action and, according to van Onselen, who quoted a black journalist: "Public and police alike felt that Ninevism, that cynical challenge to authority [had to] be wiped out" (146). Under a concerted attack, which included the imposition of Indeterminate Sentences on convicts with many previous convictions (147), and the "conversion" of Amalaita leader, Jan Note (148), the Amalaita began to decline in influence and the period that followed was noticeably quieter.

The white residents, like those on the rest of the Rand, responded to these sexual and physical assaults on whites and attacks on their property, with predictable ruthlessness, calling for stricter, more "authoritarian" policies and stricter segregationalism.

A new black location was erected in 1912 (149), called unimaginatively the ‘New Location’, it was earmarked as the new home of the black residents of what was now called, the ‘Old Location’, where the residents were forbidden to make any "improvements" to the dilapidated "huts" and pot-holed streets, because it was shortly to be "dis-established" (150). Lengthy "Location Regulations" (151) were published and all blacks, who were not resident on their employers' premises, were compelled to live in these locations (152).

Randfontein Village which had long ‘suffered’ from the presence of a nearby ‘mixed slum’, with blacks and whites together (153), saw their ‘torment’ come to an end with the erection of the Randfontein Location (154), fully fenced around its entire perimeter to curb criminal activities there, particularly illicit liquor selling (155).

Petty segregationist demands rose to even sillier heights with whites objecting to black nannies, pushing white children in prams, walking on the sidewalks (156), or sitting in ‘white’ train compartments with white children (157), or sitting with their white charges in ‘whites only’ parks, ostensibly because they brought their black boyfriends with them who would distract them while other black ‘fiends’ preyed on the unattended white girls (158).

As Kathy Eales pointed out:

"In the decade following the 1912 'Black Peril' commission, officials of the Union’s bureaucracies were obliged to grapple with issues far broader than those dealt with in the report itself. Yet, in many respects, the reports were the same — how best to manage and administer the growing number of blacks in towns in a way that maximised the benefit and minimised the cost to whites." (159)
way to my own analysis, and focusing largely on black women, Eales sums up the process that led to the 1923 Native Urban Areas Act and looks at many factors that led up to its promulgation, sharing this task with other earlier writers like Paul Rich and T.R.H. Davenport (160).

While crime rates waned dramatically during the war years, and rose less dramatically thereafter, fear of physical and sexual attacks, murder, robbery or theft, no longer played a significant role in developing the racist consciousness of Krugersdorp’s white residents (161). Rather economic and political tension which saw the 1913 white minerworkers’ strike, the 1918 black ‘bucket workers’ strike in Johannesburg, the Anti-Pass Campaign of 1919 led by the black Transvaal Native Congress (162), the 1920 black miners’ strike (163), distant events like the Bulhoek Massacre (164), and the 1922 white minerworkers’ revolt; together with significant demographic shifts that brought thousands of blacks to the Rand, including significantly black women (165), played an increasingly important role in segregationist and authoritarian policies.

Krugersdorp, in any case, suffered a period of stagnancy from 1911 onwards, its population actually declining (166) as its mining economy shutdown (167). Many events that shook the Rand, like the East Rand boycott of mine stores by black minerworkers in 1918, failed to impact on an increasingly somnolent town, the only exceptions being the 1920 black minerworkers’ strike (168), and, to a lesser extent the 1922 white minerworkers’ Revolt (169).

To be sure, white residents remained racist and as determined as ever to keep blacks in their locations and in the "place", Krugersdorp having none of the problems of ‘mixed slums’ like Johannesburg’s Malay Quarter (170). Crime rates declined with a declining population, and Krugersdorp shifted behind even the smallest and newest East Rand towns, in most statistics that mattered, including crime statistics, where it languished near the bottom in comparison with towns on the Rand as a whole, for virtually every conceivable category. It simply becomes too difficult, in the face of this, to sustain the argument that black criminal attacks on white victims had any significance on local segregationist and authoritarian policy, after 1914, thus, I end my account at the start of the First World War.
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Statutory Offences - 1911

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statutory Offences</td>
<td>8234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offences against property</td>
<td>1663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offences against the person</td>
<td>1432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Offences</td>
<td>1070</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Crimes in order of total cases reported in Krugersdorp, 1911

pp. 119 - 123
Crimes in order of total cases reported, 1911

pp.119 - 123
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Offences against the person =

Offences against property =

All data for Krugersdorp District, 1911.  

pp.119 -123
Serious Crime in Krugersdorp : 1911

In order of total convictions, divided according to race (B = Black, W = White, C = Coloured, A = Asian)

Common Assault: 
- B = 427
- W = 116
- C = 19
- A = 2

Common Theft: 
- B = 276
- W = 23
- C = 20

Assault with Intent: 
- B = 235
- W = 8
- C = 1

Assault on Police: 
- B = 28
- W = 7
- C = 2

Malicious damage to property: 
- B = 26
- W = 7

Breach of Peace: 
- B = 21

Public Violence: 
- B = 15

Indecent Assault: 
- B = 5
- W = 2
  (Blacks on W females = 2, on B females = 7
  on B males = 5), (Whites on W females = 1,
  on B females = 1)

Attempted Murder: 
- B = 5

Attempted Rape: 
- B = 2
  (on B females = 1, on W females = 1)

Rape: 
- B = 2
  (both on B females)

*NB there were no murder or homicide convictions
(i) Indexes of Retail Prices of Food, Fuel, and Light.

(j) Regional Divisions from 1916.

(k) Detailed Indexes of Regional Divisions, 1913.

(l) Indexes of Retail Prices of Food in Nine Towns from 1916.

Graph showing the trend of wholesale prices of commodities in South Africa and the correspondence between the prices of imported goods and of English wholesale prices.

Retail price of food, 1910, to February, 1918—Principal Towns.
### (III) Weighted Average of Predominant Retail Prices of Food, Fuel, and Light in Six Towns from 1893 to 1900, in Nine Towns from 1910, and in Seven Divisions from 1910.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Better.</th>
<th>Chosen.</th>
<th>Rice</th>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Eggs</th>
<th>Fresh Milk</th>
<th>Mutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1893</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1894</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1895</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1896</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1897</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1898</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1899</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### (IV) Housing and Rents.

1. **Real Legislation.** A new Act was passed by Parliament in 1922, with effect from June of that year until the 31st June, 1922. From that date it was extended, by later enactment, from year to year. A summary of the Act, which provided for the creation of a board with power to order the reduction of rents, was given in the sixth and previous issues of this Year Book.

2. **Housing Loans.** The total amount voted by Parliament for the establishment of Housing Loans in the different Provinces under the Housing Act of 1922, and on this basis the Board, from the date of its formation to the 31st December, 1922, approved a number of municipal housing schemes and housing loan applications involving a total commitment of £1,705,000. Particulars are given in the appended tables which show (i) the position as regards house construction and loans authorized under the Act in each of the Provinces, and (ii) the extent to which advantage was taken of the facilities provided by the Act in certain urban centres. It is to be noted that financial stringency led, in 1922, to the considerable curtailment and subsequently to the suspension of the programme contemplated under the Act.

#### (I) Housing Act—Construction and Loans Authorized and Issues Made from Housing Loans Funds in Each Province to 31st December, 1922.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Municipal Offices, and Endowments</th>
<th>Total Loans</th>
<th>Total Issues</th>
<th>Drawings for Occupation by</th>
<th>European</th>
<th>Native</th>
<th>Coloured</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>112,000,000</td>
<td>108,000,000</td>
<td>108,000,000</td>
<td>European</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>112,000,000</td>
<td>108,000,000</td>
<td>108,000,000</td>
<td>Native</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>112,000,000</td>
<td>108,000,000</td>
<td>108,000,000</td>
<td>Coloured</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>112,000,000</td>
<td>108,000,000</td>
<td>108,000,000</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*An Act passed during the current session of 1922 continued the past legislation and reversed the necessity for the equal removal of the Act.*
The system involves the compilation of a formulating for the case, sex, age, nationality, bias etc., as affected by circumstances, and addresses and credit of total of every person arrested, summoned or warned.

Given is financial urgency the Government decided to discontinue the collection of figures relating to crime affected by circumstances, and addresses and credit of total of every person arrested, summoned or warned. Figures relating to crime affected by circumstances, and addresses and credit of total of every person arrested, summoned or warned, have therefore, not available but from other sources it has been found possible to differentiate between serious crimes committed in industrial and rural areas. For the same reason the collection of data concerning civil actions has also been suspended, as stated in a 2. paragraph 4 above.

It will be observed from the table below that no full particulars of crimes were available before 1913, when the Indian Act (Act No. 14 of 1872) came into operation. Prior to this date the Union was policed by a number of forces under separate control, and it was found impracticable to consolidate the figures of the different systems then in use. In 1912 the system of collecting criminal statistics then in use in the Transvaal Province was adopted throughout the Union.

The following tables (1) and (ii) show the total number of persons, convicts, etc., for all offences during a series of years. Particulars of serious crimes in the Union are given in the following paragraph. Throughout this section, in the figures for persons charged, the report of the year 1912 and subsequent years, no account is taken of persons amounting less than one year.

### (i) NUMBER OF PERSONS CHARGED BEFORE COURTS, 1913 TO 1923

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cases of Good</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Criminal</th>
<th>Cases of Good</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Per 1,000</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1913</td>
<td>62,000</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>68,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1914</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>73,000</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>63,000</td>
<td>71,000</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>55,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1915</td>
<td>68,000</td>
<td>76,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>66,000</td>
<td>74,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1916</td>
<td>71,000</td>
<td>79,000</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>78,000</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1917</td>
<td>74,000</td>
<td>82,000</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>73,000</td>
<td>81,000</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>70,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### (ii) NUMBER OF PERSONS CONVICTED, ACQUITTED, ETC., BY COURTS, 1915 TO 1925

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Convicted of</th>
<th>Acquitted or</th>
<th>Cases of Discharged</th>
<th>Convicted of</th>
<th>Acquitted or</th>
<th>Cases of Discharged</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1915</td>
<td>71,000</td>
<td>79,000</td>
<td>82,000</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>78,000</td>
<td>71,000</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1916</td>
<td>74,000</td>
<td>82,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>73,000</td>
<td>81,000</td>
<td>74,000</td>
<td>165,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1917</td>
<td>77,000</td>
<td>86,000</td>
<td>88,000</td>
<td>76,000</td>
<td>84,000</td>
<td>77,000</td>
<td>164,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1918</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>89,000</td>
<td>91,000</td>
<td>79,000</td>
<td>87,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>189,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### (iii) RESULTS OF CRIMINAL CHARGES IN SERIOUS CASES, UNION, 1915 TO 1925

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Charged</th>
<th>Uncharged</th>
<th>Cases of Discharged</th>
<th>Cases of Discharged</th>
<th>Cases of Discharged</th>
<th>Cases of Discharged</th>
<th>Cases of Discharged</th>
<th>Cases of Discharged</th>
<th>Cases of Discharged</th>
<th>Cases of Discharged</th>
<th>Cases of Discharged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1915</td>
<td>71,000</td>
<td>79,000</td>
<td>82,000</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>78,000</td>
<td>71,000</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1916</td>
<td>74,000</td>
<td>82,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>73,000</td>
<td>81,000</td>
<td>74,000</td>
<td>165,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1917</td>
<td>77,000</td>
<td>86,000</td>
<td>88,000</td>
<td>76,000</td>
<td>84,000</td>
<td>77,000</td>
<td>164,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1918</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>89,000</td>
<td>91,000</td>
<td>79,000</td>
<td>87,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>189,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### (iv) SUMMARY OF TREASURY BILLS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Charged</th>
<th>Uncharged</th>
<th>Cases of Discharged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1915</td>
<td>71,000</td>
<td>79,000</td>
<td>82,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1916</td>
<td>74,000</td>
<td>82,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1917</td>
<td>77,000</td>
<td>86,000</td>
<td>88,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1918</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>89,000</td>
<td>91,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### (v) SUMMARY OF CRIMINAL CHARGES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Charged</th>
<th>Uncharged</th>
<th>Cases of Discharged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1915</td>
<td>71,000</td>
<td>79,000</td>
<td>82,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1916</td>
<td>74,000</td>
<td>82,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1917</td>
<td>77,000</td>
<td>86,000</td>
<td>88,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1918</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>89,000</td>
<td>91,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Sexual assaults in the Transvaal 1901–12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Rape</th>
<th>Attempted rape</th>
<th>Indecent assault</th>
<th>Total convictions</th>
<th>Number of charges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1901</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1903</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1906</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1908</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1909</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1910</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1911</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data extracted from Report of Commission on Assaults on Women 1913, para. 28.