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ABSTRACT

Domain interfaces play an important role in protein stability and folding. A major structural feature at the interdomain interface of the GST class of proteins is the conserved hydrophobic ‘lock-and-key’ motif. In a monomeric homologue of the GSTs, Grx2, the hydrophobic interdomain ‘lock-and-key’ motif is formed by insertion of the side-chain of methionine 17 (Met17) from domain 1 into a hydrophobic pocket in domain 2. This study evaluates the contribution of the Met17 residue to the stability of Glutaredoxin-2 (Grx2). Protein engineering techniques were employed to generate a Met17 to Alanine (M17A) mutant protein and comparative studies with wild-type and M17A Grx2 were performed. The spectral properties of M17A Grx2 monitored using far and near-ultraviolet circular dichroism and tryptophan fluorescence indicated no significant changes in secondary or tertiary structure in the native state. Conformational stability studies were performed to determine the contribution of the ‘lock-and-key’ motif to protein stability. Equilibrium unfolding studies, displayed significant impact on the conformational stability of the protein with a $\Delta \Delta G(H_2O)$ of 4 kcal.mol$^{-1}$ as a result of the replacement of the Met17 residue with alanine. The co-operativity of unfolding is slightly decreased, with the $m$-value being reduced by 0.3 kcal.mol$^{-1}$.M$^{-1}$ suggesting an intermediate formation. This intermediate becomes more prominent during equilibrium unfolding in the presence of ANS which showed an increase in intensity in the unfolding transition for M17A Grx2 but was absent for wild-type Grx2. The kinetics of unfolding of both Grx2 proteins are complex, both displaying two observable phases (fast and slow) which occur in parallel as confirmed by performing initial conditions test. The slow phase involves structural rearrangements that expose small amounts of surface area while the fast phase represents gross structural unfolding exposing large amounts of surface area. The rate of the fast unfolding phase is increased for M17A Grx2, as the time constant decreased from 2.4s (wild-type) to 830ms, however there is negligible change in the rate of the slow phase. The increase in the unfolding rate of the fast phase is in agreement with the equilibrium studies which highlights the destabilisation as a result of the mutation.
“There are known knowns. There are known unknowns. There are also unknown unknowns”

Donald H. Rumsfield
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### ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_{280}$</td>
<td>absorbance at 280 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Å</td>
<td>Ångström</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANS</td>
<td>8-anilino-1-naphthalene sulphonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>circular dichroism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_m$</td>
<td>the denaturant concentration at the midpoint of the unfolding curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clic</td>
<td>chloride intracellular channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Da</td>
<td>Dalton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEAE</td>
<td>diethylaminoethyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$ASA</td>
<td>change in (solvent) accessible surface area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta G$</td>
<td>the change in Gibbs free energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta G(H_2O)$</td>
<td>change in Gibbs free energy of unfolding in the absence of denaturant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta H$</td>
<td>the change in enthalpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta S$</td>
<td>the change in entropy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dH$_2$O</td>
<td>distilled water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLS</td>
<td>dynamic light scattering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNase</td>
<td>deoxyribonuclease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ds</td>
<td>double-stranded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTNB</td>
<td>5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>dithiothreitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon$</td>
<td>molar extinction coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far-UV CD</td>
<td>far-ultraviolet circular dichroism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Gibbs free energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grx</td>
<td>Glutaredoxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH</td>
<td>reduced glutathione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST</td>
<td>glutathione S-transferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hGST A1-1</td>
<td>human Alpha class glutathione S-transferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT</td>
<td>voltage the voltage applied to the circular dichroism photomultiplier tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPTG</td>
<td>isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{eq}$</td>
<td>equilibrium constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB</td>
<td>Luria-Bertani</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>fluorescence emission wavelength maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mdeg</td>
<td>millidegrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA</td>
<td>messenger ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$-value</td>
<td>the dependence of the free energy of unfolding on denaturant concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_a$</td>
<td>change in accessible surface area during unfolding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M17A</td>
<td>Met17 replaced with Alanine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCO</td>
<td>molecular weight cut-off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD$_{600}$</td>
<td>optical density at 600 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>open reading frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDB</td>
<td>Protein Data Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pI</td>
<td>isoelectric point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQS</td>
<td>Protein Quaternary Structure server</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rpm</td>
<td>revolutions per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SASA</td>
<td>solvent accessible surface area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOPPI</td>
<td>structural classification of protein-protein interfaces database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-PAGE</td>
<td>sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-HPLC</td>
<td>size exclusion high performance liquid chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SjGST</td>
<td><em>Schistosoma japonicum</em> glutathione S-transferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td>$N, N, N', N'$-tetramethylethylenediamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$</td>
<td>time constant, which is the inverse of the apparent rate constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\theta]$</td>
<td>mean residual ellipticity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\theta]_{222}$</td>
<td>mean residual ellipticity at 222 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
U   unfolded
UV  ultraviolet
YT  yeast tryptone

The IUPAC-IUBMB one and three letter codes for the amino acids were used throughout.
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Protein folding and stability
Inside the cell, proteins are synthesised as linear chains of amino acids, which fold into unique 3-D structures, known as the native (folded) state. The wide range of biochemical functions are specified by the proteins’ detailed structures and has led to one of the great unsolved problems of science, which is the prediction of these 3-D structures from the amino acid sequence (the folding problem) (reviewed in Dill et al., 2008). This has been a concern since the initial experiments of protein folding performed by Anfinsen, who postulated that the three-dimensional structure of a protein is determined by its primary sequence (Anfinsen, 1973). Despite the large degrees of freedom, surprisingly, proteins fold into their native states in a very short time, which is known as Levinthal’s Paradox (Levinthal, 1968). Structural changes and chemical interactions occur throughout the entire folding process, and strongly cooperative mechanisms are necessary to bring the protein in its native conformation within a very short time period (Nölting et al., 1995). Protein folding is therefore governed by two inter-related parameters, kinetic control, where the polypeptide chain has a time limit within which it must obtain its native conformation, and thermodynamic control where the native conformation is arguably the most stable conformation that the amino acid chain can obtain.

The thermodynamic stability of a protein is measured by the free-energy difference between the folded state and the unfolded state ($\Delta G = G_{\text{unfold}} - G_{\text{fold}}$). It determines the fraction of folded proteins, thereby having a profound effect on protein function. The energetic contributions from the favourable folding forces such as hydrophobic packing, hydrogen-bonding and electrostatic interactions are nearly offset by the entropic penalisation of folding (Dill and Chan 1997).

1.1.1 Forces responsible for protein stability
Protein stability refers to the difference between interactions that favour the native state and interactions that favour the denatured state. This difference is represented by the change in Gibbs free energy ($\Delta G^\circ$) upon total unfolding. Under constant pressure, $\Delta G^\circ$ is made up of two contributions:

$$\Delta G^\circ = \Delta H^\circ - T\Delta S^\circ$$  (1)
where $\Delta H^o$ is the enthalpic (bond formation) and $\Delta S^o$ is the entropic (freedom of a system to explore conformational space) contribution to $\Delta G^o$. Contributions to the enthalpic term are primarily from the loss of intramolecular and protein-solvent hydrogen bonds and van der Waals contacts. The formation of van der Waals contacts, salt-bridges and hydrogen bonds, as well as the change in solvent-solvent interactions near protein surfaces contribute toward the binding enthalpy of a reaction (Dill, 1990). The breaking of bonds will be endothermic (positive $\Delta H^o$) whereas the negative $\Delta H^o$ refers to the formation of hydrogen bonds and van der Waals interactions (Sigurskjold et al., 1991). The entropy component is composed of various contributions (Murphy, 1999) which include: the entropy contribution from restructuring of solvent due to burial of hydrophobic groups ($\Delta S^o_{\text{solv}}$); the changes in conformational degrees of freedom of the protein backbone and side chain groups ($\Delta S^o_{\text{conf}}$), and the result from changes in translational, rotational and vibrational degrees of freedom ($\Delta S^o_{\text{mix}}$).

Since the native state is more compact than the denatured state, conformational energy is lost opposing folding and destabilising the native state. On the other hand, inter- and intramolecular interactions formed upon native state acquisition favour folding, resulting in native state stabilisation (Dill, 1990). In addition, certain enthalpic interactions can stabilise both the native and unfolded conformations so that their contribution to stability seems negligible (Dill, 1990). Globular proteins are only marginally stable, estimates of their conformational stabilities of small proteins range from 5 kcal. mol$^{-1}$ to 15 kcal.mol$^{-1}$ (Pace, 1990).

1.1.1.1 van der Waals forces
van der Waals interactions, also known as London dispersion forces, result from transient dipoles that nonbonded atoms induce in each other. The strength of the van der Waals interactions is directly proportional to the ability of the atom to polarise and inversely proportional to the sixth power of the distance between them (Pace, 2001). Hence, van der Waals interactions are short-ranged and are only functional at very short distances. It is this strong distance dependence that will determine whether they will stabilise or destabilise the native state, due to the packing of atoms in the protein core, relative to their interaction with solvent (Dill, 1990). Steric complementarity in the interior, domain and/or subunit interface of proteins is needed to maximise the stabilising effects of these forces. Ratnaparkhi and Varadarajan, (2000), proposed that the loss of packing interactions rather than the
The hydrophobic effect dominates protein stability. van der Waals interactions are ubiquitous, and although they are weak in proteins, they accumulate to a significant amount. The strength of the individual interaction depends on the types of interacting atoms, and varies with the chemical environment of the atoms involved, e.g. for carboxyl carbon atoms the interactions are usually stronger, and van der Waals distances are usually shorter than for tetrahedral carbon atoms (Pace, 2001).

1.1.1.2 Hydrogen bonds

A particularly important bond in biological systems is the hydrogen bond. Hydrogen bonds are noncovalent interactions that arise from the partial sharing of a hydrogen atom between a hydrogen bond donor group, such as a hydroxyl (-OH) group or an amino (-NH) group, and a hydrogen bond acceptor atom, such as oxygen or nitrogen. Many potential hydrogen bond donor and acceptor groups are present in proteins, namely the peptide backbone groups and the polar amino acid side chains (Stickl et al., 1992). Hydrogen bond strengths range from 2 - 14 kcal.mol\(^{-1}\), depending on the geometry of the interactions (Hagler et al., 1979; Dauber and Hagler, 1980; Dill, 1990; Privalov and Makhatadze, 1993). A large majority of the hydrogen bonding interactions in globular proteins (68 %) are between the amide hydrogen and the carbonyl oxygen in the peptide group (Stickle et al., 1992), and only 11 % of carbonyl oxygens and 12 % of amide nitrogens are not hydrogen bonded (Baker and Hubbard, 1984). Due to the polar nature of the peptide backbone, much of it is buried hence the requirement of hydrogen bonds.

In terms of domain interfaces, most hydrogen bond contributions are due to polar residues, since these contacts are mostly non-local, while backbone hydrogen bonds are mainly local. The fact that the peptide backbone and polar residues can form hydrogen bonds once again highlights the importance of correct packing in the interior and at domain and subunit interfaces of proteins. In the folded conformation, specific intra-molecular hydrogen bonds must form to replace the fluctuating intra- and inter-molecular bonds that form in the unfolded protein so that the native state is enthalpically favoured. Although hydrogen bonds can provide a significant contribution toward protein stability, they are not the dominant folding force (Dill, 1990). If they were, solvents that form strong hydrogen bonds with the protein should unfold it, while solvents that do not form or form weak hydrogen bonds with the protein should not affect or should not stabilise the native state (Dill, 1990). No such correlation has been observed.
1.1.1.3 Hydrophobic interactions

The absence of hydrogen bonding between water and non-polar groups rather than the presence of favourable interactions between the non-polar groups themselves constitutes an important source of the protein stability in aqueous solution, the hydrophobic interaction (Dill, 1990). The transfer of the sidechains of hydrophobic amino acid residues from an apolar environment into water is energetically costly, and thus, the burial of hydrophobic sidechains in the folding reaction is energetically favourable (Dill, 1990). The process (at room temperature) is entropically driven since the addition of non-polar molecules to water disrupts the hydrogen bonded structure of water (Dill, 1990). Thus water molecules order themselves around the non-polar molecules to maximise their contacts with each other and minimise their contacts with the non-polar substance (Geiger et al., 1979; Stillinger, 1980). The close packing of these hydrophobic residues, aided by direct interatomic van der Waals forces, minimises the surface area exposed to the solvent (Pace et al., 1996). The hydrophobic free energy contribution to protein stability is estimated to be about 60 kcal.mol$^{-1}$ (Dill et al., 1989).

1.1.1.4 Electrostatic interactions

Electrostatic contacts, in proteins, occur between charged residues with the strength of interactions related by Coulomb’s law:

$$F = k \times \frac{q_1 \times q_2}{D \times r^2}$$

(2)

where $F$ is the force between the two electrical charges, $q_1$ and $q_2$ separated by distance $r$. $k$ is the proportionality constant and $D$ is the dielectric constant of the medium. The higher the dielectric constant of the medium, the weaker the force is between the two charges, hence, a more non-polar environment such as that in the protein interior, at domain and subunit interfaces, will strengthen electrostatic interactions. Charge contacts, in proteins, can be classified into two groups. ‘Classical’ electrostatic effects occur due to non-specific repulsions between surface residues with the same charge destabilising proteins (Dill, 1990). The extent of destabilisation is affected by ionic strength and pH. An increase in ionic strength in the protein’s environment results in better shielding of opposite charges, which decreases repulsions and stabilises the protein. A pH increase or decrease will result in an increase of the protein’s net charge, leading to more charge repulsions along its surface and destabilisation. Therefore, the majority of proteins are most stable at a pH that is close to their pI, where their net charge will be zero.
The second group of electrostatic interactions is the ‘specific’ charge contacts known as ion pairing or salt-bridges. Salt-bridges are formed between the acidic/negatively charged aspartic acid (Asp) and glutamic acid (Glu) residues and the basic/positively charged amino acids arginine (Arg), lysine (Lys) and histidine (His). The energy contribution of salt-bridges varies between 5-15 kcal.mol\(^{-1}\) per ion pair according to their geometry, location in the protein, whether they are isolated or networked, if they are hydrogen bonded or not (Kumar and Nussinov, 1999).

1.1.2 Protein folding models and pathways
A number of models have been proposed over the years to enlighten the understanding of highly cooperative process of the folding of proteins to their native states. The earlier models proposed that protein folding took place over a defined hierarchical (corresponding to protein structure) pathway of discrete steps, with distinct intermediates and transition states, analogous to classic organic chemistry where a small number of initial species interconvert, governed by energy barriers, to a final product via a series of small chemical steps that can be isolated much in the way chemical reactions occur (Ptitsyn, 1973; Honig et al., 1976; Brooks et al., 1988). A later model, the hydrophobic collapse model (Dill, 1985), sees the polypeptide initially collapse cooperatively due to long range hydrophobic interactions, followed by the formation of secondary and tertiary structures. Current models of protein folding introduced the concept of energy landscapes and folding funnels (Wolynes et al., 1995; Dill and Chan, 1997; Dobson and Karplus, 1999). The width of the funnel represents the conformational entropy of the polypeptide chain, while the depth represents the free energy of stabilisation (Figure 1-1). The unfolded chain compromises a large number of different low-energy conformations in rapid equilibrium with each other, represented by the wide mouth of the funnel. Folding proceeds (going down the funnel), through a progressive organisation of partially folded structures in which it may encounter intermediate states and/or kinetic traps, driven by the accumulation of favourable enthalpic interactions. The native structure exists at the bottom of the funnel, at a global energy minimum, having the most stable conformation. The ruggedness of the funnel’s landscape represents the presence or absence of local energy minima. The folding landscape also implies that there are many alternate routes to the native state. A heterogeneous population of starting species often results in parallel folding pathways as opposed to multiple kinetic phases with uniform starting species where the additional rate limiting steps such as the
presence of intermediates result in sequential folding pathways (reviewed in Wallace and Matthews, 2002)

The folding pathway of a protein can only be fully understood when its native or unfolded state as well as intermediates formed during (un)folding can be positioned in the order in which they form and that they are fully characterised (Creighton, 1990; Jaenicke, 1999).
Figure 1-1. Protein folding energy landscapes.
Folding funnel cartoons illustrating (A) a smooth energy landscape for a fast folding polypeptide, (B) a rugged landscape that contains kinetic traps, (C) a smooth “golf course” energy landscape where the conformational search is diffusional, and (D) a moat style landscape, where the protein is forced to undergo an intermediate state (I) in order to get to the native conformation (N). U represents the unfolded state ensemble. Image adapted from (Dill et al., 2008).
1.1.3 Protein folding intermediates and the molten globule state

The mechanism by which a protein folds into its biologically active state is an intricate process and it does so through well defined pathways which involve a limited number of intermediate species. One of the major difficulties of the folding pathway is to avoid falling down into the ‘traps’ (local energy minima) in the funnel. Point mutations in proteins sometimes block the folding pathway at the level of stable intermediate states thus disabling the protein to adopt its native conformation, thereby causing misfolded proteins or the formation of aggregates. The consequences are altered or lost biological activity and ultimately genetic diseases (Bychkova and Ptitsyn, 1995; Ptitsyn et al., 1995). Thermodynamic and kinetic studies have shown the presence of stable intermediate states in a number of proteins (Privalov, 1996; Horwick 2002; Calamai et al., 2005). One such intermediate is called the ‘molten globule’ (Ohgushi and Wada, 1983), characterised by compact secondary structure, but fluctuating tertiary conformation. It also has dimensions slightly larger than those of the native state, but much smaller than those of the unfolded state (Ohgushi and Wada, 1983). During folding, the nascent synthesised protein collapses into a flexible compact species whose tertiary architecture lacks the tight packing typical of the native state (Ptitsyn, 1992) (Figure 1-2). The result of the loose packing makes the molten-globule state susceptible to binding a hydrophobic dye, e.g. ANS (Semisotnov et al., 1991; Chaffotte et al., 1992), as it contains an accessible hydrophobic surface. The native state is too rigid to allow this accessibility, and the loss of clusters of hydrophobicity in the unfolded state precludes binding of the dye.
Figure 1-2. Schematic model comparison of the molten globule state.
Native state of the protein is well ordered and rigid with water (grey dots) being present only on the surface of the protein. The molten-globule state contains secondary structure (helical structures are represented by the tubes) but with loose packing of its tertiary contacts (side chains of the amino-acids are represented by the different shaped blocks) thus exposing its hydrophobic core. The unfolded protein is often considered to be a random coil but residual secondary structure may be still evident. Image adapted from (Finkelstein et al., 2007).
Well studied proteins that form molten globular intermediate states include α-lactalbumin (Kuwajima, 1977; Dolgikh et al., 1981; Griko et al., 1994), equine lysozyme (van Dael et al., 1993; Griko et al., 1995), staphylococcal nuclease (Dill and Shortle, 1991; Shortle, 1993, 1995, 1996) and apomyoglobin (Cocco et al., 1992; Barrick and Baldwin, 1993). These molten-globule states of these proteins were characterised and it was found that one domain or subdomain of the partially unfolded protein remains folded, while the other is unfolded (Freire et al., 1992; Freire, 1995; Privalov, 1996; Vreuls et al., 2004). In general, one of the domains is intrinsically less stable than the other. Molten-globule states are also involved in several biological or pathological processes such as membrane insertion, transmembrane trafficking, and chaperone-assisted refolding that require the protein to become partially unfolded (Hartl et al., 1994).

In contrast, other intermediate species that have been detected do not fit the criteria of a molten globule state (reviewed in Ptitsyn, 1995). These have been designated ‘pre-molten globule’ states or ‘highly ordered molten globule’ states (Uversky et al., 1992), depending on their level of native-like structural content. As a kinetic intermediate it was discovered with the use of ultra-fast (sub-millisecond) measuring techniques (Jones et al., 1993; Shastry and Roder, 1998). In addition, proteins with disulfide bonds allow for the trapping of various intermediates indicative of the order of disulphide bond formation (Creighton, 1991).

Intermediates are however generally unstable and poorly populated at equilibrium (Yon, 2001), but kinetic experiments on protein folding can detect transiently formed intermediates during the folding process because the conditions can be manipulated in order to populate the marginally stable species and enable their detection (Utiyama and Baldwin, 1986). A large majority of the work elucidating protein folding pathways has been conducted for small single domain proteins and it was thought that the information obtained from work on multi-domain proteins could be inferred from single-domain proteins by assuming that the domains folded as single units (Jaenicke, 1999).

1.2 Domains
The basic unit of proteins are domains and it is through these domains that proteins interact with each other. Approximately 65% of all proteins contain multiple domains of which
95% contain 2-5 domains (Han et al., 2007). Domains have been shown to act as units of protein function by forming protein substructures with distinct functional properties or by completing active sites through domain interactions. Domains also act as units of protein evolution through domain ‘stealing’, swapping, and addition, as well as units of protein structure by acting as the building blocks of oligomeric proteins (Jaenicke, 1999). Based on these different properties, there are seven definitions used to classify a domain (Jaenicke, 1999). The definitions of domains are based on the folding and structural features of domains as described below.

According to Wetlaufer (1973), domains are stable units of protein structure that fold autonomously, thereby playing a central role as intermediates during folding. Note, however, that not all domains are autonomous folding units (AFUs). A more accurate definition is given by Richardson who describes domains as compact, local, semi-independent structural units (Richardson, 1981). In terms of folding, domains have been defined as cooperative thermodynamic units, detectable by distinct folding/unfolding transitions and are separable by hydrodynamic and spectroscopic measurements (Privalov, 1979). Nearly all large proteins are built from domains (Wodak and Janin, 1981), and large relative movements of domains provide spectacular examples of protein flexibility. Studies have shown that although these domains may be able to fold independently (Teichmann et al., 1999) they cannot reproduce a functionally active protein separately (Yon, 2001).

Combining Wetlaufer’s, Richardson’s and Privalov’s definitions of a domain, it can be described as compact, semi-independent substructures of proteins that fold cooperatively and in some cases autonomously. Domains have hydrophobic cores that make more contacts with themselves than with the rest of the protein. They allow folding to occur at multiple sites along the polypeptide chain (‘folding-by-parts’) and thus enhance the folding rate. This mechanism may be considered to be an evolutionary advantage in three ways (Jaenicke, 1999):

(i) Domain folding is an efficient way of excluding wrong intramolecular interactions in the case of large protein molecules,

(ii) It protects the nascent polypeptide chain from proteolysis,

(iii) It may be considered a simple mechanism to proceed from monomeric to multimeric proteins by ‘domain swapping’ (Bennett et al., 1995).
1.3 Domain-domain interfaces: role in protein stability and folding and function

The definition of domains allows for two possibilities in bi-/multi-domain proteins: (i) domains may be independent units or, (ii) they may strongly interact with each other. In the second case all interactions (section 1.1.1) would occur through domain interface residues. The domain interface describes the surface area buried upon domain association as well as the contacts formed between an interacting pair of domains. Therefore, in order to fully comprehend the folding mechanism of multi-domain proteins, in addition to studying the individual domains, one must analyse the features and characteristics of domain interfaces involved in stability and folding.

1.3.1 Features of protein-protein interfaces

The residues at protein interfaces are considered conserved (Valdar and Thornton, 2001; Elcock and McCammon, 2001) because of the evolutionary constraint to maintain interactions. However, it is also argued that the interfaces are conserved only marginally more than the other sequences (Grishin and Phillips, 1994; Caffrey et al., 2004). The conservation of interfaces is also used for the prediction of binding sites (Armon et al., 2001; Pupko et al., 2002).

The sequential N- to C-terminal order of domain combinations tends to be strongly conserved because different multidomain proteins evolved by duplication following a single ancestral recombination event (Bashton and Chothia, 2002). An analysis of protein-protein interfaces showed that there is a good correlation between structurally conserved residues and experimentally-identified amino acids that are important in stability and folding (Keskin et al., 2005). Structural alignment of domain interfaces can be consequently used as the basis for protein engineering experiments that look to target critical residues involved in protein structure maintenance. Previous bioinformatics-based studies of domain-domain and protein-protein interface anatomy (Jones and Thornton, 1995; Jones and Thornton, 1996; Tsai et al., 1996; Stites, 1997 Jones et al., 2000), have shown that the size of the interface is directly related to the extent of interface contacts and thus, to protein stability. As the interfaces are highly diverse in terms of size, affinity, and shape, no simple criterion is sufficient to discriminate specific and nonspecific interfaces such as crystal-packing artifacts (Lo Conte et al., 1999; Ponstingl et al., 2000; Nooren and Thornton, 2003;
Bahadur et al., 2004). However in general, the change in accessible surface area (ΔASA) is known as the most significant predictor, where the interfaces are categorised into large (ΔASA > 2 000 Å²), medium (ΔASA < 2 000 Å²), and small (ΔASA < 1 400 Å²) (Vajda and Camacho, 2004). These interface area classifications as well as five distinct residue–residue contacts within 5Å are the criteria for the classification of interfaces database, SCOPPI (http://www.scoppi.org) (Winter et al., 2006), which parses domain sequences from the PQS server (http://pqs.ebi.ac.uk) (Henrick and Thornton, 1998).

The relationship between the conservation of interdomain geometry and protein sequence was investigated and it was found that more conserved domains interact with a more similar geometry (Aloy et al., 2003). It was also found that the second domain occupied the same position in 60% of the pairs of homologous domains from different proteins (Han et al., 2006) and this is achieved through the conservation of the domain interface. Analysis of the structural and biophysical properties of interfaces of several well-characterised domain pairs, both in terms of thermodynamics and kinetics, showed that large complementary interface not only allows domains to adopt specific conformations relative to each other but will also function to stabilise a protein (Han et al., 2007). For the same set of proteins, 55% - 75% of the residues involved at the domain interface were found to be hydrophobic. The interactions at the domain interface are also believed to decrease the probability of unfolding (by increasing unfolding half-lives) and to promote rapid reformation of structure by increasing the refolding rate of proteins that might undergo many rounds of unfolding and refolding (Batey et al., 2006).

1.4 Stability and unfolding of the GST family of proteins

The GSTs (EC 2.5.1.18) are a family of multi–functional dimeric enzymes involved in the cellular detoxification and excretion of many physiological and xenobiotic substances (Wilce and Parker, 1994). The GSTs share little sequence similarity but their tertiary structure has been conserved (Wilce and Parker, 1994). The exception is Kappa GST whose C-terminal domain is inserted into the N-terminal domain (Ladner et al., 2004). The dimeric structure also has been shown to be involved in stabilisation of tertiary structures of individual subunits (Erhardt and Dirr, 1995) as well as to provide a non-substrate ligand-binding site at the subunit interface (Sayed et al., 2000; Lyon and Atkins, 2002; Yassin et al., 2004). The GSTs displays two distinct types of subunit interactions (Armstrong, 1997).
The first is a ‘lock-and-key’ hydrophobic interaction, involving an aromatic ‘key’ residue from domain 1 of one subunit that inserts into several hydrophobic ‘lock’ residues of domain 2 in the other subunit (Figure 1-3) found in mammalian classes of Alpha (Sayed et al., 2000), Mu (Hornby et al., 2000) and Pi (Stenberg et al., 2000). The inter-subunit ‘lock-and-key’ is also found in the Zeta class but the ‘key’ is not an aromatic side chain but the side chain of methionine (Wilce and Parker, 1994). The second type of intersubunit interaction is more hydrophilic and lacks the hydrophobic ‘lock-and-key’ motif and electrostatic forces predominate as in the classes of Sigma (Stevens et al., 1998; Stevens et al., 2000) and Theta (Rossjohn et al., 1998). An interesting variant of the ‘lock-and-key’ motif exists in the Delta class of GSTs, known as the ‘clasp lock-and-key’ (Wongsantichon and Ketterman, 2006). The aromatic ‘key’ residue not only inserts into a hydrophobic ‘lock’ of the neighbouring subunit, but also acts as part of the ‘lock’ for the other subunit ‘key’. The ‘key’ residues from both subunits show aromatic ring stacking with each other in a pi–pi interaction, generating a ‘clasp’ in the middle of the subunit interface (Wongsantichon and Ketterman, 2006).

Studies of equilibrium folding revealed that dimer formation of GSTs such as Alpha (Wallace et al., 1998), Pi (Dirr and Reinemer, 1991) and Sj (Andujar-Sanchez et al., 2004) has significant impact on stabilisation of subunit tertiary structure, as these proteins unfold via a ‘two-state’ pathway with the absence of any stable monomeric intermediates. However, the dimerisation of GSTs such as Sigma (Stevens et al., 1998) and Mu (Hornby et al., 2000) has less influence on subunit stability, due to the presence of stable monomeric intermediates in an unfolding/refolding pathway. The lack of equilibrium intermediates in Alpha/Pi/Sj classes of GSTs was attributed to the hydrophobic nature of the subunit interface that would be unstable upon solvent exposure. In spite of the differences between the unfolding pathways of Alpha/Pi/Sj and Mu class GSTs, mutation of the intersubunit ‘lock-and-key’ motif showed that this interaction is important in the stabilisation of the two subunits (Hornby et al., 2000; Sayed et al., 2000; Codreanu et al., 2005; Alves et al., 2006).

Each subunit of the GSTs has two domains (Figure 1-3), an N-terminal domain, which is topologically similar to the thioredoxin fold, and a C-terminal domain is all α-helical with the number of helices varying between different classes. It is believed that the differences in the structure of this domain are responsible for the differences in substrate specificity.
between the GST classes (Wilce and Parker, 1994). The two domains are connected via a short linker. The domain-domain interfaces of GST proteins have not been analysed in detail. However, in the case of human Alpha-class GST (hGSTA1-1) an inter-domain ‘lock-and-key’ motif, similar to the one found in most GST subunit interfaces, was shown to play an important role in stabilising the domain-domain interface (Wallace et al., 2000).
Figure 1-3. ‘Lock-and-key’ motifs found at the dimer and domain interface of GSTs.
The ‘key’ residue of the subunit interface (pink coloured residue shown in stick format) and domain interface (red coloured residue shown in stick format). Domain 1 (coloured in blue of subunit 1 and lime green of subunit 2) contains the thioredoxin fold. Domain 2 (coloured in cyan of subunit 1 and green in subunit 2) contains the all α-helical fold. Illustration of hGSTA1-1 is used here as an example (PDB code: 1GUH). Image rendered using PyMOL v0.99 (DeLano Scientific, 2006)
1.4.1. Role of the domain interface in GSTs

The N- and C-terminal domains of GST proteins have been shown to unfold cooperatively in the case of class Alpha (Wallace et al., 1998), Sigma (Stevens et al., 1998), Pi (Erhardt and Dirr, 1995) and Sj (Kaplan et al., 1997). In addition, there is no evidence to suggest that the individual domains of GST proteins unfold independently as indicated by the equilibrium unfolding profiles which display monophasic, coincident transitions. Therefore, the burial of a significant amount of hydrophobic surface area upon domain association indicates that the domain interfaces of GSTs play an important role in the stability and folding of these proteins. A structural based sequence alignment of the GSTs (Figure 1-4) shows that there is a conserved hydrophobic interaction at the domain interface.

The details of the domain-domain interfaces of GST proteins are not as well studied as much as their subunit-subunit interfaces. Luo et al. (2002) investigated the conformational stability and equilibrium unfolding of two domain-exchanged chimeric isoenzymes. The domains of the class Mu isoenzymes M1-1 and M2-2 were exchanged resulting in chimeras (M12 and M21) with one domain from M1 and one domain from M2 (Luo et al., 2002). It was shown that the M12 and M21 monomers are less stable then the wild-type monomers (Luo et al., 2002). This indicates that domain interface complementarity is critical for correct domain-domain packing which in turn plays a role in protein stability. The effect of domain packing on stability and function was also investigated in the case of human class alpha GST (hGSTA1-1) (Wallace et al., 2000). The residue chosen for mutagenesis was tryptophan 20 (Trp 20), a conserved amino acid in the class Alpha GST proteins (Wallace et al., 2000). Similarly to the ‘lock-and-key’ motif found in the subunit interface of Alpha/Pi/Mu/Sj class proteins, the indole ring of Trp 20 protrudes from the N-terminal domain into a hydrophobic pocket of the C-terminal domain where it is completely buried (Wallace et al., 2000) (Figure 1-5A). The W20A mutation, a cavity forming mutation, was both disruptive and destabilising with the equilibrium unfolding results pointing to the accumulation of one or more intermediate species (Wallace et al., 2000). However, the unfolding kinetic data from a less disruptive mutation on hGSTA1-1, W20F, showed that
Figure 1-4. Structure based sequence alignment of domain 1 of GSTs

The alignment was performed using sequences of one member of domain 1 of the 18 classes GSTs. The structure-based alignment tool, VAST was used (Gibrat et al., 1996). The resulting alignment displays the secondary structural elements of each protein; helices are in blue, β-strands in green and other structures in orange. Amino acid sequences were obtained from the NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) from the Molecular Modeling Database (MMDB) (Chen et al., 2003) using the PDB accession codes (Table 1-1) obtained from SCOP (http://scop.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/scop/data/scop.b.d.fh.b.html; Murzin et al., 1995). The conserved hydrophobic ‘key’ residues are highlighted in red.

Table 1-1. List of GST proteins used in alignment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PDB Code</th>
<th>GST Class</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1g7o</td>
<td>Grx2</td>
<td>Xia et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1k0m</td>
<td>Clic1</td>
<td>Harrop et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1guh</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Sinning et al., 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1n2a</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>Rife et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1jlv</td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>Oakley et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1gst</td>
<td>Mu</td>
<td>Ji et al., 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1eem</td>
<td>Omega</td>
<td>Board et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1gnw</td>
<td>Phi</td>
<td>Reinemer et al., 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1gsq</td>
<td>Pi</td>
<td>Reinemer et al., 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1gwc</td>
<td>Tau</td>
<td>Thom et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2ljr</td>
<td>Theta</td>
<td>Rossjohn et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1fw1</td>
<td>Zeta</td>
<td>Polekhina et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1nhy</td>
<td>GST-like domain of EF-1</td>
<td>Jeppesen et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1pa3</td>
<td>Plasmodium falciparum GST</td>
<td>Perbandt et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1hqo</td>
<td>yeast prion protein ure2p</td>
<td>Umland et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2fno</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein Atu5508</td>
<td>Kosloff et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1z9h</td>
<td>Microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-2</td>
<td>Yamada et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the global unfolding of the protein during which the unfolding event was not affected by amino acid replacement. A topologically equivalent interaction was found in a monomeric homologue of the GSTs, Clic1 (Stoychev, 2008, PhD Thesis), where the side-chain of Met32 protrudes into a hydrophobic pocket of the C-terminal domain (Figure 1-5B) analogous to the indole ring of Trp20 of hGSTA1-1. Equilibrium unfolding studies show that the mutation of Met32 to Ala was also disruptive and de-stabilising with the accumulation of one or more stable intermediates (Stoychev, 2008, PhD Thesis). These two proteins, hGSTA1-1 and Clic1, also display relatively large interface areas to the other GST classes of protein (Figure 1-6). This was determined by calculating the ΔASA of the domains on each of the GST class of proteins using NACCESS v2.1.1 (http://wolf.bms.umist.ac.uk/naccess) which is an implementation of the Lee and Richards method (1971).

These results show that domain-domain contacts and their correct packing contribute significantly toward protein stability and function. In addition, domain and subunit interfaces seem to have similar roles in the folding and maintenance of the native conformation.
Figure 1-5. The ‘lock-and-key’ motif found at the domain interface of hGSTA1-1 and Clic1.
(A) The ‘key’, Trp20 (shown in ‘ball and stick’ format) of α1 of domain 1 (blue) into its ‘lock’ of α6 of domain 2 (green). (B) The ‘key’ residue of Clic1 is Met32 of α1 of domain 1 (blue) into its hydrophobic ‘lock’ in α8 of domain 2 (green). Image rendered using PyMOL™ v0.99 (DeLano Scientific, 2006) (PDB codes: 1guh and 1km).
Figure 1-6. Bar-graph depicting interdomain interface area among the GSTs.
Values were computed using NACCESS v2.1.1 (http://wolf.bms.umist.ac.uk/naccess) by calculating the difference between the surface area of the individual domains and surface areas of the domains as a unit. The $\Delta$ASA of hGSTA1-1 and Clic1 are shown by the green arrows and Grx2 is shown by the red arrow.
1.4.2 Glutaredoxin-2: A GST monomeric homologue

The elucidation of how domain interactions contribute to the stability of the subunits of GSTs, is complicated by the presence of quaternary interactions, which include residues involved in interdomain contacts (Luo et al., 2002). This study will therefore look at a monomeric homologue of the GSTs, Glutaredoxin-2 (Grx2) from *Escherichia coli*.

The glutaredoxin proteins comprise of three proteins, Grx1-3, that form part of the hydrogen donor system in *E. coli* (Laurent et al., 1964; Holmgren, 1976). Glutaredoxins are reduced by GSH, which is in turn reduced by NADPH and glutathione reductase (Holmgren and Åslund, 1995). Grx2 is an atypical glutaredoxin in size, sequence and function. Unlike its Grx counterparts, it is not a hydrogen donor for ribonucleotide reductase (Åslund et al., 1994). Its size (24.3 kDa) is abnormally large to the other Grx proteins (~10 kDa) (Holmgren and Åslund, 1995). The only similarity it shares with the other Grx proteins is the conserved active site sequence of Cys-Pro-Tyr-Cys (Holmgren and Åslund, 1995).

1.4.2.1 Grx2 Structure

Grx2 has a three-dimensional structure highly similar to GSTs (Xia et al., 2001) (Figure 1-7). Grx2 has the common structural characteristics of GSTs. These are, the N-terminal domain (domain 1) (residues 1-72) having the thioredoxin fold (Holmgren et al., 1975) containing the 4-residue active-site (C-P-Y-C) connected to the all α-helical C-terminal domain (domain 2) (residues 84-215), via an 11-residue linker (residues 73-83) (Xia et al., 2001). The thioredoxin fold in domain 1 of Grx2 is composed a four strand mixed β-sheet (β1, β2, β3 and β4) and this mixed β-sheet is then flanked by three α-helices (α1, α3 and α2). The all α-helical domain 2 consists of six α-helices which are all connected by loops. There are two tryptophan residues in Grx2, Trp89 and Trp190, and both are located in the domain 2. The two cysteine residues (Cys9 and Cys12) of the active site are located at the domain interface. In contrast to the enzymatic activity of the GSTs, Grx2 is involved in the reduction of disulphides with high catalytic activity in resolving the mixed disulfide between β-hydroxyethyl disulfide (HED) and GSH (Åslund et al., 1994; Vlamis-Gardikas et al., 1997; Lillig et al., 1999).
**Figure 1-7. Ribbon representation of Glutaredoxin-2**

NMR solution structure of reduced Grx2 showing the two domains: N-terminal domain (blue), and C-terminal domain (green). The two domains are connected by the domain linker (magenta). Tryptophan residues (Trp 89 and Trp190) are located on the C-terminal domain. The ‘key’ residue, Met17 (red) as well as the cystine residues (Cys9 and Cys12) of the active site is located at the domain interface. Image rendered using PyMOL™ v0.99 (DeLano Scientific, 2006) (PDB code: 1g7o).
1.4.2.2 Domain interface of Grx2

Grx2 has been shown to unfold via an ‘all-or-none’ pathway with no detectable equilibrium intermediates (Gildenhuys et al., 2008). The unfolding kinetics of Grx2 are complex as a result of native-state heterogeneity and are characterised by two observable unfolding reactions that occur in parallel (Gildenhuys et al., 2008). There is also no evidence indicating that unfolding proceeds via a high-energy intermediate that might suggest independent unfolding of the two non-identical domains in Grx2 (Gildenhuys et al., 2008). Thus, it seems that the extensive domain interface of Grx2 has a major role in stabilising the individual domains, questioning the intrinsic stability of the N- and C-terminal domains. An attempt was made to isolate the two domains of Grx2 by creating two truncated mutants (Vlamis-Gardikas et al., 1997), but they expressed as inclusion bodies and were less than 50% pure after purification. This can be attributed to the large hydrophobic surfaces that are exposed to the solvent upon domain dissociation. The domain interface of Grx2 has a total accessible surface area buried upon domain association of approximately 2200Å² (Figure 1-6). The interactions at the domain interface of Grx2 are predominantly hydrophobic and there are 3 hydrogen bonds between, His8, Asn33 and Glu112 (Xia et al., 2001). A ‘lock-and-key’ motif is also present at the domain interface analogous to the Trp20 of human class alpha GST and Met32 of Clic1. In Grx2, Met17 is the ‘key’ residue of domain 1 which locks into a hydrophobic pocket of domain 2 (Figure 1-8).
Figure 1-8. The ‘lock-and-key’ motif at the domain interface of Grx2
The ‘key’ residue, Met17 (depicted in a ‘ball and stick’ format), of α1 in domain 1 (blue) into the ‘lock’ of domain 2 (surface representation in green). Image rendered using PyMOL™ v0.99 (DeLano Scientific, 2006) (PDB code: 1g7o).
1.5 Aims and objectives

The elucidation of protein folding mechanisms requires the study both intra-molecular interactions as the polypeptide chain associates with itself, and inter-molecular interactions as it associates with another polypeptide subunit. This study is aimed at investigating the role and contribution of the domain interface interactions in protein stability and folding. Due to the complexity of the subunit interactions of the dimeric GSTs, this study will focus on a monomeric homologue of GST, Grx2.

This endeavour will use Grx2 as a model protein to investigate the role of the domain interface in generating and maintaining the native conformation. Met 17 of Grx2, a structurally conserved hydrophobic residue in α1 of domain 1 in the GST protein family, will be mutated to an alanine (M17A) in order to investigate the importance of packing at the domain interface. The removal of this inter-domain ‘lock-and-key’ motif will indicate whether its function is analogous to the function of the ‘lock-and-key’ motif found in the domain interface of hGSTA1-1.

The objectives to elucidate the role of the ‘lock-and-key’ motif are threefold. Firstly, to characterise the proteins with respect to their secondary and tertiary structures. Secondly, to determine the conformational stability of the protein using denaturant-induced equilibrium unfolding. Thirdly, single-jump unfolding kinetics will then be used to compare the amplitudes and rates of unfolding as this method has proven to be a powerful means for revealing transient yet important conformational states and interactions that are not accessible by equilibrium measurements. This technique will also be used to perform an initial conditions test to determine native state heterogeneity.
CHAPTER 2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

2.1 Materials

The cDNA encoding wild-type Grx2, cloned at the *Bam*H I and *Nde* I restriction sites of the pET24a+ plasmid, was a generous gift from Dr. J. Dyson (The Scripps Institute, CA, USA) (Xia *et al.*, 1999). The QuikChange® Site-Directed Mutagenesis II and StrataPrep plasmid miniprep kits were purchased from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA, USA). Kanamycin and chloramphenicol were purchased from Roche Diagnostics (Mannheim, Germany). 8-Anilino-1-naphthalenesulfonic acid (ANS) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO USA). SDS-PAGE molecular weight marker (SM0431), DTT and IPTG were purchased from Fermentas Life Sciences (St. Leon-Rot, Germany). DEAE-Sepharose was purchased from GE Healthcare Life Sciences (Uppsala, Sweden). Ultrapure (99.5%) urea was purchased from Merck chemicals (Darmstadt, Germany). All other reagents were of analytical grade. Inqaba Biotech (Pretoria, South Africa) conducted synthesis of oligonucleotide primers and performed all sequencing to confirm the identity of the plasmids.

2.2 Experimental

2.2.1 Construction of Grx2 mutants

Site-directed mutagenesis was employed to create the plasmid DNA encoding the M17A Grx2 mutant. Briefly, the method requires dsDNA (parental plasmid DNA) and two oligonucleotide primers containing the desired mutation complementary to opposite strands of the plasmid. During thermal cycling, these primers are extended, generating the mutant plasmid. The parental plasmid is digested with Dpn I endonuclease which is specific for methallylated DNA (Nelson and McClelland, 1992).

Oligonucleotide primers were designed in accordance with the published wild-type nucleotide sequence of Grx2 (Vlamis-Gardikas *et al.*, 1997), under the guidelines prescribed by the Stratagene QuikChange® II Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (La Jolla, CA USA) manual, with the aid of the Primer-X software (http://bioinformatics.org/primex) and Gene Runner software v3.01 (Hastings Software Inc., NY, USA). The sequences of the primers synthesised used to construct the M17A Grx2 mutant are listed below with the respective codons for Ala...
highlighted in bold, two silent mutations (italicised) were incorporated in the sequence to avoid hairpins and loops from forming:

**Forward:** 5’ CT TAC TGT CTC AAA **GCT CGC** GCA ATT TTC GGC CTG AAG AAT ATC 3’

**Reverse:** 5’ GAT ATT **CIT CAG GCC GAA AAT TGC GCG AGC TTT GAG ACA GTA AG** 3’

The DNA encoding for the M17A Grx2 protein was generated by following the protocol described in the QuikChange® II Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA USA) (Braman *et al.*, 1996). The sample reaction had a final volume of 51 µl which comprised of 5 µl (10x) reaction buffer, 1 µl (50 ng) double stranded DNA template, 1 µl (125 ng) forward primer, 1 µl (125 ng) reverse primer, 1 µl dNTP mix, 41 µl milli-Q water and 1 µl (2.5 U/µl) Pfu DNA polymerase. The product was generated by 16 amplification cycles of 30 seconds at 95°C to denature the wild-type dsDNA, 60 seconds at 55°C to anneal the mutant primers and 60 seconds at 68°C for DNA extension. Parental DNA template was digested with 1 µl (10 U/µl) Dpn I for one hour at 37°C and one hour at 20°C. The reaction products were then used to transform *E. coli* XL1-Blue Supercompetent cells supplied with the mutagenesis kit. The cells were plated onto LB agar plates (1% (w/v) tryptone, 0.5% (w/v) yeast extract, 1.0% (w/v) NaCl, 1.5% (w/v) agar) supplemented with kanamycin (30µg.ml⁻¹) and were incubated for 12-16 hours at 37°C. Colonies were chosen and overnight cultures were made in LB medium (1% (w/v) tryptone, 0.5% (w/v) yeast extract, 1.0% (w/v) NaCl). Plasmid DNA was then extracted from the overnight culture of cells, using the Strataprep plasmid miniprep kit from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA, USA). The incorporation of the desired mutation, and that no other mutations were generated during the mutagenesis amplification reaction, was confirmed by sequencing (Inqaba Biotech; Pretoria, South Africa) of the plasmid DNA, using the T7 terminator primer.
2.2.2 Transformation, over-expression and purification of mutant and wild-type Grx2

The plasmids containing the insert that codes for wild-type Grx2 and M17A Grx2 were used to transform *E. coli* BL21(DE3)/pLysS cells (Lucigen, Middleton, WI, USA) and *E. coli* T7 Express *I*° competent cells (New England Bio-labs Inc., Ipswich, MA, USA), respectively, and both cell strains are chloramphenicol resistant. The cells were transformed using a one-step method as described by (Chung *et al.*, 1989). Competent cells were thawed on ice for 15 minutes of which 1 µl of mutant plasmid DNA (100 ng.µl⁻¹) was added and the reaction mixture incubated on ice for 30 minutes. The cells were heat-shocked at 42°C for 10 seconds in the case of the *E. coli* T7 Express *I*° Competent cells and for 45 seconds in the case of the *E. coli* BL21(DE3)/pLysS cells, on a heating block, followed by a rapid transfer to ice for 2 minutes. SOC medium (2% (w/v) tryptone, 0.5% (w/v) yeast extract, 250 mM KCl, 1 M glucose, 2 M MgCl₂) was added to the reaction mixture followed by incubation at 37°C for 90 minutes. The cells were then plated on LB-agar plates (1% (w/v) tryptone, 0.5% (w/v) yeast extract, 1.0% (w/v) NaCl, 1.5% (w/v) agar) supplemented with the antibiotics kanamycin (30 µg.ml⁻¹) and chloramphenicol (30 µg.ml⁻¹). The plates were then incubated at 37°C for 12 - 16 hours. The transformed *E. coli* expression cells transformed with the pET24a+ plasmid containing the cDNA sequence coding for the Grx2 proteins were added to fresh, sterile 2xYT medium (1.6% (w/v) tryptone, 1.0% (w/v) yeast extract, 0.5% (w/v) NaCl) supplemented with the antibiotics. The cells were grown at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm for 12 - 16 hours of which a 50-fold dilution was then used to inoculate into fresh, sterile 2xYT medium (1.6% (w/v) tryptone, 1.0% (w/v) yeast extract, 0.5% (w/v) NaCl) supplemented with kanamycin (30µg.ml⁻¹) and chloramphenicol (30µg.ml⁻¹). Cells were grown at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm till an OD₆₀₀ of ~0.6 was reached after which over-expression of the Grx2 proteins were induced by the addition of 1 mM IPTG. The cells were grown for a further 12 - 16 hours at 37°C, with shaking at 250 rpm, in order to achieve optimum protein expression. Cells were harvested via centrifugation (4200 × g, 25 min) and re-suspended in approximately 35ml resuspension buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.02 % NaN₃, pH 10.0). DNAse I (10 mg.ml⁻¹) and lysozyme (10 mg.ml⁻¹) were added to the cell suspension and was rotated at 4°C for 30 minutes. The cells were then sonicated on ice for 4 cycles of 30 seconds with 40% pulse intensity using an ultrasonic liquid
The lysed cells were centrifuged at 16 000 × g for 20 minutes at 4°C. Samples of whole cell extract, soluble and insoluble fractions were electrophoresed on 12% acrylamide SDS-PAGE gels (section 2.2.3).

Purification of the Grx2 proteins was performed using anion-exchange chromatography following an optimised protocol (Gildenhuys, 2006, PhD Thesis) to that of the one described previously (Vlamis-Gardikas et al., 1997). The soluble fraction after centrifugation was applied onto a DEAE-Sepharose column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden) pre-equilibrated with buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 10, 0.02% (w/v) NaN₃). The column was then washed with 10 column volumes of buffer A followed by 4 column volumes of wash with buffer B (20 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 9, 0.02% (w/v) NaN₃). Bound wild-type or M17A Grx2 protein was eluted off the column using a linear pH gradient (10 column volumes) from pH 9 to pH 8. The gradient was produced by mixing buffer B with 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 0.02% (w/v) NaN₃. Anion-exchange chromatography was conducted using an ÄKTAprime system attached to a computer with PrimeView 1.0 software (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden). The purified wild-type Grx2 or M17A Grx2 protein was then dialysed against three changes of Grx2 storage buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, containing 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT and 0.02% (w/v) NaN₃), lasting 4 hours each, after which it was snap frozen and stored at -80°C.

It should be noted that the over-expressed proteins were void of the His-tag present in the vector (Figure 2-1) as the sequence encoding the Grx2 proteins are inserted at the BamH I and Nde I restriction sites which are upstream on the His-tag coding region and therefore not expressed (Figure 2-1). Before use, the frozen protein was thawed on ice and dialysed against Grx2 storage buffer, ensuring that the protein was in the reduced state. The Grx2 proteins were used within a week after which the Grx2 protein was placed in fresh dialysis buffer until the next dialysis. Unless otherwise stated all experiments for wild-type and M17A Grx2 were conducted in the Grx2 storage buffer.
Figure 2-1. Vector map of pET24a+
The position of the insert encoding Grx2 is shown by the arrows (red). Image adapted from pET24a+ technical specification sheet (Novagen, product: TB070), Merck chemicals (Darmstadt, Germany).
2.2.3 SDS-PAGE

The solubility, homogeneity and purity of the expressed mutant proteins were assessed by separation on a 12% SDS-PAGE (Laemmli, 1970). The discontinuous gel system consisted of a 4% (w/v) acrylamide/bis-acrylamide stacking gel (0.1% (w/v) SDS, 0.05% (w/v) ammonium persulphate, 0.1% (w/v) TEMED and 0.125 M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 6.8) and a 12% (w/v) acrylamide/bis-acrylamide (w/v) separating gel (0.1% (w/v) SDS, 0.05% (w/v) ammonium persulphate, 0.1% (w/v) TEMED and 0.375 M Tris/HCl, pH 8.8). Protein samples were diluted two-fold with sample buffer (10% (w/v) glycerol, 2% (w/v) SDS, 5% (w/v) β-mercaptoethanol, 0.05% (w/v) bromophenol blue and 0.0625 M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 6.8). Samples were then boiled for 5 minutes to ensure that the proteins were denatured. The electrode buffer used contained 1% (w/v) SDS, 0.192 M glycine and 0.025 M Tris, pH 8.5. The protein samples were applied to the SDS-PAGE wells (15µl) and electrophoresed at 140 V for 2 hours using a Hoefer MiniVE electrophoresis system (Holliston, MA, USA). The molecular weight marker (Fermentas Life Sciences, (cat. no.: SM0431), Ontario, Canada) used contained a mixture of seven proteins: β-galactosidase (116 kDa), bovine serum albumin (66.2 kDa), ovalbumin (45 kDa), lactate dehydrogenase (35 kDa), restriction endonuclease Bsp98I (25 kDa), β-lactoglobulin (18.4 kDa) and lysozyme (14.4 kDa). The gels were stained in 2% (w/v) Coomassie Blue R250 staining solution containing 13.5% (v/v) glacial acetic acid and 18.75% (v/v) ethanol and destained with 40% (v/v) ethanol and 10% (v/v) glacial acetic acid until the background was clear.

2.2.4 SE - HPLC

The size and homogeneity of purified wild-type and M17A Grx2 was assessed using SE-HPLC. Proteins were separated using a TOSOH TSK gel G 2000 SWXL size exclusion column (TOSOH Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) with a TOSOH TSK gel SWXL guard column (TOSOH Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The column was equilibrated using Grx2 storage buffer. This buffer was pumped at an isocratic pressure of 40 bar through the column using a Dionex Ultimate 3000 pump (Sunnyvale, CA, USA) at a constant flow rate of 0.4 ml.min⁻¹. Protein eluting from the column was detected using absorbance, fluorescence and dynamic light scattering. The absorbance detector (Spectra-Physics (model: UV100SP), Fremont, CA, USA) was set to record absorbance at 280 nm with a sensitivity of 0.02. The fluorimeter
(Jasco Inc., (model: FP 2020), Tokyo, Japan) was set have an excitation wavelength of 295 nm and emission wavelength of 345 nm, with the gain and attenuation set at 32 and 100 respectively. The sizing of particles passing through the column was detected by light scattering using a Zetasizer Nano S (Malvern Instruments Ltd., Worcestershire, UK). The instrument temperature was set to 22°C and the resolution was set to general purpose and data collected every 3 seconds using DTS software (Malvern Instruments Ltd. Worcestershire, UK).

2.2.5 Absorbance spectroscopy

The concentrations of the Grx2 proteins, ANS and DTNB were determined spectrophotometrically using a Jasco V-630 UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Jasco Inc., Tokyo, Japan) and by applying the Beer-Lambert law:

\[ A = \varepsilon_{\lambda} c l \]  

where \( A \) is the absorbance at the respective wavelength, \( \varepsilon_{\lambda} \) is the molar extinction of the absorber at wavelength \( \lambda \), \( c \) is the concentration of the absorbing solution and \( l \) is the path length of light through the solution (cuvette).

A molar extinction coefficient (\( \varepsilon_{\lambda} \)) of 21 860 M\(^{-1}\).cm\(^{-1}\) (Vlamis-Gardikas et al., 1997) was used for determining the concentrations of both wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins at 280 nm. The same extinction coefficient is applicable for the mutant protein since the amino acids involved in the mutation, i.e., Met to Ala are not chromophores. The extinction coefficients used for determining ANS concentration at 350 nm was 5000 M\(^{-1}\).cm\(^{-1}\) (Weber and Young, 1964). The quantitation of free sulfhydryls, which employed the DTNB essay (section 2.2.8), at 412 nm used an extinction co-efficient of 13 600 M\(^{-1}\).cm\(^{-1}\) (Habeeb et al., 1972) for the 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoate anion.

The concentrations were determined by fitting a linear regression to 10 or more points from a serial dilution. All readings were buffer corrected with the appropriate buffer used for the concentration determination.
2.2.6 Circular dichroism spectroscopy

Circular dichroism (CD) is a technique that measures the differential absorption of left- and right-handed circularly polarised light by optically active molecules. Optical activity in proteins arises from disulphide groups, aromatic side chains, and the peptide backbone (Woody, 1995). Disulphide groups and aromatic amino acids have characteristic absorption bands in the near-UV range (250 - 300 nm). In the far-UV region (180 - 250 nm), the predominant signal arises from the peptide backbone. The adoption of different secondary structures by the peptide backbone results in distinctive CD spectra (Woody, 1995). As a result, this wavelength range gives a good indication of the secondary structural content of proteins. Proteins with a high α-helical content display characteristic minima at 208 and 222 nm, as well as a stronger positive band near 190 nm (Woody, 1995). Due to the noise contribution by some buffers, hence reducing the signal to noise ratio, it is impossible to record clear spectra below 210 nm.

Far-UV CD spectra (180 - 250 nm) were recorded using 5 µM Grx2. The protein was in Grx2 storage buffer. In some cases the Grx2 storage buffer was diluted 10 fold in order to improve the signal to noise ratio. All far-UV CD spectra were recorded at 20°C and represent an average of 10 accumulations, at a scan speed of 200 nm.min⁻¹. The bandwidth used was 1 nm and the data pitch 0.2 nm. Measurements were obtained using on a Jasco J-810 spectropolarimeter with Spectra Manager software v1.5.00 (Jasco Inc., Tokyo, Japan) using a pathlength of 2 mm. All spectra were buffer corrected. The spectra were normalised by calculating the mean residue ellipticity \([\theta]\) deg.cm².dmol⁻¹.residue⁻¹ using the following equation (Woody, 1995):

\[
[\theta] = (100.\theta)/c.n.l
\]  

(4)

where \((\theta)\) is the ellipticity signal in mdeg, \(c\) is the protein concentration in mM, \(n\) is the number of residues in the protein chain and \(l\) is the path length in cm.

Near-UV CD spectra (250 – 350 nm) were recorded using 40 µM Grx2 at 5 °C in Grx2 storage buffer. The temperature was maintained by a Jasco PTC-423S Peltier-type temperature control system. All near-UV CD spectra were recorded at a scanning speed of 100 nm.min⁻¹, using a 1 cm path length cuvette. The sensitivity was set to
high (10 mdeg), data pitch was 0.05 nm, response 1 sec, bandwidth 0.5 nm, and each spectrum was the result of 10 accumulations. Low temperature CD measurements on proteins sharpen the CD bands because of lowered motility of the side-chains, and at very low temperatures increase the intensity of the signal (Strickland, 1974). Near-UV CD signal data were not converted to mean residue ellipticity since only four types of residues contribute to the signal, making averaging over all residues unjustified.

2.2.7 Fluorescence spectroscopy
Fluorescence is the emission that results from the return of an unpaired electron from the excited to the ground state (Lakowicz, 1999). The energy lost between excitation and emission, known as Stokes’ shift, results in the bathochromic (red) shift of emission spectra. In proteins, the naturally occurring fluorophores are tryptophan, tyrosine and phenylalanine. Due to the small quantum yield of phenylalanine in proteins, its emission is rarely observed (Lakowicz, 1999). The fluorescence of most proteins is dominated by tryptophan, with its quantum yield being more than double that of tyrosine. In the native state, tyrosine emission is quenched by energy transfer to tryptophan, and to quenching due to nearby charged carboxyl and uncharged amino groups (Lakowicz, 1999).

The indole ring of tryptophan is highly sensitive to solvent polarity (Lakowicz, 1999). Emission spectra of this residue reflect the polarity of its surrounding environment. Therefore, tryptophan fluorescence is used to monitor tertiary structural changes in proteins. All fluorescence measurements were recorded in a quartz cuvette with a 10 mm path-length using a Perkin-Elmer luminescence spectrometer LS50B and FLwinlab v4.0 software (Waltham, MA, USA).

2.2.7.1 Intrinsic fluorescence-tryptophan fluorescence
Grx2 contains two tryptophan residues, both in domain 2, at positions 89 (Trp89) and 190 (Trp190) and eight tyrosine (Tyr) residues (5 in domain 1 and 3 in domain 2). The Trp residues were selectively excited at 295 nm. Fluorescence emission spectra were recorded using 5 μM Grx2 in the range 280 - 450 nm. The excitation and emission slit widths were 5.5 nm and 3.5 nm, respectively. The buffer used was Grx2 storage buffer. The spectra were recorded at 20°C, buffer corrected, and is an average of three accumulations at a scan speed of 200 nm.min⁻¹.
2.2.7.2 Extrinsic fluorescence - ANS binding

ANS is a hydrophobic dye used as an extrinsic fluorescence probe (Engelhard and Evans, 1995). It binds to hydrophobic patches in proteins. In an aqueous environment ANS fluorescence is quenched, but upon binding to a hydrophobic surface its fluorescence quantum yield increases and its maximum emission wavelength is blueshifted (Engelhard and Evans, 1995).

A stock solution (10 mM) of ANS was prepared in Grx2 storage buffer. The concentration of ANS was checked by recording the absorbance at 350 nm and using extinction coefficient of $\varepsilon_{350} = 5000 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ (section 2.2.5). Protein (5 µM) was incubated for 60 and 90 minutes, at different concentrations of urea (0 - 8 M) with ANS added to the protein/urea mixture to a final concentration of 200 µM. The solution was incubated for at least an hour to achieve equilibrium. A series of blanks were generated, each containing 200 µM ANS with the appropriate urea concentration (0 – 8 M). The samples were excited at 390 nm and emission spectra were recorded from 390 to 600 nm. Spectra were produced from an average of three accumulations at 300 nm.min$^{-1}$ scan speed. The excitation and emission slit widths were at 5 nm. The spectra were recorded at 20°C, buffer corrected, and are an average of three accumulations at a scan speed of 300 nm.min$^{-1}$. The fluorescence emission intensities at 465 nm were extracted and plotted as a function of urea concentration.

2.2.8 DTNB assay

Wild-type Grx2 contains two cysteine residues: Cys9 and Cys12 (Xia et al., 2001). The accessibility and redox state of these residues was assessed with a DTNB assay (Thannhauser et al., 1984). Prior to conducting the assay wild-type Grx2 was buffer exchanged using a G-25 Sephadex column into a 50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0, containing 1 mM EDTA and 0.02 % (w/v) NaN$_3$. Following buffer exchange the DTNB assay was conducted by titration of a solution of 5 µM protein in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 containing 1 mM EDTA, 0.02 % (w/v) NaN$_3$, with 0.2 mM DTNB. The 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoate anion (absorbs maximally at a wavelength of 412 nm) is released when DTNB reacts with free thiol groups (Habeeb et al., 1972). The concentration of the released 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoate anion was determined spectrophotometrically at 20°C as described previously (section 2.2.5).
2.2.9 Thermal denaturation studies

Thermal unfolding is widely used to determine protein stability. Heat or temperature induced unfolding studies were conducted to assess the relative stabilities of wild-type and M17A Grx2.

Temperature-induced denaturation of Grx2 was determined by monitoring the ellipticity at 222 nm (section 2.2.6) over the temperature range 20°C to 80°C. The temperature unfolding profiles were recorded using 2 µM Grx2 in Grx2 storage buffer. The temperature was controlled by a Jasco PTC-423S Peltier-type temperature control system and the rate at which the temperature was increased was 1°C.min\(^{-1}\). The bandwidth was 1 nm and data pitch 0.2°C. Measurements were obtained using on a Jasco J-810 spectropolarimeter with Spectra Manager software v1.5.00 (Jasco Inc., Tokyo, Japan) using a pathlength of 2 mm. The temperature unfolding profiles were normalised by calculating the mean residue ellipticity \(\theta\) deg.cm\(^2\).dmol\(^{-1}\).residue\(^{-1}\).

2.2.10 Urea - induced equilibrium unfolding studies of wild-type and M17A Grx2

2.2.10.1 Reversibility of folding

In order to assess the conformational stability of a protein, the reversibility of the unfolding event needs to be established. It is essential to determine the degree of reversibility of the unfolding process as it will give an indication that equilibrium between the folded and unfolded states exists. The reversibility of unfolding was determined for wild-type and M17A Grx2.

The refolding of unfolded protein samples, incubated in 8 M urea for one hour at 20°C, was achieved by a 6-fold dilution of each sample reaction with Grx2 storage buffer for 1 hour at 20°C. The refolded state of the protein was assessed using far-UV CD (section 2.2.6) and intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence (section 2.2.7).

All of the urea used for experiments was prepared by the method of (Pace, 1986) using Grx2 storage buffer as the solvent. Following preparation, the pH of the stock urea solution was adjusted to pH 7, filtered and the concentration of 10 M confirmed using an Atago R5000 refractometer (Tokyo, Japan) and the method of (Pace, 1986).
2.2.10.2 Urea - induced equilibrium unfolding/refolding

Protein unfolding transitions are a convenient way of estimating the stability of a protein. The effect of an engineered mutation on the stability of a protein can be studied by comparison of the wild-type and mutant equilibrium unfolding curves. A denaturant is used to shift the equilibrium from the native to the unfolded state. The equilibrium constant ($K_{eq}$) can be calculated and hence the conformational stability parameters $\Delta G(H_2O)$ and $m$-value can be determined provided reversibility has been established (section 2.2.10.1).

Urea unfolding of wild-type Grx2 and M17A Grx2 was conducted over a range of urea concentrations from 0 M to 8 M urea in the absence and presence of ANS (section 2.2.7.2). Unfolding was conducted at 20ºC for 1 hour to allow equilibrium to be reached. Thereafter, the samples for the range of urea concentrations were monitored using far-UV CD (section 2.2.6) and fluorescence spectroscopy (section 2.2.7.1).

2.2.11 Data fitting

Unfolding/refolding data obtained for all the proteins were analysed according to a two-state unfolding process for a monomeric protein.

During two-state reversible unfolding there is an equilibrium reached between the native species (N) and the unfolded species (U):

$$ N \rightleftharpoons U $$

(5)

During a two-state unfolding transition, only the unfolded and native states are present at significant concentrations (Pace, 1986).

Therefore, for a two-state mechanism:

$$ f_N + f_U = 1 $$

(6)

where $f_N$ is the fraction folded or native protein and $f_U$ is the fraction unfolded protein. At any point during unfolding, there is a contribution to the signal from the concentration of both species:
\[ y = y_N f_N + y_U f_U \]  
(7)

where \( y \) is the signal obtained for the respective spectroscopic probe, \( f_N \) represents the fraction of folded protein, \( f_U \) represents fraction unfolded protein. In addition \( y_N \) represents the \( y \) value for the folded state and can be extrapolated from linear pre-transition region of the unfolding data and \( y_U \) represents the \( y \) value for the unfolded state and can be extrapolated from the linear post-transition region of the unfolding data (Figure 2-1). By combining equations 6 and 7, the fraction of unfolded protein can be obtained:

\[ f_U = (y_N - y)/(y_N - y_U) \]  
(8)

similarly the fraction of folded or native protein can be obtained:

\[ f_N = (y - y_U)/(y_N - y_U) \]  
(9)

The equilibrium constant for the unfolding reaction (\( K_{eq} \)) is:

\[ K_{eq} = f_U / f_N \]  
(10)

So, therefore, if equations 8 and 9 are substituted into 10:

\[ K_{eq} = (y_N - y) / (y - y_U) \]  
(11)

and

\[ \Delta G^° = -RT \ln K_{eq} \]  
(12)

where \( \Delta G^° \) is the free energy of unfolding, \( R \) is the gas constant, \( T \) is temperature in Kelvin and \( K_{eq} \) is the equilibrium constant for a reaction. In order to determine \( \Delta G(H_2O) \) it is assumed that \( \Delta G^° \) has a linear dependence on denaturant concentration [D] for all urea concentrations (Tanford, 1968, 1970).
Figure 2-2. Urea denaturation curve
A two state mechanism is assumed for analysis. Image adapted from Shirley (1995).
Therefore:

\[ \Delta G^\circ = \Delta G(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - m[D] \]  

(13)

where \( \Delta G(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \) represents the free energy difference between the folded and unfolded states in the absence of denaturant, \( m \) is the \( m \)-value for the dependence of free energy on denaturant concentration which is also an indicator of co-operativity and can be related to the change in solvent-accessible surface area (\( \Delta \text{SASA} \)), and \([D]\) is the denaturant concentration.

Combining equations 11, 12 and 13 thus gives:

\[
y = \frac{y_N + y_U \cdot e^{-(\Delta G(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - m[D])/RT}}{1 + e^{-(\Delta G(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - m[D])/RT}}
\]  

(14)

The equilibrium unfolding data obtained were fitted to equation 12 using SigmaPlot version 11.0 (Systat Software Inc; Chicago, IL, USA) and the parameters \( \Delta G(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \) and \( m \) were obtained.

2.2.12 Unfolding kinetics

The kinetic experiments were conducted using a Pro-data upgraded SX-18MV stopped-flow reaction analyzer from Applied Photophysics (Leatherhead, U.K.). The excitation pathlength was 10 mm and the emission pathlength was 2 mm. The excitation bandwidth was 2.3 nm to minimise photodecomposition. The photomultiplier voltage was set at 576 V for all experiments. The temperature of the sample handling unit was maintained at 20ºC with a water bath.

2.2.12.1 Kinetic studies

Kinetic studies were performed by monitoring changes in the intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence emission of Grx2. The excitation wavelength for all experiments was 280 nm, and a cut-off filter of 320 nm was used to prevent excitation wavelength swamping the emission signal. It was shown prior to kinetics experiments for wild-type Grx2 and M17A Grx2 that the proteins do not undergo any photodegradation over the period of the measurements.
2.2.12.2 *Single-jump unfolding studies*

The unfolding reactions (N \( \rightarrow \) U) were setup by mixing 7.5 \( \mu \)M native wild-type or M17A Grx2 in an asymmetric ratio of 1:5 with Grx2 storage buffer containing 6 M to 9 M urea. The final unfolding conditions were 1.25 \( \mu \)M protein and urea concentrations from 5 M to 7.5 M.

The baseline values were obtained for 1.25 \( \mu \)M native and urea-denatured (in 8 M urea) wild-type Grx2 and M17A Grx2. Four traces were averaged for each kinetic experiment at each urea concentration, with the final average trace analysed using the Applied Photophysics software. Data were fitted to the following general equation:

\[
F_t = \Sigma F_i \cdot \exp(-t/\tau) + y_o
\]  

(15)

where \( F_t \) is the total fluorescence amplitude, \( F_i \) is the amplitude for the phase \( i \) at time zero, \( t \) is time, \( \tau \) is the time constant (is the inverse of the apparent rate constant) and \( y_o \) is the fluorescence amplitude at infinite time.
CHAPTER 3. RESULTS

3.1 Sequence identity

The pET-24-a+ plasmid containing the open reading frames (ORF) encoding wild-type Grx2 and the mutant M17A Grx2 were sequenced. Figures 3-1 (A) and (B) show a segment of the wild-type and M17A Grx2 cDNA ORF respectively, obtained from DNA sequencing. The presence of the engineered mutation as well as the two silent mutations was confirmed and no further mutations were found to be introduced during the thermal cycling reactions.

3.2 Over-expression and purification

The recombinant expression system for wild-type Grx2 comprised of the *E. coli* BL21 (DE3)/pLysS cells transformed with the pET-24a+ plasmid containing the ORF encoding the Grx2 protein using the method described in section 2.2.2. The recombinant expression system for M17A Grx2 comprised of *E. coli* T7 Express I\(^\theta\) competent cells transformed with the pET-24a+ plasmid containing the ORF encoding the Grx2 protein using the method described in section 2.2.2. The expression system for M17A Grx2 initially contained the *E. coli* BL21(DE3)/pLysS system, however, the M17A Grx2 protein was found to be insoluble at growth temperatures of 37°C and 20°C (Figure 3-2A). *E. coli* T7 Express I\(^\theta\) Competent cells, an enhanced derivative to the BL21(DE3)/pLysS cells, were then transformed with pET-24a+ plasmid containing the ORF encoding the M17A Grx2 protein. The M17A Grx2 protein was then found to be soluble under the same growth conditions for wild-type Grx2 overexpression (Figure 3-2B). The fundamental difference between the strains lies with the location of the T7 RNA polymerase gene. The T7 Express I\(^\theta\) has the gene inserted into the lac operon on the *E. coli* chromosome and is expressed under the control of the lac promoter. Unlike the BL21(DE3)/pLysS cells that that carry the T7 RNA polymerase gene on a lysogenic prophage, the setup in the T7 Express I\(^\theta\) strain provides controlled induction of the polymerase and subsequently, inducible control of transcription of genes downstream of the T7 promoter thereby providing an advantage to the BL21(DE3)/pLysS strain. The soluble fractions, after sonication and centrifugation, containing wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins were purified by means of DEAE-Sepharose anion exchange chromatography and eluted with a pH gradient (section 2.2.2). The proteins were eluted as single symmetrical peaks (Figure 3-3).
Figure 3-1. Wild-type (A) and M17A Grx2 (B) plasmid sequencing results
A selected segment of the pET24a+ plasmid sequence encoding wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins (mutated codon boxed). The sequencing results were viewed using the program Finch TV version 1.4.0 (http://www.geospiza.com/FinchTV; Geospiza Inc.). Two silent mutations (dashed box) were incorporated in the primer.

Figure 3-2. SDS-PAGE analysis of M17A Grx2 overexpression.
(A) Expression of the M17A Grx2 protein in E. coli BL21(DE3)/pLysS cells. Lane 1: Insoluble fraction (pellet); lane 2: soluble fraction. (B) Expression of the M17A Grx2 protein in E. coli T7 Express Iq competent cells. Lane 1: Insoluble fraction (pellet); lane 2: soluble fraction (pellet).
Figure 3-3 Elution profile of Grx2 proteins from purification

The $A_{280}$ of effluent (blue) and the pH gradient (green) were recorded. (A) Elution of wild-type Grx2 and (B) M17A Grx2. SDS-PAGE analysis of selected fractions (numbered and encircled in red) of the peak (inset) with molecular weight marker (sizes in kDa) show that proteins are electrophoretically pure. The profile was obtained from the purification using DEAE ion exchange chromatography.
3.3 Size and purity determination

SDS-PAGE was used to determine the molecular mass and purity of wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins. The purity of Grx2 was assessed by SDS-PAGE and were judged to be electrophoretically pure as seen by the single bands (Figure 3-4). One litre of culture yielded 140 mg and 100 mg for the wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins, respectively. The Grx2 proteins were found to have a molecular mass of ~25 kDa (Figure 3-4). This was accomplished by comparing the distances the Grx2 proteins migrate in comparison to a set of known standards that migrate under the same denaturing, reducing conditions (Figure 4 inset). This is in agreement with the published data for wild-type Grx2 (Vlamis-Gardikas et al., 1997; Xia et al., 2001). The proteins were also found to be homogenous using SE-HPLC under native conditions (Figure 3-5).

3.4 Quantification of free thiol groups

The DTNB assay was employed to quantify the amount of free sulfhydryls present in the protein accessible to solvent (Habeeb, 1972). Native Grx2 (5 µM) bound DTNB, releasing 10 µM of the 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoate anion (concentration determined spectrophotometrically, section 2.2.8). The results of the DTNB assay indicated that both the cysteine residues of wild-type and M17A Grx2 reacted with the DTNB and that these two free thiol groups are maintained in their reduced state by the presence of 1 mM DTT in the storage buffer. The active site of Grx2 is buried in the interface between the two domains (Xia et al., 2001), hence the result of DTNB assay indicates that the mutation did not induce and major structural rearrangements at the active site and that cysteine residue are still accessible to small molecules, consistent with published data (Åslund, et al., 1994; Vlamis-Gardikas, et al., 1997; Xia, et al., 2001).

3.5 Structural Characterisation

3.5.1 Secondary structure characterisation

Studies of the far-UV region (typically 250 – 190 nm) can be used to assess the overall secondary structure content of the protein quantitatively. The circular dichroism spectra for proteins, in this region have characteristic features based on the secondary structure adopted by the proteins (Woody, 1995). Hence, it was used as a probe to assess the secondary structural content of the wild type and M17A Grx2
proteins. The CD spectra of the wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins in the folded and unfolded conformational states are illustrated in Figure 3-6. There is no change in the overall secondary structure conformation of the wild-type and variant protein. Each protein exhibits minima at 222 nm and 280 nm and a peak at 190 nm, which is typical of proteins predominated by $\alpha$-helices. This is consistent with the solution structure of Grx2, which reports that the protein is about 56% alpha-helical (Xia et al., 2001). The secondary structures of the wild-type and variant proteins were found to be completely disrupted as they unfold in the presence of 7.5 M urea as the distinctive troughs initially observed for the native conformations of each protein were no longer evident. It is evident that the mutations at the interdomain ‘lock-and-key’ motif interface did not induce gross conformational changes in the secondary structure.
Figure 3-4. SDS-PAGE analysis of wild-type and M17A Grx2
SDS-PAGE gel (inset) and calibration curve for wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins. The names and sizes of the marker proteins are indicated on the calibration curve. The Grx2 proteins migrated to a distance that corresponds to 25 kDa (indicated with a red cross).

Figure 3-5. SE-HPLC of the Grx2 proteins
The elution profile for wild-type (●) and M17A (●) Grx2 proteins. Proteins were eluted at a flow rate of 0.4ml.min⁻¹ at an isocratic pressure of 40bar.
Figure 3-6. Far-UV circular dichroism spectra of Grx2
Spectra shown for the native forms of wild-type Grx2 (●) and M17A Grx2 (●) and for the unfolded (in 7.5M urea) forms for wild-type Grx2 (●) and M17A Grx2 (●).
Spectra were collected using 5 µM protein in 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, containing 1 mM DTT, 0.02% NaN₃, to minimise the contribution of noise from the salt in the buffer. The minima (indicated by the arrows) are exhibited at 208 nm and 222 nm.
3.5.2 Tertiary structure characterisation

3.5.2.1 Intrinsic fluorescence

Intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence is a useful spectroscopic technique used to measure the local environment of the indole side chain of the Trp residues in the protein. The number as well as the location of this residue within a protein, provides a useful means of probing for local or global conformational changes.

*E. coli* Grx2 has two tryptophan residues (Trp-89 and Trp190) located in domain 2 (Figure 1-7, Xia *et al.*, 2000). Structurally related GST proteins with buried tryptophan residues display emission maximum for the native proteins at a wavelength of 335 nm (Kaplan *et al.*, 1997; Hornby *et al.*, 2000; Hornby *et al.*, 2002; Luo *et al.*, 2002). In the native state of wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins, the indole ring of the Trp residues are slightly more solvent accessible than its dimeric GST counterparts, displaying emission maximum at 345 nm (Figure 3-7). The absence of any shift in wavelength suggests that the mutations at the interdomain 'lock-and-key’ motif did not impact upon the environment of the tryptophan residues in Grx2. The emission maximum for several proteins that contain tryptophan residues displays a red shift to 355 nm when they become denatured (Teale, 1960). This characteristic red shift in the maximum wavelength as well as a decrease in the intensity occurs for wild-type and M17A Grx2 in the presence of denaturing concentrations of urea (Figure 3-7). The indole ring of tryptophan residues (Lakowicz, 1999) is sensitive to changes in the environment as has been show by the changes in emission maxima for native and denatured proteins, hence making intrinsic fluorescence a good probe to monitor local structural changes for Grx2.
Figure 3-7. Fluorescence spectra of Grx2
Emission spectra shown for the native forms of wild-type Grx2 (●) and M17A Grx2 (●) and for the unfolded (in 7.5 M urea) forms for wild-type Grx2 (●) and M17A Grx2 (●). Spectra were collected using 5 µM protein in Grx2 storage buffer.
3.5.2.2 Near-UV circular dichroism

The near-UV CD of proteins arises from the environments of each aromatic amino acid side chain as well as possible contributions from disulphide bonds, or non-protein cofactors which might absorb in this spectral region. Near-UV CD was the second probe used to investigate the tertiary structure of Grx2 (Figure 3-8). The fine structure in these bands arises from vibronic transitions in which different vibrational levels of the excited state are involved (Strickland, 1974; Kahn, 1979). The spectra of the native proteins exhibit weak positive fine peaks between 255 nm and 270 nm corresponding to the contribution from Phe residues. The stronger negative peak between 274 nm and 282 nm are contributions from Tyr and Trp residues. The negative peak (284 nm - 288 nm) and the more pronounced positive peak (288 nm – 305 nm) is contributed by the Trp residues. Trp residues exhibit a much stronger intensity than the other aromatic amino acids hence the negative peaks observed for Tyr and Trp residues are similar in intensity due to the fact that Grx2 has 8 Tyr residues and only 2 Trp residues. This tertiary structure fingerprint reveals that this mutation induced no major structural change in the native states of Grx2.
Figure 3-8. Near UV CD spectra of Grx2.
Native CD spectra of wild-type (●) and M17A (●) Grx2 proteins. Spectra were recorded using 40 μM Grx2 protein at 5°C.
3.6. Conformational stability

3.6.1 Thermal – induced unfolding

Thermal or chemical unfolding is generally used to determine the thermodynamic stability of a protein, which is the Gibbs free energy ($\Delta G$) difference between the folded ($G_F$) and the unfolded ($G_U$) states. In thermal unfolding experiments, protein solution is heated at a constant rate, and changes in the protein conformation or their heat effects are monitored by spectroscopy or differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), respectively. Parameters from thermal unfolding studies include the melting temperature ($T_m$), enthalpy ($\Delta H(T_m)$), and heat capacity increment ($\Delta C_p$), which are used to determine protein stability function ($\Delta G(T)$) (Privalov 1979; Pace et al., 1989). However, in order to obtain these parameters, the key requirement is that protein unfolding is thermodynamically reversible which is usually only valid for chemical denaturation while thermal denaturation is often irreversible. The general root cause of this irreversibility is aggregation of the heat-induced unfolded polypeptide (Benjwal et al., 2005). Thermal unfolding was conducted on the wild-type and M17A Grx2 mutants using far-UV CD as a probe at 222 nm, which is proportional to the $\alpha$-helical content of a protein. The proteins are shown to unfold in a co-operative manner (Figure 3-9). Wild-type Grx2, which is 56% helical largely unfolds between 58ºC and 64ºC while M17A Grx2 largely unfolds between 54ºC and 60ºC, hence displaying a distinct destabilisation by the mutation. The turbidity (dyanode voltage) recorded in CD experiments can be used as an indicator of aggregation as a protein is heat unfolded (Benjwal et al., 2005). Thermal unfolding of the Grx2 proteins were shown to be irreversible as a result of aggregation shown by the increase in turbidity (Figure 3-9B) at the same temperature at which the respective proteins begin to largely unfold. Heat-induced unfolding of both wild-type and M17A Grx2 is associated with aggregation, hence irreversibility of unfolding, therefore precludes thermodynamic analysis unfolding.
Figure 3-9. Thermal unfolding of Grx2.
(A) Heat unfolding curves of wild-type (■) and M17A (●) Grx2. (B) Turbidity (dynode voltage) plot indicating aggregation followed by precipitation of the aggregates indicated by the reduction in voltage at the temperature where the proteins are largely unfolded.
3.6.2 Reversibility of urea-induced unfolding

Equilibrium unfolding transitions can only be analysed in terms of their thermodynamic parameters if it has been established that the unfolding reaction is reversible and that the native fold can be recovered (Pace, 1986). The recovery of folded Grx2 was established using far-UV CD and intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence as secondary and tertiary probes, respectively (Figure 3-10). The proteins were unfolded in the presence of 7.5 M urea and allowed to refold in Grx2 storage buffer. The ellipticity at 222 nm was chosen as the indicator of recovery of the secondary structure as this is a signature of predominantly helical proteins. Refolded wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins show 91% (Figure 3-10A) and 90% (Figure 3-11A) recovery, respectively, for the secondary structure. Intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence at 345 nm (where Grx2 maximally fluoresces, Figure 3-7) shows 92% (Figure 3-10B) and 93% (Figure 3-11B) recovery for wild-type and M17A Grx2 respectively. Thus the equilibrium unfolding transitions of wild-type and M17A Grx2 can be analysed to determine the thermodynamic parameters which will indicate the conformational stability of the Grx2 proteins.
Figure 3-10. Reversibility of unfolding of wild-type Grx2 monitored by CD and fluorescence

(A) Circular Dichroism and (B) fluorescence spectra for the wild-type Grx2 protein in its native (●) and refolded (○) forms. The residual concentration of urea for the refolded form was 1.1 M.
Figure 3-11. Reversibility of unfolding of M17A Grx2 monitored by CD and fluorescence
Circular Dichroism (A) and fluorescence (B) spectra for the M17A Grx2 protein in its native (●) and refolded (○) forms. The residual concentration of urea for the refolded form was 1.1 M.
3.6.3 Urea-induced equilibrium unfolding

Denaturation curves using chemical denaturants are a convenient method for estimating the conformational stability of a protein. Urea was used as the chemical denaturant and the conformational stability was determined by setting up a range (0 M – 8 M urea) of unfolding reactions and which were allowed to reach equilibrium (1 hour). The resulting urea-induced equilibrium-unfolding reactions were monitored using the structural probes far-ultraviolet circular dichroism and intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence. The probes assess the structure of the predominating species present at equilibrium at the respective urea concentration (Pace, 1986). The ellipticities at 222 nm and fluorescence emission at 345 nm for the secondary and tertiary structural probes, respectively, were plotted as a function of urea. The resulting urea-induced unfolding equilibrium curves for both structural probes (Figure 3-12) display single unfolding transitions. The data for both probes were fitted to a two-state model (N ↔ U and the parameters obtained (Table 3-1) indicate a distinct destabilisation due to the M17A mutation. The mutation has caused a decrease in the conformational stability ($\Delta G$ (H$_2$O)) by ~27%, as well as a shift of the midpoint of the unfolding transition $C_m$ to a lower concentration of urea (4.5 M to 3.8 M). There is little change in the slopes ($m$-value) of the equilibrium unfolding transitions (i.e. still parallel to that of the wild-type), indicating that the co-operativity is still maintained. The parameters from the fit show that the $m$-value (slope) is decreased from 3.1 (± 0.22) kcal.mol$^{-1}$M$^{-1}$ to 2.7 (± 0.18) kcal.mol$^{-1}$.M$^{-1}$, indicating a decrease in the dependence of the free energy change of unfolding upon denaturant concentration (Pace et al., 1989).
Figure 3-12. Urea-induced equilibrium unfolding of the Grx2 proteins
Unfolding curves for wild-type (green) and M17A Grx2 (blue) proteins obtained using (A) circular dichroism at 222 nm (closed circles) and (B) fluorescence intensity at 345 nm (closed triangles). The data were fitted using a two-state model represented by the solid (−−) and broken lines (−−) for wild-type and M17A Grx2 respectively.
Table 3-1. Conformational stability parameters
The values were obtained from fitting the equilibrium unfolding data to a two-state model (N↔U). The parameters obtained are a result of three replicates and the numbers in parentheses represent the standard error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Far-UV CD</th>
<th>Intrinsic Fluorescence</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>wild-type</td>
<td>M17A</td>
<td>wild-type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔG(H2O) (kcal.mol⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.0 (± 1.05)</td>
<td>10.2 (± 0.65)</td>
<td>14.1 (± 1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m (kcal.mol⁻¹.M⁻¹ urea)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1 (± 0.22)</td>
<td>2.7 (± 0.17)</td>
<td>3.1 (± 0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm (M urea)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.6.4 Urea-induced equilibrium unfolding in the presence of ANS

The binding of the hydrophobic ligand, ANS, is widely used to monitor the conformational changes induced in proteins during the unfolding process (Semistonov et al., 1987; Semistonov et al., 1991). ANS is used as a probe for the presence of intermediates during protein unfolding events (Semistonov et al., 1991). It has been reported that ANS when bound to the molten globule state shows higher emission intensities than when bound to protein in either the folded or unfolded state (Ptitsyn, 1995). The effect of urea on the binding of ANS was assessed for both wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins (Figure 3-13). There is negligible binding of ANS to wild-type Grx2 but significant binding to M17A Grx2. ANS was found to maximally fluoresce at 465 nm, and the intensities at this wavelength were plotted as a function of urea (Figure 3-13A). ANS binding is enhanced between 2.5 M and 6 M urea displaying a peak at 3.8 M urea, corresponding to the mid-point of the unfolding transition. ANS binding is then lost as the mutant protein is completely unfolded (5 M - 8 M urea). This enhanced binding in the unfolding transition of the protein may be indicative of the presence of an intermediate or aggregates. Light scattering can be used to detect the presence of aggregates by exciting and emitting at 340 nm. However, these data (Figure 3-13B) showed that of aggregate formation was negligible. However, the absence of a blue shift in the maximum emission wavelength in the unfolding curve (Figure 3-14) suggests that an unstable intermediate cannot be detected under the conditions used.
Figure 3-13. Grx2 urea-induced equilibrium unfolding in the presence of ANS
(A) Fluorescence intensity 465 nm of wild-type (○) and M17A (●) Grx2. ANS was added in excess (200 µM) of protein (5 µM). (Inset) ANS bound to M17A Grx2 at 3.8 M (●) displays an enhancement in fluorescent intensity and a hypsochromic shift in the emission spectra relative to free ANS (○). (B) Light scattering data to determine the presence of aggregates by setting the excitation and emission wavelength at 340 nm.
Figure 3-14. Grx2 urea-induced equilibrium unfolding expressed as maximum emission wavelength

The maximum emission wavelength at each urea concentration of the urea-induced equilibrium unfolding of wild-type (●) and M17A (●) Grx2.
3.7 Unfolding kinetics

3.7.1 Single-jump unfolding kinetics

Wild-type and M17A Grx2 are pre-dominantly unfolded in the presence of 5.2M to 8 M urea and 4.8 M to 8 M urea, respectively, as seen from the equilibrium unfolding data (section 3.6.3). These urea concentrations are therefore the choice of monitoring the kinetics of unfolding of both proteins as no refolding should occur.

The rates at which the wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins unfold were monitored using a stopped-flow reaction analyser. Intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence was used as the structural probe to monitor the unfolding of the proteins. The kinetic traces for the unfolding reaction in 7.5 M urea for both the wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins are illustrated in Figure 3-15. The kinetic traces were best fit to a double exponential fit as seen by the residual plots, displaying two unfolding phases for both proteins.

The fraction amplitude change for each phase for the wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins was then plotted against the urea concentration for that unfolding reaction (Figure 3-16). The amplitude data (Figure 3-16A) for the fast phase of the wild-type Grx2 are complex. It decreases from 81% at 5.5 M to 65% at 5.75 M and then increases to 81% at 6 M, thereafter remaining constant to 7.5 M urea. The amplitude data for M17A Grx2 are less complex. The amplitude of the fast phase of unfolding increases from 55% at 4.8 M urea to 81% at 5 M urea, thereafter remaining constant to 7.5 M urea. Similar complex and less complex behaviour are seen for the slow phases of unfolding over the range of urea concentrations for the wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins, respectively. The amplitude changes from 19% at 5.5 M urea to 35% at 5.75 M urea, then decreases to 19% thereafter and remains constant to 7.5 M for the wild-type Grx2. The amplitude data for the slow phase of unfolding for M17A Grx2 decreases from 44% at 4.8 M urea to 19% at 5.0 M urea thereafter remaining constant to 7.5 M urea.

The wild-type Grx2 protein has time constants of 2.4s and 140s for the fast and slow unfolding phases at 7.5 M urea. Similarly, the M17A Grx2 protein has time constants of 0.83s and 165s. The logarithm of the rates of the fast and slow phases of unfolding for both the wild-type and M17A Grx2 were plotted against urea concentration.
Figure 3-15. Unfolding kinetic traces for the Grx2 proteins

The kinetic traces for (A) wild-type and (B) M17A Grx2 are an average of three unfolding reactions in 7.5 M urea. The excitation was 280 nm with a cut-off filter of 320 nm. Data fitted well to a double exponential as indicated by the residuals plots. The baselines for the native forms are indicated with a green arrow and the baseline for the unfolded form is indicated by the red arrow.
Figure 3-16. Effect of urea on the unfolding phases of the Grx2 proteins

The dependence of (A) amplitude and (B) rates on urea of the unfolding of wild-type (green) and M17A (blue) Grx2. Linear regression analysis was performed on the fast (●) and slow (▼) phases of unfolding. Parameters of these fit values are tabulated in Table 3-2.
Table 3-2. Unfolding kinetic parameters
Linear regression analysis was performed on the logarithm of rates (Figure 3-16B) for both wild-type and M17A Grx2. The regression was performed on the entire data set for the M17A Grx2 (fast: $R^2 = 0.94$; slow: $R^2 = 0.1$) protein but only from 5.25 M to 7.5 M set for the wild-type Grx2 protein (fast: $R^2 = 0.97$; slow: $R^2 = 0.07$). The units for the $m_u$ values were determined by multiplying the values by the product of the gas constant ($R = 8.31$ J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$) and the temperature (298 K). The parameters obtained are a result of three replicates and the numbers in parentheses represent the standard error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fast</th>
<th></th>
<th>Slow</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>wt Grx2</td>
<td>M17A Grx2</td>
<td>wt Grx2</td>
<td>M17A Grx2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_u$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$ M$^{-1}$ urea)</td>
<td>1.34 (± 0.18)</td>
<td>1.78 (± 0.033)</td>
<td>0.116 (± 0.03)</td>
<td>0.161 (± 0.035)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_u$ ($H_2O$) (s)</td>
<td>$4.38 \times 10^{-5}$ (± 0.1222)</td>
<td>$7.94 \times 10^{-6}$ (± 0.2130)</td>
<td>$3.7 \times 10^{-3}$ (± 0.002)</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^{-3}$ (± 0.002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Grx2 proteins display high and low dependences of urea for the fast and slow phases, respectively. Linear regression analysis was performed for the logarithm of fast and slow rates. The data were fitted to the following equation (Tanford, 1970):

\[
\log k_u = \log k_u(H_2O) + m_u[\text{urea}]
\]

where \(k_u\) is the apparent first order rate constant for unfolding. The \(m_u\) values (change in solvent accessibility during unfolding) and the apparent rates of unfolding in the absence of water (\(k_u(H_2O)\)) reported in Table 3-2.

### 3.7.2 Initial conditions test

The observation of at least two kinetic phases in unfolding could be explained either by a sequential model or by a parallel channel mechanism with two stable native forms that interconvert more slowly than they unfold (Wallace and Matthews, 2002). The initial conditions test relies on the fact that the relaxation times for the reactions depend only on the final conditions while the amplitudes depend on both the final and initial conditions (Tanford, 1970; Hagerman and Baldwin, 1976).

The test for the possibility of two or more stable native conformations involves incubating samples at different starting denaturant concentrations. The Grx2 proteins were incubated in different urea concentrations ranging from 0 M to 6.5 M urea. The unfolding reactions were then initiated by rapidly transferring each sample to identical unfolding conditions (6.5 M urea) using a stopped flow reaction analyser. The resultant unfolding reaction traces best fitted (\(R^2 = 0.99\)) to a double exponential hence displaying the presence of two unfolding phases. The rates and amplitudes obtained from the fits are compared to those obtained for the unfolding of Grx2 maintained in the absence of denaturant (without prior incubation in denaturant). The amplitude data for both the fast and slow phases on unfolding for both Grx2 proteins display a sigmoidal behaviour as a function of initial urea concentration (Figure 3-17A), with its midpoints corresponding to that of its equilibrium unfolding curves (Table 3-1). The rates of these unfolding reactions of both phases are shown to be independent on the concentration of urea (Figure 3-17B).
Figure 3-17. Unfolding initial conditions test
(A) The unfolding amplitudes of wild-type (green) and M17A (blue) Grx2 for the fast (closed circles) and slow (closed triangles) phases. (B) The logarithm of rates for the fast (closed circles) and slow (closed triangles) phases of the unfolding reactions. The Grx2 proteins were allowed to unfold in the initial urea concentration for 1 hour, after which the unfolding reaction (to 6.5 M urea 1.25 μM protein-final) monitored. All unfolding reactions were performed at 20°C.
CHAPTER 4. DISCUSSION

A conserved residue in the GST family of proteins (Figure 1-3) located at the domain interface, Met17, was mutated to Ala. This was the choice of residue to eliminate the bulk of the side chain of Met that is interacting with domain two of Grx2 but maintaining the helical structure of $\alpha_1$, due to the high helical propensity of Ala.

4.1 Role of Met17 in the stability of Grx-2

The purification of the wild-type and mutant forms of the protein was performed under the same conditions which resulted in a yield of 140 mg and 105 mg for the wild-type and mutant, respectively, of pure protein per litre of culture. However, the expression of the M17A Grx2 protein was found to be in inclusion bodies (Figure 3-2A) in the same bacterial expression system as for wild-type Grx2, suggesting that the folding mechanism of the protein has changed as a result of the mutation and were the first signs of destabilisation. An enhanced derivative of the *E. coli* BL21(DE3)/pLysS expression system, the *E. coli* T7 express $\lambda$, was found to render the protein soluble (Figure 3-2B) under the same growth conditions.

The mutation has not caused any major structural alterations (if any) in the native state of the protein as confirmed by the secondary (far-UV CD) (Figure 3-6) and tertiary (Trp fluorescence and near-UV CD) (Figure 3-7 and Figure 3-8) structural characterisation. The stability of the proteins was then assessed by temperature denaturation and urea-induced equilibrium unfolding studies. Temperature-induced denaturation of the Grx2 proteins was irreversible as shown for other GSTs (Kaplan *et al.*, 1997; Dragani *et al.*, 1998; Wallace and Dirr., 1999). However temperature unfolding can serve as an indicator of stability by comparing $T_m$ values (temperature at which the protein is half folded) of variants of a protein. A decrease in $T_m$ value is a sign of destabilisation as a result of a mutation as seen in this case where a decrease of 4ºC is observed for the $T_m$ for M17A Grx2 (Figure 3-9).

The coincidence of the unfolding transition curves of the two structural probes used for monitoring the unfolding for both proteins shows a sigmoidal behaviour and that both the secondary structure and the local environment of the tryptophan residues unfold simultaneously. The thermodynamic parameters of unfolding were then obtained by fitting the data to a two state model. The destabilisation is further observed by a decrease in the conformational stability in the absence of denaturant ($\Delta\Delta G(H_2O) \sim 4$ kcal.mol$^{-1}$) (Table 3-...
1). This parameter is the free energy change of the unfolding/folding reaction in the absence of denaturant and is in agreement with the range of monomeric proteins (6 – 14 kcal.mol$^{-1}$) (Neet and Tim, 1994).

As proteins unfold, there is an increase in the solvent accessible surface area ($\Delta$SASA) of the protein, which is the $m$-value (slope) of the unfolding transition (Myers et al., 1995). A decrease is also observed in the $m$-value for M17A Grx2 (3.0 (±0.22) $\rightarrow$ 2.7 (±0.17) kcal.mol$^{-1}$.M$^{-1}$). The transition midpoint ($C_m$) is the concentration of denaturant where the population of protein is 50% (un)folded. The mutation has caused a shift in the $C_m$ (4.4 M $\rightarrow$ 3.8 M), i.e. less denaturant is needed to unfolded the protein. The fit to two-state model shows the highly co-operative behaviour in the unfolding of wild-type and M17A Grx2. This is also seen in a mutant of Grx2 in which a tryptophan was engineered into in domain 1 to act as a spectroscopic probe to monitor any tertiary structural changes in this domain was engineered into domain 1 (Gildenhuys et al., 2008) and seen amongst other classes of proteins in the GST family such as Alpha (Wallace et al., 1998; Wallace and Dirr, 1999) and Pi (Erhardt and Dirr, 1995; Wallace and Dirr, 1999).

### 4.2 Unfolding kinetics of Grx2

Changes in the rates for folding and unfolding can also indicate a change in the stability of a protein where amino acid substitutions have been conducted (Goldenberg, 1992). The mutation caused an increase in the rate of unfolding (Table 3-2) for the fast phase but had no significant effect on the slow phase. The increase in the rate of the fast further indicates a destabilisation in the Grx2 protein.

Although the equilibrium data show that unfolding is two state with a monophasic transition, the kinetics of unfolding display more complex unfolding in which two phases, fast and slow, are observed as determined by the residual plots of the unfolding kinetic fits (Figure 3-16). The amplitudes of the phases display a change in the dependence of denaturant at 5.0 M and 4.8 M for the wild-type and M17A Grx2 protein respectively. Such changes in the unfolding dependence on urea concentration have shown to indicate intermediates in a structurally related protein, Ure2 (Galani et al., 2002) as well as in the unfolding of arc repressor (Jonsson et al., 1996). The unfolding reactions were monitored using fluorescence as a probe therefore the reporters are the tryptophan residues (Trp 89
and Trp 190) which are present only in domain 2, hence changes in the signal will only reveal information about the changes occurring in domain 2 alone. However, a Trp residue was engineered into domain 1 (Y58W) to serve as a reporter for any changes happening in domain 1 (Gildenhuys et al., 2008), and it was found that are no change in the unfolding behaviour of the mutant protein with respect to the wild-type. The changes in unfolding monitored, therefore, can be ascribed to both domains of the protein. Similar changes are witnessed for M17A Grx2, it differs in the change of cross over which is shifted to a lower concentration of urea, consistent with the shift seen in the equilibrium unfolding curves.

The slow phase of unfolding is urea independent (Figure 3-16B) and is a characteristic which has been attributed to the isomerisation (cis ↔ trans) (Kiefhaber et al., 1992a; Schmid and Baldwin, 1978) of a cis-proline peptide bond, Val48-Pro49 (Xia et al., 2001). The slow phase on unfolding for both the wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins show very close unfolding rate constants of 3.7 s⁻¹ and 3.2 s⁻¹ which is within range for isomerisation reactions (Brandts et al., 1975). The only proline peptide bond in Grx2 that is in the cis conformation is the Val48-Pro49 peptide bond (Xia et al., 2001) and will isomerise to the favoured trans conformation in the unfolded state (Brandts et al., 1975; Hinderaker and Raines, 2003). Proteins that contain one or more cis-proline in the native state have simple unfolding kinetics, i.e. a single unfolding phase, such as E. coli thioredoxin (Kelley and Stellwagen, 1984), a member of the same superfamily as the GSTs. The slow phase of unfolding that relate to the cis ↔ trans isomerisation of the proline peptide bond, can be detected for urea concentrations close to the transition region of the unfolded state and then no longer detectable higher urea concentrations of unfolding (Brandts et al., 1975; Kiefhaber et al., 1992a; Kiefhaber and Schmid, 1992). The slow phase for the unfolding of both Grx2 proteins, however, is present constantly from 5.5 M and 5 M urea to 7.5 M for the wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins, respectively, contributing to 20% of the amplitude. The complex dependence of amplitude on urea concentration near the transition maybe consistent with conformational folding events coupled to the cis-proline peptide bond isomerisation events (Kiefhaber et al., 1992a), M17A Grx2 displays the same behaviour but the change from high to low amplitude with increasing denaturant concentration is shifted to a lower urea concentration, consistent with the lowered Cm. Similar complex dependencies were seen for the slow phase of unfolding for staphylococcal nuclease and it was also proposed to involve a proline isomerisation (Kuwajima et al., 1991) but later it was shown that the curvature in the dependence of the rates of unfolding for this phase were
due to the presence of an intermediate (Walkenhorst et al., 1997), hence this suggests the presence of intermediates during the unfolding of the Grx2 protein.

The \( m_u \) values reported in Table 3-2 can be used to indicate solvent exposure during unfolding (Doyle et al., 1996). The \( m_u \) values reported in Table 2 for the wild-type and M17A Grx2 proteins indicate that the fast phase involves structural rearrangements that expose large amounts of surface area while the slow phase involves structural rearrangements that expose small amounts of surface area. The mutant protein however displays a larger \( m_u \) value, indicating a larger amount of surface area exposed upon unfolding, consistent with the destabilisation as the interdomain interaction is disrupted, resulting in more solvent exposure than the wild-type under the same conditions.

The co-existing unfolding species was first demonstrated by Garel and Baldwin (1973). Two unfolding phases are observed for both the Grx2 proteins; the possibility of them occurring either sequentially or in parallel was investigated by performing initial conditions tests (Wallace and Matthews, 2002). The resulting unfolding reactions are then compared to the unfolding of the sample maintained in the absence of denaturant. This comparison provides important information: (i) equivalent rate constants insure that the system is behaving as expected, and (ii) the relative amplitudes provide a direct measure of the fraction of molecules that were present at the start of the reaction. The urea dependence of these amplitudes can serve to discriminate between sequential and parallel mechanisms as the amplitudes of the kinetic phases are proportional to the population of the species involved (Wallace and Matthews, 2002). The dependence of the rate constants and amplitudes of the unfolding reaction on the initial urea concentrations was determined by relying on the fact that rate constants depend only on the final conditions while amplitudes depend on both the initial and final conditions (Wallace and Matthews, 2002). The amplitude data (Figure 16A) indicate that the unfolding is biphasic at all initial urea concentrations while the rate constants were independent of the initial concentration of urea (Figure 3-17B). The relative amplitudes of the unfolding phases represent the fraction of molecules present at the beginning of the reaction (Hagerman and Baldwin, 1976) and the simultaneous presence of two unfolding phases indicates that the two unfolding reactions occur independently and, thus, run in parallel. Double-jump refolding experiments performed on the wild-type protein confirmed a parallel unfolding mechanism (Gildenhuys
et al., 2008). The independent parallel unfolding phases have midpoints similar to that witnessed in the equilibrium transition.

### 4.3 Domain architecture (Grx2 vs GSTs)

Grx2 has fewer interdomain contacts (Figure 4-1) in contrast to its monogenic homologue, Clic1 (Harrop et al., 2001), which also has the topologically equivalent methionine hydrophobic contact. In addition to the hydrophobic interaction, salt-bridges and more interdomain hydrogen bonds are present at the domain interface. The mutation therefore, will have a greater impact on the soluble form of Clic1 than it does on Grx2 as more interactions are impacted on. This seen by the equilibrium unfolding studies in the case of M32A Clic1, where the unfolding transitions become biphasic as a result of the mutation and an intermediate becomes populated with the loss of the co-operative behaviour (Stoychev PhD Thesis, 2008). This is not seen in the case of Grx2, where a single unfolding transition is still observed, however there is a reduction in the m-value (a decrease in the dependence of the free energy change of unfolding upon denaturant concentration), suggesting the presence or accumulation of an intermediate. The use of an extrinsic probe in equilibrium unfolding, ANS, reveals that an intermediate maybe populating as a result of the mutation. With the absence bulk of the side chain, this hydrophobic interaction is now weakened as a result of cavity forming. This may be the region in which the extrinsic dye is now able to bind as a result of the enhancement of the ANS fluorescence.

The effect of the M17A destabilisation can be explained by the fact that the mutation results in the removal of the R-group (\(>\text{CH}_2\text{-S-CH}_3\)) of methionine that leads to a loss of hydrophobic contacts (Figure 4-1) and a decrease in \(\Delta G(H_2O)\) of approximately ± 1.1 kcal.mol\(^{-1}\) per methyl (\(\text{CH}_3\)) group (Kellis, 1988; Pace, 2001). This mutation is also a cavity causing substitution which results in a less tight packing environment at the domain interface due to the loss of short-range van der Waals contacts. The loss in stability is probably greater due to the cooperative contribution of hot spot residues (Stoychev, PhD Thesis, 2008), such as Met32, toward protein stability. Wallace et al. (2000) showed that the mutation of this topological equivalent of this ‘lock-and-key’ motif at the domain interface of the dimeric homologue, GST, also causes a destabilisation, with accumulation of a dimeric intermediate. The unfolding transition of GST also becomes biphasic as a result of the loss of this hydrophobic contact.
Figure 4-1. Interdomain ‘lock–and-key’ motif in Grx2
Key residue, Met 17 (red), located in α1 of domain 1 (blue). Residues forming the lock (grey) in domain 2 (green): Asp172, Ile173, Phe176 in α7 and Met201 and Thr 205 of α8. Residues of the ‘lock-and-key’ are illustrated in their van der Waals radii. The second Trp residue (Trp 190) is located at the N-terminus of α8 (17Å from the domain interface) and shown in its stick form. Image generated using PyMOL, v0.99 (DeLano Scientific).
4.4 Molten globule intermediate or hydrophobic region exposed?

The aromatic chromophore ANS, is weakly fluorescent in water, but a hypsochromic shift in its spectrum and its intensity is dramatically increased in nonpolar solvents or when it binds to nonpolar sites of proteins. Strong binding of ANS to molten globule states of proteins has been linked to the loss of tertiary structure (Semisotonov et al., 1991), and has been applied to characterise transient states in protein denaturation (Das et al., 1995; Guha and Bhattacharyya, 1995; Uversky et al., 1996; Bismuto, 2001; Fanucchi et al., 2008).

There is negligible binding of ANS in the urea-induced equilibrium unfolding of wild-type Grx2 (Figure 3-13) indicating an absence of a stable intermediate. In contrast, M17A Grx2 displays significant binding of the hydrophobic dye under the same urea-induced equilibrium conditions, suggesting an intermediate formation. This is further supported by the reduced $m$-value obtain for equilibrium unfolding. This is also seen with the equivalent mutation in its monomeric counterpart, M32A Clic1 at pH 7.0 (Stoychev, PhD Thesis, 2008). As for Grx2, Met32 of Clic1 located in $\alpha 1$ of domain 1, but this region is the putative trans-membrane region in domain 1 for membrane insertion. The domain interface of Grx2 houses the active site of the protein (Xia, et al., 2001) where it catalyses the reduction of disulphides (Holmgren and Åslund, 1995), making this helix relatively more flexible to accommodate its substrate. In catalysis, the movement of the domains often excludes water from the active site and helps position catalytic groups around the substrate. It also traps substrates and prevents the escape of reaction intermediates (Anderson et al., 1979; Knowles, 1991). The loss of the ‘key’ from the ‘lock’ as result of the mutation, may expose this hydrophobic region during unfolding, thus allowing ANS to bind. However, a molten globule intermediate is questionable, as its formation is concomitant with a hypsochromic shift in the tryptophan fluorescence upon unfolding (Semistonov et al., 1991) and this behaviour is absent in the unfolding of M17A Grx2 (Figure 3-14). A decrease in co-operativity (reduction in $m$-value) usually implies an intermediate formation, but resolution of spectroscopic techniques that monitor the intrinsic probes as reporters of unfolding may not be sufficient to detect these intermediates (Soulages, 1998).
4.5 Domain co-operativity in Grx2

The goal of this study was to knockout an interaction possibly involved in maintaining the co-operative folding of the domains. Equilibrium unfolding has displayed a decrease in the stability of the protein highlighting the role of the ‘key’ in the ‘lock’ of the domain interface. However, with still monophasic transitions observed, the co-operativity is still maintained between the domains, where biphasic transitions are expected in the denaturant-induced equilibrium unfolding as well as a formation of a stable intermediate (Batey et al, 2005). A change would also be detected in the unfolding kinetics of the protein if an intermediate were present which no change was observed other than the shift consistent with the destabilisation, therefore the kinetics data are in agreement with the equilibrium unfolding data in displaying the extensive co-operativity of the domains in Grx2.
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