FACES OF MATHEMATICS TEACHERS IN POLICY AND PRACTICE

By
HAKAN BASBOZKURT (0618557D)

a minor dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for degree of Master of Education in the School of Education at the University of the Witwatersrand.

SUPERVISOR : DR. WILLY MWAKAPENDA
CO-ORDINATOR : DR. HAMSA VENKAT

29/05/2009
DECLARATION

I declare that this work has not been previously submitted in whole, or in part, for the award of any degree.

It is my own work. Each significant contribution to, and quotation in, this dissertation from the work, or works, of other people has been attributed, and has been cited and referenced.

Hakan Basbozkurt

Signature:

Date:
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank all those who made this research possible. In particular the following:

Firstly, I would like to thank to the Horizon Educational Trust for allowing me to conduct my research in Horizon High School, particularly grade 10 and grade 11 learners who gave me their best interest and cooperation.

Secondly, I would like to thank to my supervisor, Dr. Willy Mwakapenda, for providing direction and positive comments. Thanks you for keeping me focused and motivated.

Thirdly, I would like to give my special thanks to Lynne Manson for her tremendous support in this research. Without her support this study would not be possible.

Fourthly, I would like to thank to Dr. Hamsa Venkat for her useful and insightful comments and critics which enabled me to improve this dissertation for sure.

Finally and most importantly, I would like to thank my wife who always encouraged and generously supported me throughout the whole study. Thanks for your patience and understanding.
ABSTRACT

This paper will report on the findings of the research that was conducted in a private school in Johannesburg about mathematics teachers’ identities described in policy and how these are demonstrated in practice. The central questions that guided the study were: ‘How are identities of mathematics teachers described in the new mathematics curriculum policy?’ and ‘How are these identities demonstrated in practice?’ I anticipated comparing teacher’s personal pedagogic and official pedagogic identities in classroom practice for Further Education and Training (FET) band from learners’ perspective since learners are at the center of the Outcome-Based-Education (OBE). This study was informed by theoretical concepts of ‘identity’ from Gee (2001), Boaler and Greeno (2000), and Jansen (2001). Naidoo and Parker’s (2005), Jita and Vandeyar (2006) and Parker (2006) analyzed tension between personal pedagogic and official pedagogic subject identities of South African teachers provided me a contextualized framework for this study. My analysis confirms that although the two teachers’ identities still have tension, reconstruction of the ‘new face’ of the teachers is on progress that has relation with ‘the kind of teacher’ is referred by the NCS.
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