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Abstract

This research report compares what occupational therapists working at schools for learners with special educational needs (LSEN) think is important to record with what is recorded in the learners' occupational therapy files. Six clinical occupational therapists completed a questionnaire by grading items according to their level of importance in maintaining occupational therapy records. The researcher did an audit on 76 learners' occupational therapy files at four LSEN schools. The results indicated a vast discrepancy between what the occupational therapist viewed as important and what was actually recorded in the learners' files. The occupational therapists viewed most items as being very important to record (84.2%), yet the items were seldom recorded in the learners' files (33.3%). The researcher used the results to develop an adjusted checklist that could be used by occupational therapists at LSEN schools to audit their own records and as a guideline for record keeping.
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Nomenclature

**LSEN School:** Schools for learners with special educational needs. These were previously known as “Special Schools” and in the future most of these schools will be converted to “Resource Centers” in accordance with White Paper 6\(^1\).

**Learner:** A child that is registered at a specific school.

**File:** Documents or information about a specific person\(^2\).

**Record:** The information that the clinical occupational therapist collects on a learner and records in the learner’s file.

**Item:** A single piece of information as specified in the checklist or questionnaire.

**Checklist:** The list of information that the researcher makes use of to determine whether an item is present in a learner’s file.

**Questionnaire:** A set of questions (items) on a form, used to collect the opinions of clinical occupational therapists working in LSEN schools.

**Interview:** A formal discussion\(^2\) where the researcher asks the clinical occupational therapist questions regarding their record keeping.

**Biomedical Approach:** A medical framework that considers illness to be caused by identifiable agents\(^3\).

**Socio-medical Approach:** An approach to health and illness that focuses on the social and environmental factors for example poverty, diet and housing conditions\(^4\).
ABBREVIATIONS

LSEN – Learners with special educational needs
OT – Occupational therapist / occupational therapy
IEDP – Individual educational development plan
FET – Further education and training
EMDC – Education management and development centre
HPCSA – Health Professions Council of South Africa