

**REFLECTION AS A TOOL FOR MANAGING**  
**DIFFERENCE IN A POST-APARTHEID**  
**SCHOOL**

**by**

**Jacqui Dornbrack**

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for  
PhD (Applied Linguistics)

University of the Witwatersrand

January 2008

## **ABSTRACT**

Public schools in South Africa have been officially desegregated for over 15 years, yet there is unease about the lack of any real transformation within previously single-race schools. The prevalence of assimilationist practices, particularly in previously white schools, suggests a reluctance to transform the school in order to make it a truly South African space. Significant changes have occurred at the level of policy but translation into practice at the school level has been slow. Additionally, the compromises made during the transition to democracy and the inordinate powers awarded to school managers and governing bodies have allowed schools to rearticulate racialised identities and work against the proclamations of a non-racist society (Soudien & Sayed, 2004).

This thesis describes an intervention conducted at an ex-Model-C school in the Eastern Cape with a group of eight volunteer teachers. The purpose of the intervention was to encourage teachers to reflect more critically on the practices in their school that discriminate against learners in terms of race, gender, class and culture. The eight teachers met with me over a period of 18 months during which they were encouraged by means of various readings, tasks, and discussions to reflect critically on the dominant practices within their school.

A critical discourse analysis of transcriptions of teachers' and students' interviews and 13 focus groups indicates strong traces of colonial and apartheid discourses that position 'Others' in deficit ways. An awareness of this *Othering* by certain teachers over the period of 18 months suggests that deficit discourses can be ruptured when awareness is created and alternative discourses are constructed. The thesis attends to the way in which, through the construction of a safe space for regular reflection, the teachers involved in the research identified gendered, classed, and racialised practices in their school and attempted to transform one of these practices, namely the detention system. However, attempts to make material adjustments to this highly discriminatory practice at the school resulted in the withdrawal of management's support for the research project, without which very little could be changed.

This thesis supports literature that indicates the difficulty of implementing change within schools and the need to address fears and identity issues among those involved in the change. Furthermore the thesis illustrates that, while positive shifts occurred on an interpersonal and personal level, they were obstructed at the level of management. This suggests the need to involve staff from the school management more closely during an intervention.

The thesis also argues that, given their heavy workloads and administrative duties, most teachers are unlikely to have the time or inclination to engage in critical reflection, which is seen to be an essential component of good teaching. However, the research shows that under the appropriate conditions, teachers can be encouraged to reflect critically and to act meaningfully on those reflections. What is essential is that teachers be encouraged to interrogate the influence of their own social, political, religious, and personal beliefs on their teaching practices, and how these impinge on their ways of talking about the differences they encounter.

Critical reflection is more likely to occur if teachers are provided with a safe space within a group, where they are able to articulate and contest dominant representations and practices. Difference within the group, in terms of race, gender, age, experience, and political inclinations, appears to assist with critical dialogue. So, too, does the presence of an outsider/researcher who provides various materials and activities to prompt the teachers to rethink their beliefs and practices and in so doing, to re-signify their naturalised practices and assumptions.

## **DECLARATION**

I declare that this work is totally my own and that it has not been handed in to any other institution.

Signed: .....

Date: .....

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to acknowledge my supervisor, Professor Hilary Janks, for the excellent manner in which she supervised this research. I feel privileged to have had an opportunity to work with someone I admire and respect for both her academic expertise and her high integrity. Professor Janks has, through her enacted pedagogy, provided me with an exceptional example on how postgraduate supervision entails far more than simply supervising the thesis. My induction into the community of independent researchers has taught me not only the 'tools' of research, but also the social, political and identity issues that accompany this journey. So, too, have I discovered the essential role that the supervisor plays in constructing a space which allows for the take-up of various identities and discourses.

My special thanks go to the headmaster and teachers at 'Model C Ordinary' who let me into their school and generously shared personal and institutional information with me.

Then I would like to thank my family. My husband Paul constantly inspired me and urged me to keep going. He diligently read each chapter and gave me critical feedback the following day. He never once complained about the long hours and many years that I spent in front of the computer. He never complained as I talked endlessly about my research, trying to make sense of what was emerging and difficulties I was encountering. Instead he encouraged me and often took on the role of father and mother to ensure that our boys' needs were more than adequately met.

I also need to thank my two sons, David and Kevin, who warmly encouraged me to work on the doctorate even when it meant having to forfeit spending time with me. David gave me loads of computer support and stern words of encouragement as he time and time again sorted out frozen screens and mixed-up formatting. We worked together on the Table of Contents for ages, and eventually he got it right. His dry sense of humour kept me going in the early hours of the morning as he worked on *Facebook* and I worked on the Doctorate. He would pop into the study to check how I was going and bring Milo or suggest

that we do yoga together. Kevin supported me in other ways. He gently encouraged me, brought me endless cups of coffee, sat with me for ages and treated me to his delightful humour. At times, when he noticed how stressed I was, he would encourage me to have a break, which inevitably meant playing ball with him in the garden.

I would also like to thank the following people:

Nazir Carrim for providing me with critical feedback at the proposal stage and for pointing out important flaws and gaps in my final draft. Your meticulous and expert response was really appreciated.

My mom, for understanding when I couldn't spend time with her, and for being sensitive to my strange sleeping habits.

Vivian Nojoko, my housekeeper, without whom my house have fallen apart.

My colleagues, Eileen, Sharon, Jacqui, Sally, Renee, Marcelle, Ruby, David, Lee and Melanie, Natalie, and of course Nadine, for their encouragement and support.

Helen Allen for proof reading and Josie Kenyon for formatting my thesis.

And last, a special thanks to the National Research Foundation who provided me with a *Thuthuka* grant for six years that enabled me to have lecturer replacement, buy essential books, attend local and overseas conferences and have regular meetings with Hilary. Their generous funding was fundamental in enabling me to surrender myself to the intense process of acquiring my doctorate.

## **DEDICATION**

This thesis is dedicated to the wonderful teachers with whom I worked. They courageously volunteered to join me in examining practices in their school that required time, effort and strong emotional resolve. Despite the energy and commitment needed, the teachers plunged themselves wholeheartedly into the project.

In particular, the thesis is dedicated to 'Zander' who became seriously ill during my time at the school and had to leave the project. Her courage and the perseverance she showed during this time was truly inspirational.

## **PUBLICATIONS BASED ON THIS RESEARCH**

### Publication in an accredited journal

Dornbrack, J. (2007). "Reflecting on difference: an intervention at a public high school in post-apartheid South Africa". *Journal of Education: Kenton Special Issue*. School of Education, University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg, SA.

### Chapter in book series

Dornbrack, J. (2006). Discovering difference: An intervention at a public high school in post-apartheid South Africa in *Redesigning Pedagogy: Reflections on Theory and Praxis* in Bokhorst-Heng, W., Osborne, M. & Lee, K (Eds)

### Reviewed Conference Proceedings

Dornbrack, J. (2005). *Disordering and reconstructing in a post apartheid public high school*. In May, S., Franken, M & Barnard, R. (Eds). (2003) Refereed Conference proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Language, Education and Diversity. Hamilton, University of Waikato,, New Zealand.

Dixon, K & Dornbrack, J. *They said we were the Impossibles: how discourses and regimes of disciplinary practice construct subjects in a desegregated South African school*. Paper presented at Learn Conference July 2007. Witwatersrand University.



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<u>ABSTRACT</u>	i
<u>DECLARATION</u>	iii
<u>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</u>	iv
<u>DEDICATION</u>	vi
<u>PUBLICATIONS BASED ON THIS RESEARCH</u>	vii
<u>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION</u>	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Origins of the Research	6
1.3 Aims and Research Question	7
1.4 Choice of terminology	9
1.5 Constructing a third space	10
1.6 Thesis overview	12
<u>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</u>	14
2.1 Introduction	14
2.2 Discourse	14
2.3 Understanding Difference	20
2.4 Facilitating change in schools	38
2.5 Reflection	49
2.6 Thirdspace	55
<u>CHAPTER THREE: METHODS AND METHODOLOGY</u>	62
3.1 Qualitative methodology	62
3.2 Case study	64
3.3 Research site	64
3.4 Choice of participants	65
3.5 Data collection techniques	67
3.6 Validity and reliability	80
3.7 Coding of data	82

3.8 Overview of the 13 focus groups	82
3.9 Selection of data	86
3.10 Analysis of data	87
3.11 Analytical tools	89
3.12 Ethical considerations	94
3.12 Conclusion	96
<u>CHAPTER FOUR: CONSTRUCTIONS OF DIFFERENCE</u>	98
4.1 Introduction	98
4.2 Categories of difference	99
4.3 They all look the same colour to me	100
4.4 Articulated stereotypes	103
4.5 Us and Them: maintaining stereotypes	106
4.6 Contesting stereotypes	114
4.7 Coffee and roses: stereotypes accorded to teachers	117
4.8 Racialised groupings	120
4.9 Mixing	121
4.10 Struggling with the social category	123
<u>CHAPTER FIVE: CHANGE</u>	132
5.1 Introduction	132
5.2 What teachers had already changed	132
5.3 Movements of change	135
5.4 Fears	138
5.5 Strategies to resist dominant discourses	144
5.6 Using humour to indicate resistance	148
<u>CHAPTER SIX: REFLECTION</u>	159
6.1 Introduction	159
6.2 Time and space to reflect	160
6.3 A safe space	161
6.4 A space of discomfort	165
6.5 A space for growth	167
6.6 Brolox's turnaround moment	171
6.7 Emily's turnaround moment	177
6.8 Alison's turnaround moment	182
6.9 Conclusion	190

<u>CHAPTER SEVEN: DISCIPLINARY SYSTEMS AND NORMALISING RITUALS</u>	192
7.1 Introduction	192
7.2 Why the focus on detention?	192
7.3 Detention data	194
7.4 Technologies of control	195
7.5 Normalising techniques	207
7.6 Latecoming	208
7.7 Uncivilised behaviour	211
7.8 Conclusion	217
<u>CHAPTER EIGHT: CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>	218
8.1 Difference at Model C Ordinary	218
8.2 Teachers and Reflection	220
8.3 The effects of encouraging reflection	220
8.4 What facilitated critical reflection	222
8.5 Limitations of the research	224
8.6 Significance of the research	226
REFERENCES	
LIST OF APPENDICES	
Appendix: Information Letter	
Appendix B: Teacher written consent form	
Appendix C1: Semi-structured in-depth interview	
Appendix C2: Semi-structured in-depth interview 2	
Appendix D1: Learner consent form (English)	
Appendix D2: Learner consent form (English)	
Appendix E: Sample Questions for Group Interview of Pupils who Frequently Attend Detention	
Appendix F: Diversity grid	
Appendix G: Humour task	
Appendix H: Gender reading	
Appendix I: Pupil detention system copy	
Appendix J: Non-negotiable classroom rules	

Appendix K: Teachers' copy of detention system

Appendix L: Copy of records of DT learners

Appendix M: Observation schedule

Appendix N: Whole staff feedback

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Details of Teachers involved in the Focus Groups	66
Table 2: Resources used for information	76
Table 3: Coding of Data.	82
Table 4: Data Collection via Analysis Techniques	88
Table 5: Essentialised categories of difference	104
Table 6: Opposite poles of the binaries of ideal learners	106
Table 7: Binaries of us and them	108
Table 8: Teachers' fears	140
Table 9: Pronoun categories from meeting management	147
Table 10: Reaction to the prize-giving	155
Table 11: Contrast between the reality and ideal classroom	188