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Abstract

The principal’s roles as manager and instructional leader are complementary terms for explaining what s/he does daily in the school to direct the mission towards its fulfilment. However, these roles are often in tension, especially in the context of school self-management. This scenario has led to an overemphasis on routine (administrative) tasks by school managers, leaving them over-worked and with little time to devote their efforts to the core technology of schooling, the most critical and essential responsibility of school management namely, instructional leadership.

Employing a qualitative case study approach, this report explored the day-to-day instructional tasks of leaders in two primary schools in the Johannesburg East District in the Gauteng Province. It is argued, in the study, that it is necessary for school principals to distribute, collaborate and involve other SMT members in executing their instructional leadership responsibilities to enhance quality delivery of C2005. The deputy principal and school level HoDs, it is argued, should be the immediate arbiters of the tension between the principal’s functions as manager and instructional leader. They should be enabled and encouraged to create a balance between meeting the school’s educative goals and sharing in the instructional duties of principals. The lack of time and commitment to instructional improvement on the part of principals seriously hampers and compromises their effectiveness, teaching and learning, and student achievement.

Consistent with the Department of Education’s policy framework on instructional leadership practices in schools (DoE, 2000), the findings in this study reveal that the effective implementation and reaping the benefits of Curriculum 2005 (C2005) requires collaborative practices among the SMT members (the principal, deputy principal and the heads of departments).

This study also found that instructional improvement should be regarded as core to everybody’s job and not as a specialised function for an individual, the principal. This is consistent with Alvarado (in Elmore & Burney, 1997), who asserts that anyone with staff responsibility has the duty to support others directly involved in staff development. The deputy principal, the heads of department and subject heads in primary schools as formal leaders, all have an instructional responsibility to assist the principal in meeting the school’s instructional goals.

KEY WORDS
Instructional Leadership, Managerial leadership, Distributed leadership, supportive school climate, professional development, parental involvement
**List of Abbreviations**

- **DoE** Department of Education
- **GDE** Gauteng Department of Education
- **HDE** Higher Diploma in Education
- **HoD** Head of Department at the School level
- **SMT** School Management Team
- **KZNDEC** KwaZulu-Natal Department of Education and Culture
- **SIP** School Improvement Plans
- **SDT** Staff Development Team
- **SBST** School Based Support Team
- **IQMS** Integrated Quality Management System
- **SBM** School-Based Management
- **SASA** South African Schools Act
- **C2005** Curriculum 2005
- **OBE** Outcomes-Based Education
Table of Contents

Abstract .................................................................................................................. i
Declaration ........................................................................................................... ii
Dedication ............................................................................................................... iii
Acknowledgement ............................................................................................... iv
List of Abbreviations ............................................................................................ v

1 CHAPTER ONE: GENERAL ORIENTATION TO THE STUDY .......... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   1.1 INTRODUCTION........................................................................................... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   1.2 BACKGROUND ............................................................................................. ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   1.3 RESEARCH PROBLEM .................................................................................. ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   1.4 ARGUMENT IN THE STUDY ......................................................................... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   1.5 AIM OF THE STUDY ...................................................................................... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   1.6 RATIONALE .................................................................................................. ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   1.7 OUTLINE OF CHAPTERS .............................................................................. ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   1.8 CONCLUSION ................................................................................................ ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

2 CHAPTER TWO: REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE .......... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   2.1 INTRODUCTION........................................................................................... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   2.2 INSTRUCTIONAL LEADERSHIP IN SCHOOLS ........................................ ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   2.3 CONCEPTUALISING INSTRUCTIONAL LEADERSHIP ................................ ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   2.4 INSTRUCTIONAL LEADERSHIP TASKS .................................................... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   2.5 MANAGERIAL LEADERSHIP IN SCHOOLS ............................................. ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   2.6 THE LEADERS MANAGERIAL TASKS ....................................................... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   2.7 SHARED INSTRUCTIONAL LEADERSHIP ............................................... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   2.8 CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK ....................................................................... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   2.9 CONCLUSION ................................................................................................ ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

3 CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY .............. ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   3.1 INTRODUCTION........................................................................................... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   3.2 THE PILOT STUDY ....................................................................................... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   3.3 SAMPLING .................................................................................................... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   3.4 THE RESEARCH PROCESS .......................................................................... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   3.5 METHODS OF DATA COLLECTION ............................................................. ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
      3.5.1 Literature Review .................................................................................. Error! Bookmark not defined.
      3.5.2 Interviews.............................................................................................. Error! Bookmark not defined.
      3.5.3 The Interview Schedule ...................................................................... Error! Bookmark not defined.
      3.5.4 Documentary Analysis ........................................................................ Error! Bookmark not defined.
      3.5.5 Observation ........................................................................................... Error! Bookmark not defined.
   3.6 VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY ...................................................................... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   3.7 DATA ANALYSIS AND PROCEDURE ......................................................... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   3.8 ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS/ISSUES ....................................................... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   3.9 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY .................................................................. ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   3.10 CONCLUSION .............................................................................................. ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

4 CHAPTER FOUR: CONTEXTUAL BACKGROUND OF THE SCHOOLS ........ ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   4.1 INTRODUCTION........................................................................................... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   4.2 SCHOOL A: ................................................................................................. ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   4.3 SCHOOL B: ................................................................................................. ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
   4.4 CONCLUSION .............................................................................................. ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
• **Table 4: Staff teaching experience in both schools** Error! Bookmark not defined.

**LIST OF FIGURES:**

• **Figure 1: Structure of the old education system** Error! Bookmark not defined.
• **Figure 2: Post-1994 education structure (school-centred)** Error! Bookmark not defined.
• **Figure 3: Learners’ informal assessment record** Error! Bookmark not defined.
• **Figure 4: Learners’ report card for intermediate phase** Error! Bookmark not defined.