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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this exploratory meta-study was to establish a foundation for the implementation of Service-Learning as a pedagogy into MBA programmes in South Africa. Three major inter-related contexts underpinned the study; a) the business sector, with its deep need for appropriately skilled managers and leaders, b) the social sector within which is situated a considerable number of currently or potentially economically active community organisations, and c) higher education, particularly with reference to its legislated transformation imperative.

Service-Learning as a pedagogy has never been implemented in MBA programmes in South Africa, yet the literature suggests that it is one of the most effective management development tools available to educators.

The mixed methodological approach taken in this study was based on the published perceptual shift from that of analysis to one of synthesis. In this paradigm, the basic tenet was that of investigating several constructs from different perspectives (the meta-study format) with the intention of combining them into a new coherent framework to offer the field of management education. Within this framework, data were gathered from several relevant populations, including business leaders and managers, MBA students, Service-Learning practitioners and community organisations.

Through triangulation and aggregation of findings from the different sources, it was established that MBA students acting in a consultant capacity experienced real workplace learning in an authentic context, where the outputs of the work that they conducted via their academic assignments were utilised productively by the community organisations with which they worked. They were able to address at least some pressing social needs whilst meeting their academic objectives, measured using Bloom’s taxonomy of cognitive outcomes. They also reported a high level of personal growth and increased awareness of the socio-economic fabric of the country.

Although the findings from this research cannot necessarily be generalised to all MBA programmes in South Africa, they do suggest that Service-Learning has an important role to play in educating South African managers, whilst addressing social, economic and educational transformation needs.

This research has established that MBA Service-Learning is a worthwhile pedagogy on which to conduct further research and implementation in South African business schools.
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### GLOSSARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMA</td>
<td>American Management Association</td>
<td>(AMA 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applied Competence</td>
<td>“Applied competence is the overarching term for three interconnected kinds of competence: practical competence (skill), foundational competence (knowledge), and reflexive competence (implementation in different contexts).”</td>
<td>(RSA 2000a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQF</td>
<td>Australian Qualifications Framework</td>
<td>(Australia 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business sector</td>
<td>The formal, normally profit orientated organisations operating in the commercial environment; the employers or potential employers of MBA students and graduates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEE</td>
<td>Black Economic Empowerment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCFO</td>
<td>Critical Cross-field Outcome. They are defined by SAQA as “generic outcomes that inform all teaching and learning” See Appendix 10.</td>
<td>(RSA 1995b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHE</td>
<td>Council for Higher Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHESP</td>
<td>Community Higher Education Service Partnership Programme (South Africa)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community organisation</td>
<td>Organisations destined to be the recipients of the interventions offered by MBA students in the course of their Service-Learning. Examples would be NGOs, non-profit organisations, community clinics, schools or religious organisations, city councils, community service providers of various types, departments or units within universities, colleges or technikons, or organisations identified by the 25 Sectoral Education and Training Authorities (SETAs).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community service</td>
<td>The term implies a servant, a contribution to the welfare of others without expectation of reward, doing something for a defined community</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>Corporate Social Investment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>Corporate Social Responsibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUPS</td>
<td>Community University Partnerships Office</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoE</td>
<td>Department of Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSD</td>
<td>Department of Social Development of South Africa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTI</td>
<td>Department of Trade and Industry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundational competence</td>
<td>“The demonstrated knowledge and understanding of what the learner is doing and why. This underpins the practical competence and therefore the actions taken.”</td>
<td>(RSA 2000a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Meaning</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRI</td>
<td>Global Reporting Initiative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEI</td>
<td>Higher Education Institution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEQC</td>
<td>Higher Education Quality Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRDS</td>
<td>National Human Resources Development Strategy</td>
<td>(RSA 2002b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JET</td>
<td>Joint Education Trust (South Africa)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markinor</td>
<td>A South African marketing research organisation</td>
<td>(van Niekerk and Penman 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBA</td>
<td>Master of Business Administration degree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Non-governmental organisation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPO</td>
<td>Non-profit organisation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NQF</td>
<td>National Qualifications Framework</td>
<td>(RSA 1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSDS</td>
<td>National Skills Development Strategy</td>
<td>(RSA 2000b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVQ</td>
<td>National Vocational Qualifications</td>
<td>(United Kingdom 2000c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZQA</td>
<td>New Zealand Qualifications Authority</td>
<td>(New Zealand 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical competence</td>
<td>“Practical competence is the demonstrated ability, in an authentic context to: consider a range of possibilities for action; make considered decisions about which possibility to follow; and perform the chosen action.”</td>
<td>(RSA 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflexive competence</td>
<td>“The learner demonstrates the ability to integrate or connect performances and decision-making with understanding and with an ability to adapt to change and unforeseen circumstances and to explain the reasons behind these adaptations.”</td>
<td>(RSA 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROI</td>
<td>Return on Investment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANGOCO</td>
<td>South African National NGO Coalition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAQA</td>
<td>South African Qualifications Authority</td>
<td>(RSA 1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCQF</td>
<td>Scottish Credit and Qualifications Authority</td>
<td>(SQA 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDA</td>
<td>Skills Development Act</td>
<td>(RSA 1998b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 21 Co</td>
<td>An organisation registered with the Department of Social Services as being not for profit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Meaning</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service-Learning</td>
<td>“Service-Learning is a pedagogical technique by which a student completes the objectives of a course while fulfilling a community need in a coordinated effort between the school and the client. This experience allows the student to use academic skills in real-world situations representative of the academic major”</td>
<td>(Talbert, <em>et al</em> 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>Service-Learning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMME</td>
<td>Small, Medium or Micro Enterprise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQA</td>
<td>Scottish Qualifications Authority</td>
<td>(SQA 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCT</td>
<td>University of Cape Town</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Standard</td>
<td>A registered statement of desired learning outcomes and their associated assessment criteria</td>
<td>(RSA 1998b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBS</td>
<td>University of the Witwatersrand Graduate School of Business Administration / Wits Business School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wits</td>
<td>University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>