Sugar, snacks, fluoride and dental caries in RSA preschool children: an overview
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For some time now we have carried out epidemiological field studies on dental caries, sucrose intake and related matters, in South African groups. It seems appropriate to provide, for the general dental practitioner, an overview of research abroad in relation to our local research. This is the purpose of this article.

SUCROSE CONSUMPTION AND CARIES

Sucrose consumption in preschool or school children, or in adolescents is generally accepted as being promotive of dental caries. (Takeuchi, 1961; Yudkin, 1969; Bowen, 1978; Newbrun, 1979; Shaw, 1985). That this is no recent association has been illustrated by Shaw (1985) in his review of the relationship of diet to dental caries in which he quoted a question posed by Aristotle in 350 BC “Why do figs when they are soft and sweet produce damage to the teeth?” This cloying stickiness is accepted by many to be the form in which sugar eaten frequently, for instance in sweets, cakes and other snacks, is particularly harmful (Fisher, 1971; Poole and Darling, 1972; Grenby, 1976). The findings of the well-known Vipeholm study (Gustafsson et al, 1954) strongly support this point of view. In contrast there are the findings in another classical study completed at much the same time. King (1946) reported that over a 2 year period the eating of sweets and chocolate biscuits by young children on retiring was found not to give rise to excessive caries.

If overseas workers disagree on the role of sucrose in caries promotion or causation what conclusions can we draw from our South African studies, particularly those conducted on preschool children? Sreebny (1982) has suggested that an intake of 50 grammes of sugar per day could possibly be considered a ‘safe’ or an acceptable amount, presumably, irrespective of the form in which it is eaten. If 50 g is considered a ‘safe’ daily sucrose intake then there are groups of preschool children in South Africa who comply with these amounts. Caries should not therefore be a problem. In a large series of preschool children aged 2 to 5 years in 4 ethnic groups the mean daily sucrose intake in 1976/78 ranged from 51-89 g, in 1980 from 33-94 g, and in 1984 from 38-85 g. Black rural children had the lowest sucrose intakes as can be seen in Table I. There has been a fall in the mean sucrose intake over the period studied, except among coloured (Eur-African-Melay) children, whose mean intake has risen.

However, within each ethnic group sucrose intakes have remained relatively constant, in other words there was little difference in mean intake at 2-3 compared to 4-5 years (Table I) except for black rural children in 1980. The caries pattern rose consistently with age (Richardson et al, 1984). This is not unexpected as caries is an accumulative condition. What is surprising is the overall rise of caries prevalence in groups on mean daily sucrose intakes of around 50 g and its fall with a mean as high as 89 g. In Table II the percentages of caries over 8 years in 4 ethnic groups of children aged 2-3 and 4-5 years are shown — here too the rise in prevalence of caries with age is apparent. However, among 4-5 year old children there has recently been a fall in caries prevalence in both black groups, more marked in black rural than in urban groups, a steady state in Indian but a fall in white children. Among younger children only the white group showed a falling prevalence by 1980. This fall in black childrens' caries prevalence was predicted by Cleaton-Jones et al (1979) as was that for whites. The anticipated rise in Indian childrens' caries prevalence has also come to pass, and may have reached its peak.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean sucrose intakes in grammes per day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-3 years 1976/78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black rural</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black urban</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coloured urban</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian urban</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White urban</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I: Mean sucrose intakes in grammes per day for South African preschool children aged 2-3 and 4-5 years from 1976-1985.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Percentage caries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-3 years 1976/78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black rural</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black urban</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coloured urban</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian urban</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White urban</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II: Percentage caries in South African preschool children aged 2-3 and 4-5 years from 1976 to 1984.
FREQUENCY OF SUGAR INTAKE AND SNACKING

Many workers have been unable to show that between meal snacking was associated with an increase in dental caries (Bibby, 1975; Walker and Cleaton-Jones, 1978; Jackson, 1979; Garn et al, 1980; Walker, 1984). Questions may therefore be asked — are snack eating habits as harmful as is thought? Does total sucrose intake play a meaningful role in caries development? Sundin, Birkhed and Granath (1983) have shown that in Scandinavian children sweets are not as harmful now as they previously appeared to be. Steeksen-Blicks, Arvidsson and Holm (1985) have noted no change over 10-15 years in sugar intake from meals and snacks, or the frequency of eating these, but have recorded a falling caries prevalence in 3 areas in Sweden in 4.8 and 13 year old children. The frequency of eating, including sucrose containing items, has now been extended to 5 times a day, but more frequent consumption is considered cariogenic (Granath et al, 1978). The latter authors have emphasized the complex interaction of several factors, which they consider may modify the role of sucrose in dental caries. However, Bowen (1979) and Newbrun (1982) are convinced that sucrose is harmful, but Naylor (1984) points out that it is not the absolute amount of sucrose eaten but the pattern of eating that is important in caries causation.

MEAL PATTERNS

In considering the meal patterns of children both overseas and locally various differences are notable. In Sweden the accepted meal pattern for children is to eat 5 times a day, namely breakfast, lunch, dinner, a mid-morning and a mid-afternoon snack (Granath, et al 1978). This is considered as constituting a 'good diet'. This pattern is also found in German children amongst whom most have 4-5 meals a day and nearly all had snacks (Kormann and Mör, 1984).

In South Africa meal patterns may range from 2 to 5 a day with or without snacks. From discussions with community leaders and teachers and from field experience we find that in the country black people may have only 2 meals a day with a meal taken around 10-11 am and the evening meal as the main meal. Children may take a bowl, or other container, of stiff maize porridge to eat at school. Breakfast, if taken, consists of a cup of tea and some left over maize porridge or a slice of bread. Snacks are not often eaten. Urban black children usually have 4 meals a day, tending to have a light breakfast, similar to country dwellers. Schoolchildren have a meal (usually achaar on bread bought from vendors at school) at mid-morning, a meal on returning home from school in the afternoon and the main meal in the evening. Snacks may or may not be eaten in the late afternoon. Working adults tend to have 3 meals with a little snacking, but if taken usually in the afternoon. Coloured, Indian and white meal patterns tend to follow overseas patterns, with 3 meals a day plus 2 snack periods mid-morning and afternoon. Individual variations, of course, occur in all groups.

What therefore, of the frequency of intake? Zita, McDonald and Andrews (1959) found no correlation between frequency of eating snacks and DMFT. In our studies on Indian 5 year old children who had the highest caries prevalence, those who ate snacks and sweets frequently raised the mean dmft by 1. Frequent snack eating in the presence of poor oral hygiene increased the possibility of developing a greater number of cavities, but again not in the proportion expected if snack eating per se was causative (Cleaton-Jones et al, 1984). In the presence of high sucrose intakes and poor oral hygiene, dmft rose by only 1.8 teeth, hardly a significant difference, but an important clinical observation. In those caries-free, the frequency of eating sweets and snacks only differed significantly for biscuits (a non-sticky snack food). The actual amounts of snacks and sweets eaten by these 2 contrasting groups were almost identical (Richardson, 1981).

FLUORIDE AND CARIES

What are the facts? That fluoride has decreased the prevalence of dental caries has been proven without a doubt (Fejerskov, Antoft and Gadegaard, 1982; Burton et al, 1984). Areas with high fluoride content in the drinking water generally have lower caries prevalences compared to those with low fluoride levels (Anonymous, 1974; Forsman, 1974). The almost universal use of fluoridated toothpastes has probably played a large role in the reduction of caries in the western world (König, 1982) but was found to be largely of academic importance in Kenya where Ogada (1984) reports that on average a 20 g tube of toothpaste would last a Kenyan for a year. Only 10 per cent of this population used toothpaste and 35 per cent used a toothbrush.

What of the effect of fluoride on caries development in young children in South Africa? White childrens' caries prevalence is dropping (Cleaton-Jones et al, 1983) possibly related to the use of fluoridated toothpastes which were used by the majority of children; only about 5 per cent did not have their teeth cleaned. Only some 50 per cent of white children are given fluoride tablets. Approximately 20 per cent of Indian children were given fluoride tablets, but the majority had their teeth cleaned with fluoridated toothpaste and very few, about 5 per cent, had no oral hygiene, and yet Indian children had the highest caries prevalence. No explanation can be given for the recent drop in caries prevalence in black groups as fluoride tablet use was virtually nil, but most black children in town used toothpaste and about a half in the country; 20 per cent of urban and 50 per cent rural children did not have their teeth cleaned, and yet rural children had the lowest caries prevalence of all groups (Richardson, Cleaton-Jones and Sinwel, 1985).

Two groups of rural coloured children were examined; one group living in an area characterised by a high fluoride level in the drinking water (3-8ppm), and the other group in a low fluoride area (0.2ppm), living about 90 km apart. Children in the high fluoride area had a significantly greater mean daily sucrose intake of 64 g per day, than those living in the low fluoride area, 49 g per day. Both groups can, however, be considered to have a low sucrose intake (Richardson, 1981). Caries was very common, with a prevalence of 68 per cent in the area of low fluoride intake, and yet in the high fluoride...
area was very low, 19 per cent, indeed lower than that found in any other group studied.

The presence of fluoride at high levels in the drinking water resulted in a far lower prevalence, mean dmft of 0.7 ± 2.0 (331 subjects) than when it was in low concentration, where the teeth decayed very rapidly, with a mean dmft of 5.4 ± 6.0 (177 subjects) (McInnes and Richardson, 1979). With such a high fluoride intake fluorotic mottling was present in more than half of the children, and was even present at one year of age in 40 per cent of the babies who were for the most part breastfed (McInnes, Richardson and Cleaton-Jones, 1982).

DISCUSSION

A declining caries prevalence has been reported from western countries (Lawson, Brown and Oliver, 1978; Naylor, 1982). In the case of South African white children falls have also occurred (Cleaton-Jones et al., 1983). These children’s sucrose intakes have also fallen. On the other hand caries prevalence has been reported as increasing in third world populations (Newbrun, 1982). In South African black groups this has also been found, but in spite of this upward trends and the more recent decline, mean sucrose intakes have fallen. In Indian children mean sucrose intakes decreased which was associated with an increasing caries prevalence; however, this trend may now have reached its peak.

There are as yet too many confusing epidemiological results to incriminate sucrose as the main cause of caries in these young children, or to state definitely that snacking is promotive, or that frequency of sucrose ingestion is harmful. That fluoride in the drinking water reduces caries is present at least for the non-susceptible subjects. However, for both types fluoride toothpastes have probably also played a role in the reduction of caries, at least in the western world, where their use is almost universal (Koch, 1982; König, 1982) and their use is also being encouraged in third world countries (Ogada, 1984). However, we have yet to produce convincing evidence of harm to the teeth from the ‘sweet’ things of life. This does not mean a licence to gorge on sweets, snacks or sugar, but taken in moderation little evidence of a harmful effect is present at least for the non-susceptible child. The susceptible child may well be advised to reduce intake of ‘sweet’ foods as a precautionary measure. Unfortunately, at present, there is no accepted measure that can differentiate between the susceptible and the non-susceptible subjects. However, for both types fluoride, in tablet form and/or the use of fluoridated toothpastes with a concentration, where the teeth decayed very rapidly, with a mean dmft of 5.4 ± 6.0 (177 subjects) (McInnes and Richardson, 1979). With such a high fluoride intake fluorotic mottling was present in more than half of the children, and was even present at one year of age in 40 per cent of the babies who were for the most part breastfed (McInnes, Richardson and Cleaton-Jones, 1982).

REFERENCES


