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ABSTRACT

This dissertation presents a detailed study of geostatistics. Included in this work are details of the development of geostatistics and its usefulness both in and outside of the mining industry, a comprehensive presentation of the theory of geostatistics, and a discussion of the application of this theory to practical situations. A published debate over the validity of geostatistics is also examined.

The ultimate goal of this dissertation is to provide a thorough investigation of geostatistics from both a theoretical and a practical perspective. The theory presented in this dissertation is thus tested on various spatial data sets, and from these tests it is concluded that geostatistics can be effectively used in practice provided that the practitioner fully understands the theory of geostatistics and the spatial data being analyzed. A particularly interesting conclusion to come out of this dissertation is the importance of using additive regionalized variables in all geostatistical analyses.
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\( \bar{F}(V,v) \) the mean value of \( \gamma(h) \) when one extremity of the vector \( h \) describes the domain \( V(x) \) and the other extremity independently describes the domain \( v(y) \)

\( h \) a separation vector of modulus \( ||h|| \)

\( H(L ; l) \) an auxiliary function

\( \lambda_i , \lambda_j , \ldots \) generally refers to kriging weights or the weights of a linear combination

\( \mu \) the population mean

\( N(h) \) indicates the set containing all sample pairs separated by the vector \( h \)

\( \text{OK} \) ordinary kriging

\( \text{OLK} \) ordinary lognormal kriging

\( \Omega \) a spatial study area of two- or three-dimensions
\( \Phi(y) \) the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution

\( 1, 1^2, 1^3 \) one-, two- and three dimension Euclidean space respectively

\( \sigma^2 \) the population variance

\( \sigma^2_e \) the estimation variance

\( \sigma^2_{i(v,V)} \) the extension variance of \( v \) to \( V \)

\( \sigma^2_{OK} \) the ordinary kriging variance

\( \sigma^2_{OLK} \) the ordinary lognormal kriging variance

\( \sigma^2_{SK} \) the simple kriging variance

\( \sigma^2_{UK} \) the universal kriging variance

SK Simple kriging

\( \tau \) Sichel’s t-estimator

\( \tau \) the mean value of a two- or three-parameter lognormal distribution

UK universal kriging

\( \text{var}\{Z(x)\} \) the variability of a random function or a random variable

\( V(x) \) A volume (or area) \( V \) centred at the point location \( x \)

\( v(x) \) a volume (or area) \( v \), usually smaller than \( V \), centred at the point location \( x \)

\( \omega, \omega_i \) Lagrange multipliers

\( x, y, \ldots \) generally indicates a point co-ordinate in one-, two- or three-dimensional space
$Z_t(x)$ a block support random function or random variable, with a support volume (or area) of $V$

$z_t(x)$ a block support regionalized variable or a realization of a block support random variable, with a support volume (or area) of $V$

$Z(x), Y(x), \ldots$ a random function or a random variable at the point location $x$

$z(x), y(x), \ldots$ a regionalized variable or a realization of a random variable at the point location $x$