## APPENDIX B (Cont'd)

4.6 Were there any written records of your decision analysis? Flipchart
Memo/letter
Minutes of meeting
Report
Other, specify

4.7 What difficulties, if any did you encounter while following the decision analysis process?
$\qquad$

4.8 What do you think of the Kepner-Tregoe decision analysis process?
$\qquad$
4.9

Does it fit your kind of work?
When you use it does it make you a better manager?
4.10 Comment on any of the above questions if necessary


PLEASE ALSO SEE QUESTIONNATRE 3 on page 12

## APPENDIX B (Cont'd)

5. If you have only used the Kepner-Tregoe processes INFORMALLY, please answer the following questions: (these are some of the reasons given during informal interviews)
5.1 Problems associated with the techniques: (tick one or more)

Inappropriate to the nature of your work Too time consuming
Involves others, beyond your control
Other, specify
,

If the processes are inappropriate to the nature of your work, please specify the nature of your work

Personnel
Finance
Accounting
Warehousing and distribution
Manufacturing CWM/BCW
Converting
Marketing
Sales

5.3 Problems associated with your colleagues/superiors: (tick one or more)

Uncommitted to process
Failure to recognise that a problem exists
Failure to appreciate that a decision is required
Problem/decision analysis group not created Other, specify $\qquad$
5.4 Problems associated with the organisation: (tick one or more)

Lack of co-operation between divisions
Lack of delegation of authority
Lack of communication
Lack of commitment by top management
Other, specify $\qquad$
5.5 Give an example of how you use the processes INFORMALLY:
$\qquad$
5.6 Any other comment:

6. If you are NOT using the Kepner-Tregoe processes at all, please explain why not:

7. If you have done the ATS course, please answer the following questions:
7.1 When did you do the ATS course?

Before the management training courses After the management training courses

7.2 Which course helped you more with problem analysis? ATS course
Managerial Effectiveness courses

7.3 Give reasons for your answer in 7.2:

7.4 Have you used ATS on the job?

7.5 Have you been a member of a problem analysis group?

7.6 Give an example of a problem you have analysed
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
7.7 Wiss the problem analysed?

| yes | no |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

7.8 Can you initiate action to get a problem analysis group formed?

7.9 If yes, please explain how you would go about it
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
8. Please rate the value to you of these aspects of the total management development programme:

PLEASE TICK ONE
1 of no value
2 of little value
3 of neutral value
4 of some value
5 of great value
8.1 The psychological tests (by Redilinghuis or Armstrong):

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

8.2 The training needs analysis questionnaire:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

8.3 The feedback by training staff:

8.4 The MPAT test:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

8.5 The comporients of the traininiz course:
8.5.1 Reddins management style::

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

8.5.2 The management of meetings:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

8.5.3 Delegation:

8.5.4 Time management:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

or $\square$ not received
8.6 Any comments:
$\qquad$
9. What do you feel about the management development training programme generally?

PLEASE TICK ONE
1 of no value
2 of little value
3 of neutral value
4 of some value
5 of great value
9.1 Benefit to the organisation:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

9.2 Impact on:
9.2.1 Company problems

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

9.2.2 Organisation communication

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

9.2.3 Conduct of meetings

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

9.2.4 Myself

9.3 Do you feel follow up is required?

9.3.1 If yes, should it be (tick one or more)

Regular refresher courses
In-department consultation
Creation of problem solving groups Setting an example by top management
Appointment of Programme Director
and Administrator (as in ATS)
Memory joggers (eg pocket cards)

9.4 Any comments:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

QUESTIONNA IRE 2
CUSTOMER SERVICE PROBLEM ANALYSIS

1. What has your involvement been?

Date(s): $\qquad$


Purpose(s): $\qquad$

2. What do you think has been achieved?

3. Where do you think the Customer Service problem now stands?

4. What do you think should happen next?


MACHINE REBUILD DECIS ION ANALYSIS

1. What has your involvement been?

Date(s): $\qquad$


Occasion(s) : $\qquad$


Purpose(s): $\qquad$

2. What do you think has been achieved?
$\qquad$
3. Where do you think the machine rebuild decision now stands?

4. What do you think should happen next?
$\qquad$

## APPENDIX C

```
UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, JOHANNESBURG
    CENTRE FOR CONTINUING EDUCATION
EVALUATION OF TRAINING IN INDUSTRY PROJECT
```

TO:

## CHECKLIST ON INDUCTION PROGRAMME

As a new employee of Carlton Paper Company you would have been expected to participate in the Induction Programme. This included the use of the Induction Guide, the Employee Handbook, your attendance at presentations and a personal induction programme brepared by your superior. Please complete the following check list with a tick in the appropriate column.


1. Did you receive an Induction Guide?
2. Did you receive the Induction Guide on your first day at work?
3. Did you receive the Employee Handbook?
4. Did your supervisor have a specific induction programme prepared for you related to your job description and your principal accountabilities?
5. Did you receive a brochure entitled 'Inside Carlton Paper'?
6. Have you seen a video tape entitled 'The paper making process ?
7. Have you seen a slide tape presentation entitled 'Job evaluation and your salary'?
8. Have you seen a slide tipe presentation entitled 'A flair for fibres'?
9. Have you seen examples of company products and packaging?
10. Have you seen a file of company products and brands?
11. Have you been on a comprehensive mill tour?
12. When did you start work at Carlton Paper?

Please return this form to :
Mrs. Kim Gaskell,
Personnel Department, together with the accompanying letter.
Thank you.
M.B. COLE

PROJECT CO-ORDINATOR AND EVALUATOR

MBC/jpa
24 June, 1983

| No. | Mane of Company | Aims/Objectives/Programmes | Established | No. of Training Staff/Mo. of Trainees per Annum | COMMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Anglo American Corp, of S,A. Ltd. |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1 | Central Training Unit | Management Development trng. | Since 1951 | 9 staff/3000 trainees | At Maccauaviel, Vereeniging |
| 1.2 | Head Office raining and Development Unit | Iraining of act read office staff | Since 1976 | 16 staff/... trainees | Includes an Aduit Education Centre for compensatory education |
| 1.3 | Gold and Uranium Division |  |  |  |  |
| 1.3.1 | Western Deep Levels Centralised Training Centre | Training of senior Blacks in all divisions of MC. | ) | ) | At Caritonville |
|  |  |  |  | ) |  |
| 1.3.2 | Vaal Reef Centralised Training Centre | Mining and metallurgical training | JVaries ) | ) 180000 trainees/annum )264 trainers | At Klert , dorp/Orkney |
| 1.3.3 | Centralised Engineering Training centre | Engineering and personnel training, aptitude testing. central TV. | ) | ) | At melkom |
|  |  |  |  | ) |  |
|  |  |  |  | ) |  |
| 1.4 | Diamond Services Division | Training 8 development for improved performance | Varies | Staff and trainees at each mine | All diamond mines |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 1.5 .1 \\ & 1.5 .2 \end{aligned}$ | coal Division AMCOL Training Centre colliery training | )Training for coal division ) | ${ }_{\text {jsince }} 1974$ | 19 staff/1000 trainees 28 staff/5000 trainees | At Meerlus near middelourg 14 colliers in E. Matal, W. Matal and Vaal Triangle |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.6 | manan Resources Advancement Unit | To promote slack Advancement to senior level | Since 1981 | 4 staff/...... students | Undergraduate Caded Schane with Univ. of wismatersrand |
| 1.1 | Group Chaiman's Fund | To fund project development in education/training and other areas |  |  | Has funded mumerous innovative, mulai-racial developments throughout S.Africa |
| 2. | Johannespure Consollicated |  |  |  |  |
|  | Investhent Company Limited |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1 | Group Iraining centre | mining 8 metallurgy, finance 8 administration, surveyors. envirowental control, angineering | ) | 24 staff/300 learner officials/2000 trainees/annum |  |
|  |  |  | ) Since 1974 |  |  |
| 2.2 | , H10- Training Centres | Operator, artisan aide, supervisory training |  | 35 staff/8000 trainees per annum | All umployees receive some training wach year (1008 turnover). Group training budpet in excess of Rem. |
|  |  |  | ) |  |  |
|  | Goldfi.plds of S.A. Limiced |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1 | Head iffice training | Ma. agenent 8 admin staff | ) | I staff/trainees variable |  |
|  |  |  | $)$ |  |  |  |
| 3.2 | Group Training Centres | Industris) relations, supervisory 8 management treining | ) Since inception | ... starf/1/2 million trainee days p.a. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.3 | Wine Training Centres | Induction, on-the-job training, training of Blacks | i | 100 staff/srainees variable | At Kloof, Libanon, Leopeardsviel: |
| 3.4 | Velfare Projocts | Training staff seconded to teach trades at semi-skilled level. | Since 1960's |  | In Lesotho and Transkei |
| 4. | General mining Union Cocp Lid |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1 | Management Training Centre | Management training | , | 12 staff/2000 trainees | At Springs |
|  |  |  | , | p.a. |  |
| 4.2 | Learner official \& Apprentice Training Centre | Training of mining officials and apprentices | )Established 18 to 20 years | 6 staff/10000-20000 trainees | At Evander |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.3 | Group training centre | Employee development | ) ago |  | At stilfontein |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.4 | Mine Training Centres | Literacy, pre-service technical training | ) | 20-100 staff/15-20000 trai | nees |

Structure of Aining Industry Training in South Africa, 1988
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EVALUEE META-EVALUATION -
QUESIOMMARE OH EVALLATION RESEARCH UTILIZATIOM

Please respond freely in your own words

1. Did you directly utilise the evaluation reports and training programme for making decisions concerning training at Carltort Paper or for any other purpose?
1.1 TECHNICAL TRAINING EVALUATION
1.1.1 How was it utilised?

Feedback by the researcher was provided of ten to the Group Personnel Manager, Technical Training Manager, Plant Personnel Manager and training staff. These discussions were most useful in improving training resources.
1.1.2 For what purpose?
$I$ was keen to expose the Personnel and training staff to the $v i e w s$ of an interested external observer. I did not expect agreement in every case, but wanted people to think about what and how they were doing. I belleve this was valuable. There was some resistance to accepting the researchers views, for 2 reasons.

1. they were not entirely convinced that the researcher was competent in their field, namely technical training.
2. They felt the researcher was still learning about evaluation and as their own perception of evaluation was very narrow, they questioned the validity of the researcher's views to their situation.

I belleve their resistance was borne out of ignorance. I must add that it was not a negative resistance and they fully collaborated with the researcher.
1.2 INDUCTION TRAINING EVALUATION
1.2.1 How was it utilized?

It was circulated amongst ail personnel staff for comment and for them to review what they were doing about induction.
1.2.2 For what purpose?

To 1 mprove the induction process.
1.3 MaNacement training evaluation
1.3.1 How was it utilized?

Lengthy discussions were held with the new Management Development Manager. This was without doubt the most used and most useful report.
1.3.2 For what purpose?

- to improve effectiveness of the training input
- to evaluate what needs analysis mechanisms to continue with
- to improve the quality of each tratning element
- to examine ways of introducing alternative learning devices.
1.4 Evaluation training prograwe
1.4.1 How was it utilized?

This event was meant to improve the evaluation skills of the training staff. One briefing was held but the main training never took place.

### 1.4.2 For what purpose?

2. Did you indirectly utilise the evaluation reports and training programme in any of the following general ways (as identified by Heiss 1980)?
2.1 Use of the trend of the evaluation research as an aid in formulating policy and setting direction.
2.1 .1

YES OR 10
2.1.1 Describe an instance(s):

The above NO answer needs clarification. It was my intention that all training staff should incluie an evaluation element in all training design. Due to staff turnover this never happened. I still belleve it to be essential. As regards the use of the evaluation research. much of the data provided by the researcher varied as she discovered it for herself. To integrate her specific findings in evaluation methods and strategies was therefors difficult. Perhaps at the end of the research project the findings will be more effectively integrated.
2.2 Use of the evaluation research to identify training needs and need for new training provision.
2.2.1

YES OR NO
2.2.2 Describe an instance(s):

1. Improved elements of the induction process as recommended.
2. Improved processes in the $K T \&$ meetings programmes.
2.3 Use of evaluation rosearch to indicate what aspects of the training programmes worked, or were successful.
2.3.1

YES $O R$
NO
2.3.2 Describe an instance(s).

Induction
Training needs analysis for management development
The feedback provided through the researchers findings convinced us that we were on the correct path.
2.4 Use of the evaluation research to keep up with the field, as a medium of communication about evaluation, and as continuing education.
2.4 .1

YES OR NO
2.4.2 Describe an instance(s).

It is perhops too early to answer this. We would need to review the final report.
2.5 Use of evaluation research to lend authenticity or scientific respectability to organisation reports, proposals, presentations.
2.5.1

YES OR NO
2.5.2 Describe an instance(s).

Very useful in changing much of the management development activity.
e.g. - we change the learning process in the Kopner tregoe \& meetings programmes.

- introduced team building.
- co-ordinated interface between the management K.T. programme and the ATS programme.
2.6 Use of evaluation research to support a point of view and persuade other participants in the decision-making process i.e. as political ammunition in organisation debate and bargaining.
2.6 .1

YES $O R$
NO
2.6.2 Describe an instance(s).

Only used by the Group Personnel Manager with the human resource people.
2.1 Use of evaluation research to justify the adoption of a position before entering negotiation on training or training-related issues.
2.1.1

YES OR
NO
2.1.2 Describe an instance(s).

Induction
Management training as refered to earlier.
2.8 Use of evaluation research in gaining insights, concept formation, the development of intellectual perspectives, or the shaping of ideas about training and training evaluation.
2.8 .1
YES OR NO
2.8.2 Describe an instance(s).

Qualified yes. We would like to review the final report. The discussions and reviews held though did provide new insights and were used.
3. Did the evaluatic. reports and training programme directly affect any decision concerning training?
3.1 $\qquad$
3.2 What decision was Made?

Assessing training strategy \& not actual programmes as comment on the latter has been made earlier.
3.3 How did the evaluation research affect the decision?

The only reason for saying NO is because $I$ belleve what we wanted to achleve was well founded.
4. Did the evaluation report and training programe indirectly affect any decision concerning training?
4.1

YES OR NO
4.2 What was the 'decision' outcome?

See answer on page 7
4.3 How was the outcome arrived at?
4.4 What role did the evaluation research play in arriving at this outcome?
5. What sther sources of information were useful and important to you in making decisions or shaping your views concerning training and training evalua^ion at Carlton Paper?
5.1 Other sources used:

```
Own experience (or lack of it)
lack of infozmation about effectiveness of training.
Training literature - vast quantities!!
```

5.2 Please arrange these sources in order of importance to you and show the place of the evaluation research in relative importance.

I am reluctant to do this. I think all the issues above and the evaluation research would rate as $\underline{1}$
6. Who else in the organisation received copies of the evaluation research?
6.1 Positions:

## Management Development Manager



Personnel Manager
Personnel Administrator........
Trzining Officer.................
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
6.2 Reasons fo. dissemination of evaluation research findings to these persons.

1. Were any of the recommendations made by the evaluator implemented?
1.1 TECHNICAL TRAINING EVALUATION (no explicit recommendations were made but issues were raised)
1.1 .1

YES $O R$
NO
1.1.2 Which issues were acted upon?

Mainly organisational and management of training issues.
1.1.3 How were they acted upon?
1.1.4 With what result?
1.2 INOUCTION TRAINING
1.2.1

YES
OR $\square$
1.2.2 Which recommendations were acted upon?

Improvement of follow-up and the consistent application of the learning process after the first 2 weeks of employment.
1.2.3 How were they acted upon?

Personnel officers at Head office followed up each employee after 3 or 4 weeks of starting with the company. There was reluctance on the part of one Personnel Manager to tmplement the programme.
1.2.4 With what effect?

Good in the short term but staff turnover has forced us to review this activity.
1.3 MANAGEMENT TRAINING EVALUATION
1.3.1

YES OR
1.3.2 Which recommendations werts acted upon?

1. Appointment of ATs programme administrators.
2. Problem analysing groups covering major business problems - plus follow-up of these discussions.
3. Follow-up of training with suosequent skills training in other KT skills.
1.3.3 How were they acted upon?

See 7.3.2.
1.3.4 With what effect?

1. ATS/KT still used formally to solve production problems - very frequent application.
2. Very positive - the groups significantly increased the productivity in certain business areas.
3. KT problem solving was followed up with decision analysis and potential problem analysis.
1.4 THE EVALUATION TRAINING PROGRAMWE (not implemented)
1.4.1 Was any indirect benefit gained from this?

Not really. It did accentuate the need for a stable training staff. It also demonstrated the almost complete lack of understanding of evaluation by our training staff.
8. Any other comments on utilization of the evaluation research at Carlton Paper?

1. Would still like to have a major briefing for all human resource staff.
2. Would like to see some evaluation concepts integrated into all human resource plarining and strategies.
3. From $\underline{2}$ would want to plan formal evaluation of all training \& other organisation effectiveness programes.
4. Would like to see evaluation methods \& criteria which are so integrated with management activity such that they appear automatically in such activities. This sounds idealistic but is essential for all goal setting.
5. Finally its a great pity that, like so many other South African companies, chere is so little understanding of the benefits of training by line people \& so much labour turnover amongst training people.
evaluation plan/design/proposal
evaluation contrict
evaluation report
other. Thes is

| The Stender ie were consulted and used en ingicared in th , ith below (check as appropriate): |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Danc | riptor | The Stenderd wem tremed applicibie and to the ontent fembibio wen token into account | The Standerd wee deemed soplicable but could not be tatron ato account | The Stenderd wee not deemed sopliceble | Exception wes totien to the Standerd |
| At. | Audionse icontrification | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| A2 | Evelumeor Credibitiry | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ | inturmation Scopo and soioction | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 44 | vevemionel intorprotican | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| as | Repont ciny | 7 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4} 1$ | Aeport Unommination | $\frac{1}{}$ |  |  |  |
| A) | Aceort Timalinen | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 4 | Evaluetion Impeet | $v$ |  |  |  |
| 41 | Prectice Procoturion | $r$ |  |  |  |
| 62 | Poitice Visbiliny. | 1 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ | Cont Elloetivenom | $\frac{1}{1}$ |  |  |  |
| 61 | Forme Osigetion | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| c 2 | Contlice of interest | 1 |  |  |  |
| c3 | Foil and Pronk Disciosuro | $r$ |  |  |  |
| $c$ | Public in min to Know | $\frac{1}{}$ |  |  |  |
| cs | -i.pres or Humen Subiects | 1 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{cs}^{\text {c }}$ | Humben testrestione | 1 |  |  |  |
| c) | seimen reporting | 1 |  |  |  |
| ce | Ficee Remponibatin | 1 |  |  |  |
| 01 | Obipertiontification | 1 |  |  |  |
| 02 |  | $\frac{1}{7}$ |  |  |  |
| 03 | Oencriben Purpomin end Proencturen | 7 |  |  |  |
| 04 | Opfonutis intormation Sources. | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 08 | Volid Momuroment | 1 |  |  |  |
| 08 | Aolicote Dosoursmant |  |  |  |  |
| 07 | Syutomatic Dose Contral | $J$ |  |  |  |
| D0 | Andiven of Ouentitative information | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 09 | Anciven of Quatitative information | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 010 | Justitus Conclutioni | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 011 | Objective Reporting | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
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