Playing the Liminal: Exploring Moments of Transcendence During Performance

PLAYING THE LIMINAL: EXPLORING MOMENTS OF TRANSCENDENCE DURING PERFORMANCE

BY

INNOCENT G. MWAPANGIRA

(696687)

Course

DRAA 7003

Supervisor

Tamara Gurhs

This Research Report was submitted to the Faculty of Humanities, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Masters of Art in Dramatic Arts (Drama for Life)

2013
PLAGIARISM DECLARATION

1. I know that plagiarism is wrong. A plagiarism is using another person’s work and pretends as if it is your own.

2. In this assignment I have acknowledged every work that belongs to other people through citation and reference.

3. This assignment is my own work.

4. I have not permitted and will not let anyone copy my work with intent to hand it in as his or her own work.

SIGNATURE       DATE
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEDICATION</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABSTRACT</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</strong></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 QUESTIONS</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 STATEMENT OF PURPOSE</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 RATIONALE</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5.1 Data Collection</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5.2 Data analysis</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5.3 Reflexive methods in rehearsal: Phenomenology</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Questioning</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Journaling</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. Use of Video</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 LITERATURE REVIEW</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 2: THEORETICAL &amp; CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORKS</strong></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: The Theatre As A Self-Reflexive Platform</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 NOTIONS OF SELF</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 PLAYING RELIGION</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 HOLY THEATRE</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 3: PLAYING WAITING FOR GODOT</strong></td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 PARTICIPANTS BACKGROUND</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BIBLIOGRAPHY

APPENDIXES
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research rests on the voluntary participation of Nthabiseng Moriri, Lopastery Nompumelelo Skhosana and Mamello Nthoba who I worked with on my experimentation of Holy Theatre. Thank you guys for helping me out in Waiting for Godot. I learnt a lot from you.

I would also like to thank the whole Drama for Life stuff and students for assisting and encouraging me throughout my studies. You are all greatly appreciated.

To my supervisor, Tamara Gurhs, thank you a thousand times for your support and insightful directions.

I also extend my gratitude to Theo Toko, the most wonderful and beautiful person who stood by me in the trials of this research. You shared my disappointments, my frustrations, and always you put a smile on my face point me to the rays of light which I could not see. Thank you.

Lastly, I thank my Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ, for granting me the blessing and favor to study amongst the brightest brains in the world and find grounding in my practice. All glory to his name.
DEDICATION

Dedicated to Billy Lee, my son and to every theatre practitioner who is passionate about life and people.
ABSTRACT

Religion is the socio-cultural lifestyle of a people. It is that which a people believe is instrumental in their lives and day to day existence. Consisting of one’s wellbeing in relation to spirituality, moral and social awareness, religion is has remained a very crucial aspect in the lives of the world’s population. The theatre grew out of religious rituals and activities, transcending into an instrument for socio-political correctness. Boal and Brecht emphasized the need for theatre to be employed as a space for socio-political education and advocacy, giving the masses a voice in the governance of society. Boal’s study mutated from the political to the human, and treats the theatre as a self reflexive space with therapeutic capability.

The human takes center stage in this vein. The theatre exists for the study of the human aspects. One sees examines oneself in the theatre. Steaming from this premise, this study explores how the theatre functions as a platform for examining the religious self. From the productions of Samuel Beckett’s *Waiting for Godot* and a reflexive piece *Inwaiting*, I explore the self of the performer in observation of itself in performing a text meant for religious purposes. The self of the performer is central in this study. The liminal space is that space of emptiness which one occupies during transition from on stage of life and/or existence to the other. In this study, the text *Waiting for Godot* becomes that space where the performer exists and plays the text. I examine how this playing of a religious text in the theatre facilitates self reflexivity, and how a performer deconstructs and reconstructs him/herself as they encounter new experiences and new meanings.
CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Theatre can be the craft of incursion, a floating island of dissidence, a clearing in the heart of the civilized world. On rare and privileged occasions, theatre is turbulent Disorder that rocks my familiar ways of living the space and time around me and, through bewilderment, compels me to discover another part of myself.

(Eugenio Barba cited in Yarrow, B. 2007; 20)

As a creative and expressive form, art, through the re-creation of nature, corrects nature where it has failed. Hence the purpose of art and theatre is, according to Augusto Boal, “to correct the faults of nature, by using the suggestions of nature itself” (2008; 11). As its subject matter and focus is the human, theatre can be said to be about people, and the decisions/actions they take in certain circumstances, and how these complicate and/or uncomplicated their existence. Theatre explores the human phenomenon.

This is the basis from which Eugenio Barba makes his assertion. Theatre presents individuals in difficult circumstances which force them to act and react. Every action the individual takes has consequences which create further conflict. Conflicts of self, against other fellow humans, against perceived and/or accepted ideologies create the circumstances in which the individual in theatre finds himself. By presenting these conflicts, theatre questions one’s position, role and function in society, thereby acting as a self-reflexive space. Accordingly, Augusto Boal argues that the study of theatre is “but learning about the history of humanity. We are discovering ourselves. Above all: we are discovering that we can change ourselves, and change the world”
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(2008; ix). What this implies is that theatre acts as a platform where self is examined and self-transformation can possibly take place.

My interest lies with the theatre performer, the ‘self’ of the actor. I am interested in exploring how the self of the actor relates to the text as the text, in rehearsal and performance, is taken as a religious space. Using Waiting for Godot as a religious text, I aimed to explore how the self “discovers another part of itself” as it plays out a text. How does a text compel one to examine themselves? How does one identify these moments of self-discovery?

1.2 QUESTIONS

The central question of this research is:

- How does the performer construct and/or deconstruct ideas of self in relation to Waiting for Godot as a religious performance text?

1.3 STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

My research is located in the space of the ‘othered reality’, ie, the spiritual place. Samuel Beckett’s Waiting for Godot became a religious, in this instance Christian, space as I used it to investigate how the actor deconstructs and reconstructs him/herself in the production and performance process as a religious journey and process of self discovery. In it’s very essence, the text is not a religious text. Waiting for Godot presents the existence of the individual in a confused and a chaotic world, and questions what it is to be free and the meaning of existence. It presents two characters caught up in a void, a liminal space where they don’t know where to go or what to do next. They are waiting, but don’t know what it is they are waiting for. They are unsure of everything.
For me this presented a suitable environment to examine the relationship of the actor in playing out a religious text. I read *Waiting for Godot* while I was questioning my existence and purpose for being in South Africa studying for a programme I knew little of. The search and need for answers that I had made me identify with the text. However, as a Christian, I have been taught that all the answers to one’s life are found in Jesus. This made me start questioning how I would relate to the text of *Waiting for Godot* as a religious text? How does the text, if manipulated for a religious purpose, facilitate a deeper understanding of the self?

The creation, production and performance of *Inwaiting* explored the reflections and experiences of the participants’ journey during *Waiting for Godot*. The research centered on the religious sphere of the self, exploring how the text subjected to religious elements facilitate an actor’s self-discovery. How does the actor, him/herself having an understanding, history and experience of religion, relate to *Waiting for Godot* as a religious space in rehearsal and performance?

### 1.4 RATIONALE

The research interest was stimulated by my desire to explore the effects of performance on the actor/performer. From a personal point of view, I have wondered how my acting experiences have had no influence in shaping the perceptions I hold today. Two of my acting experiences, one as Walter in Lorraine Hansbury’s *A Raisin in the Sun*[^1], and Willy in Athol Fugard’s *Master Harold and the Boys*[^2] had a positive impact on my perception of the world. I was able to identify with these characters, examine myself in them and change my perceptions. However, the experience of playing Willy was traumatic to the extent that I quit acting for fear of going mentally insane. In my directing experience, I have noticed that most of the performers rarely develop a new understanding of the world or topic being addressed in the play even after going through the process of interpretation, rehearsal and repeated performances. This prompted me to question whether acting can function as a tool for self reflexivity and possible transformation.

[^1]: Directed by Courage Chinokwetu, University of Zimbabwe, 2008.
[^2]: Directed by Tafadzwa Hananda, University of Zimbabwe, 2008.
The topic of self is receiving much attention in the field of performance studies. Emanating from the assertion that performance facilitates embodied knowledge, performance studies treat the object of self as having paramount value in the making and exploration of knowledge. D. R. George (1999) proposes that performance “needs to investigate as a particular kind of knowledge, an actual way of knowing; and that performance offers ‘other ways to look at Time, at Space, at Person, at Knowledge, at Experience’” (Yarrow, R. 2007; 15). It is in light of this development that I sought to research the self, its relationship with the text and the possible results of this relationship. It will aid the growth of Performance Studies, particularly on the phenomenon of acting and how theatre can be utilized as a socio-political and religious platform.

In the last two years I experimented with ritualistic/mythical elements in my productions of Nyaradzo: The Spirit of Nehanda (2011)³, Macbeth: Oracle of the Weird Sisters (2011)⁴ and Miss Julie (2012)⁵. My research led to my discovery of Sacred Theatre, a form of therapeutic drama that, according to Peggy Ruben, provides “a way of looking at your life as a holy play, a wondrous work of performance art in progress, dedicated to forces beyond our capacity to imagine. These forces may be called gods; or ideals like peace, or truth, or concatenations of energy, like love or beauty” (http://sacredtheatre.org/about/what-is-sacred-theatre, accessed 03 July 2012). Practitioner and writers like Ralph Yarrow, Carl Lavery, Eugenio Barba, Grotoswky to name a few, explore the sacred experience that theatre facilitates, and how theatre itself is sacred and hinges on the mythical/religious/ritualistic elements as a premise for self development. Together with my experience of reading Waiting for Godot, I became curious to explore how self can relate to a text that has been manipulated with a religious ideology.

---
³ Directed by Innocent G. Mwapangira, University of Zimbabwe/Prink Entertainment, 2011.
⁴ Directed by Innocent G. Mwapangira, University of Zimbabwe/Prink Entertainment, 2011.
1.5 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

As the research hinges on the lived experience of the actor, I approached this study in two parts: 1) the production and performance of *Waiting for Godot*, and 2) the devising and performance of the reflexive installation piece *Inwaiting*. Basing my process on Boalian principles, I treated the rehearsal space as a reflexive space where one can observe and reflect on oneself utilizing the two performances as my research methodology.

Performance as research methodology, also referred to as practice led research or practice as research, has performance at the heart of the study. It is the study of the performance. The performance/practice provides the questions and answers for the research. Inasmuch as there are different understandings of what performance is, for the purposes of this study I shall subscribe to Richard Schechner’s understanding:

> Performance must be constructed as a ‘broad spectrum’ or ‘continuum’ of human actions ranging from ritual, play, sports, popular entertainments, the performing arts (theatre, dance, music), and everyday life performances to the enactment of social, professional, gender, race, and class roles, and on to healing (from shamanism to surgery), the media, and the internet. (2006; 2)

In this instance, the research was conducted during a theatrical project premised on Peter Brook’s notion of the Holy Theatre\(^6\) which focused on the creation of a theatre that utilizes religious, in this instance Christian, beliefs and ritual and is aimed at a religious outcome. The project firstly involved workshopping the play *Waiting for Godot* by Samuel Beckett through a Christian eye for religious reflexivity purposes. The performers experiences from this production were utilized to create an installation piece titled *Inwaiting*. The piece centers on the experiences

---

\(^6\) See section 2.5 Holy Theatre
of the performers while in production of *Waiting for Godot*, and through a biblical Christian understanding and interpretation showcases their stories.

### 1.5.1 Data Collection

Video recording was one method of documentation. Every rehearsal was recorded for analysis. Journals were also utilized. Every participant had two journals, one for recording daily reflections on the rehearsals, and another in which was updated fortnightly our perceptions and reflections on the process. A director’s and actor’s workbook, in which we recorded the plans, tasks, ideas and issues done and discussed in rehearsals, were also utilized.

### 1.5.2 Data analysis

The Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) method of research was utilized as a data analysis method. This method, according to Reid, Flowers and Larkin (2005; 20) speaking from a psychological viewpoint, offers a “chance to engage with a research question at an idiographic (particular) level”. This implies that IPA allows the researcher to study a case from an individual’s perspective.

The aim of interpretative phenomenological analysis (IPA) is to explore in detail how participants are making sense of their personal and social world, and the main currency for an IPA study is the meanings particular experiences, events, states hold for participants. The approach is phenomenological in that it involves detailed examination of the participant’s lifeworld; it attempts to explore personal experience and is concerned with an individual’s personal perception or account of an object or event, as opposed to an attempt to produce an objective statement of the object or event itself. Smith and Osborn (2007; 54)
As the researcher, I drew from the video recordings, journals and workbooks to note the experiences of the participants and subscribe meaning to these experiences in order to explore the relationship between self and text. Content analysis, a method which, integrates both data collection and analytical technique to measure the occurrence of some identifiable element in a complete text or set of messages (Keyton; 2001) was also employed herein, applied to the video and journal entries.

1.5.3 Reflexive methods in rehearsal: Phenomenology

This research locates the self of the actor as the central focus. It is the lived religious experience of the actor during the production and performance of the play Waiting for Godot that informs the outcome of this research. The reflective piece Inwaiting showcases the story of the participants from their own subjective understanding. In light of this, the research was observed through the phenomenology lens.

Phenomenology is a method of research that focuses on the interpretation of one’s lived experience:

The purpose of the phenomenological approach is to illuminate the specific, to identify phenomena through how they are perceived by the actors in a situation. In the human sphere this normally translates into gathering ‘deep’ information and perceptions through inductive, qualitative methods such as interviews, discussions and participant observation, and representing it from the perspective of the research participant(s). Phenomenology is concerned with the study of experience from the perspective of the individual, ‘bracketing’ taken-for-granted assumptions and usual ways of perceiving. Epistemologically, phenomenological approaches are based in a paradigm of personal
knowledge and subjectivity, and emphasise the importance of personal perspective and interpretation. (Lester; 1999, 1)

By pitting the texts and theatre space as a religious space utilizing the mythical, ritualistic and teachings of the Christian religion, the productions Waiting for Godot and Inwaiting aimed to facilitate a religious/spiritual reflexive space for the participants. The research therefore locates itself in individual experiences. The self takes center stage. How the participants related to the experience is personal. It is the personal experiences which, through phenomenology, I have recorded through the following methods.

i. Questioning

Asking questions is a major part of human interaction. It exists as a part of human thought as well as inter/intra-personal communication (Dinapoli, A. 2000). Questioning involves a thinker posing a series of questions to explore a specific idea, problem and/or issues. It can be best described also as interrogation, inquiry or quizzing.

In my production of Waiting for Godot, I employed questioning as a means to facilitate reflection. According to Francis Hunkins, the question is central to learning (in Saxton and Morgan, 1987; 67). Joan Tough notes talking as “the contemporary experience to the sensory experience… (which) enables the child (or one) to examine his experience with an awareness of its qualities” (in Saxton and Morgan, 1987; 67). Questioning, as implied here, becomes a very crucial tool in understanding an experience and in a learning process. As such, Saxton and Morgan, 1987; 68) argue that a learner must “seek, find and then be able to question what he has found in order to see all its facets”.

During this project, questioning mainly took the form of interviews. I would hold unstructured interviews with the cast members every fortnight so as to keep progress on their experiences. I used questioning as a means to facilitate reflection, and it helped in exploring different ideas and personal issues.

ii. Journaling

Journaling was also a big player in facilitating reflection. Participants in the production had two journals. The first one was a daily journal in which daily thoughts and reflections on the process were noted. The other one was written after every two weeks. The daily journal captured the immediate responses and experiences of the participant while they were still in the moment. The second captured reflections on the experience after participants had had time to reflect on the process.

The participants were not that keen on journaling. In light of this development, I utilized journaling in rehearsal. After a rehearsal/workshop process, everyone would go to a personal space in the room and free write in their journals any emotions, ideas and experiences that arose during the process. These thoughts were private and kept at the participants’ discretion. Other times I would prematurely ask everyone to free write while we were still in rehearsal. These thoughts were then shared with the rest of the group and a brief discussion would be held.

Journaling is a wonderful creative way to deal with one’s emotions, experiences and personal issues. It is a private activity that has an individual expressing his/her personal emotions and ideas through words or drawing. Raab, D. (2010) notes that journaling is a tool for growth empowerment and life balance. This proved true for me in that the journal was not only a way of documenting my rehearsal room activities, but also a useful therapeutic tool.
iii. Use of video

Video recording was a very useful tool in the process of self reflection. As part of data collection, I video recorded every rehearsal. I later found the usefulness of using video as a reflexive tool, and I employed it. According to Cunningham and Benedetto (2004), recent developments in digital video technologies permit teacher candidates to collect, review, and manipulate video to demonstrate their growth as a professional and as a reflective practitioner. This demonstrates that video can be used as a reflexive tool. One can record the process, playback and review him/herself in action as a practice.

Video permits reflection in that it captures the actions, activities and dialogue that happen in the moment. Moments are captured in the truthful spontaneity of their essence. Revisiting these moments through playback allows one to see him/herself in action. Cummins and Hulme (1997) note the following about using video as a reflexive tool:

Interaction is a transient experience which can never be repeated. In using video a visual record of most aspects of interaction can be achieved from which detailed analysis can be made. Of primary importance is that observation can be made by those involved in the interaction rather than merely by those who have looked on. Communication events can be captured without their immediacy being lost, and the communicative partners are in a position to provide a perspective inaccessible to the observer.

As such, playing and viewing a video that captured a process offers a way for one to look at oneself. Cummins and Hulme (1997) argue that with video playback, therapists are able not only to assess themselves along the same parameters as parents, but to extend self-observation and appraisal into all areas of work, developing a personal critique based on observation rather than
perception of their own behavior in as fine detail as is required. This speaks to how one can examine that which (s)he has done and be able to adjust and change their actions where it is necessary.

Video was employed as a reflexive tool in the following scenario. At the end of the week, me and the cast members would seat down and watch the video recordings of that week’s rehearsals. We would then discuss the events and moments which we identified with. These were examined on a larger scale, noting what had gone wrong and what had worked. We changed what needed to be changed and improved what needed to be improved. The discussions we held helped in looking at the production critically and make necessary adjustments where they needed. For purposes of, everyone was required to write in their journals what they thought of the video.

Video playback became very helpful in that we could watch and reflect on our actions. Hlubinka (2002) notes that the process of video viewing is not only interesting, but is particularly valuable because it provides an easy jump start to the reflective process. As one watches his/herself on tape, the experience is by nature very interactive. It is observing self in action, and the seeing of your own practice from a new angle will very naturally create reactions, opinions, and reflection. Video playback became a form of self analysis that allowed us transition into self reflection without problems.

1.6 LITERATURE REVIEW

Augusto Boal views the theatre as an instrument of socio-political change. His first writings (1979) speak to a theatre of liberation, a theatre where the spectator is given a voice to act as a way of identifying himself within the problem and offer possible solutions. Boal’s work is an exploration of methods by which theatre can aide socio-human transformation (1992, 1995, 2006 and 2008). It also transcends the socio-political as it acknowledges that the individual informs
the society, and as such theatre is a study of the human. In theatre, the human examines its faults with the aim of developing itself. As such, Boalian theory and practice is hinged on the ideal of experiential learning. This study follows this Boalian theory as it explores how the actor examines him/herself in playing out *Waiting for Godot* as a religious space/text.

I labeled the performance of *Waiting for Godot* and *Inwaiting* as Holy Theatre. Peter Brook (1968) defines the Holy Theatre as a theatre in which the invisible becomes visible. I applied this term to the performance as it had the person of Jesus, a mythical, deity and central being in the Christian religion appearing on the stage. Hence the invisible became visible. In *The Empty Space*, Brook explores how the theatre can be employed as a platform for addressing issues of self, politics, society and religion. He believes in the sacredness of the theatre as an instrument and platform capable of achieving anything and addressing any issue. This research took the term the Holy Theatre so as to refer to a religious orientated theatre that makes use of the mythical beliefs and elements of Christianity to offer a religious self reflexive platform for the participants.

There are also writers and practitioners who note the religious in their work. Of such note is Grotowski (1968). He maintains that the theatre is a spiritual space in which performer and audience explore the nature of man. The actor is a holy sacrifice for the purification of the society and the theatre space thereby acts as an altar. Victor Turner (1974; 1986) talks of the transcendence of the audience through what he terms Communitas: the intense feelings of social togetherness and belonging, often in connection with rituals. John M. Berry and Frances Panchok (1984) discuss the role of theatre in the church, and its relationship to religion. Though their study is historical, it implies the overlap of theatre into the religious element and nature of the self. Michael B. Walbank (1983) explores the sacred elements in the theatrical ruins of Athenian society. Antonio Attisani (1999) explores Tibetan theatre as religious and sacred in nature. He denied the stance of Tibetan theatre as secular, but argues to its religious nature as it is informed and founded on the ritualistic tradition of the society. Anthony Graham-White (1976) explores
the use of ritual in contemporary theatre. On the same lines with him are the likes of J. Ndukaku Amankulor (1989) Richard Schechner (1974) and William Morgan and Per Brask (1988) who all contend that theatre emanated from ritual and as such continues to inhabit ritualistic elements as well as it is ritual by nature. This speaks to the religious nature and intention of theatre as a form, an ideal that this study embraces.

The notion of self and the actor has also been of note in the practice of theatre. Of note here is Jerzy Grotowski (1968) who articulates the need for the actor to open himself up to the experience of acting so as to provide a sensual relevant performance which is spiritual in outlook. Through his notions of the Holy Actor and Via Negativa, Grotowski maintains that the actor is a sacrifice and should therefore rid himself of all elements which hinder him to be ‘holy’. His notion implies the sacred role that the performer has, and how the actor’s self should relate to the performance. One of his fellow co workers in the poor theatre Eugenio Barba, with Ludwik Flaszen (1965), notes the sacrilegious role that the actor should pursue in the theatre. He also notes that the actor’s job is to offer himself to the spiritual that is and he should be aware of the experience (s) and the power performance has to transform the self (1988). Philip Auslander (2002) explores how Stanislavsky, Bretch and Grotowski address the notion of self in their actor training techniques. Michael Kirby (2002) explores what constitutes the art of acting, paying much interest to character and self. Phillip Zarrilli (2002) contends that acting is a phenomenon in which the self manifests itself and experiences the hidden. He contends that self is of virtual importance to the art of acting. Paul Creelan (1987) explores the approaches of Charles Horton Cooley and Erving Goffman to self in their work. In his Psycho Technique approach to acting, Stanislavsky (1968, 1980, and 1986) maintains that the role of the actor is to produce the life of the character faithfully in his performance. The actor can do this through the text and also drawing on his own personal experiences. Brecht (1964, 1976) is of the notion that the actor should question the character he plays, and in turn become critical of the society. This literature is identifiable with this research as it explores the manifestation of self in production and performance. It goes beyond this literature by exploring the notion of the liminal in performance and how the self deconstructs and constructs itself as the performer performs at this state.
CHAPTER 2
THEORETICAL & CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORKS

2.1 INTRODUCTION
This chapter outlines the theoretical framework through which this study was conducted. The study utilized the Boalian concept of the theatre space as a self-reflexive platform. I also outline my concepts and understanding of “self”, religion and “playing religion”, and lay down my conceptual foundation of Holy Theatre as both as theatrical genre and convention which I utilized in the research.

2.2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: The Theatre As A Self – Reflexive Platform
Inasmuch as Boal’s is more of a concept, it fits here as a framework for this study as it is held in practice and theory. Augusto Boal (1931 - 2009) a Brazilian theatre director, writer and politician, founded the Theatre of the Oppressed, a theatrical form originally used in radical popular education movements. Writing from a socio-political perspective, Boal argues that mainstream dramatic conversions, mostly following the Aristotelian model, are a means of oppressing the masses, the people, and the workers in favor of the continued dominance of a privileged few. He contends that the mainstream theatrical traditions help the State promote its continued existence. In the book Theatre of the Oppressed (1979), Boal outlines his early theories and practices for attempting to reverse the paradigm of mainstream theatre. He explores Newspaper Theatre, Forum Theatre (utilizing the joker and spec-actor system), Invisible Theatre, used to discuss political activity and Image Theatre.

The main concept behind Boal’s claims, theory and practice is the ideal that the theatre allows one go through the conflicts, and hence have a subjective experience of the problem. When the participants in the theatrical action has undergone some experience of the problem, (s)he can thus make informed decisions as to how to solve it. In Rainbow of Desire (1995), Boal contends that the theatre has the power to act as a self reflexive space. He argued that the theatre is the
place where the human and humanity can be played, explored, examined and reflected upon for
the betterment of life. It is through the lens of this Boalian theory of the theatre as a rehearsal
workshop in which humanity can be explored and examined that this research has been carried
out.

Boalian theory is based on the power of performance to facilitate observation and dialogue
within the human being:

Therein resides the essence of theatre: in the human being observing
itself. The human being not only ‘makes’ theatre: it ‘is’ theatre…

Theatre – or theatricality – is this capacity, this human property which
allows man to observe himself in action, in activity. The self-knowledge
thus acquired allows him to be the subject (the one who observes) of
another subject (the one who acts). It allows him to imagine variations of
his actions, to study alternatives. (Boal, 1995; 13)

The above assertion implies that theatre is the space where the human observes itself.
Observation here refers to self examination and reflexivity. Placed within the complexes of the
theatre space where tension and conflict is ripe, one can identify and see him/herself within the
given circumstances and hence be able to formulate and define his/her space and reaction within
the conflict:

Theatre is born when the human being discovers that it can observe
itself; when it discovers that, in this act of seeing, it can see itself – see
itself in suti: see itself seeing. Observing itself, the human being
perceives what it is, discovers what it is not and imagines what it could
become. It perceives where it is and where it is not, and imagines where
it could go. A triad comes into being. (Boal, 1995; 13)
What Boal argues here is that in observing oneself in the theatrical space, a harmony between the self and dramatic conflict can be established. This implies that the theatre ceases to be only a platform for entertainment, but a therapeutic space in which one can come to terms with one self. In this instance, both the rehearsal and performance space exist as an observatory mirror which enables self examination and facilitates an experience which caters for possible transformation within the individual.

This study examines how an actor relates to the theatrical as a religious space. Like Boal, this study treats the theatre experience as a platform for religious reflexivity with the aim of exploring the moments of self observation and possible transformation that the theatrical process offers. *Waiting for Godot* becomes the liminal space where the self of the actor stands in the void of self, facilitating the actors’ journey to self discovery. Transcendence here becomes those moments when the self of the actor generates and regenerates itself in the text, adjusting and being adjusted as it discovers other parts of itself in this void.

### 2.3 NOTIONS OF SELF

A performer acts out the lives of the characters. Acting is basically about the imagination of the ‘other’. Through the imagined, the actor takes on the ‘other’, and relives the other on stage. But how does the imagining of the other take place? Does it affect the actor? Does it transform the person imagining to into the image of the other in anyway?

Bernard Williams notes this:

Images of myself being Napoleon can scarcely merely be images of the physical figure of Napoleon… They will rather be images of, for instance, the desolation at Austerlitz as viewed by me vaguely aware of my short stature and my cockaded hat, my hand in my tunic.
Williams’ statement speaks to the notion of the imagining person remaining as him/herself in the imagination of the other. The imaginer sees him/herself in the situation of the imagined. (S) He remains independent from the imagined person. Velleman, D. (2006: 170 – 171) interprets Bernard Williams’ statement by writing:

> When I imagine being someone else, I can be described as imagining that I am the other person – which sounds as if I am imagining a relation of identity between that person and me, David Velleman. As Williams points out, however, this particular way of imagining that I am another person is not really about me or my identity with anyone. If my approach to imagining that I am Napoleon, for example, is to imagine being Napoleon, then I simply imagine a particular situation as experience by Napoleon… But I, David Velleman, am absent both from the image and from the content of the imagining…

The above interpretation speaks to the notion of individualism. It implies the distinct notion of individuality that suggests that a person can never become the other. Through imagination, one can imagine the other, but (s)he essentially remains who (s)he is, without becoming the other. This leads to the need to understand what the individual, herein referred to as ‘the self’, is.

According to the Wordwed dictionary (2009), self refers to one’s consciousness of his/her own identity, or a person considered as a unique individual. Anthony Elliott (2001) notes the self as the object of an individual’s own reflective consciousness. Farthing G (1992) understands consciousness as the quality or state of being aware of an external object or something within oneself, as subjectivity, awareness, sentience, the ability to experience or to feel, wakefulness,
having a sense of selfhood, and the executive control system of the mind. With this in mind, consciousness can be understood as the phenomenon of experiencing emotions, knowing, being aware and reacting to that which surrounds the subject. This means that the notion of self is embedded in the awareness, feeling, knowing and experience of surroundings and experiences from a subjective point of view.

The idea of self as one’s consciousness is echoed by Harry Frankfurt’s structure of the will; that is, one’s capability of conscious choice, decision and/or intention. Basing her ideas on Frankfurt’s concepts, Marya Schechtman notes that to define the self we need to focus on the unique defining features of human existence. She quotes and interprets Frankfurt as follows:

…‘humans beings are not alone in having desires and motives, or in making choices.’ What is special to human beings, he says, is that, ‘besides wanting and choosing and being moved to do this or that, men may also want to have (or not to have) certain desires and motives. They are capable of wanting to be different, in their preferences and purposes, from what they are.’ The defining feature of selfhood is thus the ability to take sides when we discover conflicts in our desires, rather than simply being moved by whichever desire is on-balance strongest. It is this capacity, Frankfurt says, that allows for the type of autonomy which defines human action, and so it is in virtue of this capacity that we are selves. (Strawson, G. (ed) 2005; 46).

Frankfurt’s assertion, aided with Schechtman’s interpretation, suggests that the essence of self lies with the decisions that one makes in relation to his/her own rationality of being aware of the exterior and interior motives of her/his being. Hence self is the awareness that one has and the decisions (s)he make as informed by this consciousness.
As Schechtman interprets Frankfurt, it is the power of decision, the freedom to make choices, that informs human activity. Therefore, self is defined by one’s actions as informed by his/her choice, decision and/or intention. This is supported by Anna Stetsenko and Igor Arievitch (2004) who note that the social studies have understood human beings as “autonomous (i.e. separate and distinctive) individuals with their own unique histories and experiences, who are capable of and responsible for planning, initiating and coherently carrying out important relationships and activities across contexts and life stages”. Hence the self is one’s decisions which influence the experiences and history of an individual, made possible by the relationships and activities (s)he carries out.

There are various other concepts and understanding of what the notion of ‘self’ entails. The above given understandings are only a handful which I adhere to. My understanding goes further to acknowledge the three dimensionality of a human as found in Christian principles. A human being is basically a union of three things; that is the body, the soul and the spirit:

And the very God of peace sanctify you wholly; and I pray God your whole spirit and soul and body be preserved blameless unto the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ. 1 Thessalonians 5:23 (KJV)

The body is the physical nature of man. The human body is the entire structure of a human organism, and consists of a head, neck, torso, two arms and two legs. This physical part was created from dust during creation:
And the LORD God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul.

Genesis 2:7 (KJV)

The breath that God breathed into the physical form is men’s spirit. It is the spirit that connects man to God, and hence, according to Christian beliefs, is the most important aspect to humanity. The spirit is the life of a human. In Shona tradition, when a person dies, it is believed that the spirit lives on and comes to protect the family. In Christianity, the spirit is eternal, and thus needs purification.

Connecting the spirit with the body is the soul. According to various Christian teachings, the soul is the psychological part of the self. It harbors one’s will, emotions and rationality. The will is the desires and motives, emotions are the feelings that the person experiences and rationality is the mind in which judgments and decisions are made. Aristotle, following Plato, defined the soul as the core essence of a being (Durrant, M. 1993) as it determines the decisions one makes and hence is the essence of being. The body and spirit are all subject to the soul, as the actions of these two are determined by one’s will, judgments and emotions.

With this in mind, I take the notion of self as defined by an individual’s will, motives, judgments and actions. These things are unique to the individual. However, they are also influenced and motivated by the context in which the individual exists. The self is therefore “embedded within socio-cultural contexts and intrinsically interwoven with them” (Stetsenko and Arievitch; 2004). In this instance, the self is the result of socio, cultural and religious influences, but remains unique in the decisions and activities that the person makes and does.
2.4 PLAYING RELIGION

“Religion is the opium of the people” (Karl Marx)

The above assertion by the socialist Karl Marx implies that religion is a drug that the powerful employ in order to pacify their subjects. This can be true seeing that the course of history has been one where religion has been used as a tool for creating a submissive population. In Europe, the Church has been used as an ally of the ruling class, setting down the rules of the land and having a hand in the day to day politics of the country. The “Church is never wrong” concept was implemented such that the average layman would not question the activities of the clergy and the ruling class. It is in this context that Karl Marx, advocating for equilibrium across all social classes, made this assertion, hence painting religion as a dangerous tool for the suppression of the masses.

However, religion has remained a vital part of society. Inasmuch as there has been a wide movement towards the liberation of the ‘self’, many people have remained connected to some form of religion. About 85.91% of the world’s population is religious, and non-religious people count for an estimated 14.09%:
The above statistics show that the majority of the world’s population is grounded in some religion. Hence religion continues to be a vital part of the society.

But what is religion? The simplest definition of religion that comes to mind would be “a strong belief in a supernatural power or powers that control human destiny” (Wordwed Dictionary, 2009). The implication here is that religion is a belief system that controls the lifestyle of society, including its expectations, norms and motivations:

Religion is a collection of belief systems, cultural systems, and world views that relate humanity to spirituality and, sometimes, to moral values. Many religions have narratives, symbols, traditions and sacred histories that are intended to give meaning to life or to explain the origin of life or the Universe. They tend to derive morality, ethics, religious laws or a preferred lifestyle from their ideas about the cosmos and human nature. (Kenneth Shouler, 2010).
Shouler’s assertion of religion as a belief – cultural system with a preferred lifestyle is a workable definition. This follows the point that religion follows a set of beliefs, rules, systems and rituals that govern the day to day life of the individual and society on the whole are inscribed in these beliefs.

However, Clifford Geertz uses a simpler definition of religion. He calls religion a ‘cultural system’. To Geertz, culture denotes “an historically transmitted pattern of meanings embodied in symbols, a system of inherited conceptions expressed in symbolic forms by means of which men communicate, perpetuate, and develop their knowledge about and attitudes toward life” (1973, 89). In this case, religion becomes an inherited system that communicates and informs the everyday life of a community, and ultimately, an individual. Geertz (1973; 87) quotes Santayana:

Thus every living and healthy religion has a marked idiosyncrasy. Its power consists in its special and surprising message and in the bias which that revelation gives to life. The vistas it opens and the mysteries it propounds are another world to live in; and another world to live in—whether we expect ever to pass wholly over into it or no—is what we mean by having a religion.

In this instance, religion becomes the socio-cultural lifestyle of a person/people. It is that which a individual believe is instrumental in their lives and day to day existence. I see religion as the basic instructions for living, a purpose of will and the reason for being.

With this in mind, my notion of ‘playing religion’ stems from the ideal that a person’s activities and actions are derived from his/her participation or non participation in religion. As I have
discussed in the previous segment, the self of a person is his/her unique will, motives, judgments and actions. These motivations, judgments, will and actions are influenced by the society and culture in which one finds him/herself in. To this end, religion, as a cultural system, defines one’s self. The actions that one takes, and the judgments one makes, all are influenced by the religion a person partakes in. The living out of these religious instructions in one’s life is what I term as ‘playing religion’. This concept of ‘playing religion’ is echoed in Richard Schechner’s understanding of performance as “actions” (2006; 1). These actions range from “everyday life performances to the enactment of social, professional, gender, race, and class roles” (Schechner, 2006; 2). Religious actions are part of everyday life, and can thus be termed as performance, hence ‘playing religion’.

I believe that Karl Marx could boldly proclaim religion as the “opium of the people” because everyone turns to religion in search of some fulfillment. The need to find self fulfillment, answers to different life questions and reason to live is what mostly drive people to be religious. Religion claims to offer all these through the various beliefs, instructions, rituals and systems within. It is the maintenance and adherence of the religious instructions that is of interest to me in the notion of ‘playing religion’. How do these “fulfill” one’s self? How do they define the individual in different spaces? Transcendence here becomes the exploration and defining of self in its “playing religion”. Waiting for Godot becomes the religious space that facilitates a liminal space where the self can play in between the individual and the religious.

2.5 HOLY THEATRE

Religion entails a form of spirituality, mysticism and ritualism. Spirituality has been defined by many social scientists as the search for "the sacred," where "the sacred" is broadly defined as that which is set apart from the ordinary and worthy of veneration (Synder, C. 2007). Hanegraaff, W. J. (1996) asserts the sacred as a belief in a power operating in the universe that is greater than oneself, a sense of interconnectedness with all living creatures, and an awareness of the purpose and meaning of life and the development of personal, absolute values. Spirituality can be easily understood as the way one finds meaning, hope, comfort, and inner peace in his/her life.
Ritualism, the adherence and/or observation of ritual practice, is also a major characteristic of religion. Every religion has a set of rituals, i.e. prescribed procedures for conducting ceremonies that are adhered to. These rituals provide the gateway to the fulfillment of one’s expectations. By adhering and practicing the given rituals, one can find the answers (s)he is searching for. Hence ritual facilitates one’s transcendence and transform in relation to one’s needs.

In pursuit of spirituality and religious self reflexivity, Holy Theatre is a convention that centers on the mythical beliefs found in religions. It makes use of these mythical beliefs and rituals to facilitate a spiritual journey for the participants where the religious self can be observed and examined. The term Holy Theatre is borrowed from Peter Brook who described it as “The Theatre of the Invisible-Made-Visible” (1968; 47). His understanding and definition fits my style and convention in that the theatre has the mythical beliefs and figures brought alive on stage to interact with the living and visible things so as to question religion’s position in one’s self. It is the theatre in which the spiritual beings found and believed in religions become a major part of the actions on the stage to interact with the self and offer clarity.
CHAPTER 3

PLAYING WAITING FOR GODOT

3.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter retells the journey in the production of Samuel Beckett’s *Waiting for Godot*. It records the background of the participants, the synopsis and interpretation of the play, and the workshop and outline of the process from production to performance.

3.2 PARTICIPANTS BACKGROUND

I came into this research as a ‘religious’ person. I am a Christian. Having been raised in a Christian family, I got born again, i.e., I believed and accepted Jesus as the Lord and Savior of my life in 2005. I am part of the Pentecostal/Evangelical Christian fellowship. My lifestyle is heavily based on these teachings and instructions. I believe that my life is not my own, but I owe it to God who gave his in exchange for mine through the death of Jesus.

However, I do not subscribe to some various ‘ritualized’ and institutionalized systems. For instance, I do not subscribe to the notion that there is a typical right hairstyle for a Christian, neither is there a correct form of dressing. I believe that there are choices and paths that God intends for every individual, and hence some systems like clothing, are best left to the individual. In our pursuit for fulfillment, one’s transcendence is based on an individual’s personal relationship with God.

With this background, my interest in doing the Holy Theatre performance lay in bringing together people of versatile Christian backgrounds. My aim was to create a unique religious experience for each participant that facilitates a deeper understanding of one’s place within the
religious space. From the different experiences and understanding of the participants, we would workshop *Working for Godot* and use the different beliefs and activities so as to create a religious reflexive experience in which the self understands itself more. Details concerning the three participants follow in Table 1:

Table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>ROLE</th>
<th>GENERAL BELIEFS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lopastery Nompumelelo Skhosana</td>
<td>22, Student</td>
<td>Vladimir</td>
<td>A Christian from an apostolic sect, Mpumi is devoted. She attends service regularly, and has a similar understanding and worldview of life as I have, all based on Christian principles. Mpumi maintains that her lifestyle is Christian.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nthabiseng Moriri</td>
<td>19, Student</td>
<td>Estragon</td>
<td>A Christian from a semi-zionist fellowship, Nthabiseng believes in God but says she is not into religion that much. She attends Church with her family and is attached to that fellowship due to the role it played in her sister’s life. She has a reverence for the deity that is strict. I can say she lives a double life as there are certain things she regards sacred to religion and these should not be treaded upon. For instance, there is a day in rehearsal when we had a prayer session. She was wearing a mini skirt. Throughout the session, Nthabiseng was quiet and looked uneasy. I asked her why she was uneasy. Her response was that she cannot approach God in a mini-skirt as it was highly inappropriate and disrespectful towards him.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 WAITING FOR GODOT: SYNOPSIS, OUTLINE AND INTERPRETATION

Although Waiting for Godot is an uneventful, maundering, loquacious drama... Mr. Beckett is no charlatan. He has strong feelings about the degradation of mankind, and he has given vent to them copiously. “Waiting for Godot” is all feeling. Perhaps that is why it is puzzling and convincing at the same time. Theatergoers can rail at it, but they cannot ignore it. For Mr. Beckett is a valid writer.”


Written between 1948 and 1949, Waiting for Godot premiered in 1953. It was the advent of what is now termed the Theatre of the Absurd. I consider this to be a postmodern classic as it radicalized the theatre, facilitating the shift from the well made play to the more self-searching theatre. Postmodernism can be understood as a style and concept in the arts characterized by distrust of theories and ideologies and by the drawing of attention to conventions. According to Perry Anderson (1998), postmodernism refers to "any of various the movements in reaction to
modernism that are typically characterized by... ironic self-reference and absurdity, mainly in literature, or to a theory that involves a radical reappraisal of modern assumptions about culture, identity, history, or language”. *Waiting for Godot* questions the self and attacks the issues of identity, history and life in the modern world. Brooks Atkinson’s review speaks to this, that the play is “all feeling”, hence implying the deep spiritual connection that *Waiting for Godot* advocated.

Samuel Beckett (1906-1989) was born in Ireland and attended Trinity College in Dublin. He spent extensive time in Germany and France, where he finally settled permanently. He took part in the French Resistance against the Nazi occupation during World War II. He studied Modern Languages at Trinity College, Dublin. In 1930, Beckett became a Lecturer in French at Trinity College, Dublin. He published his first collection of short fiction, *More Pricks than Kicks*, in 1934, and his first collection of poetry, *Echo’s Bones and Other Precipitates*, the following year. In 1937, he settled in Montparnasse in Paris in 1937. From the late 1930s to the early 1950s, Beckett published a series of novels, including *Murphy, Watt*, and the trilogy *Molloy, Malone Dies, and The Unnamable*. In 1945, he began writing exclusively in French, subsequently translating his work into English.

Inasmuch as Beckett’s works were thematically emotional and contained religious/spiritual elements, he was not a religious person. Beckett claimed, “I have no religious feeling... For me it was only irksome and I let it go. My mother and brother got no value from their religion when they died. At the moment of crisis it has no more depth than an old school tie” (Tom Driver, 1961, 23-24). Apparently, Beckett did not have a form of religion which he adhered to. He had some religious knowledge, particularly Christianity since he had a Christian family, but he did not dedicate himself to any particular religion.
In 1948-49, Beckett wrote *Waiting for Godot*. It was published in 1952 and had its first French production in Paris in 1953. It consists of two acts of uneven lengths in which Vladimir and Estragon spend time conversing and alternating between hope and despair while waiting for Godot to keep an appointment with them. Pozzo and his slave, Lucky, appear in each act. A young boy arrives in each act to inform Vladimir and Estragon that Godot will not arrive today, but will tomorrow.

According to James Mills (2011; 5), *Waiting for Godot*, in all fairness, can hardly be summarized; only outlined. I agree with this assertion. The basic outline of the play is as follows:

**ACT I:**

1. Vladimir and Estragon Alone
2. Arrival of Pozzo and Lucky: Lucky’s Speech
3. Departure of Pozzo and Lucky: Vladimir and Estragon Alone
4. Arrival of Boy Messenger
5. Departure of Boy Messenger: Vladimir and Estragon Alone

**ACT II:**

1. Vladimir and Estragon Alone
2. Arrival of Pozzo and Lucky
3. Departure of Pozzo and Lucky: Vladimir and Estragon Alone
4. Arrival of Boy Messenger
5. Departure of Boy Messenger: Vladimir and Estragon Alone

Simon Callow, writing about the play on its 50th anniversary, had this to say:

*Waiting for Godot* has lost none of its power to astonish and to move, but it no longer seems self-consciously experimental or obscure. With
unerring economy and surgical precision, the play puts the human animal on stage in all his naked loneliness. Like the absolute masterpiece it is, it seems to speak directly to us, to our lives, to our situation, while at the same time appearing to belong to a distant, perhaps a non-existent, past.


It goes without saying that Beckett’s play speaks to the human nature. It has universal appeal that transcended the boundaries of space and time, speaking to almost every man and woman in its own way.

With *Waiting for Godot* Beckett is considered one of the defining playwrights of Theatre of the Absurd, a style of theatre developed by a number of European playwrights in the 1950s and 1960s. The term was coined by the critic Martin Esslin who employed it in the titling of a book on the subject, in which he alluded to the meaningless life that theatre practitioners were at that time typically portraying on stage:

…whatever it is, the basis of the well-made play is the implicit assumption that the world does make sense, that reality is solid and secure, all outlines clear, all ends apparent. The plays that we have classed under the label of the Theatre of the Absurd, on the other hand, express a sense of shock at the absence, the loss of any such clear and well-defined systems of beliefs or values. (Esslin, M. 1965)

The Theatre of the Absurd goes against the realist tradition of a ‘well made’ play. It subverts the life-like scenarios, psychologically realistic characters, witty dialogue, and well-crafted, causal plots with neatly tied up beginnings, middles, and ends. In the Theatre of the Absurd, the
characters tend to be highly unfamiliar, weirdly motivated; their dialogue is filled with non-sequiturs and “blather,” seeming nonsense. The movement of the plot is arbitrary. It lacks a clearly defined and identifiable beginning, middle.

According to the Hutchinson Encyclopedia (1999), the work of Absurdist theatre expressed the belief that “human existence has no meaning or purpose and therefore all communication breaks down. Logical construction and argument gives way to irrational and illogical speech and to its ultimate conclusion, silence”. *Waiting for Godot* easily falls into this category. The characters, though they talk to each other, have no sensible communication and find peace in silence. There is a lot of silence within the play, significant of the breakdown in human relations. Vladimir and Estragon wait for Godot, but they are not sure if the place where there are waiting for him is the right place, neither are they sure of the day and time they are supposed to wait. The purpose of their actions is therefore non-existent, hence the gloom of human nature.

The Theatre of the Absurd has a strong connection to Existentialism, which was an influential philosophy in Paris during its rise. Chiefly advanced by the philosopher Jean-Paul Sartre, existentialism centers on the individual as a unique entity of the universe. Accordingly, the starting point of philosophical thinking must be the experiences of the individual. A central proposition of existentialism is that *existence precedes essence*:

…which means that the most important consideration for the individual is the fact that he or she is an individual—an independently acting and responsible conscious being ("existence")—rather than what labels, roles, stereotypes, definitions, or other preconceived categories the individual fits ("essence"). The actual life of the individual is what constitutes what could be called his or her "true essence" instead of there being an arbitrarily attributed essence used by others to define him or her. Thus,
human beings, through their own consciousness, create their own values and determine a meaning to their life.

(Heidegger, M. 1978, 208).

*Waiting for Godot* presents characters that are in search of self definition. It puts to question the categorized man and asks what the individual is. It is this search for the “individual” that the play is influenced by the existentialism understanding of humanness. It follows that all the characters have no perceivable history and influences. They exist in the here and now, defined only by themselves and their motives.

Also, it seems more likely that Beckett would mock the essence of humans being defined by the others, or by the system of the world. Vladimir and Estragon cannot laugh anymore because it has been prohibited, but they say they have given up their right to laugh. The issue of being ‘tied to Godot’ speaks to the loss of human identity. The treatment of Lucky by Pozzo alludes to the system’s misuse and abuse of the human. In this way, *Waiting for Godot*, on the lines of the existentialism, mourns the death of the self, as the world system has corrupted the very essence of humanity, the individual. Beckett’s play can thereby be understood as a lamentation for the stripping away and death of the individual, a lamentation for the doom that has befallen mankind as he has given up on himself only to be defined by the other and the system.

Although a non-religious text, *Waiting for Godot* contains many allusions and references to the bible and God in the text. Vladimir asks Estragon if they can consider repentance. He talks about the two thieves who were crucified with Christ. Estragon says that he will not wear shoes because Christ did not wear shoes. The many allusions to the biblical God in *Waiting for Godot* are summarized by Eva Metman:
At the end of each day, a boy-messenger arrives in his stead with the promise that he will come tomorrow. In the first act we hear that he does not beat the first messenger, who is a goatherd, but that he beats his brother, who is a shepherd. The two friends feel uneasy about him. When they meet him they will have to approach him ‘on their hands and knees’ and if they stopped waiting he would punish them. At the end of the second act we hear two more items: Godot does nothing, and his beard is – probably – white.

From all this we may gather that Godot has several traits in common with the image of God as we know it from the Old and the New Testament. His white beard reminds one of the image of God’s old-father aspect. His irrational preference for one brother recalls Jehovah’s treatment of Cain and Abel; so does his power to punish those who would drop him. The discrimination between goatherd and shepherd is reminiscent of the Son of God as the ultimate judge; as a saviour for whom men wait and wait, he might well be meant as a cynical comment on the second coming of Christ; whilst his doing nothing might be an equally cynical reflection concerning man’s forlorn state.

(Esslin, M. 1965, 124-5)

As afore-mentioned, Beckett was not a religious person. He was, however, familiar with various religions, Christianity being one of them. He maintains that the title Waiting for Godot does not allude to the commonly held assertion that it means waiting for God. He denies that Godot is not God. However, he acknowledges his use of his religious knowledge: "Christianity is a mythology with which I am perfectly familiar so I naturally use it." (Duckworth, C. 1972; 18).
3.4 WORKSHOPPING WAITING FOR GODOT

Following the existentialism philosophy of self as defined by the individual’s own understanding of existence in the world, I understood *Waiting for Godot* as based on the theme of emptiness and vanity that the text carries with it. At the time of my reading the play, I was confused as to why I was studying at Wits University. I was in search for answers as to my existence in Joburg. I was in a void space where the meaning of my life was vague and unclear. The sense of vanity and emptiness were overshadowing my life.

In my search for fulfillment, I turned to my religion. Instead of giving answers, the Bible further gave the allusion of the emptiness and vanity of my life, hence becoming an extension of *Waiting for Godot*:

I loathe *it*; I would not live always: let me alone; for my days *are* vanity.

*Job 7:16 (KJV)*

Behold, thou hast made my days *as* an handbreadth; and mine age *is* as nothing before thee: verily every man at his best state *is* altogether vanity.

*Psalms 39:5 (KJV)*

The LORD knoweth the thoughts of man, that they *are* vanity.

*Psalms 94:11 (KJV)*

Vanity of vanities, saith the Preacher, vanity of vanities; all *is* vanity. What profit hath a man of all his labour which he taketh under the sun?

*Eccl 1:2-3 (KJV)*
My understanding of vanity is that of futility, i.e., having no practical value in the end. The WordWeb Dictionary defines futility as ‘uselessness as a consequence of having no practical result’. The above biblical quotations speak to this “uselessness” of life. The Christian fundamental principle, in this vein, teaches that all life is meaningless, useless and of no profit, hence vain, if one does not accept Jesus as his/her Lord and savior. The gospel according to John alludes to need for having Jesus if men are to be saved from the vanity and emptiness that is life:

And as Moses lifted up the serpent in the wilderness, even so must the Son of man be lifted up: 15 That whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have eternal life. 16 For God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life. 17 For God sent not his Son into the world to condemn the world; but that the world through him might be saved. 18 He that believeth on him is not condemned: but he that believeth not is condemned already, because he hath not believed in the name of the only begotten Son of God. 19 And this is the condemnation, that light is come into the world, and men loved darkness rather than light, because their deeds were evil. 20 For every one that doeth evil hateth the light, neither cometh to the light, lest his deeds should be reproved. 21 But he that doeth truth cometh to the light, that his deeds may be made manifest, that they are wrought in God.  

John 3:14-21 (KJV)

Moved by the searching characters in the text, and the need to understand myself as an individual with the system at Wits University in light of the Christian teachings, I set out to workshop and perform Beckett’s play. With the purpose of facilitating a religious self reflexive platform and experience, I conceptualized a performance that includes the audience in the action. The ultimate goal was to usher the audience into a place where they can, individually, look into themselves and question that which brings fulfillment. The theme of the performance lay on the ‘desperate’ need that man has of God in bringing meaning to their lives. It spoke to how man searches for
fulfillment, and in that wait he has continuous interactions with God. But man is blind to the sight of God; he is deaf to his words. It is because of his ignorance of the God who is right in front of him that man continues to suffer, be futile and be empty.

Due to time constraints, I only focused on the 1st Act of the play. I removed Lucky and Pozzo from the action, the reason being that they represent a system that is in motion, one that is coming and going. I wanted a void immobile space which is the liminal, the central position where man is still and yet searching, awaiting something and full of both expectation and uncertainty. Vladimir and Estragon stand in this liminal space, a void in which they represent man in searching, man in despair and anticipation for something larger than himself. They are the searching man, the waiting man. These scenarios fit well into my themes and aims. I kept the boy at the end but changed him into a Man, a Jesus like figure who is present on stage throughout the play. I kept the dialogue between Vladimir and Estragon as it is in the original text, only adding the passage from John 15 as part of the Man’s speech.

The sequence of the performance was as follows:

- Jesus Man outside with the audience, gives the Sermon on the Mount.
- The Shoe Dance: the crucifixion of the Jesus Man.
- Vladimir and Estragon Alone with small interactions with the Man
- The Tumble Dance: Man’s death and descent into hell.
- Vladimir and Estragon Alone.
- The Victory Dance: Man rises from the dead, gives the Holy Communion to everyone.
- Vladimir and Estragon Alone.
- Man addresses Vladimir and Estragon and leaves.
- Vladimir and Estragon Alone.
The performance was meant to be an integration of text, physical/movement pieces and various Christian rituals. The rehearsals were conducted following this workshop schedule:

Table 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BLOCK</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Self reflection</td>
<td>The first two weeks of the workshop focused on the self in relation to religion. In the workshops, we discussed various beliefs, principles and mandates set out in the different fellowships which we go to. We discussed various elements in relation to what we know, our experiences and beliefs, and how these have shaped us to what we are today. The main aim for this exercise was to gain an understanding of our various beliefs and practice, without which the ideal of “playing religion” would have been compromised. Again, this was helpful in that it offered a reflexive space where one looks at the role of religion in his/her life. As such, it set the pre-research nature of the participants, giving access for a before – after comparison.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Performing ‘Church’</td>
<td>At this stage, I lead the team through various ‘church’ rituals. Music, dance song and prayer characterized the sessions. The first sessions were typically organized according to my understanding and experience of a ‘church’ ritual. Following this, the participants then took the team through their own ‘church’ rituals. They would lead the group through the various steps of church they are accustomed to. Likewise, music, dance, prayer and song were part and parcel of this session.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
However, they differed with every individual in that each was unique.

The purpose for this session was to play at the various mystical beliefs that the group understands or has experienced. It was also a chance to reflect on the meaning of the various behaviors and elements that are part of the religious.

### Text

The starting point for this phase was the read through of the original script of *Waiting for Godot*. After that we read through the edited and adapted version. A common understanding of the play was established, though a few differences were maintained.

As part of the research, I did not give the participants roles. After the read through and discussions, they chose the roles they wanted to enact on their own. This lead to characterization and the devising of a style for the play.

From this point on I assumed full power of the creative work. In the blocking of the play, I gave directions and determined how the play developed. I gave the actors room for contributions here and there, but it was minimum, mainly limited to character development. This was done in line of my visual presentation.

### Movement

The play contained “mystical” moments, namely the crucifixion, the death and decent of Jesus, the ascension and revelation of Jesus and lastly the judgment of man at the end. These parts of the performance were channeled through music and dance/movement. This part I left it up to the cast to come up with the dance movements based on their interpretations of the text and moment in which the mystical meets the natural.

After a week of no progress, the group requested that I bring a choreographer to choreograph the dance movements. The group had failed to come up with choreographies of their own, and so I invited Ste to come in as a choreographer.
However, the coming in of Ste did not help as the cast was not coming to rehearsals. This was a problem that had been going on for some time and was becoming hard to resolve. After 4 failed meetings with Ste in which two cast members did not show up, Ste dropped out of the project. I was left to reconsider the show’s concept from the beginning.

3.5 PERFORMING WAITING FOR GODOT

A week before the performance, one cast member officially moved out of the project due to unforeseen problems. Another’s availability was not guaranteed. As such, I had one member left whom I had to work with.

Because of this setback, I changed the concept of the show, and I took the role of Vladimir. Instead of bringing the mystical to life on stage, the show had the mystical and religious elements being portrayed on stage through images and pictures. Music still played a crucial role in facilitating emotional reaction to the religious elements on discussion.

As I had lost my third character, the ‘Jesus’, I had to rely on the audience for the third man. The audience was invited to come on stage and they were used as material for the sculpting of the mystical images. The setup of the show changed to this sequence:

- Vladimir serves the Holy Communion.
- The Belief Slide show: Vladimir and Estragon display various images based on individual understanding of Christian based principles.
- Vladimir and Estragon alone.
The Calling: Vladimir feels drawn to the ‘tree’, he calls forth an audience member and creates an image.

Vladimir and Estragon Alone.

The Calling 2: Estragon feels drawn to the ‘tree’, he calls forth an audience member and creates an image.

Vladimir and Estragon alone.

The Justification: Vladimir dances with the angel and falls at its feet.

Vladimir and Estragon alone.

The Waiting: Estragon waits in the ‘wilderness’ for his justification which does not come.

Vladimir and Estragon alone, moving into stillness.

The end.

3.6 CONCLUSION

The workshopping of *Waiting for Godot* under the Holy Theatre banner was challenging as things did not go the way planned. The turn of events left me wondering as to what went wrong within the rehearsal space. Was the text that hard to comprehend? Were the religious activities a blockage to the actors’ capabilities to understand and embody the text? Or was I too harsh on the actors that I motivated a blockage rather than an opening to the process? Or had I lost focus to facilitate a “self” reflexive space and went to dictate and impose myself on the other such that they ran?

One main thing I noted during the process is that other things were happening in the actors’ life outside the rehearsal space. These contributed largely to the events in our space. The performer is in constant touch with other people and exists in different contexts outside the theatre space. One’s interaction within these contexts shapes one’s participative mood in the rehearsal space. To this end, the performer is never away from socio-political influences. These influences have a bearing on his/her participation within the theatre space.
In light of the above, I can note the challenges that the performers meet in their individual capacity as having a bearing on the turn of events in the *Waiting for Godot* space. These include school commitments, social challenges and financial problems. Having been motivated by my fragile life, the workshopping of *Waiting for Godot* as a religious text meant to facilitate self reflexivity showed me that the theatre space is as fragile as life itself. It can open up one to self reflection, or even close one up to oneself.
CHAPTER 4

THE TRANSCENDING SELF IN WAITING FOR GODOT

4.1 INTRODUCTION

As Waiting for Godot became a religious text being performed in the theatre, it sought to facilitate a space where the self sees itself. The text Waiting for Godot became that liminal space where the self exists in a void of “nothingness” that allows it to observe itself, the in-between moments of transition from the old to the new self. The observations and realization of self in this space are the moments of transcendence that were of interest to this research. This chapter tries to capture these moments and outlines the moments of “self in transcendence” as it outline the major themes within two of the participant’s reflections.

4.2 NOMPUMELELO'S JOURNEY

4.2.1 Playing Vladimir: The Familiar Unfamiliar

The Waiting for Godot journey started with the cast having unassigned roles. Nompumelelo later chose Vladimir as the character she wanted to play. She noted the following in her journal as a reflection for choosing Vladimir:

Vladimir has such wild imaginations. The place of his comfort is in his head. He lives in his own imaginative world. His comfort comes from the memories, images and truth he builds, creates and lives on his own. I love his sense of awareness of the other realm, the realm of the make belief world. This makes Vladimir a bit of a childish man. He is very childlike and is crazy but comfortable in that childlike nature. I am like this as a person. I get lost in my memories. I find comfort in my
imaginations and make belief worlds. I feel Vladimir and me are one in finding comfort in the realm of the imagined. Innocent is always telling me to stop being a child in rehearsal. And Vladimir is a beat serious and challenging in his grown up serious mood. I think this would make it very exciting in being a childlike character that plays grown up.

(Nompumelelo Journal Entry, 02/09/12)

The above entry points to Nompumelelo’s love for Vladimir’s childlike nature and how this was mixed with a sense of responsibility and maturity. For her, playing Vladimir was playing a mature child who is tasked to a lot of responsibility; “I feel so burdened with Vladimir. He is the one carrying the burden of keeping Estragon in check. I want his fun part, his imaginations, his thoughts… not his responsibilities” (Nompumelelo Journal Entry, 22/09/12). The character was therefore a heavy burden to her because of the responsibility it carried. Also, Nompumelelo noted how the part of Vladimir played a major part in her life:

So today was just another day at rehearsals. Innocent wanted us to finish blocking today. But I wasn’t in the bloody mood. I scolded him. I felt sorry afterwards. I mean, I had a very boring f*%#%ing day, and he wants me to work extra hard on top of what I’m giving him. I told him straight in his face that his degree is not my responsibility. I have enough responsibilities of my own. And yes I am grown and mature in my own way. So what if I love being a little child? That’s me. I will grow up in my own time. I’m just tired that’s all. I need a breakkkkk.

(Nompumelelo Journal Entry, 14/10/12)

This was a day we had had an argument over her non attendance, and I had reminded her that this production was my examination, and my whole degree lay on it. By my saying this, I placed
my life in her hands. She felt that my success and life was her responsibility. Just as Vladimir was responsible for Estragon, so had Nompumelelo become responsible for Innocent’s life?

In playing Vladimir, Nompumelelo encountered the familiar with the familiar. The character was both familiar and unfamiliar to her. This union of the familiar and unfamiliar is a moment of transcendence in the liminal space which facilitates the self to look at itself from the perspectives of the self and the other. According to Dimm (2002), building relationships requires an opportunity for ‘self’ and ‘other’ to enter a space where together we encounter different ways of seeing and knowing. As such, Nompumelelo’s relationship with Vladimir facilitated an encounter of that which was familiar and unfamiliar within herself, which enabled her to search deeper within herself. In playing Vladimir, she played the liminal as she, in those moments, existed in-between the familiar and unfamiliar. The becoming of one of these facilitated an understanding of herself in the theme of responsibility.

4.2.2 Becoming… Belonging

According to Craib (1976; 15) Sartre’s ontological proof starts from the point that all consciousness is consciousness of something. He goes to argue that if this is the case, then consciousness can either be constitutive of that of which it is conscious or it must be facing a transcendent Being. This implies that one’s awareness of self either comes from the individual’s own awareness of existence or that of him/her existing in the presence of a force larger than his/herself:

Very moving… touching… it is so soothing. I feel at home and peace when I hear the song. Too bad I can’t visualize my world. The song creates a peaceful scenery conducive for getting me in touch with my inner being. And that’s what I do. I drift into the quietness of my soul. I drift into myself. I find myself silent. My body can move, but it moves in
silence. It is a silent song that takes me into a silent movement. Innocent wants us to move and dance. I love dancing and moving. Not the dialogue part. But I can’t seem to move this song. I drift into silence. A silence of heart, a silence of self, a silence lost in the music, a silence present in the noise, a silences in the music.

(Nompumelelo Journal Entry, 20/10/12)

The above is Nompumelelo’s journal entry in response to the musical moments with the play, here in particular the track Lamb of God by Kirk Franklin. Nompumelelo, during rehearsal, would get lost in her own world when the music started playing. She would dance her way into a daze, and then lie down with her eyes closed, fully awake and aware of what is going on around her, but somehow lost in herself. Was this self being conscious of self, or was it self existing in the presence of a transcendent being which compelled the self to transcend in this void?

I am a music person. I’m moved more by sound rather than words. Rhythm calls me to attention more than mere conversation. I drift away into myself when music plays, and I find peace in the movements and patterns of the unknown. To create a piece I need to see myself first. See my world, my soul and myself in the sound. And when I cannot see myself I fall silent. My body goes silent. I will be moving of course, but it’s a silent movement. A movement of response, and silence is a response. A silent one!

(Interview with Mwapangira I. G. 17/01/13)

Silence is a major driver of the action in Waiting for Godot. For Nompumelelo to experience the silence was to experience the text in movement. These were moments in which she would withdraw and get lost in her own self. Following Craib’s understanding of Sartre’s work, one can
say that these moments of playing silence were a manifestation of Nompumelelo’s conscious self being fully aware of itself becoming and belonging to a higher sensual existence. This experience, however, cannot be classified as a religious transformation. Inasmuch as it happened within a “religious space”, the participant never noted anything about religious transcendence, and so I cannot quantify this as a religious experience.

4.2.3 The Present Self

Life is just that: LIFE. A passage up and down, winding in the light and darkness. It flows in the meadows, the valleys, the deserts and anywhere else it pleases. It has a life of its own. That’s life. I sometimes don’t understand myself. Today I’m well aware of who I am and what I want. But the next moment I am lost in a maze, amazed at what I am not and what I am. Then the fights begin. People want me to be what I am not. They shove, push, direct, point, pull me in every way, whatever way they think is good for me. As if I’m not able to confuse myself further... LIFE neh. (Nompumelelo Journal Entry, 25/10/12)

During the numerous times I sat with Nompumelelo to talk, she noted how she was undergoing a lot of challenges in life. The major setback was her falling sick. Despite her unwell health condition, her family, friends, school work and extra commitments, including Waiting for Godot, still demanded her undivided attention. I believe this put pressure on her to discover herself more. The theme of responsibility echoed in her journal entries, and further implied a sense of purpose and duty:

I’ve truly been given the edge at Wits. Actually, I’ve been pushed to the edge. I’m about to fall. But why fall when I can simply turn back and walk away? Perhaps!!! I don’t know. Life. So why am I falling, turning,
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go this way or that way? Waiting for Godot neh… feels I’m just a speck of dust in the wind floating wherever whenever. Perhaps! Perhaps!

(Nompumelelo Journal Entry, 29/10/12)

The most interesting reflection on the process from Nompumelelo was in the interview we had post performance. She noted the following:

For me, *Waiting for Godot* was a journey of self discovery. With all that was going around me during the production, I questioned my purpose and responsibility in life. I first questioned why you had chosen me for the production. I was not a rightful place with God and the production provided that platform for me to get right and focus with God. Then I questioned my purpose in life. Why am I here at Wits? Why am I doing drama? Why am I who I am? I asked myself questions I have never asked myself before, questions I dared not ask myself. And I still don’t have all the answers, but some light has been shed into me. I now have a sense of purpose and destiny, and I hope all the answers to my questions will be answered soon.

(Interview with Mwapangira I. G. 17/01/13)

Here, Nompumelelo speaks to her relationship with the community, hence the present self in the community in relationship to other individuals. Although mainly focusing on the “self” as an individual, it is noteworthy that the self exists with the other, even in the theatrical/religious space. As such, the interaction of the self and text with the theatre is coupled with the self interacting with the “other”. These interactions facilitate meaning to the individual and the community as well:
The ‘imaginative space’, as I see it, is a space in which both ‘self’ and ‘other’ enter into an experience where one’s thoughts and feelings interact in order to make new meanings. These new meanings arise from the ability to step beyond our own ways of knowing into different ways of knowing which have come about as a result of experiences inside that space. (Dimm, J. 2002 in Drama Magazine)

To this end, *Waiting for Godot* as religious text within the theatre space facilitated new learning to the participants. The moment of self realization and discovery came as the self interacted with the text and the other in that void of ‘waiting’.

### 4.3 INNOCENT’S JOURNEY

#### 4.3.1 Self In Motion

Spend a few moments imagining yourself zooming off to visit distant stars and galaxies, past and future centuries, parallel universes – anywhere that takes your fancy. Then ask yourself this: even so empowered, could you displace yourself in such a way that you leave your *self* behind? Obviously not. The notion is plainly nonsensical; since you and your self are one, where you go it goes, and vice versa.

(Dainton, B. in Strawson, G. 2005; 1)

What Dainton speaks to here is the notion of self existing in the imagined. The self can imagine itself within different circumstances and contexts such that it can exist in various spaces and
times. This becomes the mobile self as it moves through time and space, capturing different moments and making meaning of these.

My mobility into the liminal space of *Waiting for Godot* as a religious text was stimulated by need to escape and make meaning of my existence at Wits University. However, the imaginative space of the theatre became more of void in which I struggled with myself as I tried to interact with the other participants and the text. I had volunteer participants whose coordination was much difficult. The major setback I had was attendance. It was hard to get the cast together at one point. Clashing lesson times and individual social interests prevented the group from meeting on a regular basis as per schedule. This made me frustrated as I had no control over their schedules. Consequently, the schedule we had first agreed to fell apart.

Because the members had volunteered, I could not push them and/or insist on them foregoing their commitments for my sake always. This made me powerless and hence I lost control over the schedule. Inasmuch as I tried talking to the cast members, using force, motivational speeches and pleadings, I could not guarantee their attendance as I was powerless to make them come.

This resulted in my frustrations. On numerous occasions I would wait for an hour for the cast members, only to have one show up as the rehearsal time drew to the end. Calls and text messages would flow between me and the cast and this would get me more frustrated. For me, however, the absence of the cast members became an absence of God in my life. It spoke to the deep longing for company and loneliness that man fears tries to free himself for. This to me was the time in moving silence. I operated in silence, burying myself within the silence of the text as I stood in this empty rehearsal space. The rehearsal space itself, became for me the void and liminal space in which I faced myself in silence, hence transcending me in absence to the me in motion of searching for fulfillment. I found myself mobile in mind, yet stagnant in that which I was trying to do. This silence and mobility was a moment of self realization.
4.3.2 The split self

Bernard Williams is of the view that splitting, i.e. breaking or separating, may seem like a good way of looking at one’s identity through time as the point that “a thing of one kind can be made up of or consist of parts or pieces of stuff means, familiarly enough, that the parts can change while the whole remains the same” (Harris, H. 1995; 4). What Williams speaks to is the diverse makeup of the human as a product of various elements. These elements change with time and yet the human remains the same. For example, a baby grows. The small feet and hands become larger with time, but the essence of the feet remain the same.

*Waiting for Godot* as a religious text became my liminal space where my split self manifested in the playing of Vladimir. I had not been on stage for over two years now, and here I was forcibly chucked onto the acting area with less than 5 days to get the lines, characterization and yet give a worthy performance at the end. This was not what I had hoped for.

Vladimir is a very imaginative character. It is his imaginative tendencies that link him to the mythical world. Personally, I am not that imaginative. And hence fitting into his shoes was a bit of a hustle. However, I am a very spiritually sensitive person. I have had mythical experiences that include angel visitations and out of body visions and experiences. This made it easy for me to relate to the imaginations which Vladimir has. It also made it simple to align these imaginations with the mythical experiences that my interpretation and purpose of the play entailed.

Needless to say, I found playing Vladimir a great toil. I was split between being a good director, actor and yet a facilitator of a religious experience. That which I had wished for other people became my reality. My religious self split with my schooling self. Two personalities were
manifested in the liminal space, and these made me view my life with a sense of futility. The text was futile, the performance seemed likewise, and my self split into these two identities which I could not comprehend fully as I faced myself within that space. However, I encountered myself in a different shade I have never done before. I believe that this moment of splitting facilitated in the workshops was a moment of transcendence. In its futility, the text also brought out some fruit of self.

4.4 THEMES IN REFLECTION

4.4.1 Futility

Considerably the main theme of Waiting for Godot, it was a feeling that hanged in our experiences. Futility is, according to the WordWeb dictionary (2009), a sense of uselessness as a consequence of having no practical result. It is non accomplishment when one has toiled and labored. It is the ‘nothing reward’ that one receives at the end of the day. Futility is evident in the play in that nothing is accomplished at the end of the show. Vladimir and Estragon, despite their efforts of waiting the whole day, finding things to entertain them and going hungry while waiting for him, Godot fails to show up. All their efforts yield nothing in the end. To this end, all their efforts are futile.

The theme of futility also ran as the theme for the production. Based on several biblical verses which speak to the futility of life, the play was workshoped with the understanding that life in essence is futile. As humans we are born, we grow and go through the rituals of life: marriage, school, work, successes and failure. At the end of our lives, however, all is lost. We die and leave all we have worked for, all the things we have acquired are lost at death, hence the futility of life. As the apostle Paul wrote in his letter to Timothy, “For we brought nothing into this world, and it is certain we can carry nothing out” (1 Timothy 6:7; KJV).
4.4.2 Silence

Silence is simply the absence of sound. It is the state when no sound exists. Silence can also refer to any absence of communication, but is also a form of communication, especially in reference to non verbal communication. In this case, silence is a form of voidness, a state of a “sounding nothing” that communicates to the inner core of a person.

The silence is a prominent feature in *Waiting for Godot*. There are as many silent moments as there is dialogue and sound. Personally, I think the silences act as “thinking moments” within the play. They act as a breather so that people really think and ponder upon their existence within that moment. Silence allows words to sink in, it also allows thoughts to flow in and out of the mind. It is serenity that is conversation within oneself and concerns.

Both I and Nompumelelo found silence a strong term that echoes one of the most profound emotional states we witnessed during the production of *Waiting for Godot*. Silence was always with us, and it became a part of us as it is a part of the waiting, the anticipation, and the expectation of answers that we as human are forever in search of.

4.4.3 Responsibility

The idea of responsibility speaks to the notion of duty; that which one is expected to do. It is, according to Elliot A. (2001), the social force that binds you to the courses of action demanded by that force. This implies that responsibility is the fulfillment of expectations that a certain system has on an individual. Geertz C. (1975) speaks of cultures as systems that every individual has an adherence to. Everyone has a duty, a responsibility and a purpose to fulfill within the socio-cultural system.
As such, Becket’s play questions man’s responsibilities in the system. Estragon appears to be independent but it is Vladimir who shoulders his burdens. Vladimir give Estragon food, and at one point notes, “When I think of it… all these years… but for me… where would you be… You'd be nothing more than a little heap of bones at the present minute, no doubt about it”. Vladimir appears to be the one carrying Estragon’s burdens. Becket appears to be asking the question: Am I my brother’s keeper?

However, the theme of responsibility does not run deep within the text of Waiting for Godot. It appears in the characters, but it is not central. Nompumelelo felt strongly that text and its performance instilled the ideal of responsibility. In light of her reflections, one can note that the rehearsal space facilitated a moment of transcendence and self reflection as she encountered herself in light of her responsibilities to the community.

4.4.4 Purpose

The word purpose denotes one’s plans, goals and objectives. In the same vein as responsibility, purpose speaks to what an individual aims to achieve at the end of the day, the reason for being in the moment. Rick Warren (1997) notes purpose as the main reason for one’s existence in life. In his motivational book The Purpose Driven Life, he argues that each and every one has a role to play, and living a life in the pursuit of fulfilling this role is everyone’s ultimate happiness. Purpose hence speaks to one’s goals and reason for being in the moment.

Waiting for Godot generally asks the question of purpose. It questions the intentions and reasons of man’s actions. As a lamentation of the vain existence of humanity, the play questions why man is what he is, why he does what he does. It tries to find meaning and sense in what man do and say, but fails to do so because by nature, man is futile. In my frustrations and silence, I too, just as Nompumelelo, questioned my reason for being. Why was I here at Wits doing drama and not working elsewhere. I had a sense of purpose as I felt that God was preparing me to use the
theatre as a platform for a preaching ministry. But the challenges and futility of my actions made me question my purpose as well.

4.5 WORKSHOPPING INWAITING

Following these reflections, we settled to creating a show that showcases our experiences in light of the playing *Waiting for Godot* as a religious text. The main aim for this production was to explore how we had entered the liminal space of *Waiting for Godot* and note those moments which had been transformative to our lives. It was a retelling and reentry into the liminal space with the new “selves” that had encountered moments of transcendence while playing *Waiting for Godot*. We entitled this reentry into the liminal *Inwaiting*, as we revisited the self in the void waiting for answers to self. The creation of the piece was done according to the following stages:

Table 3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WORKSHOP</th>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>EXERCISE</th>
<th>AIM</th>
<th>PROJECTED OUTCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reflection</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
<td>Participants discuss their various experiences and lessons from the production and performance of <em>Waiting for Godot</em>.</td>
<td>To capture the moments and lessons that were of importance to the performers.</td>
<td>Have different themes that we can use to come up with a play that tells the story of the performers’ experiences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space and Time</td>
<td>Reflection and improvisation</td>
<td>Participants recall the spaces and times that they enjoyed and made a big impact on them during the production and performance of <em>Waiting for Godot</em>. These moments and spaces are then recreated through improvisation to the best memories and satisfaction of the performer.</td>
<td>To facilitate a deeper understanding on the performer’s experiences. To facilitate a creative reflective platform for generating ideas for the play.</td>
<td>Have a skeleton to the action and story of the performers’ experiences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Memory</td>
<td>Reflection and improvisation</td>
<td>Participants recall the actions and contortions that they enjoyed and made a big impact on them during the production and performance of <em>Waiting for Godot</em>. These contortions are then recreated through improvisation to the best memories and satisfaction of the performer.</td>
<td>To facilitate a deeper reflection and memory on the performer’s experiences. To facilitate a creative reflective platform for generating ideas for the play.</td>
<td>Have a skeleton to the action and story of the performers’ experiences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Story Telling</strong></td>
<td><strong>Creation and narration</strong></td>
<td>Performers tell the story of their experiences. They then create a fictional story that speaks to their experience, understanding and reflection.</td>
<td>To generate ideas for the reflective piece.</td>
<td>Pool together ideas for the storyline of the play.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Story Creation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Creation and enactment</strong></td>
<td>With a stable and well thought out story line, both in dialogue and action, performers bring them together, amalgamating the various stories, experiences and reflections into the final dramatic presentation.</td>
<td>Create a single story which dramatizes all the performer’s</td>
<td>Have sound plot for the reflective piece.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rehearsals</strong></td>
<td><strong>Rehearsals</strong></td>
<td>Rehearsing the reflective piece, dialogue, movement and action.</td>
<td>Perfect the play for performance</td>
<td>A polished and refined piece.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance | Showing | Perform the piece to a watching audience | Showcase the results of our labor and research.

The play *Inwaiting* became a reflective piece that centers mainly on the themes of Purpose and Futility. It went further to manipulate the ideal of Silence. The issue of teaching and reflection was also explored at length, considering that we played on the differences that people undergo in thought processes and learning.

### 4.6 CONCLUSION

*Waiting for Godot* yielded four main reflective themes; purpose, responsibility, futility and silence. These themes were points in the participants’ encounter with their selves as they played on the liminal space of *Waiting for Godot* as a religious text, hence moments of transcendence. Nompumelelo and I underwent the same process. In our different capacities, we had different experiences in the rehearsal space. These experiences facilitated some reflection on our lives, and at moments made us, in our individual worlds, question ourselves and actions.

However, the reflections that happened within the rehearsal space were influenced by both the text, rehearsal process and the activities off-stage. Hence the theatre space does not exist in isolation. It is part of the socio-political system. The performer brings the offstage experiences of the self into the rehearsal room. Within this space, the self of the actor looks into the text and that which it has, and is called to question itself at every turn. The performer brings that which happens to him/her outside the theatre space to that space, and the two meet to create the theatre experience into a learning platform.
CHAPTER 5

PLAYING SELF IN TEXT

5.1 INTRODUCTION

The entrance into the liminal space of Waiting for Godot as a religious text, and the reentry of the “transformed” self into the space of Inwaiting, was in itself an entrance into the study of self. The participants, as individuals, enter the liminal space with their own expectations, histories and motivations. Inasmuch as the self plays the text, it also plays itself within the text. This playing of self within the text can be explained through the ideals of preference, interpretation, identification, translation and embodiment of the text as the self experiences moments of transcendence and transforms in the process. I will explore the self playing self in text basing on the experience of the four participants in Waiting for Godot and the reflective piece Inwaiting.

5.2 PREFERENCE

Every individual has preferences, and these are highly personal. Preference refers to a predisposition in favor of something. A preference is one’s liking or dislike of something. One can also interpret the term “preference” to mean evaluative judgment in the sense of liking or disliking an object (Scherer, 2005). Preferences could be conceived of as an individual’s attitude towards a set of objects, typically reflected in an explicit decision-making process (Lichtenstein & Slovic, 2006).

The participants brought their own preferences to the rehearsal room, and these were evident of the self playing self in text. Nthabiseng, coming from a very conservative Christian background, did not like some of the “church rituals” we were doing as part of the workshops. This made her to not participate while we were doing these rituals. A case in point was the issue of prayer. Most
of our rehearsal would start with prayer. Nthabiseng would not participate in these prayer sessions. In one video playback session I asked her as to why she prefers not to participate in the prayers. This is what she said:

I respect God and I love praying. Just that I am not used to the way you guys pray. I prefer the way we do it at our church… In our church we are taught reverence of God. I can’t approach God with a short skirt, a trouser or without covering my head… A hat is not a good covering for a lady. We must cover with a doek... I am used to it and I prefer that. I can’t approach God like I am now, with a short skirt and all.

(Interview with Mwapangira 02/09/12)

As the play initially had the person of Jesus onstage signifying the ever presence of God in our lives, Nhtabiseng had to wear a long dress. Her custom was a long black dress that reached the sores of her feet. This was in line with her preference of going into God’s presence fully covered as a form of reverence to him. However, she did not wear a doek as she wanted to challenge the idea of male authority within the church setup.

Arguing against the concept of preferences as internalized personalities brought about by the bonding that happens between an infant and its mother, Sen (1977) notes the following,

A person is given one preference ordering, and as and when the need arises this is supposed to reflect his interests, represent his welfare, summarize his idea of what should be done, and describe his actual choices and behavior.

(Albanese, P. J. 1987; 9)
This assertion speaks to a process called internalization that leads to one’s distinct personality. Briefly, internalization involves the socialization of a child by its mother and later by the people in its vicinity. The mother and people have certain attitudes which the child picks up. These attitudes are encoded into the personality of the child such that choices and preferences are based on them. According to (Kemberg, 1976), “Internalization is at first a process, based on the primary functions of perception and memory. These processes result in patterned and persisting ‘structures’, which represent internalized organizations of interpersonal experience” (Albanese, P. J. 1987; 9). To this end, through the internalization of interpersonal relationships, the socially determined status-role bundles become integrated into the personality, and it is the status-role bundles that are ultimately reflected in the consumer’s scale of preferences (Albanese, P. J. 1987; 8).

In light of the above, one can argue that Nthabiseng’s preferences to wearing a long dress as custom in the play was encoded in her by her mother and church. It was her mother who introduced her to the church. Her mother is a faithful follower of the church’s doctrine and teachings, and these she passed to Nthabiseng through internalization. It can thus be argued that Nthabiseng’s preferences were as a result of her background, and these preferences were self playing self in text.

Another case where the self manifests itself in the text through preferences concerns Nompumelelo’s preference of dance as a mode of communication over dialogue. From the first instance, Nompumelelo was against performing a play with too much dialogue:

Yesterday we danced… today it was all dialogue. Dialogue, dialogue… DIALOGUE. I hate dialogue. I came in my dancing gear expecting to dance and move. And what do I do instead. Learn fucking stupid lines.
Innocent was on my case for failing to get the lines straight. Please give me a break. I am not good at lines. And I don’t want to mess up my reputation by messing up lines. Do away with the lines, Inno. We can do this play in movement. I should tell him that tomorrow.

(Nompumelelo journal entry 21/09/12)

Nompumelelo’s preference to dance over dialogue was the major influence to the movement piece that we created for Inwaiting. She insisted on doing away with the dialogue to give room to dance. She managed to sell me her idea with these words in a brainstorming workshop:

You know I don’t know dialogue that well, Inno... And I don’t want you to fail your paper. I prefer we make it more of a dance piece because I can express myself more in dance than in words. You know too well how sound moves me. And I will be more comfortable to perform movement than dialogue... If I do what I am comfortable in I will perform better and your piece would be a masterpiece. I promise you that.

(Nompumelelo, 24/01/13)

Here, the issue of outcome as a reason for preference took center stage. Nompumelelo preferred dance and movement as the major communication mode as it would bring out a more expressive and beautiful artwork. The mode of expression for the play can be termed a decision problem. According to Tversky and Kahneman (1981), a decision problem refer to the acts or options among which one must choose, the possible outcomes or consequences of these acts, and the contingencies or conditional probabilities that relate outcomes to acts.
The decision that Nompumelelo took was very much resultant of her preference of dance and movement over dialogue. She weighed her strengths, and acted on these. According to Witt, U. (1991), people are supposed to perceive a set of alternative courses of action and outcomes, and evaluate the alternatives according to their subjective desirability, i.e. according to individual preferences. The outcome of their decision is, according to Tversky and Kahneman (1981), controlled partly by the formulation of the problem and partly by the norms, habits and personal characteristics of the decision-maker. What this implies is that Nompumelelo evaluated the possible outcomes of the text, and took a decision based on her experience as a dancer. This resulted in the text becoming a movement driven one than a dialogue piece.

5.3 INTERPRETATION

Interpretation refers to the understanding that one gets from the script. One can understand interpretation as an explanation or conceptualization that follows one’s understanding of a play text. It is giving meaning to a piece of work. Interpretation follows three stages; reading, analysis, and meaning making. One first reads the script, analyses and then gives meaning to the information. Usually the meaning of a play script is found in the themes and concepts that the performer picks up and showcases.

The process of interpretation is largely influenced by one’s personal background, particularly to the issues that the text explores. I interpreted *Waiting for Godot* as a religious text that spoke to the vanity of mankind in their existence, and yet help is always there in front of them in the person of Jesus. This interpretation was influenced by my Christian evangelistic background and the space where I was in at the moment of reading as I searched for an answer as to my existence at Wits University. The theme of vanity and searching/waiting for a solution made me give the text a meaning based on my religious view.
While Nompumelelo’s interpretation was based on the social injustices that humanity had bestowed on itself (journal entry 15/09/12), Nthabiseng’s understanding of the play was based on her intellectual background of the play:

It is a play in which nothing happens. Dissolution of mankind is the subject. As the war had ravaged the world, Becket and his contemporaries felt there was no way out for mankind to survive anymore. His play is a testimony to the stupidity of mankind’s actions. It hinges on the abursidity of life. It is a comedy that plays on hope and work.

(Nthabiseng journal entry, 13/09/12)

As explored in chapter three, *Waiting for Godot* has many interpretations to it. And these are all formed on the basis of one’s own understanding of the play. One makes meaning of the play text and what (s)he picks up from the playwright based on his/her own background, influences and understanding. To this end, we have different meanings of the same text.

Although speaking from a language translation viewpoint, Katharina Reiss notes that the meaning of a text is subject to the readers own understanding as influenced by his/her background and understanding:

The theoretician of communication, Otto Haseloff (1969), has pointed out that an “ideal” communication is rare even when one single language is employed, because the receiver always brings his own knowledge and his own expectations, which are different from those of the sender. H.F.Plett (1975) calls this factor the “communicative difference.”

(Venuti, L. 2000; 1971)
To this end, one can argue that the self of the performer manifests itself within the text through the meaning it gives to the text. This meaning is based on one’s knowledge, history and understanding. For *Waiting for Godot*, we had three different interpretations of the play, based on our personal reading. We had to discuss the play and reach one single interpretation for the purposes of this study.

5.4 IDENTIFICATION

The self of the performer manifests itself in the text through the notion of identification. Identification brings the text and the self of the actor together, merging the two in the production of theatre.

Identification has various definitions. Jonathan Cohen (2001) notes identification as a mechanism through which audience members experience reception and interpretation of the text from the inside, as if the events were happening to them. It is a psychological process whereby the subject assimilates an aspect, property, or attribute of the other and is transformed, wholly or partially, after the model the other provides. (Laplanche and Pontalis, 1973). Igartua, J. (2010) notes the following characteristics as those that constitute the notion of identification:

- emotional empathy (the ability to feel what the characters feel and become effectively involved in a vicarious way),
- cognitive empathy (adopting the point of view of or putting oneself in the place of the characters),
- sharing or internalizing the character’s goal and absorption (having the sensation of becoming the character or a temporal loss of self-awareness and imagining the story as if one were one of the characters).
In light of the above, one can note identification as one’s involvement, both emotional and psychological, with the events of the play text. This involvement is usually supposed to be largely unconscious: a reader may be aware that she likes a given character, but not that she actually sees that character as an alter ego, a version of her, or a projection of her aspirations for herself (Laplanche and Pontalis; 1973).

In the production of *Waiting for Godot*, the actors were not given specific roles. I left them to choose the character they wanted to play. Nompumelelo chose to play Vladimir because “he’s more like me; a dreamer” (journal entry 30/09/12). Nthabiseng chose to play the more quite Estragon:

> I don’t know what it is about this guy. He seems shy, a bit reserved. He is totally the opposite of me, but I am strongly drawn to him. I talk too much, but I enjoy my own space. He has those moments of going into his own shell. He gets lost in his own self. I’ve that tendency. Just lock myself away from all the people around me and be comfortable on my own. Estragon is a bit unsure of himself though. That’s something we have in common. I get lost in my own self, unsure of what I want in that moment. I’m not crazy as he is though.

*(Nthabiseng journal entry 30/09/12)*

The characteristics of identification as asserted by Juan-Jose´ Igartua (2010) are present in Nthabiseng’s journal entry. She feels what the character feels: “comfortable on my own”, and hence empathy is present. She then places herself in the shoes of Estragon: “He gets lost in himself. I’ve that tendency”. Lastly, Nthabiseng loses herself in the character of Estragon: “Estragon is a bit unsure of himself though. That’s something we have in common. I get lost in my own self”. This is what Igartua terms the internalizing the character’s goal and absorption.
Identification allows the merging of the self with the text. Through identification, the performer becomes one with the text and the text becomes one with the performer. Oatley (1999), defined identification with literary characters as empathy and merging: “The meeting of identification is a species of empathy, in which we do not merely sympathize with a person, we become that person” (Igartua, J. 2010). This implies that a performer becomes one with the text when (s)he has gone through the process of identification. Self reflection is made possible here as the text becomes part of the performers’ identity:

Identification is important because of its contribution to the development of self-identity. As self-identity is related to our perception of others and how they view us, media images are linked to self-identity (e.g., sexual identity is linked to beliefs about sex roles). Identifying with media others allows us to experience social reality from other perspectives and, thus, shapes the development of self-identity and social attitudes

(Cohen, J.2001)

Cohen (2001) asserts that identification requires that we forget ourselves and become the other—that we assume for ourselves the identity of the target of our identification. To this end, what we actually do when we identify with another, as argued by Wollheim, is that we write a part for ourselves, based upon the other, in the hope that, when we act it to ourselves, we shall be carried away by the performance (Cohen, J. 2001). What Cohen claims here is based on the understanding that we learn from that which we associate ourselves with. Hence if the performer identifies with a text, the performer learns from the text. However, the identification and learning process happens with the influence of the performers’ background, influences and aspirations. Ang (1982) argues:

Identification with one character does not take place in a vacuum. One does not just recognize oneself in the ascribed characteristics of an isolated fictional character. The character occupies a specific position within the context as a narrative as a whole: only in relation to other
characters in the narrative is her or his personality brought out. In other words, identification with a character only becomes possible within the framework of the whole structure of the narrative.

(Beneden, P. 1998)

Motivated with what was happening in her life at the moment, Nompumelelo’s identification with Vladimir led her to question her purpose in life. In the reflective piece Inwaiting, she took the theme of purpose as her major story. It had the ideal of self seeing self, and hence we played on the concept of blindness and mirrors. To her, Vladimir had presented a challenge of being self aware, not only in the imagination, but in the socio-physical life:

Vladimir opened my eyes. He’s not only imaginative, but is also aware and sure of his surroundings and his own life. Being spiritually aware and sensitive doesn’t make me a full person. I need to be aware of myself. I need to see from the imagined to the present. Be full in the moment. Be me in the physical. I see myself seeing myself.

(Nompumelelo journal entry 22/01/13)

In light of the above, one can note identification as a process in which the self of the performer manifests itself to the text and the text manifests itself to the performer. This allows self reflection to be possible in the performer.

5.5 TRANSLATION

Translation refers to the process of changing a text from one language to the other. This is the common linguistic understanding of translation. However, translation has to do with communicating an idea from the original text to an audience in another language or form. Bhatia, N. (1992) notes translation as the communication of the meaning of a source-language text by means of an equivalent target-language text. In the same vein, Kasparek, C. (1983) refers to the
meaning of translation as a changing process that communicates meaning from source A to source B:

The word translation derives from the Latin *translatio* (which itself comes from *trans* and *fero*, the supine form of which is *latum*, together meaning ‘to carry across’ or ‘to bring across’). The modern Romance languages use word for translation derived from that source of from the alternative Latin *traduce* (‘to lead across’).


Here, Kasparek implies that translation is the communication of the text from one source to another. Bhatia, N. (1992) notes three types of translation:

1. Intralingual translation: translation within the same language which can involve rewording or paraphrase.
2. Interlingual translation: translation from one language to another.
3. Intersemiotic translation: translation of the verbal sign by a non-verbal sign, for example music or image.

Of these three, I am interested in Intersemiotic translation. This is a phenomenon which involves the communication of the text by another medium. According to Xie, T. (2003), the intersemiotic translation deals with two or more completely different codes e.g., a linguistic one vs. a musical and/or dancing, and/or image ones. When it is applied, the expression code is changed entirely from the source. Roman Jakobson (1971) defined it as ‘transmutation of signs’ – ‘an interpretation of verbal signs by means of signs of non verbal sign systems’ (Aguiar, D and
Queiroz, J. 2004). It therefore follows that intersemiotic translation herein refers to transforming the written text of *Waiting for Godot* to a visual presentation.

The workshops we held prior to producing the text were based on our Christian background. These helped us in the formulation of a meaning of the text in a religious context. The understanding of our religious culture was the first step we took to translating *Waiting for Godot* as a written play text to a visual presentation of a religious text. In this way, the self of the performer manifested itself in the text through culture. Wei, L. (2009) speaks to the importance of culture in translation:

> Culture has been recognized as inseparable from translation for a long time. For instance, Nida used to take cultural factors into account while exploring his theory, as he said: it is true that all translating and interpreting the source and target languages must be implicitly or explicitly compared, but all such interlingual communication extends far beyond the mechanics of linguistic similarities and contrasts….

Another aspect where the self of the performer manifests itself in the text concerns the language of presentation. Every performer has a language which (s)he is very much expressive in. after the interpretation of the text, the performer selects a language to utilize to communicate the text. This language is largely influenced by the performer’s language and that of his/her target audience.

For *Waiting for Godot*, we had dialogue, images, movement and dance as the language. The choice for these was influenced by the religious language. Christian ritual is characterized by song, dance and dialogue. The initial production had all these as understood from the performers’ background. The final production that was performed had images as a language. These were chosen as images are easy to communicate meaning. Dialogue and movement was also maintained. Nthabiseng, however, did not participate in the dance/movement as she did not feel comfortable with the dance. As someone who cannot dance that well, she felt that she would not be able to communicate that meaning effectively as she does not understand the language of dance herself.
The reflexive piece *Inwaiting* has dance as the main language of expression. Nompumelelo and I have an understanding of dance and movement as a communication and expressive tool. In this regard, our manipulation of the text and our reflections was guided by our culture of using dancing as a means of expression. Wei, L. (2009) notes that the:

…meaning of verbal symbols on any and every level depends on the culture of the language community. Language is a part of culture, and in fact it is the most complex set of habits that any culture exhibits. Language reflects the culture, and in many respects constitutes a model of the culture.

In light of this, one can note the manifestation of self through translations as the language of expression and communication is influenced by the performers’ culture.

### 5.6 EMBODIMENT

The phenomenon of embodiment refers to one’s use of the body. It speaks to the performer’s use of the body as an expressive tool in the theatre. A concrete representation of an otherwise nebulous concept, embodiment involves the performer’s body as the expressive tool. The performer becomes the idea, lives it and showcases it to the audience. It is therefore the playing of an idea through the body.

Commenting of post-Brechtian political theatre, Garner, S. B. (1990) argues to the central position that the body has in the theatre.

Exploiting the body’s centrality within the theatrical medium, contemporary political dramatists have refigured the actor's body as a
principal site of theatrical and political intervention, establishing (in the process) a contemporary "body politic" rooted in the individual's sentient presence (Garner, S. B. 1990)

Garner speaks to the point that the body is the most important tool of expression in theatre. Grotowski, in his theory of the Poor Theatre, maintains this notion. His notion of the Via Negatiba is based on the idea that the actors should rid their bodies of any inhibitions that prevent the body to function effectively as a site of expression.

Each person has a physical history. Marion Fourcade (2010) argues that every aspect of an individual life is learnt through the growing stage, including our bodies:

Our bodies were also trained in the process, too. We came to recognize and experience certain physical sensations, to move and use our limbs in this or that manner, and know when to feel disgust and revulsion… The things that feel natural to us are not natural at all. They are the result of processes of socialization, inculcation, and training.

Having a history, a body therefore expresses the text through its own understanding and experience. The body as it is subjected within theatre stands, not merely as a figure within representational equations, but also as the source of its own, often ambiguous modes of habitation (Garner, S. B. 1990). This suggests that the body is both a tool of expression and a source of knowledge. As it takes on and represents ideas embedded in the text, the body tells of its own story and history while accumulating lessons for the performer:
Embodiment in performative pedagogical practices, we maintain, describes teaching and learning in acknowledgement of our bodies as whole experiential beings in motion, both inscribed and inscribing subjectivities. That is, the experiential body is both a representation of self (a “text”) as well as a mode of creation in progress (a “tool”). In addition, embodiment is a state that is contingent upon the environment and the context of the body. Bodies are perceived as inscribed and inscribing people’s relationships, engagement, and interpretation of multiple ways and histories of being, experiencing, and living, in the world.


Inwaiting showcases how the body is a platform by which the self of the performer manifests itself in the text. With little dialogue, the piece was based on physical movement and dance. Playing a farmer who works as hard as he can but fails to yield fruit, my physicality had to speak to communicate the idea of hard work. I am not heavily built. I am a slim person. My physical features are contrary to those of a person who is always working on the farm. I also do not have a history of farming. This was evident in my gestures and movements while I tended the tree.

However, one can argue that though my physical outlook did not resemble that of an ordinary farmer, they did represent the idea that the body was representing and expressing. The movements and gestures clearly resembled that of a farmer. The idea behind the playing of the farming was that of futility; one working hard but at the end gaining nothing. My lack of farming history translated into compulsive farming movements which spoke to frustrations over lack of success. In this way, the everyday gestures and movements were translated into a theatrical text through embodiment of the ideas:
Theatre confesses its own embodiment. "Theatricality" designates that aspect of performativity in which the boundary between simulated or authentic performance does not matter-only actors matter. Illusion, gest, and body language undermine any nontheatrical body by rule rather than by exception. Rather than being the habitually performed dissimulations that Butler has described, "physical" bodies create the firmament of most theatrical representation, so much so that the expression of embodiment as illusion, projection, role playing, spectacle, and abjection is germane to both theatre and cultural life.

(Epstein, M. J. 1996)

Epstein here refers to how everyday movements become more than just mere movements once they are part of the stage. They become a means to cultural knowledge, symbolism and expression. My embodiment of futility through the farmer was thus a manifestation of my own inhabitation and strengths. I had to look at what my body could do, and the result was the idea of frustrations that man has over life as he is not rewarded for his works. To this end, the history of my body and my embodiment of an idea meant that my body became a site for knowledge.

Nompumelelo decided to express her story through movement and dance. Her concept was that of “self seeing self” in line with the theme of purpose. For her, the experience of embodying the mythical during the production of *Waiting for Godot* had been a learning experience of self discovery:

I have learnt to see myself in myself. Before this, church was just something we used to do. Get up every Sunday late as usual. Mom would shout and scream at us saying that we are late. I’d drag my feet and shower as if I was in pain. Walking to church with a heavy bible was always a bore. I love the singing though. Somehow the songs were
meaningful and very danceable. Funny, they are the only things I took with me from church. Sermons were never my thing. Prayer? Yes! You can’t be a person without prayer. Prayer is like breathing. I’ve always been a fan of praying. I’m not a prayer warrior though. I just pray. Singing my old church songs in the rehearsal has given the songs meaning. The last month has been like a lesson of self. I feel awakened. I now see that church makes us look into ourselves. It is a place of seeing.

(Nompumelelo journal entry, 30/10/12)

In light of the above, Nompumelelo’s religious history and culture was embodied in the rehearsal room and enacted out. As such, the embodiment of her religious culture not only influenced the production of the play, but also facilitated a learning experience for her. According to Perry and Medina (2011), critical performative pedagogy considers the body itself as a place of learning and experience. The body is therefore, our method, our subject, our means of making meaning, representing, and performing (Perry and Medina, 2011).

Nompumelelo’s story in *Inwaiting* was her experience of music and song, in this case church music, as a means for one to look at oneself. She came with her feelings, her emotions, and these she relived and expressed in the dance piece. Her body became the way for her to see herself: “Today I danced like never before. I felt myself. I was in another world. Funny I was lost in the music but I found myself in the dance” (Nompumelelo journal entry, 30/10/12).

In working with all students I found the study of the body, in this context, to be the study of self and self processes, and the ability to shift our orientation to the world through heightened sensory awareness—all of which comes from the ability to move comfortably, to attend to self, and to attend to others… Experience becomes the operative concept as it is the origin or foundation of knowledge that is immediate, bearing a
sense of self that carries sensory presence and engagement. Experience includes feelings, motives and responses as we are affected and as they affect us by way of the context in which they are situated, all of which are constituted by culture

(Smith, M. 2010)

The above assertion by Mary Smiths speaks to the notion of self manifestation in the text. One’s physical experience, brought about by the environment and culture where (s)he comes from, influences the physical representation of the text. Likewise, the experience of embodying that text leads to one's understanding of self.

5.7 CONCLUSION

Every performer is a unique individual. (S)He has a history of experiences which manifests themselves in the text through the preferences that one has, the interpretation, translation and embodiment of the ideas in the text. In playing the text, the performer plays his/herself has a learning experience as well. In all this, the self of the performer construct/deconstructions itself by playing parts of itself within the text, and simultaneously strips parts of itself to play the text as it is. In playing Waiting for Godot as a religious text and Inwaiting, the participants brought their own preferences, interpretations, translations and embodiment which they lived out. That which they brought they had to split and adjust to fit the context of the text. The space in which they entered had its own system, and they had to fit their own selves to this system. The playing of the self and the system facilitated a deeper understanding of self as self could look at itself from within and from afar. The self remains itself, but at the same time takes up the system of the text. There is therefore adjustment of self as the self plays within the liminal, and this leads to moments of transcendence where the self is transformed in its understanding.
CHAPTER 6
PLAYING RELIGION: CONCLUSION

How do we know what we know? Sociology has a simple answer: We have learned it. Since we were little, we have learned to relate to the world through categories that our social environment readily supplied for us (Durkheim 2001; Durkheim and Mauss 1963; Martin 2000). Our sense of time, of space, or of the sacred—all of this came mediated by the people and institutions that surround us. Both forcefully and imperceptibly, the relations to others in our lives, such as to our parents, our teachers, the people in our neighborhood, individuals we were made to admire and those we were made to dislike—in short, everyone we came to relate to in some manner—helped our minds feel and think in ways that are very specific and not easily changed. They formed our judgment and shaped our morality.

(Fourcade, M. 2010)

An individual is the total sum of his/her own surrounding. From birth, a person interacts with his/her surrounding, the people around him/her, the environment, the political and socio-economic systems. These interactions have an impact on the individual, teaching him/her a lesson of some kind. This is the implication that Fourcade makes with the above assertion.

As such, the self of the actor is all the experiences (s)he has on a daily basis. Every interaction that the performer has, be it off or on stage, leads to a new understanding, a new way of being. However, an individual is not entirely at the mercy of his/her surrounding and the context which (s)he is born and grows up in. With time, one develops a like and dislike for ideals, principles and ideas. (S)He makes choices, and thus has the power to shape his/her experiences:

But that does not mean we never intervene in the process. With age comes a sense—sometimes faint and unconscious—of the arbitrariness of the social game and the rules that govern it (Mead 1967), and often a more or less articulated impulse to exert agency in an effort to change the game. Ways of knowing clash all the time, we bitterly find out. These
clashes are exposed daily in the small and large symbolic struggles that pervade life in society (Bourdieu 1987; Lamont 1992), and reveal the one meta-rule that governs it all: politics. And thus we might rebel against the game, or act upon our minds and bodies to shift our trajectory within it. All of this occurs in ways that fit our perceived social or moral aspirations.

(Fourcade, M. 2010)

In light of the above, one can note religion as a learned phenomenon. One is subject to the influence of religion as (s)he interacts with the religious institutions around him\her. Religion becomes a part of one’s life from birth. One however, reserves the right to choose his\her own religion. (S)He reserves the right of choice in relation to the religious influences around him\her.

In playing *Waiting for Godot* as a religious text, I perceived the text as a liminal space where the actor stands in a void, in between the familiar and unfamiliar, a place of the old and new. As the performer undertook this “religious journey” as (s)he played religion on the liminal, the self was suspended in the void and could thus experience itself in the old and the other, and hence transcend into a new form of being with possibly new meaning to life and answered questions. These moments of the transcending self were captured and reflected upon in the divided theatrical piece *Inwaiting* under the major themes of futility, purpose, responsibility and silence.

As such, it is the findings of this study that the experience of playing *Waiting for Godot* as a religious text facilitated a transcending of self. The performers, having brought their preferences, interpretations, translations and embodiment of the text, existed on the liminal space that the text and rehearsal room provided. Their existence facilitated new and old experiences, presented the familiar and unfamiliar, and thus provided new ways of experience and new meanings. It brought to the fore questions that they encounter daily but have never answered or took as important. Nompumelelo confronted the meaning and purpose of her life. She confronted the need to look at herself as a person, questioning and examining her role in life. Personally I confronted my fears of failure. Looking at the meaning of life and that which I do was also a part of the experience. Nthabiseng confronted some of the religious practices that she grew up with, like the ideal of male dominance in the church. These moments of self realization and discovery are the moments
of transcendence that this research sought to explore in examining the self of the performer in its construction/deconstruction while playing religion in the liminal space of Waiting for Godot.

However, it is important to note that the theatre does not solely account for the perceived transformation that the performers witnessed in this study. The performer is a person with a life outside the rehearsal room. The interactions that one has outside the theatre space have a huge impact on his/her life. Nompumelelo had schoolwork, family and other personal issues as factors influencing the reflections they had in the rehearsal space. My struggles with schoolwork and social life also influenced my reflections in the space. To this end, the rehearsal space becomes a reflexive space that is also influenced by the performer’s life outside that space.

In a nutshell, I have seen that the playing of religion within the theatre space leads to one’s questioning and examination of the phenomenon. The performer comes with his/her own religious history, experience and understanding to the theatre space. These histories manifest themselves in one’s preferences, interpretation, translations, identification and embodiment of the text and its ideas. The text brings both ideas and questions. The living out of these ideas create for the performer an experience that encourages one to look at oneself and question his/her own role in the society. The reflexivity that the theatre experience facilitates is enhanced by the performer’s life out of the theatre space. To this end, one can play religion in the theatre as a means for religious reflexivity.
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APPENDIXES

1. *Inwaiting* Draft Script

A dead tree with 2 or 4 fruits on it stands upstage right. Two full mirrors stand centre stage. Innocent enters with a basket, harvests the fruit and exists feeling proud of himself. He re-enters with a hoe and watering can. He works on the tree for some time. After satisfying himself of a good days work, he exists. Nompumeleo enters with a doll and crosses the stage. She notices the tree and comes to stand besides it. Innocent enters with the watering can, notices the young girl sitting on the tree. He walks up slowly to the tree and pours water on the girl who drops her doll and leaves crying. Innocent takes the doll and places it on the tree. He exists after watering his plant.

Innocent comes back in with a basket. He looks for fruits in the tree. Finding none, he gets angry and beats on the tree. He exists and comes back with an axe. He hits the tree once or twice and the doll falls off. He picks the doll and calls Nompumeleo who comes in and takes the doll quietly. She goes and sits center stage with her doll. Innocent, as Vladmir, puts his axe down and tries to start a conversation with Nompumeleo who does not answer back in any way.
VLADIMIR: (advancing with short, stiff strides, legs wide apart). I'm beginning to come round to that opinion. All my life I've tried to put it from me, saying Vladimir, be reasonable, you haven't yet tried everything. And I resumed the struggle. (He broods, musing on the struggle. Turning to the girl) So there you are again… I'm glad to see you back. I thought you were gone forever… Together again at last! We'll have to celebrate this. But how? (He reflects.) Get up till I embrace you… May one inquire where His Highness spent the night?

Giving up, Innocent walks away from Nompumelelo. The Shadows music starts playing. Nompumelelo plays with her doll, getting angry and angry until she plucks the doll’s eyes out. She herself goes blind, and is blindfolded. She tries to follow the light and finds herself lying in the middle of the mirrors.

Innocent walks back to the tree and works on it. As Vladimir, he recites this poem:

VLADIMIR: A dog came in— (Having begun too high he stops, clears his throat, resumes:) A dog came in the kitchen; And stole a crust of bread. Then cook up with a ladle; And beat him till he was dead. Then all the dogs came running; And dug the dog a tomb— (He stops, broods, resumes) Then all the dogs came running; And dug the dog a tomb; And wrote upon the tombstone; For the eyes of dogs to come. A dog came in the kitchen; And stole a crust of bread. Then cook up with a ladle; And beat him till he was dead. Then all the dogs came running; And dug the dog a tomb— (He stops, broods, resumes.) Then all the dogs came running; And dug
the dog a tomb– (He stops, broods. Softly.) And dug the dog a tomb...

*He remains a moment silent and motionless, then begins to move feverishly about the stage. He halts before the tree, comes and goes, before the boots, comes and goes, halts extreme right, gazes into distance, extreme left, gazes into distance.*

*In his solitary state, he starts moving and humming a tune. He calls Nompumelelo who does not answer. He goes to her and make her stand up. He starts teaching her how to dance gumboot. Because she cannot see, she cannot copy what he is doing. He tries to make her do it on his own, but nothing works.*

*After numerous attempts, Innocent lives Nompumelelo who falls onto the ground. She settles by the mirrors where she dances and ultimately gets her sight back. In her excitement she comes to Innocent and tries to get him to talk, Innocent as Estragon and Nompumelelo as Vladmir:*

**ESTRAGON:** *(irritably).* What is it?

**VLADIMIR:** Did you ever read the Bible?

**ESTRAGON:** The Bible . . . *(He reflects.)* I must have taken a look at it.

**VLADIMIR:** Do you remember the Gospels?

**ESTRAGON:** I remember the maps of the Holy Land. Coloured they were. Very pretty. The Dead Sea was pale blue. The very look of it made me thirsty. That's where we'll go, I used to say, that's where we'll go for our honeymoon. We'll swim. We'll be happy.
VLADIMIR: You should have been a poet.

ESTRAGON: I was. (*Gesture towards his rags.*) Isn't that obvious?

VLADIMIR: Where was I . . . Ah yes, the two thieves. Do you remember the story?

ESTRAGON: No.

VLADIMIR: Shall I tell it to you?

ESTRAGON: No.

VLADIMIR: It'll pass the time. (*Pause.*) Two thieves, crucified at the same time as our Saviour. One—

ESTRAGON: Our what?

VLADIMIR: Our Saviour. Two thieves. One is supposed to have been saved and the other . . . (*Sshe searches for the contrary of saved*) . . . damned.

ESTRAGON: Saved from what?

VLADIMIR: Hell.

ESTRAGON: I'm going. (*He does not move.*)

VLADIMIR: Come on, Gogo, return the ball, can't you, once in a way?

ESTRAGON: (*with exaggerated enthusiasm*). I find this really most extraordinarily interesting.

VLADIMIR: And yet . . . (*pause*) . . . how is it –this is not boring you I hope— how is it that of the four Evangelists only one speaks of a thief being saved. The four of them were there—or thereabouts— and only one speaks of a thief being saved. (*Pause.*) Come on, Gogo, return the ball, can't you, once in a way?

ESTRAGON: (*with exaggerated enthusiasm*) I find this really most extraordinarily interesting.

VLADIMIR: One out of four. Of the other three, two don't mention any thieves at all and the third says that both of them abused him.

ESTRAGON: Who?

VLADIMIR: What?

ESTRAGON: What's all this about? Abused who?

VLADIMIR: The Saviour.
ESTRAGON: Why?
VLADIMIR: Because he wouldn't save them.
ESTRAGON: From hell?
VLADIMIR: Imbecile! From death.
ESTRAGON: I thought you said hell.
VLADIMIR: From death, from death.
ESTRAGON: Well what of it?
VLADIMIR: Then the two of them must have been damned.
ESTRAGON: And why not?
VLADIMIR: But one of the four says that one of the two was saved.
ESTRAGON: Well? They don't agree and that's all there is to it.
VLADIMIR: But all four were there. And only one speaks of a thief being saved. Why believe him rather than the others?
ESTRAGON: Who believes him?
VLADIMIR: Everybody. It's the only version they know.
ESTRAGON: People are bloody ignorant apes.

There is silence as the two go about their business. Finally Nompumelelo takes Innocent to teach him to dance. Innocent falls behind and ends up sleeping. Giving up, Nompumelelo also sleeps. Innocent wakes up and tries the steps he was being taught on his own. He bumps into Nompumelelo who wakes up and joins him, making him do extra hard work. He falls asleep again. Nompumelelo joins him in sleeping and Innocent wakes up again. He dances for a while and falls back asleep when Nompumelelo wakes up and joins him. Nompumelelo gives up and goes to seat at the mirrors.
Lights fade out on the two. The end

2. *Waiting for Godot/Inwaiting* in Photos
Playing the Liminal: Exploring Moments of Transcendence During Performance